ASPEN CASEBOOK SERIES

STONE SEIDMAN SUNSTEIN TUSHNET KARLAN

# THE FIRST AMENDMENT

Fifth Edition



Wolters Kluwer

#### ASPEN CASEBOOK SERIES

## The First Amendment

#### Fifth Edition

### Geoffrey R. Stone

Edward H. Levi, Distinguished Service Professor University of Chicago Law School

#### Louis M. Seidman

Carmack Waterhouse Professor of Constitutional Law Georgetown University Law Center

#### Cass R. Sunstein

Robert Walmsley University Professor Harvard Law School

#### Mark V. Tushnet

William Nelson Cromwell Professor of Law Harvard Law School

#### Pamela S. Karlan

Kenneth and Harle Montgomery Professor of Public Interest Law Stanford Law School Copyright © 2016 Geoffrey R. Stone; Robert H. Seidman, Trustee; Cass R. Sunstein; Mark Tushnet; Pamela Karlan; and Rebecca and Laura Tushnet.

Published by Wolters Kluwer in New York.

Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory Solutions U.S. serves customers worldwide with CCH, Aspen Publishers, and Kluwer Law International products. (www.WKLegaledu.com)

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or utilized by any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publisher. For information about permissions or to request permissions online, visit us at www.WKLegaledu.com, or a written request may be faxed to our permissions department at 212-771-0803.

To contact Customer Service, e-mail customer.service@wolterskluwer.com, call 1-800-234-1660, fax 1-800-901-9075, or mail correspondence to:

Wolters Kluwer Attn: Order Department PO Box 990 Frederick, MD 21705

Printed in the United States of America.

1234567890

ISBN 978-1-4548-6824-8

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Stone, Geoffrey R., author. | Seidman, Louis Michael, author. | Sunstein, Cass R., author. | Tushnet, Mark V., 1945- author. | Karlan, Pamela S., author. Title: The First Amendment / Geoffrey R. Stone, Louis M. Seidman, Cass R.Sunstein, Mark V. Tushnet, Pamela S. Karlan.

Description: Fifth edition. | New York : Wolters Kluwer, 2016. | Series: Aspen casebook series | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2015040253 | ISBN 9781454868248 (alk. paper)
Subjects: LCSH: Freedom of speech — United States. | Freedom of the

press — United States. | Freedom of religion — United States. | United States. | Constitution. 1st Amendment.

Classification: LCC KF4770 .F558 2016 | DDC 342.7308/53 — dc23 LC record available at http://lccn.loc.gov/2015040253

# The First Amendment

#### **EDITORIAL ADVISORS**

Erwin Chemerinsky

Dean and Distinguished Professor of Law Raymond Pryke Professor of First Amendment Law University of California, Irvine School of Law

Richard A. Epstein

Laurence A. Tisch Professor of Law New York University School of Law Peter and Kirsten Bedford Senior Fellow The Hoover Institution Senior Lecturer in Law The University of Chicago

Ronald J. Gilson

Charles J. Meyers Professor of Law and Business Stanford University Marc and Eva Stern Professor of Law and Business Columbia Law School

James E. Krier

Earl Warren DeLano Professor of Law The University of Michigan Law School

Richard K. Neumann, Jr.

Professor of Law Maurice A. Deane School of Law at Hofstra University

Robert H. Sitkoff John L. Gray Professor of Law Harvard Law School

David Alan Sklansky

Stanley Morrison Professor of Law, Stanford Law School Faculty Co-Director, Stanford Criminal Justice Center

# **About Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory Solutions U.S.**

Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory Solutions U.S. delivers expert content and solutions in the areas of law, corporate compliance, health compliance, reimbursement, and legal education. Its practical solutions help customers successfully navigate the demands of a changing environment to drive their daily activities, enhance decision quality and inspire confident outcomes.

Serving customers worldwide, its legal and regulatory solutions portfolio includes products under the Aspen Publishers, CCH Incorporated, Kluwer Law International, ftwilliam.com and MediRegs names. They are regarded as exceptional and trusted resources for general legal and practice-specific knowledge, compliance and risk management, dynamic workflow solutions, and expert commentary.

