

Collective Dismissal in the European Union

A Comparative Analysis

EDITED BY

ROBERTO COSIO
FILIPPO CURCURUTO
VINCENZO DI CERBO
GIOVANNI MAMMONE



Wolters Kluwer

Collective Dismissal in the European Union

A Comparative Analysis

Edited by

Roberto Cosio
Filippo Curcuruto
Vincenzo Di Cerbo
Giovanni Mammone



Wolters Kluwer

Published by:

Kluwer Law International B.V.
PO Box 316
2400 AH Alphen aan den Rijn
The Netherlands
Website: www.wklawbusiness.com

Sold and distributed in North, Central and South America by:

Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory U.S.
7201 McKinney Circle
Frederick, MD 21704
United States of America
Email: customer.service@wolterskluwer.com

Sold and distributed in all other countries by:

Turpin Distribution Services Ltd
Stratton Business Park
Pegasus Drive, Biggleswade
Bedfordshire SG18 8TQ
United Kingdom
Email: kluwerlaw@turpin-distribution.com

Printed on acid-free paper.

ISBN 978-90-411-5830-7

e-Book: ISBN 978-90-411-5847-5

web-PDF: ISBN 978-90-411-8653-9

© 2017 Kluwer Law International BV, The Netherlands

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without written permission from the publisher.

Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to: Permissions Department, Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory U.S., 76 Ninth Avenue, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10011-5201, USA. Website: www.wklawbusiness.com

Printed in the United Kingdom.

Collective Dismissal in the European Union

Editors

Roberto Cosio is a member of the Italian Bar, and he is qualified to practice before the Supreme Court and the High Courts. He is a practising lawyer, specializing in Labour and Social Security law, and he has filed and discussed cases before the European Court of Justice (ECJ) and the European Court of Human Rights. He is the author of many articles and publications on matters related to European Law, with a focus on the fundamental principles of the European Convention on Human Rights and of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. He also writes extensively about Italian Labour Law, with particular regard to the transfer of undertakings and the collective dismissal procedures. He is the President of the Labour Commission of the European Lawyers' Union. He is also a Senior Lecturer at various universities in Italy and abroad.

Filippo Curcuruto became a judge in 1974, and he was first appointed as labour judge to a Court of First Instance. In 1980, he was assigned to a special office within the Italian Ministry of Justice, that drafts legislation. Here he worked for several years dealing with issues, among others, related to European Law. Later on, from 1993 to 1998, he served at the Research Division of the Italian Supreme Court (the *Ufficio del Massimario della Corte di Cassazione*). Afterwards, the Ministry of Justice appointed him the Head of the office dealing with claims brought before the labour Courts by the Ministry employees. In 2002, he was appointed as a Member Judge of the Supreme Court assigned to the Labour chamber and was also nominated as a Member of the Supreme Court's Joint Chambers in civil-law matters, where he worked until 2011. From 2011 to 2015 he served as the President of the Labour Court of Appeal of Rome. From 2005 to 2009 he was a Senior Lecturer of Labour Law at the Postgraduate School of Law, at the University of Rome 'La Sapienza'. He is the author of several publications in the fields of civil law, civil procedure, labour law and European law. He has also been involved in the initiatives carried out by the Associations of the European labour-law judges (*European Association of Labour Court Judges – EALCJ*, within the European Union (EU), and the *European Labour Court Judges – ELCJ*, within the *International Labour Office*). He retired in 2015.

Vincenzo Di Cerbo became a judge in 1976 and in 1977 was appointed as a first instance judge. From 1993 to 1997 he served in the '*Ufficio del Massimario della Corte di Cassazione*' (the Research Division of the Court). From June 1997 to October 2004 he served as a 'legally qualified member of the Boards of Appeal' at the European Patent Office in Munich and for three years he was appointed the Chairman of the Disciplinary Committee of the Office (2001, 2002, 2003). In 2004, he was appointed as a member of the Italian Supreme Court. From 2010 to 2016, he was also a member of the Supreme Court's Joint Chambers in civil-law matters. Moreover, since 2012 he has been Director of the CED (i.e., IT documentation department of the Supreme Court). From April 2008 to May 2012 he was a member of the Supreme Court Judges Council ('*Consiglio Direttivo*'). He currently serves as the chairman of a board of the Supreme Court dealing with appeals concerning Labour and Social Welfare. From 2006 to 2016 he was a lecturer at the Post-Graduate School for lawyers, Università della Sapienza, Roma. He is the author of several books and essays in the field of Law (Labour Law, Civil Procedural Law, IP Law).

