

MOTHERS IN THE FATHERLAND

Women, the Family and Nazi Politics

Claudia Koonz

ROUTLEDGE LIBRARY EDITIONS:
WOMEN'S HISTORY



CLAUDIA KOONZ

**MOTHERS
IN THE
FATHERLAND**

**Women, the Family
and Nazi Politics**

A Methuen Paperback

First published in Great Britain 1987 by Jonathan Cape Ltd
This paperback edition published 1988 by Methuen London Ltd
11 New Fetter Lane, London EC4P 4EE
Copyright © Claudia Koonz 1986

Printed in Great Britain
by Cox & Wyman Ltd, Reading

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Koonz, Claudia
Mothers in the fatherland:
women, the family and Nazi politics.
I. Germany. Society. Role of Women, 1919-1945
I. Title
305.4'2'0943

ISBN 0-413-18190-1

Grateful acknowledgment is made for permission
to reprint from the following:

Sylvia Plath, *The Collected Poems*, ed. by Ted Hughes,
published by Faber & Faber Ltd. Copyright © 1965 and 1981 by Ted Hughes.
Reprinted by permission of Olwyn Hughes.

Harold Poor, *Kurt Tucholsky and the Ordeal of Germany: 1914-1935*.
Copyright © 1968 by Harold Poor.
Published by Scribner and Sons, Co. 1968.
Permission to reprint granted by the author.

A. J. Ryder, *Twentieth Century Germany*. Reprinted by permission
of Macmillan, London and Basingstoke.

This paperback is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way
of trade or otherwise, be lent, resold, hired out or otherwise circulated
without the publisher's prior consent in any form of binding or cover other
than that in which it is published and without a similar condition
including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

ROUTLEDGE LIBRARY EDITIONS:
WOMEN'S HISTORY

MOTHERS IN THE FATHERLAND

MOTHERS IN THE FATHERLAND

Women, the Family and Nazi Politics

CLAUDIA KOONZ

Volume 24

 **Routledge**
Taylor & Francis Group
LONDON AND NEW YORK

What is past is not dead; it is not even past. We cut ourselves off from it;
we preferred to be strangers.

—Christa Wolf, *Model Childhood*

For my parents,
Oliver W. and Edna Kingston Koonz

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

From the very beginning of my study of women in Nazi Germany, I knew that I would depend upon the close collaboration of archivists, colleagues, and friends. The scarcity of published documents and secondary works in the mid-1970s meant that before even beginning this undertaking I needed the advice of dozens of curators at state, local, church, and organizational archives. From the very first, too, I received vital feedback from other historians who shared their specialized knowledge about sources and also forced me to relate information about women to the wider perspectives of the history of inter-war Germany. Gathering information about so dismal a period becomes depressing. The historical figures I admired were nearly always killed and the women Nazis I deplored virtually always thrived, even after 1945. Without the friends who helped me think through my responses to the unrelieved tragedy of the Nazi period, I might have succumbed either to a professional callousness that blotted out empathy or despaired completely and abandoned the project altogether.

I have looked forward to writing this acknowledgment as a tribute to the many individuals who helped me out in so many ways. My first thanks go to colleagues who encouraged me to begin my forays into archives from the Nazi period. Renate Bridenthal, Rudolf Binion, Hannah Papanek, Fritz Ringer, Carl Schorske, and Joan Scott read and commented on my earliest proposals for this research in the mid-1970s. More recently, the late Warren Susman, John Gillis, Peter Gay, Charles S. Meier, and Ismar Schorsch helped me to rethink my conclusions and consider new dimensions of my findings.

Without substantial aid I could never have completed extensive archival research in Germany. Most of all, the College of the Holy Cross has supported me with not only a sabbatical year, but a one-semester Faculty Fellowship, unlimited computer time and a VAX terminal, and generous annual grants to defray expenses for travel, interviewing, and Xeroxing. The German Marshall Foundation and the Rockefeller Foundation each granted me yearlong fellowships to pursue research and writ-

ing. The National Endowment for the Humanities awarded me a Travel-to-Collections grant so I could carry on interviews with Jewish Germans living in Germany.