## For our families

### Preface

This work is derived from Stone, Seidman, Sunstein, Tushnet, and Karlan, Constitutional Law (7th ed. 2013). It incorporates the material in chapters VII and VIII of that book and its most recent Supplement, with only modest

interest in acceptant and another for a second object to the second production, and a second consistent and the second consistent and the second consistent and the second consistency and the second consistency and the second consistency are second consistency and the second consistency are second consistency and the second consistency a

revisions. It presents the most recent developments in the area.

The first amendment is a suitable subject for treatment apart from the rest of constitutional law. First amendment cases and issues raise questions both about constitutional law generally and about the specific domains of free expression and religious liberty. Although this book generally assumes that students have had an introduction in which they have already considered the justifications offered for judicial review, the cases and materials allow students to explore questions about the appropriate roles of courts and legislatures in developing fundamental law. The free expression materials show how such important considerations as democratic theory and the claim that individuals are self-directing, autonomous beings might influence the development of constitutional doctrine. The materials on the first amendment's religion clauses pose questions, among others, about the ability of constitutional law to foster or support religious liberty in a society characterized by religious pluralism. These characteristics of the first amendment materials intersect with characteristics of constitutional law and theory in other substantive areas of constitutional law, and students might be encouraged to think about the connections.

The goals we pursue are to introduce students to the main lines of first amendment doctrine, to place that doctrine in its historical setting (particularly emphasized in Chapter II of Part I) and its social setting (an important theme in Part II), and to ensure that students connect particular doctrines and lines of doctrinal development with more general approaches to constitutional interpretation such as originalism, natural law/natural rights thinking, and the

xxii Preface

like. Although the materials assume a general familiarity with controversies over the justifications for judicial review, the book can be used in a free-standing course on the first amendment. At some points the materials present information about constitutional practices in other democratic societies, in an effort to combat the parochialism of United States constitutional thinking. As noted in *Constitutional Law*, "we offer no systematic survey; but we do hope to shed light on our own problems by exploring how other nations operate."

this as the documentages been some as four ford but in 1112 him.

January 2016

G.R.S.

L.M.S.

C.R.S.

# Acknowledgments

Excerpts from the following books and articles appear with the kind permission of the copyright holders:

Barrer, Scriften I in Cries Armandonen, and des Futers of American I terror, rese

The first of the land of the l

Alfange, Dean. The Draft-Card Burning Case. 1968 Supreme Court Review 1, 15, 16, 23, 26, 27. Copyright © 1969 by The University of Chicago. Reprinted with permission.

Anderson, David. Libel and Press Self-Censorship. Published originally in 53 Texas Law Review 422 (1975). Copyright © 1975 by the Texas Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission of the Texas Law Review Association and the author.

Baker, C. Edwin. Advertising and a Democratic Press. 140 University of Pennsylvania Law Review 2097, 2139, 2178, 2180-2181 (1992). Reprinted with permission of the University of Pennsylvania Law Review, Fred B. Rothman & Company, and the author.

\_\_\_\_\_. Scope of the First Amendment Freedom of Speech. 25 UCLA Law Review 964, 974-978 (1978). Reprinted with permission of Fred B. Rothman Company.

\_\_\_\_\_. Turner Broadcasting: Content-Based Regulation of Persons and Presses. 1994 Supreme Court Review 57, 61, 66, 72, 85-86, 91. Copyright © 1995 by The University of Chicago. Reprinted with permission.

Barnett, Stephen. The Puzzle of Prior Restraint. Copyright © 1977 by the Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford University. Reprinted with permission of the Stanford Law Review and the Fred B. Rothman Company.

Bat-Ada, Judith. Freedom of Speech as Mythology, or Quill Pen and Parchment Thinking in an Electronic Environment, 8 N.Y.U. Review of Law and Social Change 271, 275, 278-279 (1978-1979). Reprinted with permission.

Berman, Jerry and Daniel Weitzner. Abundance and User Control: Renewing the Democratic Heart of the First Amendment in the Age of Interactive

Media. Reprinted with permission of the Yale Law Journal Company, the authors, and Fred B. Rothman & Company from The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 104, pp. 1624-1634.

Berns, Walter. The First Amendment and the Future of American Democracy (1976). Copyright © 1977 by Basic Books, Inc. Reprinted by permission

of HarperCollins Publishers, Inc.

BeVier, Lillian. An Informed Public, An Informing Press: The Search for a Constitutional Principle. Reprinted from California Law Review, Vol. 68, No. 3, pp. 482-517, by permission.

