

# THE EVIDENCE HANDBOOK

ROBERT L. DONIGAN

EDWARD C. FISHER

ROBERT H. REEDER

and

RICHARD N. WILLIAMS

THE TRAFFIC INSTITUTE, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

# **THE EVIDENCE HANDBOOK**

**1975 EDITION**

by

**ROBERT L. DONIGAN**  
Former General Counsel

**EDWARD C. FISHER**  
Counsel for Legal Research  
and Publications

**ROBERT H. REEDER**  
General Counsel

and

**RICHARD N. WILLIAMS**  
Assistant Counsel  
Traffic Institute, Northwestern University

Edited by  
**ROBERT H. REEDER**

Published by  
**THE TRAFFIC INSTITUTE, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY**  
Evanston, Illinois

## Publication History

### THE EVIDENCE HANDBOOK FOR POLICE

#### FIRST EDITION, 1943

*Reprinted 1944*

*Reprinted 1947*

*Reprinted 1948*

*Reprinted 1955*

*Reprinted 1956*

### THE EVIDENCE HANDBOOK

#### FIRST EDITION, 1958

#### SECOND EDITION, 1965

*(1966 Miranda Supplement)*

*Reprinted 1966 (1968 Pocket Supplement)*

*Reprinted 1969*

*Reprinted 1971 (1971 Pocket Supplement)*

*Reprinted 1972*

*Reprinted 1974*

#### THIRD EDITION, 1975

*Copyright 1975 by The Traffic Institute, Northwestern University*

*All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.*

Library of Congress Catalog No. 75-34640

ISBN 0-912642-02-5

Printed in the United States of America

## Foreword

Some 10 years ago a similar prefatory note pointed to an alarming increase in motor vehicle fatalities and injuries. One year later the Federal Government enacted the Highway Safety Act and the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act. Attention was called also to the rate of increase of serious crimes as compared to the increase of population. The decision as to whether or not the two aforementioned conditions have improved significantly, the effect of energy crisis on reducing motor vehicle fatalities and injuries notwithstanding, will be left to the reader.

During the intervening years we have also witnessed some significant changes in philosophy for resolving issues of individual rights vs. those of society as interpreted by the "Warren Court" and the "Burger Court". Nevertheless it is fair to say that there appears to be a difference in the Supreme Court decisions since the Burger Court.

In our struggle to be a nation of laws—not men, it is important that those whose work is closely related to our system of justice be as current as possible in developments in the law and divergent legal theories relating to the rules of evidence.

It is to this end that this revised Handbook is dedicated. It is our hope that improved knowledge and use of the rules of evidence will contribute more effectively to making "... the inherent, inalienable right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness" meaningful to more and more of our fellow citizens.

JAMES M. SLAVIN  
Director  
The Traffic Institute

Evanston, Illinois  
October, 1975



## Editor's Preface

### Objective

A practical knowledge of the rules of evidence is essential to all persons dealing with the various aspects of criminal law. The judge on the bench, charged with a solemn responsibility to administer justice, must rule on the admissibility of evidence offered for and against persons accused of criminal offenses. He must do this fairly and intelligently with a view to protecting the rights of the accused, as well as those of society to have its laws vindicated. No judge can fulfill his duty in this respect if he lacks knowledge of proper evidentiary principles, or fails to apply them properly. Evidence improperly admitted or excluded will often spell the difference between justice administered and injustice perpetrated.

It generally is accepted as a matter of course that a lawyer knows the rules of law applicable to his cases. This necessarily requires familiarity with the rules of evidence as part of the legal tools of an attorney. Whether he be prosecutor or defense counsel, to him falls the responsibility of proper application of these rules in presenting his cases in court.

