



Assessing the Impacts of Environmental Changes on the Water Resources of the Upper Mara, Lake Victoria Basin

Fidelis Ndambuki Kilonzo

Assessing the Impacts of Environmental Changes on the Water Resources of the Upper Mara, Lake Victoria Basin

Promoters: Prof. Willy Bauwens
Prof. Piet N. L. Lens
Prof. Ann Van Griensven
Prof. Joy Obando

January 2014

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor in de ingenieurswetenschappen (Doctor in Engineering) by

Fidelis Ndambuki Kilonzo

Promotors:

Prof.dr.ir. Willy Bauwens

Prof.dr.ir. Piet Lens

Vrije Universiteit Brussel

UNESCO-IHE & Wageningen University

Co-promotors:

Prof.dr. Joy Obando

Prof.dr.ir. Ann Van Griensven

Kenyatta University

Vrije Universiteit Brussel & UNESCO-IHE

PhD jury members:

Prof.dr.ir. Danny Van Hemelrijck, Chairman

Prof.dr ir. Stefan Uhlenbrook, Chairman

Prof.dr.ir. Marijke Huysmans, Secretary

Prof.dr. Joy Obando

Prof.dr.ir. Ann van Griensven

Dr.ir. Chris Mannaerts

Prof.dr. Michael McClain

Vrije Universiteit Brussel

UNESCO-IHE & TU Delft

Vrije Universiteit Brussel

Kenyatta University

Vrije Universiteit Brussel & UNESCO-IHE

ICT, Universiteit Twente

UNESCO-IHE & TUDelft

CRC Press/Balkema is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2014, Fidelis Ndambuki Kilonzo

All rights reserved. No part of this publication or the information contained herein may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, by photocopying, recording or otherwise, without written prior permission from the publishers.

Although all care is taken to ensure the integrity and quality of this publication and information herein, no responsibility is assumed by the publishers or the author for any damage to property or persons as a result of the operation or use of this publication and or the information contained herein.

Published by:

CRC Press/Balkema

PO Box 11320, 2301 EH Leiden, The Netherlands

e-mail: Pub.NL@taylorandfrancis.com

www.crcpress.com – www.taylorandfrancis.com

ISBN: 978-1-138-02638-4

Assessing the Impacts of Environmental Changes on the Water Resources of the Upper Mara, Lake Victoria Basin

Acknowledgement

For a Journey that started way back in the dusty classes of Masewani Primary, Machakos County, Kenya, the PhD Journey has been long and torturous. It is a journey which I undertook and could not have been accomplished without the invisible Hand of God and the direct and indirect assistance of numerous persons.

With humility, I wish to thank my Promoters: Prof. Willy Bauwens (Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium), Prof. Piet Lens (UNESCO-IHE, Netherlands), Prof. Ann Van Griensven (Vrije Universiteit/ UNESCO-IHE), and Prof. Joy Obando (Kenyatta University, Kenya) for the guidance, and inspiration and above all for the patience with my work and with me. I would like to thank my PhD defence committee chaired by Prof. Danny Van Hemelrijck (Vrije Universiteit Brussel, BE), and the Jury Members, Prof. Michael McClain (UNESCO-IHE, NL), Prof. Chris Mannaerts (Twente University, NL), Prof. Rik Pintelon (Vrije Universiteit Brussel, BE), and Prof. Marijke Huysmans (Vrije Universiteit Brussel, BE) for their critique and kind suggestions.

I appreciate the times shared with all members of the Hydrology and Hydraulics Engineering dept, VUB. Special mention of present and past PhD and Post-doctoral students including; Hepelwa, Olkeba, Tadesse, Narayan, Boud, Christian, Marwa, Jiri, Andualem, Khodayar, Zayir, Alvaro and Vicente. The support and services of; Anja, Hilde and Edward at the HYDR secretariat and that of J. Couder, Martine, Patrick and Carine at the VUB International office. I am also grateful for the periods I was at UNESCO-IHE, the Netherlands and the good time with PhD students; Frank, Njenga, Mukolwe, Getnet, Nagendra, Girma, Kiptala and Ezra, and for the dedicated assistance of Jolanda at the UNESCO-IHE fellowship office.