\_\_\_\_\_. Money and Politics: A Perspective on the First Amendment and Campaign Finance Reform. Reprinted from California Law Review,

Vol. 73, No. 4 (July 1985), pp. 1045-1090, by permission.

Bezanson, Randall. Institutional Speech, 80 Iowa L. Rev. 735, 736, 755, 761, 739 (1995). Copyright © 1995 Iowa Law Review. Reprinted with permission.

\_\_\_\_\_. Political Agnosticism, Editorial Freedom, and Government Neutrality Toward the Press. 72 Iowa Law Review 1359, 1371 (1987). Reprinted

with permission.

Bickel, Alexander. The Morality of Consent. Copyright © Yale University Press (1975). Reprinted with permission of Yale University Press.

Blasi, Vincent. The Checking Value in First Amendment Theory. 1977 American Bar Foundation Research Journal 521, 527-542, 596, 640. Copyright © 1977 by the American Bar Foundation. Reprinted with permission.

\_\_\_\_\_. The Pathological Perspective and the First Amendment. This article originally appeared at 85 Columbia Law Review 449 (1985). Reprinted with permission of the Columbia Law Review and the author.

\_\_\_\_\_. Prior Restraint on Demonstrations. 68 Michigan Law Review 1481, 1514 (1970). Reprinted with permission of the Michigan Law Review

Association and the author.

\_\_\_\_\_. Toward a Theory of Prior Restraint: The Central Linkage. 66 Minnesota Law Review 11, 87-91 (1981). Reprinted with the author's

permission.

Bloustein, Edward. The Origins, Validity, and Interrelationships of the Political Values Served by Freedom of Expression. 33 Rutgers Law Review 372, 381 (1981). Reprinted with permission of the Rutgers Law Review and the estate of Edward Bloustein.

Bollinger, Lee. Images of a Free Press. Copyright © 1991 by The University of

Chicago. Reprinted with permission.

Bollinger, Lee C. & Stone, Geoffrey R. Eternally Vigilant: Free Speech in the Modern Era 1, 4, 7-8, 61, 62, 84-85, 153, 312-313 (2002). Reprinted with permission of The University of Chicago Press.

Branscomb, Anne. Anonymity, Autonomy, and Accountability: Challenges to the First Amendment in Cyberspace. Reprinted with permission of the Yale Law Journal Company, the author, and Fred B. Rothman & Com-

pany from The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 104, pp. 1652-1653.

Brownstein, Rules of Engagement for Culture Wars: Regulating Conduct, Unprotected Speech, and Protected Expression in Anti-Abortion Protests, 29 U.C. Davis Law Rev. 553, 586-588, 628 (1996). Copyright © 1996 Regents of The University of California. Reprinted with permission.

Cantor, Norman. Forced Payments to Service Institutions and Constitutional Interests in Ideological Non-Association. 36 Rutgers Law Review 3, 16, 26

(1984). Reprinted with permissions.

Chaffee, Zechariah. Book Review. 62 Harvard Law Review 891, 899-900 (1949). Copyright © 1949 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission of the Harvard Law Review Association.

\_\_\_\_. Free Speech in the United States. Copyright © 1941 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College. Reprinted with permission of Harvard

University Press.

Clark, Lorene. "Liberalism and Pornography," originally appearing in In Search of the Feminist Perspective: The Changing Potency of Women (Resources for Feminist Research Special Publication #5, Toronto, Spring 1975).

Clor, Harry. Obscenity and Public Morality. Copyright © 1969 by The Uni-

versity of Chicago. Reprinted with permission.

Coase, Ronald. Advertising and Free Speech. 6 Journal of Legal Studies 1, 2, 14 (1977). Copyright © 1977 by The University of Chicago. Reprinted with permission.

\_\_\_\_. The Federal Communications Commission. 2 Journal of Law & Economics 1, 14-18 (1959). Copyright © 1959 by The University of

Chicago. Reprinted with permission.

Collins, Ronald and David Skover. The Death of Discourse (1996). Copyright © 1996 by WestviewPress. Reprinted by permission of WestviewPress.

Comment. Snepp v. United States: The CIA Secrecy Agreement and the First Amendment. This article originally appeared at 81 Columbia Law Review 662 (1981). Reprinted with permission of the Columbia Law Review and the author.

Cox, Archibald. Foreword, Freedom of Expression in the Burger Court. Copyright © 1980 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission of the Harvard Law Review Association and the author.