A practical acquaintance with evidentiary principles likewise is an essential part of any efficient law enforcement officer's store of knowledge. He should be forearmed with the ability to differentiate between factual material which will be admissible in court in support of his cases and that which is worthless for that purpose. So prepared, he will be able to perform his particular functions in a manner creditable to his department, himself, and his community. As John H. Wigmore, Dean Emeritus of the School of Law of Northwestern University, said:

"The police officer must look ahead to this barrier of rules that awaits him at the trial. It is the attorneys who have the task of obeying and following those rules in presenting the evidence. But the police officer must be in a position to furnish the attorneys with evidence which will be admissible under the rules. He must therefore have at least elementary and yet practical acquaintance with these rules of evidence. He is, in this respect, like the surgeon's assistant at a major operation, who, knowing in advance the type of the expected operation, must prepare and have ready the precise instruments and other suitable materials which the surgeon will need. A body of evidence-data well collected and arranged beforehand by the police officer is therefore an essential aid to the attorney's effective presentation of the case to court and jury.

"This adds another substantial item, of course, to the police officer's already heavy burden of preparation for his responsible task. But it is an inevitable one. And he will be rewarded for the time spent in studying the rules when he comes to find that the mastery of them will aid materially in the speedy and successful presentation of the attorney's case at the trial."

In this revised edition of *The Evidence Handbook*, the authors have endeavored to present the rules and principles as clearly and succinctly as possible, dependably authoritative in the hands of the legally trained, yet couched in language readily informative to laymen, particularly those engaged in law enforcement.

## **Footnotes**

Case citations in the footnotes are to call attention to illustrative instances of points developed in the text, and are not intended to be exhaustive or complete. References to general authoritative texts are inserted for the benefit of those who would engage in further research relative to particular points of concern.

## **Publishing History**

This book was originally published as *The Evidence Handbook for Police*, 1943, and authored by Franklin M. Kreml, founder of the Traffic Institute. This volume went through six printings between 1944 and 1957. In 1958 the title was shortened to *The Evidence Handbook* and because Robert L. Donigan, General Counsel, and Edward C. Fisher, Associate Counsel, thoroughly revised and rewrote the book, they were named as the authors of the 1958 Edition.

The next revision was made in 1965, and that Edition has had three Pocket Supplements to keep it current because of the changing rules of evidence.

In the 1975 Edition all four authors have contributed to the revision and extensive rewriting.

## **Credits**

Alice E. Gibbs, Legal Secretary, has been responsible for the proofreading, checking citations, and the style. Without her assistance it would never have been completed. Her faithful attention to detail and sound advice are deeply appreciated.

Vivian Carlson, Graphic Arts Specialist, contributed her talents in supervising layout and production, and we thank her for these efforts.

Lenore Krasner has assisted with the proofreading and prepared the page makeup. She has been most helpful, and it is appreciated.

The comments in the text of an editorial nature are those of the authors and they accept responsibility.

Finally, we acknowledge the encouragement and support of Mr. James M. Slavin, Director of the Traffic Institute. It was greatly appreciated.

October, 1975  
Evanston, Illinois

Robert H. Reeder  
Editor

# Table of Contents

<b>Chapter 1</b>			
	<b>INTRODUCTION TO RULES OF EVIDENCE</b>		1
Sec. 1-1	Methods of Trial in Primitive Times		1
Sec. 1-2	Development of Rules of Evidence		2
Sec. 1-3	Evidence Defined and Described		3
Sec. 1-4	Particular Classes of Evidence		3
	<i>Direct evidence</i>		3
	<i>Real evidence</i>		3
	<i>Circumstantial evidence</i>		3
	<i>Corroborative evidence</i>		3
	<i>Cumulative evidence</i>		3
	<i>Demonstrative evidence</i>		3
	<i>Positive and negative evidence</i>		3
	<i>Prima facie evidence</i>		4
	<i>Probative evidence</i>		4
	<i>Rebuttal evidence</i>		4
	<i>Substantial evidence</i>		4
	<i>Substantive evidence</i>		4
<b>Chapter 2</b>			
	<b>ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE</b>		6
Sec. 2-1	Purpose and Application of Rules		6
	<i>Offer of proof</i>		6
Sec. 2-2	Admissibility and Weight of Evidence Distinguished		6
Sec. 2-3	Competency of Evidence		7
	<i>Personal habits; customary action</i>		7
Sec. 2-4	Relevancy of Evidence		7
Sec. 2-5	Materiality of Evidence		8
Sec. 2-6	Admissibility of Real Evidence		9
	<i>Foundational requirements for admissibility of real evidence</i>		9
Sec. 2-7	Other Factors of Admissibility		10
	<i>Exclusionary rule</i>		10
	<i>Parole evidence rule</i>		11
Sec. 2-8	Stipulations as Evidence		11
Sec. 2-9	Objections to Evidence		12
	<i>Motion to strike evidence from the record</i>		13
<b>Chapter 3</b>			
	<b>JUDICIAL NOTICE</b>		17
Sec. 3-1	Meaning of Judicial Notice		17
Sec. 3-2	Matters of Common Knowledge		17
Sec. 3-3	Facts Readily Ascertainable		17
Sec. 3-4	Facts of Science		18
Sec. 3-5	Particular Facts Judicially Noticed		18
Sec. 3-6	Judicial Notice by Juries		19