Giovanni Mammone became a judge in 1977 and in 1998 joined the Research Division of the Italian Supreme Court (*Ufficio del Massimario della Corte di Cassazione*). In 2007 he was appointed as a member of the Italian Supreme Court. From 2002 to 2006 he was elected in the Italian High Council of the Judiciary (*C.S.M.*) representing the adjudicating judges. He currently serves as the President of the Italian Supreme Court on its chamber dealing with Labour and Social Welfare appeals and was also a member of the Court's Joint Chambers in civil law matters. Moreover he has been appointed as the Secretary General to the Supreme Court. As a former member of *C.S.M.* he took part in the activity of the *European Network of the Councils of Justice (ENCJ)* and of the *European Judicial Training Network (EJTN)*. He is actively involved in the initiatives carried out by the Associations of the European labour-law judges (*EALCJ*, within the EU, and the *ELCJ*, within the *International Labour Office*). He has written essays and surveys on civil procedural law, labour law and the judicial system.

Contributors

Miran Blaha was born in 1951 in Ljubljana, Slovenia. After graduating from the University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Law, he was employed for a short time at the Ministry of Justice and at a construction company. From 1984 to 1994 he served a judge at the Labour and Social Court in Ljubljana. From 1994 to 2001 he was a legal adviser at the Constitutional Court of the Republic of Slovenia, and since 2001 he has been a judge of the Supreme Court of the Republic of Slovenia as a member and Deputy Chairman of the Senate for labour and social cases. He is the author of the *Comment of Constitution* of the Republic of Slovenia concerning the section relating to constitutional rights and fundamental freedoms in the field of labour law. He is also the author of a larger number of articles in the field of labour and social law, lecturer at judicial schools for judges as well as at experts' meetings on labour and social issues.

Michel Blatman is holder of a degree from the Faculty of Law and Economics of Paris. Following a career as judge in the provinces, in particular in the jurisdiction of the Court of Appeal of Riom (Auvergne), he has been a judge at the Court of Cassation (Labour Division) for almost eleven years, where he reached the position of senior divisional judge ('Doyen de section'). As editor of articles on labour law doctrine, he has intervened in numerous conferences, the last of which was held during the Franco-Hellenic Days of Thessaloniki in October 2016. Moreover, he also participated in the training sessions of both trade unionists (CGT, CGC, CFDT) and employer syndicates (CGPME), as well as of law professionals (judges, lawyers, employment advisors, labour inspectors, clerks and civil servants, heads of human resources, social security medical advisers). He also gives conferences within various Masters of Social Law in France and abroad and is co-author of a book called *The Health of the Employee – From Health Preservation to Job Protection* ('l'état de santé du salarié – De la préservation de la santé à la protection de l'emploi') at its latest edition in 2014. Mr Blatman, who participated for many years in the works of the European Labour Court Judges (EALCJ), of which he was president for one year, has also been member of the European Labour Court Judges Meeting (ELCJM) sponsored by the International Labour Organisation. He retired as a judge, but is still active as a trainer and is completing a study entrusted to him by the Defender of rights, an independent

constitutional institution, on the *Direct Effect of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Handicapped People*.

Gerrard Boot works as a professor of Labour Law at Leiden University since 2011. He practised labour law as a lawyer in Amsterdam for more than twenty years working for both employers and employees. In 2009 he became a labour court judge in the District Court of Amsterdam and became judge in the Amsterdam Court of Appeal in 2015.