Developments Note. The National Security Interest and Civil Liberties. Copyright © 1972 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted

with permission of the Harvard Law Review Association.

Diamond, David. The First Amendment and Public Schools. Published originally in 59 Texas Law Review 477 (1981). Copyright © 1981 by the Texas Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission of the Texas Law Review Association and the author.

Easterbrook, Frank. Insider Trading, Secret Agents, Evidentiary Privileges, and the Production of Information. 1981 Supreme Court Review 309, 345-347. Copyright © 1982 by The University of Chicago. Reprinted with permission.

Ely, John Hart. Democracy and Distrust. Copyright © 1980 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College. Reprinted with permission of Harvard

University Press.

\_\_\_\_\_. Flag Desecration: A Case Study in the Roles of Categorization and Balancing in First Amendment Analysis. Copyright © 1975 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission of the Harvard Law Review Association and the author.

Emerson, Thomas. The Affirmative Side of the First Amendment. This article was originally published at 15 Georgia Law Review 795 (1981) and is

reprinted with permission.

\_\_\_\_. The Doctrine of Prior Restraint. 20 Law & Contemporary Problems

648, 656-660 (1955). Reprinted with permission.

Epstein, Richard. Was New York Times v. Sullivan Wrong? 53 University of Chicago Law Review 782, 797, 804 (1986). Reprinted with permission.

- Fairman, Christopher M. FUCK: Word Taboo and Protecting Our First Amendment Liberties 27-29, 44-45, 55, 60 (2009). Used by permission of Sourcebooks.
- Farber, Daniel. Commercial Speech and First Amendment Theory. 74 Northwestern University Law Review 372, 385-386 (1979). Reprinted with permission of Daniel Farber.

Farber, Daniel and John Nowak. The Misleading Nature of Public Forum Analysis: Content and Context in First Amendment Adjudication. 70 Virginia Law Review 1219, 1234 (1984). Reprinted with permission.

Fiss, Owen. In Search of a New Paradigm. Reprinted with permission of the Yale Law Journal Company, the author, and Fred B. Rothman & Company from The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 104, pp. 1614-1615.

Freund, Paul. The Supreme Court and Civil Liberties. 4 Vanderbilt Law

Review 533, 539 (1951). Reprinted with permission.

Goldberger, David. Judicial Scrutiny in Public Forum Cases: Misplaced Trust in the Judgment of Public Officials. 32 Buffalo Law Review 175, 206-207, 217-218 (1983). Copyright © 1983 by the Buffalo Law Review. Reprinted with permission.

\_\_\_\_\_. A Reconsideration of Cox v. New Hampshire. Published originally in 62 Texas Law Review 403 (1983). Copyright © 1983 by the Texas Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission of the Texas Law Review

Association and the author.

Goldstein, Robert. Political Repression in Modern America (1978). Reprinted with permission of Schenkman Books and the author.

- Graber, Mark. Old Wine in New Bottles: The Constitutional Status of Unconstitutional Speech. 48 Vanderbilt Law Review 349, 352, 364, 367-368, 371-372 (1995). Reprinted with permission.
- Greenawalt, Kent. Free Speech Justifications. This article originally appeared at 89 Columbia Law Review 119 (1989). Reprinted with permission of the Columbia Law Review and the author.
- Greene, Abner. The Political Balance of the Religion Clauses. Reprinted with permission of the Yale Law Journal Company, the author, and Fred B. Rothman & Company from The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 102, p. 1611.
- Gunther, Gerald. Learned Hand and the Origins of Modern First Amendment Doctrine: Some Fragments of History. Copyright © 1975 by the Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford University. Reprinted with the author's permission.
- Harper, Michael. The Consumer's Emerging Right to Boycott. Reprinted with permission of the Yale Law Journal Company, the author, and Fred B. Rothman & Company from The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 93, p. 425.
- Henkin, Louis. Foreword: On Drawing Lines. Copyright © 1968 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission of the Harvard Law Review Association and the author.
- Howe, Mark DeWolfe. The Garden and the Wilderness (1965). Reprinted by permission of the Frank L. Weil Institute for Studies in Religion and Humanities, Hebrew Union College-Jewish Institute of Religion.
- Imwinkelried, Edward and Donald Zillman. An Evolution in the First Amendment: Overbreadth Analysis and Free Speech Within the Military Community. Published originally in 54 Texas Law Review 42 (1975). Copyright © 1975 by the Texas Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission of the Texas Law Review Association and the authors.
- Ingber, Stanley. The Marketplace of Ideas: A Legitimizing Myth. 1984 Duke Law Journal 1, 4-5. Reprinted with permission.
- Israel, Jerrold. *Elfbrandt v. Russell*: The Demise of the Oath? 1966 Supreme Court Review 193, 219. Copyright © 1967 by The University of Chicago. Reprinted with permission.
- Jackson, Thomas and John Jeffries. Commercial Speech: Economic Due Process and the First Amendment. 65 Virginia Law Review 1, 17-18, 30-31 (1979). Reprinted with permission.
- Kagan, Elena. The Changing Faces of First Amendment Neutrality. 1992 Supreme Court Review 29, 31-32, 38-40. Copyright © 1993 by The University of Chicago. Reprinted with permission.
- Private Speech, Public Purpose: The Role of Governmental Motive in First Amendment Doctrine, 63 U. Chi. L. Rev. 415, 467-475 (1996). Copyright © 1996 by University of Chicago Law Review. Reprinted with permission.