Because the success of this study depended upon my discovering little-known source material, I want to acknowledge my special debt to the dozens of staff members of archives in Germany, France, England, and the United States who gave so generously of their time and shared their immense knowledge of their respective collections. Agnes Peterson early on directed my attention to the valuable autobiographical essays in the Abel Collection at the Hoover Institution for War, Revolution and Peace at Stanford University. I continued my search for individual records at the Berlin Document Center, where Herr Pix and William Simon patiently provided me with files and new "leads." In my search for background information and periodicals, Frau Lorenz at the German Institute for Social Questions in Berlin provided me with hours of thoughtful assistance. In the process, she allowed me access to the papers of Helene Lange Collection. Frau Rasch at the library of the Verband Weiblicher Angestellte in Berlin allowed me to spend days reading in the organization's extensive periodical collection.

Dr. Rumschöttel at the Munich State Archives opened up to me the extraordinary collection of women's papers and memos in the Ministry of Education and National Socialist Teachers' Union (NSLB) files. For material on women in the early part of the century, I am grateful for the guidance of Dr. Cecile Lowenthal-Hess of the Geheimes Staatsarchiv Preussischer Kulturbesitz, Dr. Eberhard Heyl of the Bavarian Hauptstaatsarchiv IV, and Herr Teichmann of the Siemens Archives in Munich. Elke Frölich at the Institute for Contemporary History shared with me her study of police files and gave me valuable guidelines to the Institute collections. Frau Brigitte Emmer helped me out with procedures and catalogues. Blanche Wiesen Cook called my attention to the Robbins papers at the Roosevelt Library.

Dr. Neugebauer shared with me his extensive knowledge of the Federal Military Archive in Freiburg holdings related to women's participation in wartime labor mobilization. Herr Scharmann supplied me with relevant information about the Federal Archives in Koblenz. At the Munich State Archives, Fräulein Booms brought me files and explained procedures. The staff of the North Rhineland Westphalian Gestapo Archives in Düsseldorf supplied me with access to organizational files in their collections. In the Nuremberg State Archives, Frau B. Bauer-schäfer guided me through the indices related to local and regional government. Frau Pietrowski introduced me to the Lower Saxony Hauptstaatsarchiv in Pattensen. At the Hessian State Archives Herr Hel-

fer and Frau Dr. Löwenstein explained the holdings and suggested I investigate the extensive Nazi Party membership applications. At the Kalkum branch of the Düsseldorf State Archives, Herr Reuter patiently supplied dozens and dozens of folios on local and regional social history.

Herr Wolfgang Strecker clarified the extraordinarily rich organizational records of Catholic organizations at the Deutscher Caritasverband Archives in Freiburg, and Herr Dr. Hans-Josef Wollasch allowed me access to the social work and educational library at the Caritas Fachhochschule in Freiburg. In the Archbishopric Archives in Freiburg, Frau Ulrike Dehn spent hours with me pouring through catalogues and files related to women, Archbishop Gröber, and local educational organizations. At the office of the League of Catholic Women Teachers in Essen, Frau Dr. Pflug and Frau Emmerich gave unstintingly of their time to share with me their collection of teachers' autobiographies from the Nazi period. Maria Prym and Frau Klöpfer made me feel at home by not only allowing me to read in their collection at the Catholic Women's League in Cologne, but also by their willingness to discuss their organization's history.

In Berlin two wonderful collections and their personnel sustained me through several months of research into Protestant women's organizations. Frau Helge Tonges on several occasions explained the holdings of the Protestant National Archives in Berlin and over the years has provided me with much vital information on women's organizations. Hans-Jochen Kaiser has for a decade shared with me his writings and his extensive knowledge about Protestant women's organizations under Nazism. At the Protestant Archives in Karlsruhe, Frau Sakdowski invited me to use the excellent collection related to the Neuland Organization and Protestant associations. I want especially to thank Dr. Helmut Tlasko, Herr Deppe, Frau Dohmke, Frau Schwetlinski, Frau Pohl, and Frau Erdmann, whose good humor and efficiency made working at the Diakonisches Werk Archives in Berlin so rewarding.