Merle Erikson is a professor of Labour Law at the Faculty of Law of the University of Tartu. Her main areas of study and teaching are Estonian labour law, international and EU labour law, as well as EU social policy. She is the author of numerous publications in the field of labour law.

Yvonne Erkens works as an associate professor of Labour Law at Leiden University. After she graduated at Leiden Law School, she became an assistant professor of Labour Law at Leiden Law School and Erasmus School of Law of Rotterdam. She practised labour law as a lawyer in The Hague and Leiden, working for an employers' association, and has been behind several collective labour agreements. Since 2004 she has been working full time at Leiden Law School, where she presented her thesis on Labour Law adjudication in 2013. Yvonne Erkens holds various ancillary positions. She is a part-time labour-court judge, acts as a judge in an institute for juvenile delinquents and is a member and vice-chair of the Commission on research integrity of the University of Leiden.

Mario Eylert, Ph.D., is the Chief Justice of the Fourth Senate of the German Federal Labour Court (Bundesarbeitsgericht) – the so-called Tarifsensat or Tariff Senate – since 2012. He was appointed at Germany's highest labour court in 2001 and served until his nomination of Chief Justice in the Court's Second Senate, which deals with dismissal cases ('Kündigungsrecht'). Before joining the German Federal Labour Court, Mario Eylert served at the Berlin Labour Court from 1984 to 1992, and later from 1992 to 2001 as Chief Justice on the Brandenburg Higher Labour Court. He received his Ph.D. in Law from the Free University of Berlin in 1986. At the German Federal Labour Court, Eylert also oversees the relations with foreign courts and judge organizations, and, among others, the European Labour Court judges and the German-Russian Judge Symposium. He is also a member of the Complaints Board of the European School system.

Dr Roland Gerlach studied Law at the University of Vienna from 1981 to 1986. While he was a student, he worked as a research and teaching assistant in the Department of Legal History at the University of Vienna School of Law. After completing his studies with a Ph.D. in law in 1988, he joined the law firm of Dr Georg Griesser. In 1993, he was admitted to the Austrian bar and in 1996, he co-founded the law firm Griesser Gerlach Gahleitner. In 2001, he completed a Postgraduate Program at the London School of Economics (LSE) with an LL.M. in Labour Law. In 2011, Gerlach founded the law firm Gerlach Rechtsanwälte. This boutique law firm handles the full spectrum of labour and employment issues. It is widely recognized as one of the most highly ranked firms in these fields. He is a member of the Committee of the Vienna Bar Association, as well as a member of the International Bar Association (IBA), the American Bar Association

(ABA) and the Industrial Law Society. He is also the Austrian representative in the board of the European Employment Lawyers Association (EELA). He is a frequent speaker at national and international conferences on all aspects of labour and employment law. He has given talks for the ABA, the IBA, the Cambridge Forum, L&E Global, as well as for private organizations.

Paul Gonzi is a partner at Fenech & Fenech Advocates specializing in Labour Law, Data Protection and ICT Law. After graduating with a Bachelor of Laws, Diploma of Notary Public and Doctor of Laws at the University of Malta in 2006 he earned his Master of Laws (LL.M.) at Queen Mary and Westfield College, University of London. He has nine years of hands-on experience advising clients and as a litigant in various fora, including before the Courts, the Industrial Tribunal, in arbitration and also at Appeal stage in matters of employment, industrial relations, data protection and telecoms. He is also a contributor to various publications and several conferences in his areas of specialization.

Dr Tünde Handó has been the president of the National Office for the Judiciary since 1 January 2012. He is a lawyer, graduated from Eötvös Lóránd University Faculty of Law in 1986. During her studies she was a member of Hungary's first advanced legal studies college called Bibó István College. From 16 April 1986 she worked as a trainee judge at Budapest-Capital Labour Court. After she passed the bar exams in 1990 she was appointed to secretary judge. She started her career as a judge at Budapest-Capital Labour Court on 1 May 1991. Until her appointment as president of the National Office for the Judiciary, she served as the president of the Budapest-Capital Labour Court since 15 April 1999. She became titular regional court judge on 15 July 2003. From 1999 she served as a lecturer at Eötvös Lóránd University Labour Law Department and member of the Bar Exam Committee. In 2008 she became the president of the European Association of Labour Law Judges, and since then she has been a member of the Hungarian Labour Law Association.