Kalven, Harry. The Concept of the Public Forum: Cox v. Louisiana. 1965 Supreme Court Review 1, 18-21. Copyright © 1966 by The University of Chicago. Reprinted with permission.

\_\_\_\_\_. The Metaphysics of the Law of Obscenity. 1960 Supreme Court Review 1, 15-16. Copyright © 1961 by The University of Chicago. Reprinted with permission.

\_\_\_\_\_. The Negro and the First Amendment (1965). Reprinted with permis-

sion of Betty Kalven.

\_\_\_\_\_. The New York Times Case: A Note on "The Central Meaning of the First Amendment." 1964 Supreme Court Review 191, 208-209. Copyright © 1965 by The University of Chicago. Reprinted with permission.

\_\_\_\_\_. A Worthy Tradition: Freedom of Speech in America (1988). Copyright © 1988 by The Harry Kalven, Jr. Trust. Reprinted by permis-

sion of Harper-Collins Publishers, Inc.

Krattenmaker, Thomas and L. Scot Powe. Converging First Amendment Principles for Converging Communications Media. Reprinted with permission of the Yale Law Journal Company, the authors, and Fred B. Rothman & Company from The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 104, pp. 1721-1740.

Kurland, Philip. The Religion Clauses and the Burger Court. 34 Catholic University Law Review 1, 13-14 (1984). Reprinted with permission.

LeBel, Paul. Reforming the Tort of Defamation: An Accommodation of the Competing Interests Within the Current Constitutional Framework. 66 Nebraska Law Review 249, 293 (1987). Copyright © 1987 by the University of Nebraska. Reprinted with permission.

Lessig, Lawrence. The Path of Cyberlaw. Reprinted with permission of the Yale Law Journal Company, the author, and Fred B. Rothman & Com-

pany from The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 104, pp. 1750-1752.

Lockhart, William and Robert McClure. Literature, the Law of Obscenity, and the Constitution. 38 Minnesota Law Review 295, 374-375 (1954). Reprinted with permission of the University of Minnesota Law School.

MacKinnon, Catherine. Feminism Unmodified: Discourses on Life and Law. Copyright © 1987 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College.

Reprinted with permission of Harvard University Press.

Matsuda, Mari. Public Response to Racist Speech: Considering the Victim's Story. 87 Michigan Law Review 2320, 2332, 2336-2337, 2357, 2359 (1982). Reprinted with permission of the Michigan Law Review Association and the author.

McConnell, Michael. Accommodation of Religion. 1985 Supreme Court Review 1, 1-3. Copyright © 1986 by The University of Chicago. Rep-

rinted with permission.