The staff of the *Bibliothèque de Documentation Internationale Contemporaine*, at the University of Paris, Nanterre, has sustained me with their efficiency, knowledge, and good humor. Working at the *Centre de documentation Juives Contemporaine* in Paris inspired me with awe at the collections and with a strong sense of continuity with the past they describe. Dr. Monika Richarz, of the *Germania Judaica* in Cologne, prodded me forward with sharp questions and suggestions for further reading. Michael Riff, Frank Mecklenberg, Sybil Milton, and Allan Divack have for years helped me in my research and made the days I have spent at the Leo Baeck Institute among the highlights of my archival searches. Dr. Fred Grubel introduced me to a network of scholars re-

searching the history of Jewish organizations in Nazi Germany. Marion Kaplan told me about dozens of files and articles and asked me vexing questions that made me rethink my assumptions about Jewish women in Nazi Germany. My search for photos was aided by Fräulein Ledder at the Ullstein Photo Service, Frau Klausener at Prussian Picture Archives, and Frau Dr. Mahnke at the Prussian State Library in Berlin. The Peter Hammar Press generously mailed the only copy in its possession of the photo of Hitler with the mother of a Nazi martyr, which I used as the last illustration in this book.

To Rabbi Stanley Davids and the members of Temple Emanuel in Worcester who lived in Germany before 1939, I am grateful for their willingness to explore painful memories. Lilli Kretzmir's retrospective wisdom and sharp questions opened up new dilemmas and insights into how it felt to be betrayed not only by one's "Fatherland" but also most of one's neighbors and friends. Dr. Robert Salomon shared his extraordinary knowledge of Jewish history and, together with his wife Johanna Salomon, Charlotte Blaschke, Ilse Rothschild, Annie Bader, Magda Meyersohn, Harold Rogers, Helen Sachse, and Ilse Wischnia, participated in a collective exploration of the years they had spent in Germany. Elisabeth do Pazo's honesty made me aware of the moral ambiguities of resistance.

My deepest gratitude goes to a host of friend-colleagues. Joyce Engelson, my editor at St. Martin's during the early stages of this book, gave me her precise reactions to every chapter and invariably sent me back to the drawing board. Just two of her many terse questions, "What about Jewish women?" and "Did no women resist?" resulted in over a year of additional research, thinking, and writing. I owe much to her enthusiasm, unfailing good judgment, and patient determination. Thomas Dunne gave his thoughtful commentary on the final version. As the manuscript took its final form, Betsy Williams, with steady nerves and good humor, guided it across the finish line, and Amelie Littell carefully checked the result as it arrived.

Lois Brynes and Serena Hilsinger unfailingly offered me their comments and hospitality, especially during the weeks when I almost lived in front of the word processor screen in my office. In Munich Eva Kollmar Krell and Eberhardt Kollmar held "debriefing" sessions after long days in the archives, and in Berlin Gudrun Schwarz, Karin Hausen, Annemarie Tröger, Gisela Bock, Dagmar Schultz, Ursula Kirchner, and Elisabeth Meyer-Renschhausen offered their hospitality and conversation. Max and Miriam Awerbuch drew me into spirited discussions about life among Jews in Israel, Berlin, and the United States. My colleagues at the College of the Holy Cross gave me sharp criticisms and good-

humored encouragement at every stage. Especially I want to thank Ross Beales, Robert L. Brandfon, Randall K. Burkett, Ann Flynn, Karen Gottschang, William Green, Anthony J. Kuzniewski, Theresa M. McBride, and James F. Powers for their collegial support. Rachel S. Degutis, aided by Paula McGrail, answered dozens of bibliographical inquiries. Barbara Puddister found every missing book. Rev. Joseph F. Pomeroy and Ellen Keohane helped out with all word processing crises.

