From Data Protection to Knowledge Machines

The Study of Law and Informatics

Prof. P. Seipel (ed.)

Prof. J. Bing
P. Blume
Prof. B. Brodda
H. Burkert
S.E. Colman
Prof. D.H. Flaherty
Prof.em. J. Hellner
C. Magnusson
Prof. K. Selmer
P. Wahlgren





FROM DATA PROTECTION TO KNOWLEDGE MACHINES

THE STUDY OF LAW AND INFORMATICS

Prof. P. Seipel (ed.)
Prof. J. Bing, P. Blume, Prof. B. Brodda, H. Burkert,
S.E. Colman, Prof. D.H. Flaherty, Prof.em. J. Hellner,
C. Magnusson, Prof. K. Selmer, P. Wahlgren

Computer/Law Series

5

Kluwer Law and Taxation Publishers

Tel.:

31-5700-47261

P.O. Box 23 7400 GA Deventer

Telex:

49295

The Netherlands

Fax:

31-5700-22244

Cover design: Bert Arts

ISBN 90 6544 501 3

© 1990, Kluwer Law and Taxation Publishers, Deventer, The Netherlands

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

FROM DATA PROTECTION TO KNOWLEDGE MACHINES

5611] DC9) 51 F931

Computer/Law Series

- 1. Telebanking, Teleshopping and the Law
 - Y. Poullet & G. P. V. Vandenberghe (eds.) H. W. K. Kaspersen, A. P. Meijboom, A. Oskamp, M. Schauss, C. Stuurman
- 2. Freedom of Data Flows and EEC Law

Poul Hansen, José Carlos Moitinho de Almeida, Pierre Catala, James Michael, André Prüm, Martine Briat, Michael Vivant, P. V. U. van Grevenstein, Femke Groustra, Joachim Scherer, William Casey, Patrick L. Kelly, David Vaughan

3. Advanced Topics of Law and Information Technology

Prof. G. P. V. Vandenberghe (ed.) K. D. Ashley, P. H. van den Berg, Prof. J. Bing, H. W. K. Kaspersen, Prof. A. A. Martino, A. P. Meijboom, A. Oskamp, Prof. Y. Poullet, Prof. E. L. Rissland, Prof. J. H. Spoor, C. Stuurman, Prof. M. Vivant, R. F. Walker, P. G. M. Zeinstra

- Knowledge Based Systems in Law. In Search of Methodologies and Tools
 - A. W. Koers, D. Kracht, M. Smith, J. M. Smits, M. C. M. Weusten
- 5. From Data Protection to Knowledge Machines. The Study of Law and Informatics

Prof. P. Seipel (ed.), Prof. J. Bing, P. Blume, Prof. B. Brodda, H. Burkert, S. E. Colman, Prof. D. H. Flaherty, Prof. em. J. Hellner, C. Magnusson, Prof. K. Selmer, P. Wahlgren

International Board of Editors

Editor-in-chief: Prof. Dr. G. P. V. Vandenberghe †

Computer/Law Institute

Vrije Universiteit, P.O. Box 7161, NL-1007 MC Amsterdam

The Netherlands

Editors:

Australia Graham Greenleaf, Lecturer in Law, Faculty of Law, Univer-

sity of New South Wales.

Belgium Prof. Dr. Yves Poullet, Director of the Research Center for

Computer and Law, Faculty of Namur.

Canada Ejan Mackaay, Professor of Law, Université de Montréal.

France Michel Vivant, Professeur à la Faculté de Droit et des Sciences

Economiques de Montpellier.

F.R. Germany Prof. Dr. Iur. Wolfgang Kilian, Institut für Rechtsinformatik,

Universität Hannover.

Ireland Dr. Robert Clark, Statutory Lecturer in Law, University

College Dublin,

Italy Antonio Anselmo Martino, Professor University of Pisa, Di-

rector Istituto per la Documentazione Giuridica, Florence.

Norway Jon Bing, dr. juris, Professor, Norwegian Research Center for

Computers and Law, University of Oslo.

Sweden Peter Seipel, dr. juris, Professor of Law and Informatics at the

University of Stockholm, Director of the Swedish Law & Informatics Research Institute, Faculty of Law, University of

Stockholm.

UK Colin M. Campbell, Professor of Jurisprudence at The

Queen's University of Belfast, member of Council of Society for Computers and Law, Director of Technology and Law

Ltd.:

Stephen Saxby BA (Nons.), Solicitor, Lecturer in Law University of Southampton, Editor of the Computer Law and Security Report.