<b>Chapter 4</b>			
<b>PRESUMPTIONS, INFERENCES, AND BURDEN OF PROOF</b>			22
Sec. 4-1	Presumptions Defined		22
	<i>Due process of law</i>		22
Sec. 4-2	Inferences Distinguished from Presumptions		22
	<i>Due process of law</i>		23
Sec. 4-3	Presumptions of Fact		23
Sec. 4-4	Particular Presumptions of Fact		23
	<i>Due process of law</i>		24
Sec. 4-5	Presumptions of Law		24
Sec. 4-6	Constitutional Validity of Presumptions of Law		24
Sec. 4-7	Particular Presumptions of Law		24
Sec. 4-8	Rebuttable and Conclusive Presumptions		25
Sec. 4-9	Burden of Proof, Generally		25
Sec. 4-10	Affirmative Defenses, Generally		26
Sec. 4-11	Burden of Evidence in Criminal Cases		26
	<i>Effect of presumptions</i>		27
	<i>Summary</i>		27
Sec. 4-12	Alibi		27
	<i>Notice of alibi</i>		27
	<i>Caution</i>		27
	<i>Effect of alibi testimony</i>		28
Sec. 4-13	Insanity		28
Sec. 4-14	Self-defense		28
Sec. 4-15	Degree of Proof Required		29
	<i>Civil cases</i>		29
	<i>Criminal cases</i>		29
<b>Chapter 5</b>			
<b>THE HEARSAY RULE AND ITS EXCEPTIONS</b>			36
Sec. 5-1	Hearsay Rule Generally		36
Sec. 5-2	Reasons for Hearsay Rule		36
Sec. 5-3	Hearsay Rule Includes Writings		37
Sec. 5-4	Fact of Utterance Not Within Hearsay Rule		37
Sec. 5-5	Can Consider Hearsay If No Objection		38
Sec. 5-6	Exceptions to the Hearsay Rule		38
Sec. 5-7	Constitutionality of Exceptions to Hearsay Rule		38
<b>PART 1. Expressed Confessions and Admissions</b>			38
Sec. 5-8	Confessions and Admissions Generally		38
	<i>Confession defined</i>		39
	<i>Admission defined</i>		39
	<i>Need not be in writing</i>		39
Sec. 5-9	Philosophy Underlying Confessions and Admissions as		
	Exceptions to Hearsay Rule		40
Sec. 5-10	Tests of Validity of a Confession		40
	<i>Due process of law</i>		40
	<i>Privilege against self-incrimination</i>		40
	<i>Right to counsel</i>		40
Sec. 5-11	Confession Obtained in Violation of Due Process of Law		40
Sec. 5-12	Confessions and Admissions—Miranda Requirements		42
	<i>Warning of silence</i>		42
	<i>Warning that anything said can be used</i>		42
	<i>Warning of right to counsel</i>		42
	<i>Warning of providing counsel to indigent</i>		43
	<i>Procedure after warnings</i>		43
	<i>Waiver of rights</i>		43
	<i>Prerequisites to admissibility of confession or admission</i>		44
	<i>General on-the-scene questioning</i>		44
	<i>Volunteered statements</i>		44
	<i>Summary of warnings</i>		44
Sec. 5-13	Court Decisions Since Miranda		44
Sec. 5-14	Frequent Questions Concerning Miranda		45
	<i>Custodial interrogation</i>		45
	<i>Location of questioning</i>		46
	<i>Law enforcement officer</i>		46
	<i>Waiver of rights</i>		46
	<i>Statements covered</i>		46
	<i>Defendant who knows his rights</i>		46
	<i>Misdemeanor or traffic cases</i>		47