Bonnie Anderson read the penultimate draft and returned it full of marginal comments and pages of valuable notes. To Mary Ellen Capek, Temma Kaplan, and Harriet Lutzky I am grateful for questions and detailed reactions on the chapters they read. In an important sense, my largest debt is to Charlotte Sheedy, who was the first person to urge me to expand my focus beyond women Nazis and to address the panorama of German women as Catholics, Protestants, Jews, and resisters. Since our initial conversations in 1980, she has piloted this manuscript through the publishing process, given me unsparing feedback, and cheered my way.

Each time I completed a chapter, I gritted my teeth and distributed it to nine friend-colleagues who I knew would dissect it, call for more evidence, dispute its fundamental thesis, and suggest at least ten alternative organizational approaches. The relentless cross-questioning and spirited discussions that resulted were the high points of my work on this manuscript. Thank you, Bonnie Anderson, Renate Bridenthal, Jane Caplan, Atina Grossman, Amy Hackett, Deborah Hertz, Marion Kaplan, Molly Nolan, and Joan Reuterschan. Françoise Basch perused every chapter, unfailingly welcomed me in Paris after archival forays to Germany, and constantly warned me about the difference between *tout comprendre* and *tout pardonner*.

P R E F A C E

As I waited for Gertrud Scholtz-Klink to walk through the door of a cluttered editorial office in the prosperous suburb of a quaint German university town, I tried to imagine how she might have changed in the forty years since I had lost her trail in the archives. In the early 1940s, American historian Mary Beard had been awestruck at the power of this woman, whom she had described as “spectacular and utterly ruthless.”

By 1941 she [Gertrud Scholtz-Klink] was governing some thirty million German women and tightening her grip on some twenty million other women in lands occupied by German troops. The dictatorial authority of this “Lady *Führer über Alles*” was vividly described by Peter Engelmann. . . . “Frau Klink,” wrote Engelmann, “rules the lives of women in all things. She tells them how many children they must have, and when; what they shall wear, what they shall cook and how. What they shall say, laughing to their husbands and sons marching to war. How they shall behave, smiling, when their men are killed. Here is the responsibility for the home spirit, the core of national morale.”¹

She had impressed William Shirer, on the other hand, as “particularly obnoxious and vivid.”² This woman was about to walk through the door, and I wondered again what I would say, what I might learn. What had brought me here?

Curiosity? Of course. But I also felt a sleuthlike need to follow up on every clue related to the role of women in Nazi Germany. I had already gathered evidence from books and archives on women who embraced Nazism and joined the Party, on Catholics and Protestants who expressed reservations but welcomed the arrival of an authoritarian state in 1933, on women who opposed Hitler, and finally on Jewish women who defended themselves against incremental and ultimately lethal danger. This was not, as my friends and colleagues were quick to point out, “happy history.”

“How,” my friends asked, “can you live with such a depressing topic?” Like all historians, I had a ready-made response. “Those who don’t

know their history are condemned to repeat it.' " Was that a feeble excuse? Americans today see no swastikas on their political horizons. Uniformed, goose-stepping militarism surely died out after the advent of missiles and guerrillas. Still, underneath the unique and dated style of Nazism lurked a more universal appeal—the longing to return to simpler times, to restore lost values, to join a moral crusade. What needs drove those millions of "good Germans" willingly into dictatorship, war, and genocide? Did those needs exist in other nations at other times? Could what Susan Sontag has called "the drama of the leader and the chorus" continue to play a role after the demise of the fascist hero?³ During the Great Depression, Germans rallied to one-man-one-party rule, uniforms, flags and rifles, mass rallies, racial hatred, and appeals to national glory. What would happen in other countries if small businessmen, housewives, artisans, white-collar workers, and students felt betrayed by an alien political establishment, a fraying welfare net, and chronic economic dislocations?

I thought back on the years I had spent in Germany during the late 1950s and early 1960s—to innumerable hitchhiking conversations, when I stumbled onto my own interview format with my standard opener, "What wonderful highways you have here."

"You like our autobahn?"

"Oh, yes, it seems very fast, and scenic, too."