The PhD was made possible with the assistance of many players, individuals and organizations. I am ever grateful to the Flemish Inter-University council (VLIR, BE) and the National fellowship program (NUFFIC, NL) for granting me PhD fellowships. I am indebted to the administration of Kenyatta University for allowing for study leave, and the assistance from the Kenya Meteorological Dept, WRMA, DAO Bomet and Narok and line ministry officials. A big thank you to present and former members of staff in the Water & Engineering dept, Kenyatta University; Dr S. Maingi, Dr C. Obiero, Dr W. Muthumbi, C. Githuku, P. Kimani, M. Wonge and F. Njagi.

Special appreciations to my family, my spouse and our children for bearing the burden and pain of my absence during my field work and the long hours spent on the PhD study.

Finally, in carrying out this study, materials, words and thoughts were obtained from different sources. It is not possible to detail in any precise way what each has done, but I sincerely hope that those concerned will accept this general acknowledgement in good faith. I am forever and sincerely grateful to you all and for everything.

Fidelis Ndambuki Kilonzo

Delft, January 2014

Abstract

Growing population and unregulated access to forest land have exerted high pressure on the land and water resources of the Upper Mara basin, leading to changes in land and water use patterns in the basin. This study considers the interactions among climate change and variability, land surface, hydrology, and human systems, including societal adaptations to changing environmental conditions.

The Upper Mara River catchment forms the recharge area for the Mara River basin, a key transboundary river, and one of the permanent rivers feeding into Lake Victoria. The area is drained by two main rivers: the Amala and the Nyangores which merge at the middle reaches (1°2'15.2"S, 35°14'31.7"E) to form the Mara River. The study aims to assess how changes in climate, land use and management practices have impacted on the water resources of the Upper Mara basin.

The objectives of the study are: to assess the trends in changes in the climatic, land cover/land use, water quality and vegetation variables; to build and evaluate a hydrological model capable of simulating the response of watershed processes to changing climatic, land use, and management conditions under past, present conditions; and predict potential impacts of the changes in climate, land use and management practices, and contribute in advising policy in the formulation and development of strategies aimed at the sustainable management of water resources in the Mara River Basin.

Historical data including data for rainfall, temperature and streamflow; field collected data; and satellite remote sensing data is used in the study. The Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) is used to evaluate the impacts of the changes in climatic, landcover and management inputs. Changes are made to both the model crop database and management files to make it adaptable to tropical conditions. The model is calibrated using streamflow data, and validated using both streamflow and distributed data. The performance of the model is statistically assessed to be "good" and "satisfactory" for the percent bias and Nash Sutcliffe respectively. The water balance fractions are within typical hydrological ranges and significantly similar to the observed fractions. Distributed validation using remote sensed leaf area index (RS_LAI),

shows that the timing of the start of the growing season match well with SWAT simulated LAI.

Trend analysis is performed using the Mann-Kendall and Sen Statistics for rainfall and temperature variables. Rainfall data (1962-2009) from six stations located within the basin while temperature data (1992-2009) from three meteorological stations surrounding the study area is used. There is a general decreasing trend of upto 18mm/yr in the annual rainfall. 50% of the stations analyzed experienced significant decline (at $\alpha = 0.1$) in annual Rainfall in the last 50 yrs. While the minimum temperatures have significantly increased by between 0.02 and 0.04 $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{yr}$; there has been an upward but insignificant change in the maximum temperatures. Changes in the vegetation indices are analyzed using the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) data (1998-2010) from the Satellite Pour l'Observation de la Terre- VEGETATION (SPOT_VGT) sensor. All indices including, the integral NDVI, the vegetation condition index (VCI), the standardized vegetation index (SVI) and the vegetation productivity index (VPI) have a better than average vegetation health for different vegetation types showing an increasing trend in vegetation biomass.