Sec.	5-15	Confession Made in Absence of Counsel After Being Charged . . . .	47
Sec.	5-16	Confession After Arrest and During Delay Before Arraignment . . .	47
Sec.	5-17	Confession—Effect of Trick, Fraud, or Deception . . . . .	48
Sec.	5-18	Confession—Effect of Promise, Inducement, Threat, or Coercion . .	48
		<i>Promise or inducement</i> . . . . .	48
		<i>Threat or coercion</i> . . . . .	48
Sec.	5-19	Confession—Effect of Mental or Physical Condition of Accused . . .	48
		<i>Alcohol</i> . . . . .	48
		<i>Other drugs</i> . . . . .	49
		<i>Mental</i> . . . . .	49
		<i>Children</i> . . . . .	49
		<i>Physical</i> . . . . .	49
Sec.	5-20	Confession Induced by Confronting Accused with Illegally Seized Evidence . . . . .	49
Sec.	5-21	Confession—Effect of Unlawful Arrest . . . . .	50
Sec.	5-22	Confession—Effect of Polygraph or Lie Detector Test . . . . .	51
Sec.	5-23	Confession or Admission—Wiretapping or Electronic Eavesdropping . . . . .	51
		<i>Historical Background</i> . . . . .	51
		<i>Katz decision</i> . . . . .	51
		<i>White decision</i> . . . . .	51
		<i>Court orders to wiretap or electronically eavesdrop</i> . . . . .	52
		<i>Sec. 605</i> . . . . .	53
		<i>Federal officers</i> . . . . .	53
		<i>State and local officers</i> . . . . .	53
		<i>Standing</i> . . . . .	53
Sec.	5-24	Judicial Confession—Guilty Plea . . . . .	54
Sec.	5-25	Confession of a Co-Defendant or Co-Conspirator . . . . .	54
Sec.	5-26	Statements or Confessions to Private Individuals . . . . .	55
Sec.	5-27	Fruit of Poisonous Tree . . . . .	55
Sec.	5-28	Sound Recording of a Confession . . . . .	56
Sec.	5-29	Procedure for Admitting Confession Into Evidence . . . . .	56
<b>PART 2. Tacit Admissions</b> . . . . .			57
Sec.	5-30	Tacit or Silent Admissions Generally . . . . .	57
Sec.	5-31	Accused in Custody—Tacit Admission Not Admissible . . . . .	57
Sec.	5-32	Tests of Admissibility . . . . .	58
Sec.	5-33	Must be Accusatory . . . . .	58
Sec.	5-34	Understanding the Accusation . . . . .	58
Sec.	5-35	Accusations During Trial or Other Judicial Proceedings . . . . .	58
Sec.	5-36	Competency of Accuser . . . . .	58
Sec.	5-37	Denials and Partial Denials: Equivocal or Evasive Replies . . . . .	59
Sec.	5-38	Minority View—Tacit Admissions Not Admissible . . . . .	59
<b>PART 3. Res Gestae</b> . . . . .			59
Sec.	5-39	Res Gestae Generally . . . . .	59
		<i>Police answering incoming telephone calls during search</i> . . . .	60
Sec.	5-40	Res Gestae of Arrest . . . . .	60
Sec.	5-41	Res Gestae Utterances . . . . .	60
Sec.	5-42	Theory and Philosophy of Admissibility . . . . .	60
Sec.	5-43	Spontaneity as Primary Factor . . . . .	61
		<i>Delayed utterances</i> . . . . .	61
		<i>Place of utterance</i> . . . . .	62
		<i>Burden of proof</i> . . . . .	62
Sec.	5-44	Persons Making Utterance . . . . .	62
		<i>Statement of agent or employee</i> . . . . .	62
Sec.	5-45	Utterances Prompted by Questions . . . . .	63
Sec.	5-46	Availability or Competency of Speaker as Witness . . . . .	63
Sec.	5-47	Various Applications of Res Gestae Doctrine . . . . .	64
		<i>Other applications of the res gestae doctrine</i> . . . . .	64
Sec.	5-48	Expansion of Res Gestae by Judicial Decisions . . . . .	64
Sec.	5-49	Practical Considerations of Res Gestae . . . . .	65
<b>PART 4. Dying Declarations</b> . . . . .			65
Sec.	5-50	Dying Declarations Generally . . . . .	65
Sec.	5-51	Underlying Reasons for Admission . . . . .	66
Sec.	5-52	Declarant Must Have Consciousness of Certain Death . . . . .	66
Sec.	5-53	Form of Expression . . . . .	67
Sec.	5-54	Admissible Only in Homicide Case for Death of Declarant . . . . .	67
Sec.	5-55	Practical Considerations of Dying Declarations . . . . .	67