USA

Robert P. Bigelow, Counsel to Warner & Stackpole, Boston, Ma.;
Daniel T. Brooks, Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft, Washington, D.C., Partner in charge of computer law practice;
Susan H. Nycum, Managing Partner Kadison, Pfaelzer, Woodard, Quinn & Rossi, Palo Alto, Cal.;
John T. Soma, Professor of Law, University of Denver, College of Law;
James A. Sprayd, Either, Even, Tabin & Flannery, Sepior

James, A. Sprowl, Fitch, Even, Tabin & Flannery; Senior Researcher at the American Bar Association for computer law projects, Chicago, Ill.

Table of Contents

Introduction – P. Seipel	1
After twenty years: where do we stand? Privacy protection and access to information Lex computationis Computatio legis Data inspectorates and legal knowledge representation	1 2 4 6 8
Data Protection Policy – K. Selmer	11
 Introduction The basis of the rules of protection: Individual rights 	11
or regulation by authority 3. The competence of the data protection authority 4. The limits of authorisation 5. Future trends in data protection	13 16 20 24
Data Protection and National Information Policy – D.H. Flaherty	29
 Introduction West Germany Sweden France Canada United States Conclusion 	29 30 32 35 37 38 40
Data Protection and Access to Data – H. Burkert	49
 Introduction A first look A second look The concept of traditional access legislation The concept of "modern" access legislation The "fictional" function of access to electronic files 	49 50 54 54 59 60

	Access vs. data protection: fiction vs. documentary Some consequences	61 62
Liabi	lity in the Computer Context – J. Hellner	71
1.	Current law	71
	The role of the liability rules	73
	Correct information	76
	Incorrect information	78
5.	Concluding remarks	80
Pract	tising Computer Law – S.E. Colman	83
1	Introduction	83
	Clients – Who are they and where are they?	84
	Legal issues in a computer law practise	86
	Other issues facing computer lawyers	96
	Conclusion	97
Раре	er Laws in Transition – P. Seipel	99
1.	A general statement of the issues	99
	New media: paper as interface and instant paper Basic changes and their legal consequences	99 100
2.	Open government and computer systems	102
	Adaptation of access laws to electronic media	102
	Problems of definition concerning paper media	103
	The complications caused by computers	105
	Different degrees of openness and adaptation	107
	Some conclusions: emphasis on procedures	109
	Procedural availability criteria	110
3.	EDI, EFT: The changing world of business and banking	111
	Piles of paper	111
	Computers marching in	112
	Characteristics of paper documents	115
	Usage	115
	Information representation	116
	The legal functions of paper documents	117
	Legal functionality of electronic data	119
	Usage	119
	Information representation	121

	The goal of functional equivalence Some conclusions regarding functional equivalence	122 127
4.	Legal system management	128
	Law and information science brought together Paper-less systems Conclusion	128 130 132
New	Roles in the Shaping of the Law – C. Magnusson	135
2.	Introduction Legal automation as a starting-point Computer related legislative activities	135 137 139
	3.1 General remarks3.2 Transformation3.3 The distribution of competence3.4 Supplementation	139 142 145 147
	The computer orientated administrative process The integration of law into system development	149 150
	5.1 General remarks5.2 IT-strategy5.3 Decision support systems for public administration	150 151 152
6.	Some perspectives on a new legal infrastructure	154
	6.1 General remarks6.2 Documentation6.3 The merging of the public and the private sector6.4 Data processing	154 155 157 159
7.	Concluding remarks	161
	Changing Shape of Legal Sources and munication – <i>P. Blume</i>	167
2. 3. 4. 5.	Introductory remarks Legal sources Source and information Developments in human communication Theoretical conclusions The future	167 169 177 183 188 190

The C	Concept of Legal Expertise – P. Wahlgren	191
1.	Introduction	191
	1.1 Background1.2 Definitions and limitations1.3 Objectives and methods	191 193 195
2.	A model of legal reasoning	197
	2.1 Overview 2.2 Identification 2.3 Norm-search 2.4 Interpretation 2.5 Norm-application 2.6 Evaluation 2.7 Formulation	197 200 203 205 210 214 216
3.	Summary	218
	3.1 Legal norms3.2 Legal reasoning3.3 The concept of legal expertise	218 218 219
Lega	l Decisions and Computerized Systems – J. Bing	223
2.	Introduction The search request: defined by the problem Expert judgements: Modelling uncertainty in legal decisions	223 227 233
	3.1 Interpreting one word3.2 Expert judgements3.3 Models related to expert judgement in legal expert systems3.4 Legal decisions and representation	233 236 243 249
	mie More O'That. A Potential Function in ument Retrieval Systems? – B. Brodda	251
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Introduction Document retrieval systems The problem Boolean search Linguistic methods in Boolean search systems Convex sets: A mathematical detour	251 251 253 254 255 258 261

	The "convolution" operation Gimmie more o'that	263 269
	Swedish Law & Informatics Research tute. An Overview	271
		-/ 1
1.	The field of law and informatics	271
2.	From ADBJ to IRI	273
	Tasks and policies of the IRI	273
	Organization	276
	Brief information about some current	
	research projects	280
	Computerized legal text retrieval	280
	Freedom of information issues	281
	Automation of public administration	281
	Artificial intelligence and law	282
	Other issues of data law	282
6.	Contacts with the Institute	282

Introduction

Peter Seipel

AFTER TWENTY YEARS: WHERE DO WE STAND?

