

# TAX CONTROVERSIES: PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

THIRD EDITION

Leandra Lederman  
Stephen W. Mazza



LexisNexis

# TAX CONTROVERSIES:

---

## *PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE*

### THIRD EDITION

**Leandra Lederman**

*William W. Oliver Professor of Tax Law  
Indiana University at Bloomington  
School of Law*

**Stephen W. Mazza**

*Professor of Law  
University of Kansas  
School of Law*

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Lederman, Leandra.

Tax controversies : practice and procedure / Leandra Lederman, Stephen W. Mazza. -- 3rd ed.

p. cm.

Includes index.

ISBN 978-1-4224-2263-2 (hardbound)

1. Tax administration and procedure--United States--Cases. 2. Tax Collection--United States--Cases. I. Mazza, Stephen W. II. Title.

KF6300.L43 2009

343.7304--dc22

2008045989

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis, and the knowledge burst logo, and Michie are trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc. used under license. Matthew Bender is a registered trademark of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2009 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.  
All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material exceeding fair use, 17 U.S.C. § 107, may be licensed for a fee of 25¢ per page per copy from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

**NOTE TO USERS**

To ensure that you are using the latest materials available in this area, please be sure to periodically check the LexisNexis Law School web site for downloadable updates and supplements at [www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool](http://www.lexisnexis.com/lawschool).

**Editorial Offices**

744 Broad Street, Newark, NJ 07102 (973) 820-2000

201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200

[www.lexisnexis.com](http://www.lexisnexis.com)

MATTHEW**Δ**BENDER

(2009-Pub. 1160)

TAX CONTROVERSIES:  
PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE  
Third Edition

# LEXISNEXIS LAW SCHOOL ADVISORY BOARD

---

**Lenni B. Benson**

*Professor of Law &  
Associate Dean for Professional Development  
New York Law School*

**Raj Bhala**

*Rice Distinguished Professor  
University of Kansas, School of Law*

**Charles P. Craver**

*Freida H. Alversen Professor of Law  
The George Washington University Law School*

**Richard D. Freer**

*Robert Howell Hall Professor of Law  
Emory University School of Law*

**Craig Joyce**

*Andrews Kurth Professor of Law &  
Co-Director, Institute for Intellectual Property and Information Law  
University of Houston Law Center*

**Ellen S. Podgor**

*Professor of Law &  
Associate Dean of Faculty Development and Electronic Education  
Stetson University College of Law*

**Paul F. Rothstein**

*Professor of Law  
Georgetown University Law Center*

**Robin Wellford Slocum**

*Professor of Law & Director,  
Legal Research and Writing Program  
Chapman University School of Law*

**David I. C. Thomson**

*LP Professor & Director, Lawyering Process Program  
University of Denver, Sturm College of Law*

# Dedication

---

To my husband.  
— LL

To my family.  
— SWM

# Preface

---

Unlike other areas of taxation you may have studied, tax procedure does not involve determining the tax consequences of particular transactions and events. Instead, a tax procedure course typically focuses on the process and procedure of both (1) our “voluntary compliance” system and its enforcement, and (2) federal tax disputes, including the chronology of a tax controversy from the filing of a return by the taxpayer through tax litigation. The course may also cover related issues, such as the taxpayer’s and government’s ability to access information about the other; relief from joint and several liability for taxes; ethical issues arising in tax cases; and tax research skills.

A thorough understanding of tax procedure is not only essential for a tax controversy expert, but is also extremely helpful for any tax practitioner. After all, tax planning includes an assessment of the risks of a transaction, including contemplation of possible disputes with the Internal Revenue Service regarding the transaction, and judgment about the likely outcome of those disputes.

The technical details of tax controversy procedure are illuminated by an understanding of the law’s underlying conceptual framework. Throughout this book, we have tried both to explain the law and to put it in context, highlighting important theoretical considerations about tax controversies and current procedural rules. Strategic aspects of resolving a tax dispute are also very important. The book uses the “problem method” to promote application of the law to factual scenarios a tax practitioner might encounter, and to emphasize strategy considerations.