Sec.	5-56	Impeachment of Dying Declaration .....	68
Sec.	5-57	Can Be Both Dying Declaration and Res Gestae Statement .....	69
<b>PART 5.</b>	<b>Public Records</b> .....		69
Sec.	5-58	Public Records as Exception to Hearsay Rule Generally .....	69
Sec.	5-59	Tests of Admissibility .....	70
Sec.	5-60	Records Made in the Course of Official Duties .....	70
Sec.	5-61	Records Involving Opinions or Conclusions .....	70
Sec.	5-62	Types of Public Records Admitted in Evidence .....	71
<b>PART 6.</b>	<b>Regular Entries in the Course of Business</b> .....		71
Sec.	5-63	Business Entries Generally .....	71
Sec.	5-64	Constitutional Aspects .....	72
Sec.	5-65	Tests of Admissibility .....	73
Sec.	5-66	Computer Printouts as Business Records .....	73
Sec.	5-67	Practical Considerations .....	73
<b>PART 7.</b>	<b>Matters of Pedigree</b> .....		74
Sec.	5-68	Pedigree Generally .....	74
Sec.	5-69	Scope of the Pedigree Rule .....	74
Sec.	5-70	Tests of Admissibility of Pedigree .....	75
		<i>Practical considerations</i> .....	75
<b>PART 8.</b>	<b>Former Testimony</b> .....		75
Sec.	5-71	Former Testimony Rule Generally .....	75
Sec.	5-72	Tests of Admissibility .....	75
Sec.	5-73	Identity of Accused and Issues at Subsequent Trial .....	76
Sec.	5-74	Conditions Governing Availability of Witness .....	76
Sec.	5-75	Mode of Proving Former Testimony .....	77
<b>PART 9.</b>	<b>Other Exceptions to the Hearsay Rule</b> .....		77
Sec.	5-76	State of Mind .....	77
Sec.	5-77	Reputation .....	77
Sec.	5-78	Ancient Documents .....	78
Sec.	5-79	Declarations Against Interest .....	78
Sec.	5-80	Use of Hearsay to Establish Probable Cause .....	78
Sec.	5-81	Miscellaneous Exceptions .....	79
<b>Chapter 6</b>			
<b>DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE, WRITTEN MEMORANDA, PHOTOGRAPHS, AND RECORDINGS</b> .....			96
<b>PART 1.</b>	<b>Best and Secondary Evidence</b> .....		96
Sec.	6-1	Best Evidence Rule .....	96
Sec.	6-2	History and Scope of Rule .....	96
Sec.	6-3	Limitation of Rule to Writings .....	97
Sec.	6-4	Rule Not Applicable to All Writings .....	97
Sec.	6-5	Secondary Evidence .....	98
		<i>Primary evidence beyond the jurisdiction</i> .....	98
		<i>Primary evidence lost or destroyed</i> .....	98
		<i>Primary evidence in the hands of the adverse party</i> .....	98
		<i>Summaries of voluminous records</i> .....	98
		<i>Inscriptions on bulky or immovable objects</i> .....	99
		<i>Preferences as to secondary evidence</i> .....	99
Sec.	6-6	Mechanically Reproduced Copies .....	99
Sec.	6-7	Telegraphic Copies .....	99
Sec.	6-8	Signed Carbon Copies .....	99
Sec.	6-9	Unsigned Carbon Copies .....	99
Sec.	6-10	Computer Printouts .....	100
Sec.	6-11	Sound Recordings .....	100
<b>PART 2.</b>	<b>Maps, Diagrams, and Sketches</b> .....		100
Sec.	6-12	Maps, Diagrams, and Sketches in General .....	100
Sec.	6-13	Composite Sketches .....	102
<b>PART 3.</b>	<b>Photographs</b> .....		102
Sec.	6-14	Photographs Generally .....	102
Sec.	6-15	Photographs as Silent Witnesses .....	103