In 1988 the Swedish Law and Informatics Research Institute of the University of Stockholm (IRI) celebrated its 20th anniversary. To commemorate the event a Nordic symposium was held at the Hässelby castle in November 1988. The symposium was devoted to the whole field of law and informatics and attempted to survey the overall state of the young discipline devoted to the legal aspects of information and information technology. The proceedings of the symposium were published in the Scandinavian languages only. Since the issues are most likely of interest to a broader international community it was, however, decided to invite a number of experts to write in English about some of the main topics which were treated at the symposium. The result is the present collection of articles.

The articles have all been written by persons with whom the IRI has had long scholarly contacts and some of us are present-

ly attached to the IRI as researchers and teachers.

The topics treated in this book have been selected with care. The purpose is to present both the richness of the field of study and the "embarras de richesse", the embarrassment with regard to methods and paradigms which this richness may lead to. In brief; does a data inspectorate have to concern itself with methods of representing legal knowledge in computers? The

Det goda kunskapssamhället. Peter Wahlgren, editor. IRI-rapport

1988:5. Stockholm: Institutet för rättsinformatik 1988

In the autumn of 1968 the Faculty of Law of the University of Stockholm formally decided to create a small body devoted to the study of "computers and law". From this rather humble beginning the activity has grown into one of the major specialized research institutes of the law faculty. A description of the current work and organization of the Institute is appended. See pp. 271-282.

² I am aware of the lack of an internationally accepted name for the field (and often troubled by it). "Informatics" is not a very good English term but its equivalents in many other European languages ("informatique", "Informatik", "informatica", "informatikk" etc) appear to be quite accepted. The uncertainty about the name of the field reflects the remaining uncertainty about its scope and structure. To some extent, it is this uncertainty which the Introduction addresses.

P. SEIPEL

following, personal reflections will provide some limited answers to basic questions of this kind. They will also explain the logic of the sequence of the articles and distinguish some common themes which contribute to keeping the articles together. A brief sketch also presents each individual author.

PRIVACY PROTECTION AND ACCESS TO INFORMATION

If you ask a lawyer in general what he or she thinks that "law and informatics" may be about, one likely answer (if there is one) will be "the issue of how to protect the individual's privacy in a computerized society". The answer is both well-chosen and correct but, of course, far from complete. It is also natural that the first article in this collection deals with data protection. Its author is professor Knut Selmer of the Oslo University. Knut Selmer was one of the founders of the Norwegian Research Center for Computers and Law in 1970 - an institution which under the leadership of himself and professor Jon Bing (see below) has gained wide-spread international recognition. Its successes are due not least to Knut Selmer's both visionary and practical mind. His contribution to this book, which is partly based on his own personal experience as a member of the Norwegian Data Inspectorate, deals with a number of problems that are met by data inspection authorities both in his own country and generally. He examines these problems in the light of e.g. law-making strategies and the position of data inspection authorities within the administration. Among other things, the discussion focuses attention on the interests which ought to guide the construction of vague concepts in privacy protection laws. These interests are probably more controversial than a first glance would reveal; in sum, are they to be interpreted narrowly or broadly? Selmer's discussion points in the latter direction when he brings up subjects such as vulnerability, data quality and information system transparency.

Precisely these issues are also taken up by professor *David H. Flaherty* of the University of Western Ontario, London, Canada. David Flaherty is professor of history and law and has published a number of comprehensive studies of the protection of personal data. He has prepared official reports for such organs as the Federal Privacy Commissioner in Canada. In particular, professor Flaherty emphasizes comparative aspects and places the issues into the broader framework of

national policies and strategies for the development and management of information resources.⁴ In the present article he penetrates the question of the scope of "data protection". He seeks an answer by reviewing relationships between protection of personal data and information access laws in general. His advice is that, for a number of reasons, data inspection authorities should stick to their primary task of protecting the individual's privacy. At the same time the article strongly advocates the need to develop broader and coherent national information policies.