Land cover change analysis using post classification methods, show that between 1976 and 2006, agriculture coverage increased by 109%, while forest, shrubs, and grassland decreased by 31%, 34%, and 4% respectively. Land change evolution using the three date (1986,1995,2006) NDVI-RGB method show that the highest loss in vegetation biomass was experienced in the 1990s. The biggest loser was the encroachment and degradation of the Mau forest areas.

Future management scenarios simulating the application of fertilizer at a typical rate of 100kg/Ha lead to significant increase in crop yields for all but two of the soil classes. The two soil classes have no marked improvement in yields even with high fertilizer application. The re-afforestation scenario to pre-1976 forest coverage results in reduction of streamflow and increase in evapotranspiration. In the irrigation scenario crop yields increase two to three fold even without fertilizer application. The replacement of the lowland cereal crops with grain sorghum led to higher water yields in the stream. Sorghum yield were comparable to the maize yields and the water stress days were halved in the sorghum scenario.

Sensitivity analysis of the climatic variables with SWAT indicate that on one hand, higher watershed evapotranspiration (ET) will result from higher rainfall and temperatures, with higher CO₂ leading to decline in ET. On the other hand higher rainfall and higher CO₂ will also lead to high water yields, but higher temperature will lead to a drop in the water yield.

The Long Ashton Research Station Weather Generator (LARS-WG) is used in the weather perturbations, and the construction of the GCM climate change scenarios. The projection of climate change with ensemble mean for 16 GCMs in the 2020s and 2050s show increase precipitation amounts compared to 1990s baseline. The 2050s will have higher projected rainfall than 2020s, while higher rainfall is projected under B1 than A1B IPCC climate scenarios. As a consequence, higher streamflow is expected for all future time periods. The return level at the outlet of the basin for a presumed 30, 50 and 100 yr flood was determined to increase by 11% in the 2020s to 19% in the 2050s. Bias corrected GCM outputs however indicate marginal increases in the different return levels (3% for 100yr flood) but more severe extreme low flows.

Whereas the historical trends depict a tendency towards a drier watershed, the uncorrected climate models predict a wetter future. This inconsistencies present a challenge in planning for future management of water resources at the Mara basin. Bias corrected climate projections indicate a trend which was more consistent with historical records. As an adaptation measure, the conservation of the flood water even at current flow levels can go a long way in alleviating water stress in the dry months. In the short term the adoption of better farm management practices including appropriate use of fertilizers and introduction of drought tolerant grain crops like sorghum should be encouraged.

List of abbreviations and acronyms

AAFC-WG	Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada weather generator
AGNPS	Agricultural Non-point Source
AnnAGNPS	Annualized Agricultural Non-point Source
ANSWERS	Area Non-point Source Watershed Environment Response Simulation
AR4	Fourth Assessment Report
ASAL	Arid and semi-arid lands
ASARECA	Association for Strengthening Agricultural Research in Eastern and Central Africa
AVHRR	Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer
BSAP	Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan
CA	Cluster and average method
CAN	Calcium ammonium nitrate
CFR	Central Forest Reserves
CIMMYT	Centro Internacional de Mejoramiento de Maíz y Trigo (International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center)
CYCLOPES	Change in Land Observational Products from an Ensemble of Satellites
DAO	District Agricultural Officer
DAP	Di-ammonium phosphate
EAC	East African Community
EFA	Environment Flow Assessment
ENSO	El Niño/Southern Oscillations
EPIC	Erosion Productivity Impact Calculator
ETM+	Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organisation
FD	Forest Department
GCM	General Circulation Models
GLUE	Generalized Likelihood Uncertainty Estimation method
GOK	Government of Kenya
GSSHA	Gridded Surface Hydrologic Analysis
HEC-HMS	Hydrologic Engineering Center's Hydrologic Modeling System
HRU	Hydrologic response unit
HSPF	Hydrological Simulation Program – FORTRAN
HydroSHEDS	Hydrological data and maps based on Shuttle Elevation Derivatives at multiple Scales
HYMO	Hydrologic model
ICPAC	IGAD Climate Prediction and Applications Centre
IFA	International Fertilizer Industry Association