The Internal Revenue Service Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998 (the IRS Reform Act) made major changes to tax controversy law. More than a decade after the enactment of the IRS Reform Act, the effects of many of these changes continue to unfold, making this an exciting time to study tax procedure. We hope you enjoy the course.

# Acknowledgments

---

This edition reflects a major overhaul of preceding editions. We appreciate the help provided by many people as we completed this edition. In particular, we would like to thank Leslie Book of Villanova Law School; Bryan Camp of Texas Tech University School of Law; William Henderson, Aviva Orenstein, and Carwina Weng of Indiana University School of Law–Bloomington; Philip N. Jones of Duffy Kekel LLP; J. Scott MacBeth and Eric S. Namee of Hinkle Elkouri Law Firm LLC; and Steve Ware of University of Kansas School of Law. For help with the graphics in Chapter 1, we are grateful to Frank Burleigh, Erin Cowles, Mark Newton, and Brian Smith. Erin Cowles and Michele Rutledge provided valuable assistance with the copyright permissions. We would also like to thank Tanner Coulter and Michala Irons of Indiana Law School, and Michael Dill and Pam Tull of Kansas Law School, for valuable research assistance on this edition. We also remain grateful to the many people who provided assistance with the previous two editions.

# Table of Contents

<b>Chapter 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION TO FEDERAL TAX CONTROVERSIES AND TAX ADMINISTRATION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
§ 1.01	INTRODUCTION .....	1
§ 1.02	STRUCTURE OF THE IRS .....	1
§ 1.03	RULEMAKING AUTHORITY OF THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT AND THE IRS .....	5
§ 1.04	OVERVIEW OF THE FEDERAL TAX CONTROVERSY PROCESS .....	7
§ 1.05	TAX COMPLIANCE, TAX EVASION, AND THE FEDERAL “TAX GAP” ..	10
[A]	Introduction .....	10
[1]	Voluntary Compliance Estimates .....	11
[2]	Enforcement Statistics .....	14
[B]	Approaches to Tax Compliance .....	16
[1]	The Deterrence Model of Tax Compliance .....	16
[a]	The Role of Penalties in the Deterrence Model .....	17
[b]	Accounting for Opportunity to Evade .....	19
[2]	Other Possible Influences on Tax Compliance .....	20
[a]	Service to Taxpayers .....	20
[b]	Signaling by Taxpayers .....	22
[c]	Social Norms of Compliance or Noncompliance .....	23
[3]	The Effect of Enforcement on Compliance Norms .....	26
[C]	Where Do We Go From Here? .....	28
	PROBLEMS .....	29
<b>Chapter 2</b>	<b>IRS RULEMAKING .....</b>	<b>31</b>
§ 2.01	INTRODUCTION .....	31
§ 2.02	TAXPAYER RELIANCE ON PUBLISHED AUTHORITY .....	31
[A]	Treasury Regulations .....	31
[1]	Procedures for Enacting Treasury Regulations .....	31
[2]	Courts’ Deference to Treasury Regulations .....	32
	<i>Bankers Life and Casualty Co. v. United States</i> .....	33
[a]	Which Deference Standard Applies, <i>Chevron</i> or <i>National Muffler</i> ? ..	39
[b]	If <i>Chevron</i> Applies, Then How? .....	40
[c]	What if the Regulation is Valid but Ambiguous? .....	42
[d]	What About Temporary and Proposed Regulations? .....	45
[B]	IRS Authorities .....	46
[1]	Procedural Regulations .....	46
[2]	Courts’ Deference to Revenue Rulings .....	46
[3]	The IRS’s Duty to Apply Revenue Rulings .....	49
	<i>Estate of McLendon v. Commissioner</i> .....	49
[4]	Revenue Procedures .....	55