The third contribution also addresses issues of privacy protection and access to data. Its author is Herbert Burkert who is attached to the West-German National Research Center for Computer Science in Cologne. One of his fields of expertise within law and informatics concerns data security and confidentiality. Among other things he has been the main responsible author of a report on freedom of information and data protection sponsored by the Commmission for the European Communifies.⁵ In the present article Herbert Burkert clarifies the differences between legislation on data protection and legislation on access to government information. The perspective is different from the one found in David Flaherty's contribution: where Flaherty discusses national policies and related subjects, Burkert deals with the concept of "information laws" from a methodological point of view. More precisely, Burkert turns his attention to the characteristics of contemporary information systems which are based on computer technology. He examines certain consequences of the technological development with regard to the granting of requests for access and the traditional objectives of information access laws. He concludes that the emergence of "electronic documents" gives rise to new legal trends where "dynamic fictional" possibilities of information handling play an increasingly important role. That the consequences may be far-reaching is indicated by the concluding part of the article which deals with, among other

⁴ See David H. Flaherty, Protecting Privacy in Surveillance Societies: The Federal Republic of Germany, Sweden, France, Canada, and the United States. Chapel Hill: North Carolina Press 1989.

⁵ Freedom of Information and Data Protection. A Joint Research Programme between ADI, GMD and NCC sponsored by the Commission for the European Communities and National Governments. Bonn: GMD 1983.

things, possible commercial uses of existing large databanks in the public sector.

LEX COMPUTATIONIS

Although all three articles presented so far deal primarily with data protection and information access laws they all in one way or another comment upon the broader field of "information law" or "data law" in general. For example, Selmer discusses the normative task of achieving a "citizen-friendly administration", Flaherty emphasizes the need to co-ordinate different categories of information-related legal regulation, and Burkert comments on the notion of "general public information law" with a suggested primary concern for information management issues.

This broader perspective is further developed in the four articles which follow. Professor emeritus Jan Hellner treats the notion of tort liability in the computer context. Internationally, Jan Hellner is perhaps best known as an eminent expert in private law. However, his occupation with law and informatics dates back a long time: together with Peter Seipel he started the activities of the Swedish Law and Informatics Research Institute in 1968 and - although many competing demands have always been made on his time - he has since then repeatedly chosen to devote himself to work on different problems in the field: analyses of legal texts with computers, issues of legal steering, data protection etc. The topic of his present article brings together issues of privacy protection and liability issues. Valid Swedish law poses the problem of why activities which are conducted with the technical aid of computers should be treated in a special way with regard to tort liability. In this respect Hellner is critical: his analysis illustrates risks of incoherent and piecemeal legal regulation. At the same time he indicates other law-making strategies that may be more fruitful, viz. control of certain permanent features of information systems and systematic treatment of the problem of incorrect information in general regardless of the means of information processing. In brief, Hellner's contribution raises the important issue whether and to what extent "information law" should be regarded as "computer law" in a narrow sense.

From the point of view of the practicing lawyer this issue may seem to have a clear answer. Susan Colman elaborates on

it in her article titled "Practising Computer Law". Susan Colman belongs to the circle of computer law experts that may now be found in many countries. She once worked as a computer specialist in Stockholm when coincidence brought her in contact with the IRI. The incident gave her career a new turn which after some years led to law practice in Washington, D.C. specializing in computer law. But her Scandinavian contacts have always remained strong and her visits pleasantly frequent. In this article Colman choses to discuss the clients' needs for legal expertise in computer law. One of the conclusions supported by her account is that specialist knowledge in this field takes time to acquire: it presupposes more than theoretical insights based on handbooks and checklists - in addition, insights into technology, programming and system design methods, the market for computers and data processing services etc. are more or less necessary attributes. Thus, even a discussion of the law of computation (lex computationis) in its practical setting reveals the interdisciplinary nature of the field.

The last-mentioned characteristic is further developed in the following two articles which also connect on to, above all, Burkert's contribution. Peter Seipel, who is professor of law and informatics at the University of Stockholm and also the director of the IRI, treats in a general way the disappearance of traditional paper documents. Two particularly important areas are singled out for more detailed treatment, viz. general laws on access to information held by public authorities and the regulation of various processes in commerce, administration, and transport where electronic messages are rapidly beginning to replace traditional paper-bound communication. The inquiry is carried further to a general discussion of the notion of "legal system management", which Seipel views as one of the key subfields of law and informatics. Briefly, legal system management has to do with the design, development, standardization, maintenance, and control of information processing systems so as to ensure that they are compatible with general legal requirements and can also fulfil specific, area-dependent legal functions. According to the view advocated in the article, the concept of legal system management constitutes an important part - perhaps the most important part - of the intersection between the two classical domains of law and informatics, viz. information law (lex computationis) and the application of information technology to the law (computatio legis).