"You would never guess who built it." I never once gave into the temptation to say, "You bet I would!"

And we would slide into conversation about the glorious 1930s, when a dynamic leader pulled his nation out of the Depression (more successfully than "your" Roosevelt) and inspired people with a new idealism. I don't know if a random sample of Germans stopped to pick up foreign hitchhikers. I asked why they gave rides to students, and they invariably told me that they had begun the practice during the war years, when sharing seemed more important than privacy. After the war in the days before Eurail passes, kindly European drivers routinely gave students not only lifts to the next town but allowed them an intimate glimpse into their values and culture. In my case, hundreds of Germans shared with me their memories of a Nazism without genocide, racism, or war. They recalled a social world of close families, sports activities and vacations, a strong community spirit, high moral standards, and economic security. Men and women looked back with fondness, sad only about the war—that is, the defeat, not the brilliant military victories before the Battle of Stalingrad. Since visions of Hitler as evil incarnate had colored my childhood, I wondered that people would be so open in their defense of the Nazi state. Especially I asked the women with whom I spoke how they

had felt about so militaristic a regime. "Politics, police, the army—all those bad things—they were men's concerns," they answered. History recorded the "bad things"; memory preserved a benign face of fascism.

Fifteen years later I returned to the question of women's participation in the Third Reich and realized that I would have to find the documents because so little had been published about women. The endeavor led me to search for memos, orders, letters, and articles, by and about one Gertrud Scholtz-Klink, the chief of the Women's Bureau under Hitler. Never had it occurred to me that one day she would emerge from the historical shadows, take on human form and speak. Political scientists meet their subjects; but normally historians study dead leaders. One day in 1980 I noticed Scholtz-Klink's book, *The Woman in the Third Reich*, in a feminist bookstore in Berlin, and picked it up. Upon leafing through it, it became clear the contents were speeches and articles written by Scholtz-Klink and her staff during the 1930s. The dust jacket announced the collection as "a pioneering work of supreme importance" and a testimonial to Scholtz-Klink's "extraordinary achievements as well as great suffering during fateful bitter times." The publicity promises that Scholtz-Klink's writings will demonstrate how women "came naturally to form the 'biological middle point' " of a new society, driven by "nationalist and racial powers. . . . Without the courage, power and steadfastness of women, girls, and mothers in the Third Reich, the Germany of today would be unthinkable." Such a book, I thought to myself, in a women's bookstore. Although its essays carried a deeply anti-feminist message, the bookstore stocked it because its author was female. Richard Evans' excellent history of German feminism, by contrast, had been ruled out because its author was male. Biology still counted more than opinion in Berlin. My ideological doubts subsided, however, as the historian in me realized that Scholtz-Klink might still be alive. Not really expecting a reply, I wrote a polite note to the publisher and forgot about it. She would of course refuse my request to speak with her, but then I could include a footnote saying I had tried.

One day as I returned from a research trip, I found a letter addressed in a familiar hand. The postmark, Tübingen, meant nothing to me; I knew no one who lived there. Then I felt a chill travel up my spine. How many times had I seen that regular, graceful hand at the end of letters and documents. "*Sehr geehrte Frau Dr. Koonz*," the letter began. I had always met my subjects through their records; now a ghost rose out of the archival mist and addressed me. The leader of millions of Nazi women under Hitler had decided to talk to me. What did she want? What did I want from her?

When I embarked on this research project, the paradox of the topic

riveted my attention. In the Nazi state, women had received the opportunity to create the largest women's organization in history, with the blessings of the blatantly male-chauvinist Nazi Party. Here was the nineteenth-century feminists' vision of the future in nightmare form. The earliest crusaders for women's rights had believed passionately in their distinct female nature and concluded that their political participation and legal equality would elevate the level of public debate, redirect the government toward more humane concerns, and calm male leaders' warlike predisposition. While fighting for equality, women defended their difference, vowing never to become "masculinized." The dream lived on even after war, revolution, and Depression had sapped the nation's idealism. As "man-made" institutions pitted worker against capitalist, socialist against nationalist, rich against poor, a nostalgia for the natural grew.