Sec. 6-16	Posed Photographs	103
Sec. 6-17	Use of Devices to Accentuate Photographic Evidence	103
Sec. 6-18	Photographs Depicting Gruesome Scenes	104
Sec. 6-19	Enlargements	105
Sec. 6-20	X-Ray Photographs	105
Sec. 6-21	Motion Pictures	105
Sec. 6-22	Video Tape	105
Sec. 6-23	Color Pictures	105
Sec. 6-24	"Mug Shot" Photographs	105
Sec. 6-25	Various Pictures and Their Uses	106
<b>PART 4.</b>	<b>Memoranda and Notations</b>	106
Sec. 6-26	Memoranda and Notations Generally	106
Sec. 6-27	Past Recollection Revived	106
Sec. 6-28	Past Recollection Recorded	107
<b>PART 5.</b>	<b>Written Report</b>	107
Sec. 6-29	Accident Reports Required of Drivers	107
	<i>Confidential character of accident reports</i>	108
	<i>Privilege limited to reports by drivers</i>	108
	<i>Scope of privilege</i>	108
Sec. 6-30	Official Reports	109
<b>PART 6.</b>	<b>Mechanical and Electronic Sound Recordings</b>	109
Sec. 6-31	Admissibility of Sound Recordings	109
<b>Chapter 7</b>		
<b>THE CORPUS DELICTI</b>		116
Sec. 7-1	Corpus Delicti Defined	116
Sec. 7-2	Rule of Corpus Delicti Stated	116
Sec. 7-3	Reasons for Rule	116
Sec. 7-4	Degree of Independent Proof Required	117
Sec. 7-5	Identity of Crime Perpetrator Not an Element	118
Sec. 7-6	Order of Proof	118
Sec. 7-7	Manner of Proving Corpus Delicti	118
Sec. 7-8	Corpus Delicti in Traffic Cases	120
Sec. 7-9	Corpus Delicti Rule Not Applicable to Judicial Confessions	121
<b>Chapter 8</b>		
<b>OPINION EVIDENCE</b>		124
<b>PART 1.</b>	<b>Opinion Evidence in General</b>	124
Sec. 8-1	Opinion Rule Stated	124
Sec. 8-2	Matters of Description	125
Sec. 8-3	Particular Subjects of Description	125
	<i>Apparent condition of person</i>	125
	<i>Identity of things or persons</i>	125
	<i>Identity of telephone conversant</i>	125
	<i>Sobriety</i>	126
	<i>Mental condition</i>	126
	<i>Handwriting</i>	126
	<i>Speed</i>	126
Sec. 8-4	Other Matters of Ordinary Opinion	127
Sec. 8-5	Witness Limited to Expressions of His Own Impressions	127
Sec. 8-6	Testimony in Opinion Form	127
<b>PART 2.</b>	<b>Opinion as to Identity of Accused in Criminal Case</b>	128
Sec. 8-7	Identification in General	128
Sec. 8-8	Pretrial Identification	128
Sec. 8-9	Right to Counsel at Lineup	128
Sec. 8-10	Right to Counsel at Photographic Display	129
Sec. 8-11	Admissibility of Identification at Lineup	129
Sec. 8-12	Admissibility of Identification Through Photographic Display	131
Sec. 8-13	Admissibility of In-Court Identification	132
<b>PART 3.</b>	<b>Expert Opinion Evidence</b>	132
Sec. 8-14	Expert Testimony, Generally	132
Sec. 8-15	Use of Expert Opinion Evidence	133