---

## Table of Contents

§ 2.03	TAXPAYER-SPECIFIC GUIDANCE .....	56
§ 2.04	PRIVATE LETTER RULINGS .....	57
[A]	Areas in Which the IRS Will Not Issue Letter Rulings .....	57
[B]	How to Request a Letter Ruling .....	59
	REVENUE PROCEDURE 2008-1 .....	59
[C]	Requesting a Conference with the IRS .....	67
[D]	Taxpayer Reporting Requirements .....	67
[E]	Whether to Request a Letter Ruling and Whether to Withdraw a Ruling Request .....	68
§ 2.05	THE “DUTY OF CONSISTENCY” .....	69
	<i>Zelenak, Should Courts Require the Internal Revenue Service To Be Consistent?</i> .....	69
	<i>Stichting Pensioenfonds Voor De Gezondheid v. United States</i> .....	72
	PROBLEMS .....	75
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>TAX RETURNS AND EXAMINATIONS .....</b>	<b>79</b>
§ 3.01	INTRODUCTION .....	79
§ 3.02	TAX RETURNS AND PAYMENTS OF TAX .....	79
[A]	Formal Requirements of a Return .....	79
	<i>Beard v. Commissioner</i> .....	80
[B]	Filing of Tax Returns .....	87
[C]	Filing Extensions .....	89
[D]	Amended Returns .....	90
[E]	Tax Payments .....	90
§ 3.03	EXAMINATIONS .....	91
[A]	IRS Investigatory Authority .....	91
[B]	Audits .....	91
[1]	Selecting Returns for Audit .....	91
[a]	DIF Scores and Other Methods .....	91
[b]	Whistleblowers .....	92
[2]	Conducting the Audit .....	93
[3]	Specialized Audit Programs for Business Taxpayers .....	94
[4]	Partnership Audit Procedures Under TEFRA .....	95
[C]	Audit Strategy .....	96
§ 3.04	RECONSTRUCTING A TAXPAYER’S INCOME .....	100
[A]	Introduction to Direct and Indirect Methods of Reconstructing Income .....	100
[B]	Limits on “Financial Status Audits” .....	101
	IRS National Office Field Service Advice 200101030 .....	102
[C]	Specific Indirect Methods .....	105
[1]	The Net Worth Method .....	105
[a]	In General .....	105
[b]	Establishing an Opening Net Worth .....	107
[2]	The Bank Deposits Plus Cash Expenditures Method .....	111

---

## Table of Contents

	<i>Choi v. Commissioner</i> .....	111
[D]	Defenses to Indirect Methods of Proving Income .....	113
PROBLEMS .....		115
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>SUMMONSES AND PRIVILEGES .....</b>	<b>119</b>
§ 4.01	INTRODUCTION .....	119
§ 4.02	IRS SUMMONS AUTHORITY .....	119
[A]	Scope of Authority .....	119
	<i>United States v. Norwest Corporation</i> .....	120
[B]	Summons Enforcement .....	126
[C]	Third-Party Summons .....	128
[D]	John Doe Summons .....	130
§ 4.03	DEFENSES TO SUMMONS ENFORCEMENT .....	131
[A]	Commonly Asserted Privileges .....	132
[1]	The Attorney-Client Privilege .....	132
[a]	Who is the Corporate “Client”? .....	132
[b]	What is “Legal” Advice? .....	135
	<i>United States v. Textron Inc.</i> .....	136
[c]	The Crime-Fraud Exception .....	141
[2]	The Work-Product Doctrine .....	144
	McMahon & Shepard, <i>Privilege and the Work Product Doctrine in Tax Cases</i> .....	144
	<i>United States v. Textron Inc.</i> .....	151
[3]	Privilege Protection for Accountants and other Tax Practitioners .....	154
[a]	Kovel Agreements .....	154
	Townsend, <i>The Accountant’s Role — and Risks — in Kovaling</i> .....	156
[b]	Arthur Young and the Section 7525 Privilege .....	162
[B]	Court Review of Privilege Claims .....	166
PROBLEMS .....		167
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>IRS APPEALS .....</b>	<b>171</b>
§ 5.01	INTRODUCTION .....	171
§ 5.02	SETTLEMENT OPPORTUNITIES DURING AND AFTER THE EXAMINATION PHASE .....	171
[A]	Timing Issues .....	171
[B]	Options at the Conclusion of the Examination .....	172
[1]	Agreed Cases .....	173
[2]	Unagreed Cases .....	175
[C]	Settlement at the IRS Appeals Division .....	178
[1]	Background on the IRS Appeals Division .....	178
[2]	The Protest Letter .....	180
[3]	Docketed Versus Nondocketed Appeals .....	184