IFDC	International Fertilizer Development Center
IGAD	Intergovernmental Authority on Development
iNDVI	Integrated NDVI
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
ISODATA	Iterative Self-Organizing Data Analysis Technique
ITCZ	InterTropical Convergence Zone
IWRM	Integrated Water Resources Management
KENSOTER	Kenya Soil and Terrain
KINEROS2	KINematic Runoff and EROSION
KNBS	Kenya National Bureau of Statistics
LAI	Leaf area index
LARS-WG	Long Ashton Research Station-weather generator
LCCS	Land Cover Classification System
LH-OAT	Latin Hypercube – One At a Time
LVBC	Lake Victoria Basin Commission
LVBWO	Lake Victoria Basin Water Office
MAM	March-April-May
MCMC	Markov chain Monte Carlo
MLN	Maize leaf necrosis disease
MMD	Multi-model dataset
MODIS	Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer
MoU	Memorandum of understanding
MRCC	Maritime Rescue Coordination Centre
MSS	Multi spectral scanner
(M)USLE	(Modified) Universal Soil Loss Equation
NDVI	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index
NRC	Non-Resident Cultivation
NSE	Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency
OLS	Ordinary Least Squares
OND	October-November-December
ParaSol	Parameter Solution
PBIAS	percent bias
PCMDI	Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison
PES	Payment for Ecosystem Service
PET	Potential evapotranspiration
PRMS	Precipitation-Runoff Modeling System
PSO	Particle Swarm Optimization

RREL	Relative range of the NDVI
RSR	Root Mean Square Error-observations standard deviation ratio
SEA	Strategic Environment Assessment
SHE	Système Hydrologique Européen
SPOT-VGT	Satellite Pour l'Observation de la Terre-VEGETATION
SRTM	Shuttle Radar Topography Mission
STHP	Sub Tropical High Pressure systems
SUF12	Sequential Uncertainty Fitting-version 2
SVI	Standard Vegetation Index
SWAT	Soil and Water Assessment Tool
SWAT-CUP	SWAT - Calibration and Uncertainty Programs
TM	Thematic Mapper
TN	Total nitrogen
TP	Total phosphorus
TWRUF	Trans-boundary Water Resources Users Forum
UNEP	United Nations Environment programme
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
VCi	Vegetation Condition Index
VGTAfrica	Vegetation for Africa project
VITO	Vlaamse Instelling Voor Technologisch Onderzoek (Flemish Institute for Technological Research)
VPI	Vegetation productivity indicator
WEPP	Water Erosion Prediction Project
WGN	Weather generator
WHO	World Health Organization
WMO	World meteorological Organization
WRMA	Water Resources Management Authority
WRUA	Water Resources Users Association
WUA	Water Users Association
WWF-ESARPO	World Wildlife Fund-East and Southern Africa Programme Office
WXGEN	Weather generator

Table of Contents

Acknowledgement

Abstract

Table of Contents

List of figures

List of tables

List of abbreviations and acronyms

1.	General introduction	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Justification and significance of the research	3
1.3	Objectives and research questions	3
1.4	Overview of the structure of the thesis.....	4
2.	Climate and land use change studies.....	6
2.1	Climate change	6
2.2	Land use and Land cover changes	8
2.3.	Conclusion	12
3.	SWAT hydrologic modeling in the larger Lake Victoria region	13
3.1	Introduction	13
3.2	Hydrologic modelling	13
3.3	Modeling of land use and land cover changes.....	14
3.4	Modeling of climate change impacts	14
3.5	Cross-cutting applications	16
3.6	Conclusion.....	17
4.	The study area.....	18
4.1	Description of the study area	18
4.1.1	Physiography of the region	18
4.1.2	Environmental status (water quality)	19
4.1.3	Demography of the region	22
4.1.4	Socio-economics of the region.....	23
4. 2	Data used for the research	26
4.2.1	Hydrometeorologic data	26
4.2.2	Global databases.....	32
4.3	Conclusion	37
5.	Remote sensing data analysis	38