Sec. 8-16	Qualifications of Expert Witness .....	133
Sec. 8-17	Proper Subjects of Expert Opinion .....	134
	<i>Speed</i> .....	134
	<i>Manner of accident occurrence</i> .....	135
	<i>Position of vehicles at impact</i> .....	135
	<i>Point of impact</i> .....	135
Sec. 8-18	Other Matters for Expert Testimony .....	135
	<i>Fingerprints and voiceprints</i> .....	136
	<i>Composite sketches</i> .....	136
	<i>Handwriting and typewriting</i> .....	136
	<i>Ballistics</i> .....	136
	<i>Modus operandi</i> .....	137
	<i>Fires</i> .....	137
	<i>Gambling</i> .....	137
	<i>Homicide</i> .....	137
	<i>Mental condition</i> .....	137
	<i>Obscenity</i> .....	137
	<i>Narcotics and drugs</i> .....	137
	<i>Miscellaneous</i> .....	138
Sec. 8-19	Subjects Not Proper for Expert Testimony .....	138
Sec. 8-20	Hypothetical Questions .....	138
Sec. 8-21	Manner of Stating Opinion .....	138

## **Chapter 9** **CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE** .....

<b>PART 1.</b>	<b>Circumstantial Evidence in General</b> .....	146
Sec. 9-1	Definition, Value, and Application of Circumstantial Evidence. ....	146
Sec. 9-2	Particular Circumstances .....	147
Sec. 9-3	Particular Acts of Accused .....	148
Sec. 9-4	Conduct of Animals and Fowls .....	148
	<i>Marijuana-sniffing dog</i> .....	149
Sec. 9-5	Imprints and Markings .....	149
	<i>Fingerprints</i> .....	149
	<i>Palm prints</i> .....	149
	<i>Footprints</i> .....	149
	<i>Manner of presenting imprints in evidence</i> .....	149
	<i>Voice prints (spectrograms)</i> .....	150
	<i>Tire tracks</i> .....	150
	<i>Skidmarks and other markings on highway</i> .....	150
Sec. 9-6	Circumstantial Evidence in Prosecution for Traffic Offenses .....	150
Sec. 9-7	Sufficiency of Circumstantial Evidence to Justify Conviction. ....	151
	<i>Rule in federal courts</i> .....	151
Sec. 9-8	Circumstantial Evidence in Civil Cases .....	152
	<i>Sufficiency of circumstantial evidence in civil cases</i> .....	152
<b>PART 2.</b>	<b>Evidence of Character</b> .....	152
Sec. 9-9	Character Evidence in General .....	152
Sec. 9-10	Scope and Limitations of Character Evidence .....	153
	<i>Bad character of aggressor-victim</i> .....	153
	<i>Number of character witnesses</i> .....	153
	<i>Impeachment of witnesses' credibility</i> .....	153
	<i>Character in civil cases</i> .....	153
Sec. 9-11	Manner of Proving Character .....	153
	<i>Qualifications of character witness</i> .....	154
	<i>Effect of military record</i> .....	154
	<i>Negative evidence of character</i> .....	154
Sec. 9-12	Testing the Character Witness .....	154
Sec. 9-13	Value and Effect of Character Evidence .....	155
<b>PART 3.</b>	<b>Other Offenses Committed by Defendant</b> .....	156
Sec. 9-14	Other Offenses in General .....	156
	<i>Use of other offenses by the court at sentencing stage</i> .....	156
Sec. 9-15	Exceptions to General Rule of Exclusion .....	156
Sec. 9-16	Offenses Part of the Res Gestae or Continuous Offense .....	157
Sec. 9-17	Offenses Indicating Defendant's State of Mind .....	157
Sec. 9-18	Offenses Establishing Criminal Design, Purpose, or Intent .....	158
Sec. 9-19	Offenses Indicating Defendant's Guilty Knowledge .....	158
Sec. 9-20	Offenses Following Common Scheme, Manner of Operation, <i>Modus Operandi</i> .....	159