---

## *Table of Contents*

[4]	Statutory Provisions Affecting Appeals Consideration and Settlements . . . . .	186
[5]	Negotiating a Settlement with Appeals . . . . .	189
	CARLSON, HOW TO HANDLE AND WIN A FEDERAL TAX APPEAL: A	
	COMPLETE GUIDE FOR A TAX PROFESSIONAL . . . . .	189
[6]	Alternative Dispute Resolution . . . . .	192
[7]	Disposing of a Case at Appeals . . . . .	193
	<i>Kretchmar v. United States</i> . . . . .	195
§ 5.03	CLOSING AGREEMENTS . . . . .	203
PROBLEMS	.....	205
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>TENSION BETWEEN CONFIDENTIALITY AND DISCLOSURE</b> .....	<b>209</b>
§ 6.01	INTRODUCTION . . . . .	209
§ 6.02	ACCESS TO IRS INFORMATION . . . . .	209
[A]	Disclosure Under the Freedom of Information Act . . . . .	209
[1]	Categories of Information Subject to Disclosure . . . . .	209
	<i>United States Department of Justice v. Tax Analysts</i> . . . . .	210
[2]	FOIA Exemptions Most Relevant to Tax Practice . . . . .	218
[a]	FOIA Exemption 3 . . . . .	219
[b]	FOIA Exemption 5 . . . . .	219
[c]	FOIA Exemption 7 . . . . .	222
[3]	Using FOIA During the Tax Controversy Process . . . . .	222
[a]	Access to Administrative Files Prepared by the IRS . . . . .	223
[b]	Requesting Information Under FOIA . . . . .	223
[c]	Relationship of FOIA to Discovery Rules . . . . .	225
[B]	Access to Information Under the Privacy Act of 1974 . . . . .	226
[C]	Access to Written Determinations Under Section 6110 . . . . .	227
§ 6.03	PRESERVING TAXPAYER CONFIDENTIALITY: CODE SECTION 6103 .	229
[A]	Disclosure Prohibitions Under Section 6103 . . . . .	229
[1]	The Scope of Return Information . . . . .	229
	<i>Church of Scientology of California v. Internal Revenue Service</i> . . . . .	230
[2]	Does the Source of Information Matter? . . . . .	233
	<i>Rice v. United States</i> . . . . .	233
[B]	Exceptions Under Section 6103 Permitting Disclosure . . . . .	236
[1]	Disclosures Permitted During an Investigation . . . . .	237
	<i>Diandre v. United States</i> . . . . .	237
[2]	Disclosure to Persons with a Material Interest . . . . .	242
	<i>Martin v. Internal Revenue Service</i> . . . . .	242
[C]	Remedies for Unlawful Disclosure Under Section 6103 . . . . .	246
	<i>Ward v. United States</i> . . . . .	247
§ 6.04	THE TENSION BETWEEN FOIA AND SECTION 6103 . . . . .	253
PROBLEMS	.....	254