Anthony Kerr is a graduate of the Universities of Dublin (TCD) and London (LSE), a sometime Jean Monnet Fellow at the European University Institute and is now an associate professor in the UCD Sutherland School of Law where he is also an Associate Dean for Graduate Studies and Programme Director of the Professional Diploma in Employment Law. He is recognized by the European Commission, for whom he has completed many research projects, as a Level One expert and has been a member of the European Labour Law Network since 2007. He is a member of the Executive Committee of the International Society for Labour Law and Social Security Law and a National Reporter for the International Labour Law Reports. He has extensive experience in carrying out studies, both internationally and domestically, for the purpose of supporting impact assessment, evaluation and monitoring and has wide and extensive knowledge of the implementation of DG EMPL policies, programmes and laws in Ireland.

Mette Klingsten is a Danish Supreme Court Attorney specialized in labour and employment law and works at Mette Klingsten Law Firm based in Copenhagen. She regularly appears before the Danish courts and arbitration tribunals. Mette Klingsten

holds several directorships, including in the Royal Danish Theatre, and is the chairman of the board of directors in Copenhagen Phil. She has been an external lecturer on Employment Law at the University of Copenhagen and is author and co-author of several books. Among other books, she has recently published is the second edition of *Employment Law Aspects of the Transfer of Undertakings*.

Pavol Kundrik is a member of the Slovak Bar Association and has been an active attorney-at-law in Slovakia since 2013. He graduated from the Faculty of Law of Comenius University in Bratislava, Slovakia in 2009. His main area of expertise is Civil and Labour Law. Based on his knowledge and experience in this area, he has been promoted to lead the Labour Law Practice Group at PETERKA & PARTNERS, where he has been working as an associate since 2015. The Labour Law Practice Group is the firm's main channel used to serve clients seeking legal advice in Employment- and HR-related legal matters. As the Head of this Practice Group, Pavol leads a team of experienced lawyers from nine PETERKA & PARTNERS offices in the CEE region, and serves its clients worldwide.

Diana Labokaite was born in 1966 in Kaunas, Lithuania. She graduated from the faculty of law at Vilnius university in 1996. From 1996 to 2003 she practiced as a lawyer, since 2003 she was appointed as a judge in Kaunas city district court. She specialized in labour cases. In 2016 she was appointed as a judge of Kaunas regional court and mainly deals with civil cases.

Agata Ludera-Ruszel, Ph.D., is an assistant professor at the Faculty of Law and Administration of the University of Rzeszow and an assistant judge in the Appeal Court in Rzeszow, as well as a member of the Polish Association for Labour Law and Social Security. She has conducted lectures on Labour Law in Spain (Cardenal Herrera-CEU University), Italy (Università degli Studi di Genova), Belgium (Vives University College w Kortrijk) and Latvia (Banku Augstskola School of Business and Finance). She has held scientific internships at the University of Geneva and Humboldt University in Berlin. She is a DAAD fellow and winner of a scholarship by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education in Poland for outstanding young scientists. She is a reviewer of national and international scientific journals; author of several scientific publications on labour law and participant at national and international scientific conferences.

Filipe Marques is a judge at the Labour Section of the Central Instance of the Braga Judicial Court, in Portugal. He graduated in 1999 at the Law School of the University of Coimbra, where in 2003 he obtained an LL.M. in European Law with the thesis *The Principle of Non Discrimination and Direct Taxation in the European Union*. He has been serving as a judge since 2004 – in the Labour field for the past five years. He is the representative of the Portuguese Judges in *MEDEL – Magistrats Européens pour la Démocratie et les Libertés*. Before becoming a judge, he worked as a lawyer in Portugal and Italy (Milano).