5.1 Introduction	38
5.2 The development of classified landcover maps based on Landsat images	40
5.2.1 Preprocessing	40
5.2.2 Unsupervised classification	42
5.2.3 Supervised classification	42
5.2.4 Post classification	43
5.3 The development of classified landuse map based on SPOT-VGT NDVI	44
5.4 Accuracy of classification	51
5.5 Creation of Leaf Area Index (LAI) maps	52
5.6. Conclusion	54
6. Soil, Crop yield and Water Quality analysis	55
6.1 Introduction	55
6.2 Soil fertility analysis	55
6.3 Crop yield studies	56
6.3.1 Study design for crop yields survey	56
6.3.2 Production systems	60
6.3.3 Maize production	60
6.3.4 Fertilizer use	63
6.4 Water quality investigations	63
6.4.1 The study design for water quality	63
6.4.2 Hydro-geochemical classification	67
6.4.3 Spatial variation of the nutrients (TN and TP)	69
6.4.4 Temporal variation of the water quality	73
6.5 Conclusion	74
7. Trend Analysis for Assessment of Climate Variability	75
7.1 Introduction	75
7.2 Trend analysis using climatic variables	76
7.2.1 Rainfall trend analysis	80
7.2.2 Autocorrelation test	84
7.2.3 Temperature trend analysis	85
7.2.4 Spatial distribution of the climate change	88
7.3 Trend analysis using vegetation indices	90
7.3.1 NDVI and Rainfall	91
7.3.2. Seasonal variation in NDVI (1999-2010)	93
7.3.3 Weather and ecological components of NDVI	96

7.3.4 Vegetation condition index (VCI).....	99
7.3.5 Standard Vegetation Index.....	100
7.3.6 Vegetation productivity indicator (VPI).....	103
7.4 Relating trends in vegetation and climatic variables	105
7.5. Analysis of the land use change.....	106
7.5.1 Postclassification method of change detection	107
7.5.2 Change detection by image differencing	112
7.6 Conclusion	116
8. Hydrological modeling.....	118
8.1 Introduction to hydrological modeling.....	118
8.2 The Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT).....	120
8.3. Limitations of SWAT modeling in the tropics	124
8.3.1 The crop growth module.....	124
8.3.2 The groundwater module.....	128
8.4 The adaptation of SWAT to tropical conditions	130
8.4.1 Adaptations of SWAT to conditions in Africa	130
8.5 Conclusion	132
9. Hydrological modelling of the Mara basin using SWAT	133
9.1 Introduction	133
9.2 Data preparation.....	134
9.2.1 Topographic data.....	134
9.2.2 The soil map.....	134
9.2.3 The land use map.....	135
9.2.4 The hydroclimatic data	135
9.3 The model build-up	140
9.3.1 DEM processing and sub-basin delineation.....	140
9.3.2 Watershed decomposition.....	141
9.4 The model sensitivity analysis.....	142
9.5 The model calibration.....	143
9.6 SWAT model performance and improvements	148
9.6.1 The improvement of the objective function.....	149
9.6.2 The improvement of the water balance	153
9.7 The SWAT model validation.....	166
9.7.1 Single point validation.....	166
9.7.2 Distributed validation	167

9.8 Conclusion.....	174
10. Modeling climatic change impacts on the hydrology of the Upper Mara basin.....	175
10.1 Introduction.....	175
10.2 The climate change sensitivity analysis	177
10.3 The weather generator	183
10.4 The climate change scenarios	186
10.5 The projected rainfall	188
10.6 The projected temperatures	189
10.7 The impacts of climate change on the hydrology	191
10.8 Bias correction of GCM simulations.....	195
10.9 The impacts of climate change on the water resources	196
10.9 Possible adaptation strategies	200
10.10 Conclusion	203
11. Modelling land use and management change impacts on the hydrology of the basin	204
11.1 The land cover change scenarios	204
11.2 The management scenarios.....	207
11.2.1 The fertilizer application scenario	208
11.2.2 The auto-irrigation.....	211
11.2.3 The change of cultivated crop type	213
11.3 Conclusion	218
12. Conclusions	221
12.1. Key findings in view of the research objectives	221
12.1.1 Research objective 1	221
12.1.2 Research objective 2.....	223
12.1.3. Research objective 3.....	224
12.2 Contributions of this study	226
12.3 Challenges	227
12.4 Limitations of SWAT modeling for the Upper Mara basin	228
12.5 Recommendations.....	231
13. References	233
14. Annexes.....	258
15. List of publications.....	280
Peer reviewed publications.....	280
Conference proceedings	280