---

## Table of Contents

<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>RESTRICTIONS ON ASSESSMENT OF TAX</b>	<b>257</b>
§ 7.01	INTRODUCTION .....	257
§ 7.02	TYPES OF ASSESSMENTS .....	257
[A]	Summary Assessments .....	257
[B]	General Restrictions on Deficiency Assessments .....	258
[C]	Jeopardy and Termination Assessments .....	259
§ 7.03	THE STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS ON ASSESSMENT OF TAX .....	260
[A]	General Rules .....	260
[B]	Return Filing Date .....	260
	REVENUE RULING 81-269 .....	262
[C]	Exceptions to the Three-Year Statutory Period .....	264
[1]	Request for Prompt Assessment .....	264
[2]	Substantial Omission of Items .....	264
	<i>Colestock v. Commissioner</i> .....	266
[3]	False or Fraudulent Return .....	270
	<i>Badaracco v. Commissioner</i> .....	270
[D]	Tolling of the Statute of Limitations on Assessment .....	277
[E]	Extensions of Time to Assess Tax .....	278
	<i>Houlberg v. Commissioner</i> .....	279
	<i>Muir v. Commissioner</i> .....	285
[F]	Abatement of Assessments .....	288
§ 7.04	EXCEPTIONS TO THE STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS .....	289
[A]	The Statutory Mitigation Provisions .....	289
	<i>Gill v. Commissioner</i> .....	291
[B]	Equitable Recoupment .....	293
	PROBLEMS .....	293
<b>Chapter 8</b>	<b>THE UNITED STATES TAX COURT</b>	<b>297</b>
§ 8.01	THE U.S. TAX COURT: AN INTRODUCTION .....	297
[A]	Structure .....	297
[B]	Procedures .....	297
[C]	Opinions and Decisions .....	298
[D]	Appeals of Tax Court Decisions .....	298
[1]	Appeal of Fewer Than All Tax Years .....	299
[2]	Deference to Tax Court Decisions .....	300
§ 8.02	THE TAX COURT'S JURISDICTION AND CASELOAD .....	301
§ 8.03	TAX COURT PLEADING REQUIREMENTS .....	302
§ 8.04	DISCOVERY IN TAX COURT .....	305
	<i>The Branerton Corporation v. Commissioner</i> .....	305
§ 8.05	PRECEDENT APPLICABLE TO TAX COURT CASES .....	307
	<i>Golsen v. Commissioner</i> .....	307
§ 8.06	THE TAX COURT'S SMALL TAX CASE PROCEDURE .....	309

---

---

## *Table of Contents*

§ 8.07	OUTCOMES IN TAX COURT CASES AND ALLEGATIONS OF BIAS . . . . .	310
§ 8.08	REVIEW OF LARGE CASES DECIDED BY SPECIAL TRIAL JUDGES . . . . .	313
§ 8.09	EQUITY IN THE TAX COURT . . . . .	318
PROBLEMS . . . . .		320
<b>Chapter 9</b>	<b>THE NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY . . . . .</b>	<b>325</b>
§ 9.01	INTRODUCTION . . . . .	325
§ 9.02	THE NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY: FUNCTIONS AND VALIDITY . . . . .	327
[A]	The Multiple Functions of the Notice . . . . .	327
[B]	General Requirements of the Notice . . . . .	328
[C]	The Notice of Deficiency and the Burden of Proof . . . . .	330
[1]	Can a Court “Look Behind” the Notice of Deficiency? . . . . .	330
	<i>Greenberg’s Express, Inc. v. Commissioner</i> . . . . .	330
[2]	“Arbitrary and Erroneous” Notices of Deficiency . . . . .	332
	<i>Anastasato v. Commissioner</i> . . . . .	333
[3]	New Matter . . . . .	337
	<i>Achiro v. Commissioner</i> . . . . .	337
	<i>Nicholson v. Commissioner</i> . . . . .	340
	<i>Estate of Mitchell v. Commissioner</i> . . . . .	342
[D]	Invalid Notices of Deficiency . . . . .	345
[1]	The “Last Known Address” Rule . . . . .	345
	<i>Hunter v. Commissioner</i> . . . . .	346
	<i>Mulvania v. Commissioner</i> . . . . .	350
[2]	Invalidating a Notice of Deficiency for IRS Failure to Make a “Determination” . . . . .	354
	<i>Scar v. Commissioner</i> . . . . .	355
	<i>Portillo v. Commissioner</i> . . . . .	364
PROBLEMS . . . . .		368
<b>Chapter 10</b>	<b>OVERPAYMENTS, REFUND CLAIMS, AND REFUND LITIGATION . . . . .</b>	<b>371</b>
§ 10.01	INTRODUCTION: OVERVIEW OF REFUND CLAIMS AND REFUND SUITS . . . . .	371
§ 10.02	REFUND CLAIMS . . . . .	372
[A]	Overpayments of Tax . . . . .	372
[B]	Which Remittances are “Payments”? . . . . .	372
[1]	The Rosenman Case . . . . .	373
	<i>Rosenman v. United States</i> . . . . .	373
[2]	Post-Rosenman Case Law . . . . .	375
[3]	Code Section 6603 . . . . .	376
[4]	Unassessed Remittances . . . . .	377
[C]	Submission and Timing of the Refund Claim . . . . .	378