Antonio Martin Valverde holds a Law degree from the University of Seville, as well as a PhD in Law from the University of Valencia. He was a professor of Labour Law and

Social Security at the Universities of Salamanca, Cadiz and Seville and Head of the Department of Labor Law and Social Security at the University of Seville (1987–1989).

He served as a judge of the Supreme Court from 1989 until his retirement in September 2013. He was also the president of the Central Electoral Board (Junta Electoral Central) of Spain during the 9th legislature of the Constitution (2008–2012). He has given courses and carried out research at the University of Tübingen (1972), at the Brussels headquarters of the Commission of the European Community (1983), at the University of Göttingen (1993) and at the University of Cologne (1998 and 1999). He has carried out periods of study and jurisdictional exchange in Erfurt, in the Bundesarbeitsgericht (Federal Supreme Labor Court) of Germany (2000), and in Paris, at the Cour de Cassation (Supreme Court) of France (2008).

Dr Valverde represented Spain as Speaker in several national and international conferences and meetings (on Labour Law, European Community Law, Jurisdictional Law and Theory of Law), in Europe and Latin America. He was a member representing Spain, from 2004 to 2014, of the ‘European Meeting of Labour Law Judges’. He was a full member and vice-president of the Academia Iberoamericana de Derecho del Trabajo y de la Seguridad Social (Ibero-American Academy of Labour Law and Social Security). He was awarded the Honorary Doctorate of the University of Oviedo (2008), as well as the ‘Labour Law Expert of the Year 2015’ Award of the Asociación Nacional de Laboralistas (National Association of Labor Experts).

He is the author and co-author of several Works. He is the co-author (with professors Rodríguez-Sañudo and García Murcia) of a Labour Law course – *Editorial Tecnos* (twenty-five editions). He is also the co-author (with Professor García Murcia) of the *Tratado Práctico de Derecho del Trabajo* (Practical Treaty of Labour Law) (Editorial Aranzadi, 2nd edition, 2012, three volumes) and of the *Tratado Práctico de Derecho de la Seguridad Social* (Practical Treaty of Social Security Law) (Editorial Aranzadi, 2nd edition, 2013, two volumes). He is also the author of monographic works of study (ten books and about three hundred publications of studies and essays) on the History of Labour Law, Individual and Collective Labour Law, Labour Procedural Law, Social Security Law and European Social Law.

Tom Moes is the Chairman of the ‘Conseil Arbitral de la Sécurité Sociale’ (Social Security Arbitration Council), the First Instance Social Security jurisdiction in the Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg, which he joined as a Deputy Judge in January 1995. After his judicial office as Deputy Director of the First Instance Court (Justice de Paix) and as Chairman of the Labour Court in Esch-sur-Alzette (Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg) (1995–2014) he was appointed Chairman of the ‘Conseil Arbitral de la Sécurité Sociale’ in August 2014. Since July 2012 he has been holding the title of a Honorary Councillor at the Court of Appeal. He is also a Knight of the Grand Ducal Order of the Crown of Oak and an Officer in the Grand Ducal Order of Merit.

Angelika Muller has been serving as a Senior Specialist in Social Dialogue in the Governance and Tripartism Department of the International Labour Office (ILO) in Geneva, Switzerland. She studied law in Belarus and France and has Master degrees in Comparative Labour Law and International Human Resources Management. After ten years of professional experience in the private sector, including in legal departments of

several multinational companies, Angelika joined the ILO in 2004. She has been in charge of policy advice and technical assistance on labour law reforms in all regions worldwide. Angelika was also in charge of coordinating the ILO network of the European Labour Court Judges. She has published several comparative studies on social dialogue institutions, labour law and gender equality.

Alan C. Neal is a professor of Law in the University of Warwick. He holds judicial office as an Employment Judge in the London (Central) Employment Tribunal and is Convenor of the European Association of Labour Court Judges.'