List of figures

Figure 4-1: Study area as part of the larger transboundary Mara River basin..... 20

Figure 4-2: Soil map of the study area with the revised FAO 1988 naming code
(adapted from Batjes and Gicheru, 2004) 21

Figure 4-3: Landcover classifications in the study area (Di Gregorio and Jansen, 2000)
and suitability zonation for different crops (Jaetzold et al., 2006). 25

Figure 4-4: Typical annual rainfall patterns for humid (Baraget), sub-humid (Koiwa) and
semi-arid (Kabason) climatic zones in the region 28

Figure 4-5: Location of rainfall and river gauging stations in the river basin..... 31

Figure 4-6: Single mass curves for discharge at different flow gauging stations along
the Mara River..... 31

Figure 5-1: Coverage range of mosaiced tiles of the TM and ETM+ Landsat sensor 41

Figure 5-2: The land cover maps based on Landsat images (MSS for 1976, TM for 1986
& 1995 and ETM+ for 2006) 45

Figure 5-3: Phenological profiles from the ISODATA clusters featuring profiles with no
distinct cycles (A), with clearly defined cycles (B) and mixed cycles (C) 47

Figure 5-4: Average annual profiles of combined clustered land use classes and their
spatial location 48

Figure 5-5: Identification of the same crop under different climate zones with
phenological profiles (left), and 2-date Landsat image differencing map (right)..... 50

Figure 5-6: NDVI derived crop level land use map 50

Figure 5-7: The effect of filter algorithms on the characteristic of a time series profile53

Figure 5-8: The extraction protocol for remotely sensed LAI data A: location , B:
overlay of the land use map with classes, 1= tree plantation, 2= upland maize, 3=
herbacious crop, 4 = rainfed herbacious crop, 5= rainfed tea crop, 6 = Forest, 7 =
rainfed shrub crop, 8 = small cereal fields, 9 = small cereal fields with tea, 10 =
rainfed wheat fields, 11 = lowland maize, 12 = low open shrubs, 13= trees and shrub
savanna, and C: extraction of the different land use classes using TIMESAT tool..... 54

Figure 6-1: Locations of sampled administrative units in the study area 57

Figure 6-2: Crop diversification in the upper Mara catchment..... 62

Figure 6-3: Spatial distribution of crop yields for the main crops grown in the study
area 62

Figure 6-4: Comparison of average maize production in the study with national and
global averages 62

Figure 6-5: Locations of the water quality sampling sites for the different tributaries . 67

Figure 6-6: Geochemical classification of the river water according to Gibb's (left) and
Piper (right) diagrams 69

Figure 6-7: Spatial distribution of Total Nitrogen (above) and Total Phosphorus (below)
loading on rivers in the Upper Mara basin 72

Figure 6-8: Spatial (downstream from right) and temporal (box-height) variation in
total phosphorus (TP) and total nitrogen (TN) for three key sites on the Nyangores
(left) and Amala (right) tributaries 73

Figure 7-1: Location of the gauging stations and the range in the datasets used in the
trend analysis..... 77

Figure 7-2: The Sen slope (line) for the observed rainfall data in stations within the
Upper Mara basin..... 83

Figure 7-3: Graphical method for positive autocorrelation test of annual rainfall..... 84

Figure 7-4: Decision chart for the Durbin Watson statistic analysis of autocorrelation 84

Figure 7-5: Mann – Kendall trend analysis for mean annual minimum and maximum
temperatures..... 86

Figure 7-6: The increment in minimum temperature (line) for the stations located
around the Upper Mara basin..... 87