The myth about a past that never was increased in beauty as it became more remote from modern society. At the heart of this vision lay a dream of a strong man and a gentle woman, cooperating under the stern guidance of an orderly state. The image did not die with the German surrender in 1945, but lives on in memory. Often it finds brilliant artistic expression, as for example in Edgar Reitz's fifteen and a half hour film *Heimat*, which recreates a Nazi past unfiltered by ethical questions and unmarred by unpleasant references to victims or violence. National Socialism, as a movement before 1933, a state for twelve years thereafter, and a memory since 1945, embodies the myth of an orderly world dominated by men and a gentle world of love preserved by women. Hundreds of scholars had investigated the etiology of the regime that extended from the SA and SS at the grassroots to the desk murders in Berlin. But what about the women? That question brought me to Tübingen.

The woman who bore the major responsibility for creating a separate female sphere in Nazi society was about to walk through the door. I had followed her bureaucratic maneuvers, watching her establish a mammoth organization spanning virtually all aspects of German social life. She had developed her own style of administration and cultivated an intense loyalty among her followers. Often I had stared at photographs of her modest figure surrounded by overdressed and overfed Nazi leaders. Because Scholtz-Klink's duty was to inspire other women to follow her example, she always appeared as the peahen surrounded by plumed ostentation. I recalled an American woman's account of a meeting with the Führerin.

One meets her surrounded by Nazi flags and uniforms. Her gentle femininity is a startling contrast to the military atmosphere. She is a friendly woman in her middle thirties, blonde, blue-eyed, regular featured, slender.

She sits in her wicker chair on her little balcony and chats with her visitor. Her complexion is so fresh and clear that she dares to do without powder or rouge. She talks, and one notices that her firm, capable hands have known hard work. . . . How does she feel about the possibility of Germany's going to war? She glances up at the swastikas and across at the black boots of the uniformed men beyond the doorway and she turns quickly away to hide the tears in her eyes. "I have sons," she says quietly. Her eyes are as sad as the eyes of so many other German mothers who know so well the German Labor Camp motto which says so plainly that sons must "fight stubbornly and die laughing."⁴

What would the Führerin say in 1981 about her massive organization that indoctrinated a generation of young women and girls to "be brave, be German, be pure"? After decades of silence, would she impart to a new generation words of guidance and contrition? From the archives I knew her as docile, self-serving, and rather noncommittal. For all her slavish devotion to the Führer, I had not encountered anti-Semitic prejudices in her orders or memos. Perhaps after so many years, she had decided to speak out to warn the world or simply to atone for her complicity.

Without really understanding why, I felt overcome with anxiety. I intended merely to listen and to record, occasionally to insert a probing question. Oral historians must remain faceless and value-free in order to capture the full truth. Still, I had never interviewed an ex-Nazi since those hitching conversations of my student days. Gazing out at the dismal garden, I wondered; my worries began to shift from the list of questions in my head to my image. Had I dressed appropriately for this encounter? What *was* the proper image for an ex-Nazi? Could I win her trust if I wore an A-line skirt (light gray), simple shirt (also light gray), hand-knit Irish cardigan (blue), sensible shoes (also blue)? Would my hair stay neat in its Germanic bun? Certainly, I thought guiltily, I had the right coloring for an "Aryan" image. These anxieties, I realized, masked my deeper forebodings. Why did I even want to win the trust of an ex-Nazi?

The door opened. A wiry, vigorous woman strode in and took my hand, smiling openly. "I'm very pleased to meet you," we both said. She, in an A-line skirt (dark green), a Black Forest hand-knit cardigan (also dark green), prim blouse (white) with a tiny brooch, and sensible shoes (brown), shook the rain from her umbrella. A hair net kept her white braids twisted neatly around her head. I had dressed so very correctly (and so instinctively), a shudder passed through me. Except for forty years of aging, Frau Scholtz-Klink looked just as she had in the photos—proud, athletic, and trim.