Pia Nyblæus is a member of the Swedish Bar Association providing commercial and legal advice to a wide range of industries in M&A and private equity matters, including cross-border issues. She specializes in employment law, comprising human resource services, management contracts, incentive and benefit programs, pension and insurances, collective employment issues, restrictive covenants, trade secrets and termination matters. Pia Nyblæus is a frequently used litigator as well as an arbitrator.

Andriani Papadopoulou holds a Ph.D. in Sociology from York University, Toronto Canada. As a Sociologist/Educator/Researcher and presently a Senior Investigator in the Human Rights Department of the Greek Ombudsman she has acquired extensive expertise on issues that concern immigrants, Roma and, in general, various forms of violations of human rights.

Lubomír Ptáček is holder of a Ph.D. and JuDr. from the Charles University in Prague. Since 2010 he has been a Judge at the Supreme Court of Brno. Prior to that he was President of the Chamber of the Regional Court in Ústí nad Labem, Branch Office Liberec (2003–2010), he was Judge at the Regional Court in Ústí nad Labem, Branch Office Liberec (2001–2003), and was President of Chamber at the District Court of Liberec (1999–2001). Dr Lubomir has been lecturer of the Slovak Judicial Academy for the area of European Private International Law (2009), Member of working group in Child Abduction cases (2007), Czech liaison judge in family law matters (2007, Hague Network Judge) amongst other activities. He is the author of articles in various Law publications.

Paula Roberto is a judge at the Criminal Section of the Court of Appeal of Guimarães, in Portugal.

She graduated in 1988 at the Law School of the University of Coimbra.

She has been serving as a judge since 1993 - in the labour area for the past twelve years.

Before becoming a judge, she worked as a lawyer in Portugal.

Dana Rone is a sworn advocate in Latvia since 2003. She is actively practising in Civil Law cases, including in the field of Employment Law. Ms Rone has graduated from the Faculty of Law of the University of Latvia (LL.M.) in 1998 and from the Riga Graduate School of Law in 2001. She also works in the academic field, as lecturer at the *Turība* University (Latvia) in the subjects of Public Speech, Civil Procedure Law and Insurance Law. She regularly presents her lectures also in other educational institutions such as the Study Centre of Judges and the Association of Insolvency Administrators. Ms Rone

is author of many publications on judicial issues published in Latvia and other countries. She is also a certified mediator since 2014, providing practical assistance to persons involved in disputes to help them resolve their controversies. She has also published various articles on mediation, and is part of the Commission of Certification and attestation of certified mediators as one of the commission members.

Jorma Saloheimo has acted as chairperson at the Finnish Labour Court as from 2001 and President of the Court as from 2010. Before transferring to the judicial career, Saloheimo worked as a labour law researcher and professor in the academic world for twenty years. Saloheimo has published a number of books and articles on various issues of labour law, such as occupational health and safety, equality and collective bargaining. The law of the European Union is well represented in Saloheimo's writings.

Reinhard Schinz, Dr jur. h.c. (Lund), is the Presiding Judge at the Higher Labour Court in Berlin. He works as a lecturer at various universities and is the author of a number of publications on labour law.

Vanja Smokvina graduated in Law in 2006 at the Faculty of Law, University of Rijeka (Croatia). In 2012, he obtained his Ph.D. from the European Law Faculty Nova Gorica (Slovenia), and prepared his thesis on *Free movement of sportspeople and the specificity of labour law status of sportpersons in the EU*. He is an assistant professor at the Chair of Labour and Social Security Law at the Faculty of Law, University of Rijeka (Croatia). He has published four books, more than twenty scientific articles and has presented his works at numerous international scientific conferences (Cambridge, Leeds, Angers, Cologne, Madrid, Roma, Verona, Zagreb, etc.). His main field of interests are labour and social security of specific categories of workers in the sports and marine fields.

Krassimira Sredkova has graduated at the Law Faculty of Sofia University 'St. Kliment Ochridski' and has specializations in Labour Law, Social Security and EC Law from Universities in Austria, Belgium, Germany, Hungary and Russia. She is a professor in Labour Law and Social Security at Sofia University, Ph.D. in Law. She is President of the Bulgarian Association for Labour Law and Social Security and member of the International Association for Legislation. Since 2015, she has been a member of the European Committee of Social Rights in the Council of Europe. Prof. Krassimira Sredkova has more than 250 publications in the fields of Labour Law, Social Security, International Labour Law and the EC-Law.