---

---

## Table of Contents

	<i>Lewis v. Reynolds</i> .....	378
[D]	Content of the Refund Claim .....	379
	<i>Decker v. United States</i> .....	380
[E]	Informal Claims for Refund .....	384
	<i>Fisher v. United States</i> .....	384
§ 10.03	REFUND SUITS AND OVERPAYMENT SUITS .....	387
[A]	Refund Suits .....	387
[1]	Limitations Periods .....	387
[2]	Refund Litigation in the United States District Courts .....	388
[3]	Refund Litigation in the Court of Federal Claims .....	390
[4]	The “Full Payment” Rule .....	391
	<i>Flora v. United States</i> .....	391
[B]	Overpayment Litigation in Tax Court .....	396
§ 10.04	ERRONEOUS REFUNDS AND ABATEMENTS OF TAX .....	396
§ 10.05	STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS ON REFUND CLAIMS .....	398
[A]	Overview .....	398
[B]	Statutory Period Applicable When the Taxpayer Extended the Statute of Limitations on Assessment .....	399
[C]	Statutes of Limitations on Refund Claims Applicable in Tax Court Cases ..	400
[D]	Application of the Statutes of Limitations to Delinquent Returns .....	401
[1]	Applying Sections 6511 and 6512 to Delinquent Returns .....	401
	<i>Zarky v. Commissioner</i> .....	402
[2]	Applying Section 7502 to Delinquent Returns .....	404
[E]	Tolling of Statutes of Limitations on Refund Claims .....	405
[F]	The Statutory Mitigation Provisions and Equitable Recoupment .....	407
[1]	Statutory Mitigation of the Statute of Limitations .....	407
[2]	Equitable Recoupment .....	408
PROBLEMS .....		412
<b>Chapter 11</b>	<b>TAX LITIGATION AND SETTLEMENT OF TAX CASES ..</b>	<b>415</b>
§ 11.01	INTRODUCTION .....	415
§ 11.02	BANKRUPTCY COURT JURISDICTION OVER FEDERAL TAX CLAIMS .....	415
§ 11.03	CHOICE OF FORUM .....	416
	<i>Porter, Where Can You Litigate Your Federal Tax Case?</i> .....	416
	<i>Dawson, Should the Federal Civil Tax Litigation System Be Restructured?</i> ..	420
§ 11.04	THE BURDEN OF PROOF IN TAX CASES .....	422
[A]	Code Section 7491 .....	423
[B]	Does the Burden of Proof Matter? .....	426
§ 11.05	SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATED TAX CASES .....	429
§ 11.06	AWARDS OF ADMINISTRATIVE AND LITIGATION COSTS AND FEES TO TAXPAYERS .....	432
[A]	Qualification Requirements .....	432