- Meiklejohn, Alexander. The First Amendment is an Absolute. 1961 Supreme Court Review 245, 255-257, 263. Copyright © 1962 by The University of Chicago. Reprinted with permission.
- Copyright © 1948 by Harper & Brothers, renewed © 1976 by Helen E. Meiklejohn. Reprinted by permission of HarperCollins Publishers.
- Nagel, Robert. How Useful is Judicial Review in Free Speech Cases? 69 Cornell Law Review 302, 303, 304-305, 335-338 (1984). Copyright © 1984 by Cornell University. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.
- Nimmer, Melville. The Meaning of Symbolic Speech Under the First Amendment. 21 UCLA Law Review 29, 36 (1973). Reprinted with permission of Fred B. Rothman & Company.
- \_\_\_\_\_. The Right to Speak from Times to Time: First Amendment Theory Applied to Libel and Misapplied to Privacy. 56 California Law Review 935, 942-943 (1968). Reprinted with permission of estate of Melville B. Nimmer.
- Note, Anti-Pornography Laws and First Amendment Values. Copyright © 1984 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission of the Harvard Law Review Association.
- Community Standards, Class Actions, and Obscenity under *Miller v. California*. Copyright © 1975 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission of the Harvard Law Review Association.
- \_\_\_\_\_. The Nonpartisan Freedom of Expression of Public Employees. 76
  Michigan Law Review 365, 392-393 (1977). Reprinted with permission of the Michigan Law Review Association.
- Post, Robert. The Constitutional Concept of Public Discourse: Outrageous Opinion, Democratic Deliberation and *Hustler Magazine v. Falwell*. Copyright © 1990 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission of the Harvard Law Review Association and the author.
- Powell, John A. As Justice Requires/Permits: The Delimitation of Harmful Speech in a Democratic Society, 16 Law & Inequality 97, 103, 147-149 (1998). Reprinted with permission of the University of Minnesota.
- Redish, Martin. The Content Distinction in First Amendment Analysis.

  Copyright © 1981 by the Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford University. Reprinted with permission of the Stanford Law Review, Fred B. Rothman & Company, and the author.
- \_\_\_\_\_. The First Amendment in the Marketplace: Commercial Speech and the Values of Free Expression. 39 George Washington Law Review 429, 433, 441-444 (1971). Reprinted with the permission of The George Washington Law Review, copyright © 1971.

The Proper Role of the Prior Restraint Doctrine in First Amendment Theory. 70 Virginia Law Review 53, 55, 58 (1984). Reprinted with

permission.

Review 591, 633 (1982). Reprinted with permission of the University of Pennsylvania Law Review. Fred B. Rothman & Company, and the author.

Richards, David. Free Speech and Obscenity Law: Toward a Moral Theory of the First Amendment. 123 University of Pennsylvania Law Review 45,

62, 82 (1974). Reprinted with the author's permission.

Rubenfeld, Jed. The First Amendment's Purpose, 53 Stan. L. Rev. 767, 768-769 (2001). Copyright © 2001 by Stanford Law Review. Reproduced with permission of Stanford Law Review in the format textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.

Scanlon, Thomas. Freedom of Expression and Categories of Expression. 40 University of Pittsburgh Law Review 519, 532-533, 547 (1979). Reprinted with permission of the University of Pittsburgh Law Review and the

Schauer, Frederick. Speech and "Speech" — Obscenity and "Obscenity": An Exercise in the Interpretation of Constitutional Language. 67 Georgetown Law Journal 899, 906, 922, 923, 926 (1979). Reprinted with permission of the publisher © 1979 and Georgetown University.

Shiffrin, Stephen. The First Amendment, Democracy, and Romance. Copyright © 1990 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College.

Reprinted with permission of Harvard University Press.

Smolla, Rodney. Let the Author Beware: The Rejuvenation of the American Law of Libel. 132 University of Pennsylvania Law Review 1, 4-7, 12, 91-93 (1984). Reprinted with permission of the University of Pennsylvania

Law Review, Fred B. Rothman & Company, and the author.

Solove, Daniel J. Reprinted by permission of the publisher from "Speech, Privacy, and Reputation on the Internet" by Daniel J. Solove in The Offensive Internet: Speech, Privacy, and Reputation, edited by Saul Levmore and Martha C. Nussbaum, pp. 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 28, Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, Copyright © 2010 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College.

Stewart, Potter. "Or of the Press." Reprinted from 26 Hastings Law Journal

631, 633-634 (1975) by permission.

Stone, Geoffrey. Content Regulation and the First Amendment. 25 William & Mary Law Review 189, 217, 222-223, 225-226, 243-244, 280 (1983).

Reprinted with permission.

. The Equal Access Controversy: The Religion Clauses and the Meaning of "Neutrality." Reprinted by special permission of Northwestern University School of Law, Northwestern University Law Review, Volume 81, Issue 1, pp. 168, 169-170 (1986).