Nicos Trimikliniotis is an interdisciplinary scholar working in the fields of sociology and law. He heads the team of expert of the Cyprus team for the EU Fundamental Rights Agency. He is also a practicing Barrister. He has carried out research on integration, citizenship, migration, free movement of workers, discrimination and Labour Law. He is the National Expert for Cyprus for the European Labour Law Network. He was the expert for Cyprus of the European networks of experts on Free Movement of Workers (2008–2012) and Labour Law (2010). He has served as a research associate (national expert) with the European University Institute on Citizenship (EUDO, 2009–2010) and on tolerance, pluralism and social cohesion (ACCEPT, 2011). He is also a research associate of the University of Cape Town, South Africa. His latest book is entitled *Mobile*

Commons, Migrant Digitalities and the Right to the City (with D. Parsanoglou and V. Tsianos), Pivot, Palgrave, 2015.

Aurelian Gabriel Uluitu, Ph.D., is an associate professor at 'Nicolae Titulescu' University, Bucharest, Romania, where he teaches Labour and Civil Law since 1999. He was elected as President of the 'Nicolae Titulescu' University in June 2015. He is also a lawyer since 2001, and is a member of the Bucharest Bar. He is the author of over fifty publications in the field of Civil and Labor Law. The latest book he published (as co-author) is *Dictionary of Labour Law*, Universul Juridic, 2015.

Michela Velardo is an Italian qualified lawyer and a member of the Rome and Brussels bar. She specializes in European Social Law and in European Public Law. At present she has pleaded 150 cases before National (Italy and Belgium), European and International Courts. In the past she has been a member of the Legal Service of the European Commission and an official of the Italian Ministry of Justice. She speaks in conferences, lectures in Italian Universities and publishes articles in several prominent law journals.

Foreword

This volume is an important, indeed unique, contribution to the knowledge on the law of collective dismissals in the EU Member States. The texts presented herein, although differently structured, provide an exhaustive picture of the different national systems. This comparative analysis is particularly important in this period where restructuring and redundancies are a major reason of social concern in most European Countries.

A comprehensive knowledge of the legal and collective rules concerning collective dismissals is useful to nurture the academic and policy debate, still controversial, over the appropriate content of the employment protection legislation (EPL).

The overview of the various regulations indicates persistent diversities among the European national systems which are comprehended and allowed under the EU Directive. However, major common trends can also be traced. Indeed the regulation of collective dismissals represents one of the areas of labour law where the European Directives have been most influential in promoting the harmonization of the different national systems.

Harmonization was one of the original purposes of the European community which has been fulfilled only in part, and recently is less and less pursued.

The achievement of promoting common rules is particularly significant in the critical area of collective redundancies, being part of the wider objective of the European social policy oriented towards balancing the requirements of flexibility for employers with the need of security for workers.

As in others areas of social regulation and policies, the European Directive on this matter (one of the first to be approved in 1975), has been pre-empted by some national legislations, for example, *see* the chapter on Germany.

The Directive of 1975, subsequently amended and improved, reproduces the basic requirements present in most national systems, extending them to the rest of the community, including, in particular, countries of Eastern Europe, which adhered to the EU at a later time. In this respect, the Directive cannot be considered simply a ratification of a minimum common denominator, but as a contributor to the evolution of various national legislations.

Moreover, Chapter 29 of this volume appropriately emphasizes that the main rules introduced by the Directive and specified by most national legislators correspond to the international standards set by ILO Convention 158 of 1982, followed also by some Member States which have not ratified the convention. In fact, the European national regulation presents common traits in all the major features of collective dismissals.