---

---

## Table of Contents

[B]	Measure of Recovery .....	434
§ 11.07	ISSUES IN MULTIPLE TAX CASES .....	436
[A]	Collateral Estoppel and Res Judicata .....	436
	<i>United States v. Davenport</i> .....	438
[B]	Coordination of Deficiency and Refund Suits .....	444
	<i>Statland v. United States</i> .....	445
§ 11.08	IRS USE OF SUMMONSES IN DISCOVERY .....	450
	<i>Ash v. Commissioner</i> .....	451
§ 11.09	LITIGATION SANCTIONS .....	456
[A]	Tax Court .....	456
	<i>Philips v. Commissioner</i> .....	457
[B]	Other Courts .....	459
	<i>Christensen v. Ward</i> .....	461
§ 11.10	UNPUBLISHED OPINIONS .....	463
	PROBLEMS .....	466
<b>Chapter 12</b>	<b>CIVIL TAX PENALTIES</b> .....	<b>469</b>
§ 12.01	INTRODUCTION: THE ROLE OF PENALTIES .....	469
§ 12.02	CIVIL PENALTIES APPLICABLE TO TAXPAYERS AND DEFENSES TO THOSE PENALTIES .....	470
[A]	The Delinquency Penalty — Code Section 6651 .....	470
[1]	The Failure to File Penalty .....	470
[2]	The Failure to Pay Penalty .....	471
[3]	The Reasonable Cause Defense .....	472
	<i>United States v. Boyle</i> .....	475
[B]	The Estimated Tax Penalty — Code Section 6654 .....	479
[C]	Accuracy-Related Penalties — Code Section 6662 .....	480
[1]	Negligence or Disregard of Rules or Regulations .....	481
	<i>Oria v. Commissioner</i> .....	481
[2]	Substantial Understatement of Tax and its Defenses .....	484
[a]	Substantial Understatement of Tax .....	484
[b]	Defenses to the Penalty .....	484
[i]	Substantial Authority .....	484
	<i>Osteen v. Commissioner</i> .....	485
[ii]	Disclosure .....	487
[3]	Valuation Misstatements .....	488
	<i>Heasley v. Commissioner</i> .....	488
[4]	Erroneous Refund Claims .....	492
[D]	The Civil Fraud Penalty .....	493
	<i>Morse v. Commissioner</i> .....	493
[E]	Information Reporting Penalties .....	496
§ 12.03	PREPARER PENALTIES .....	497
§ 12.04	PENALTIES AIMED AT TAX SHELTER TRANSACTIONS .....	501

---

## Table of Contents

[A]	Tax Shelter Organizers and Promoters .....	501
[B]	Tax Shelter Investors .....	502
§ 12.05	ASSESSMENT, ABATEMENT, AND SUSPENSION OF PENALTIES .....	503
§ 12.06	BURDEN OF PROOF WITH RESPECT TO PENALTIES .....	504
	PROBLEMS .....	506
<b>Chapter 13</b>	<b>INTEREST ON TAX UNDERPAYMENTS AND OVERPAYMENTS .....</b>	<b>509</b>
§ 13.01	INTRODUCTION .....	509
§ 13.02	INTEREST ON UNDERPAYMENTS .....	510
[A]	Applicable Interest Rates .....	510
[B]	Accrual and Suspension Periods .....	511
[1]	Beginning and Ending Dates .....	511
[2]	Possible Suspension Periods .....	512
[C]	Deductibility of Interest on Underpayments .....	514
	<i>Alfaro v. Commissioner</i> .....	515
[D]	Limiting the Accrual of Deficiency Interest .....	519
[1]	Advance Remittances .....	519
[2]	Interest Abatement .....	523
	<i>Krugman v. Commissioner</i> .....	524
§ 13.03	INTEREST ON OVERPAYMENTS .....	529
[A]	Applicable Interest Rates .....	530
[B]	Accrual and Suspension Periods .....	531
	REVENUE RULING 88-97 .....	532
§ 13.04	NETTING UNDERPAYMENT AND OVERPAYMENT INTEREST .....	534
§ 13.05	PROCEDURAL ISSUES .....	536
[A]	Tax Court Jurisdiction .....	536
[B]	Refunds of Underpayment Interest .....	537
	PROBLEMS .....	538
<b>Chapter 14</b>	<b>LIENS, LEVIES, AND OTHER COLLECTION PROCEDURES .....</b>	<b>541</b>
§ 14.01	INTRODUCTION .....	541
§ 14.02	COLLECTION PROCEDURES IN GENERAL .....	542
[A]	The IRS's Collection Operation .....	542
[B]	The Notice and Demand for Payment .....	543
[C]	Statutes of Limitations on Collection .....	543
§ 14.03	ADMINISTRATIVE COLLECTION PROCEDURES .....	544
[A]	The Statutory Federal Tax Lien .....	544
[1]	Attachment of the Federal Tax Lien .....	545
	<i>Drye v. United States</i> .....	545
[2]	Lien Priorities in the Internal Revenue Code .....	553