First and foremost they share the principle that collective dismissals, when meeting some threshold numbers indicated by the Directive, are subject to procedural requirements whereby the employer must: provide, in good time, the information on the reasons and terms of the terminations to the workers representatives, as well as hold consultations with such representatives on the measures to mitigate the impact on the workers.

Another common indication emerging from the comparative analysis of this volume shows that the information and consultation procedures include an active role of the workers representatives, either local union branches or other representative bodies (works council and the like). These bodies are defined according to the national rules, as the forms of workers representatives are considered to be a matter of national competence by the EU.

The public authorities have always played an important role in the procedure activated by the social parties according to the principle of tripartism, which is promoted by the ILO and followed in many European countries.

Whilst in the past this role included that generally the public employment services had the power to approve the employers' decision on the collective dismissals; today the legislation of most EU countries no longer requires such public authorization (exceptions are represented by some eastern European States and the Netherlands).

The abolition of the administrative approval has left the exclusive competence to control the substantial and procedural legality of the dismissals to the courts (see the Spanish case). The administrative authorities remain, however, involved in the collective procedure in many ways: not only must they be notified by the parties during the various steps of the procedure under penalty of nullity or other sanctions, but they may intervene in mediation, also with a specific proposal and may assist the parties in different instances, including in the definition of the measures necessary to prevent dismissals and reduce their impact on the workers concerned.

These measures have developed substantially in the course of time. Most national legislations now commit the employers to consider other options before resorting to collective dismissals. In some countries, like in Italy and Sweden, this matter is regulated by collective agreements (national and decentralized).

The comparative analysis presents a full range of measures finalized to make dismissals an *extrema ratio*. Such measure range from: restriction of hiring, to restriction of overtime and normal hours of work, to internal transfer and mobility, to training and retraining, to early retirement of various kinds, to spreading the workforce reduction over time, or even postponing it.

In countries, such as France, a wide ranging set of measures must be put in place by the employer, particularly in larger firms, and included in an 'employment safeguard plan', to be notified and validated by the administrative authority.

Significant developments are reported by national cases also in the measures finalized to reduce the impact of employers' decisions, in the cases where preventive measures have not succeeded in avoiding or reducing collective dismissals.

The majority of European countries have traditionally defined the criteria to be taken into account in selecting the workers to be discharged; often seniority is one, and in other cases (including Italy) a combination of criteria is considered. The actual definition of the order of priority in collective dismissals is an important and controversial matter of discussion among the employers and the unions (*see* the Swedish and Italian chapters).

Another important measure adopted in many countries through legislation or collective agreements, is to recognize to those workers who have been made redundant, not only a monetary compensation of different amounts, but also a certain priority (for a definite period of time) in the future hiring by the same employer.

More recently, active labour policies have been introduced in quite a few countries in order to facilitate the redeployment or the outplacement of the employees made redundant in other occupations. In this respect, various measures are provided by public and private employment offices, and often directly by the employers, through a 'social plan' usually agreed with the unions. These measures include not only training and retraining, but also personalized assistance in the job search combined with financial support (such as the reemployment bonus recently introduced in Italy), as well as even active assistance to search for a buyer in the case of a planned closure of an establishment (*see* the French case).

Apart from these important common trends, the European national legislations present also some differences, beginning with the concept of dismissal and that of collective dismissal. As mentioned in the introduction, the absence of an explicit statement in the EU Directive concerning this concept, has left wide discretion to the national legislators, and ultimately has emphasized the role of the ECJ in filling the gaps.

The comparative analysis of this volume underlines national differences in two other important matters: the forms and steps of the procedures, as well as the sanctions and remedies in case of violations of the legal and collective rules.

The complexity of procedures has been often denounced (also in Italy) as excessive; and some attempts of simplification have been made, but with uneven results.

The sanction of nullity or invalidity tends to be applied in the most serious cases of violation: such as the lack of notice to the public authorities, and the absolute absence of measures to prevent dismissals (*see* the French and German cases).

Monetary sanctions (damages, fines) are most commonly adopted by national legislators, subject to the requirement of the ECJ that they be effectively 'dissuasive'.