Sec. 9-21	Offenses Proving Identity of Accused .....	159
Sec. 9-22	Offenses Indicating Propensity, Inclination or Disposition to Commit Crime Charged .....	160
<b>PART 4.</b>	<b>Tests, Experiments, and Demonstrations .....</b>	<b>160</b>
Sec. 9-23	Tests, Experiments and Demonstrations Generally .....	160
Sec. 9-24	Visual Demonstrations in Court .....	161
Sec. 9-25	Experiments Conducted Out of Court .....	162
Sec. 9-26	Experiments with Firearms .....	162
Sec. 9-27	Tests of Visibility .....	162
Sec. 9-28	Blood Grouping Tests .....	163
Sec. 9-29	Tests of Vehicle Stopping Distances .....	163
Sec. 9-30	Ballistics Tests .....	163
Sec. 9-31	Truth and Deception Tests .....	164
	<i>Polygraph (lie detector) tests</i> .....	164
	<i>Truth serum</i> .....	164
	<i>Tests by hypnosis</i> .....	164
Sec. 9-32	Various Other Tests .....	164
	<i>Scientific tests, generally</i> .....	164
	<i>Various driving tests</i> .....	164
	<i>Tests of voice recognition</i> .....	164
	<i>Paraffin tests</i> .....	164
	<i>Re-enactment of crime</i> .....	164
	<i>Chemical tests for alcoholic influence</i> .....	165
	<i>Neutron activation analysis</i> .....	165
	<i>Conclusion</i> .....	165
<b>Chapter 10</b>	<b>EVIDENTIARY PRIVILEGES .....</b>	<b>177</b>
Sec. 10-1	Evidentiary Privileges in General .....	177
Sec. 10-2	Distinctions Between Privilege and Competency of Witnesses .....	178
Sec. 10-3	Privileged Communications Between Attorney and Client .....	179
	<i>When privilege does not apply</i> .....	179
	<i>Waiver of privilege</i> .....	180
	<i>Practical considerations</i> .....	180
Sec. 10-4	Evidentiary Privileges of Husband and Wife .....	181
	<i>Marital communications</i> .....	181
	<i>Waiver of privilege</i> .....	182
Sec. 10-5	Privileged Communications to Religious Advisers .....	182
	<i>Waiver of privilege</i> .....	183
Sec. 10-6	Privileged Communications Between Patient and Physician .....	183
	<i>Waiver of privilege</i> .....	184
Sec. 10-7	Privilege of Government and Informer .....	184
	<i>Waiver of privilege</i> .....	185
Sec. 10-8	Privilege Against Self-incrimination .....	186
	<i>History of the privilege</i> .....	186
	<i>Scope and extent of the privilege—general rule</i> .....	186
	<i>Minority views</i> .....	187
	<i>Chemical tests for intoxication</i> .....	188
	<i>Applicability of privilege to other legislation</i> .....	188
	<i>Privilege limited to incriminatory answers</i> .....	189
	<i>Privilege applies to any person giving testimony</i> .....	190
	<i>Testimony in state court disclosing federal offense,</i> <i>and vice versa</i> .....	190
	<i>Dual aspects of privilege</i> .....	190
	<i>Common matters to which privilege does not apply</i> .....	191
	<i>Rights of accused persons</i> .....	191
	<i>Privilege against self-incrimination applies to confessions</i> .....	191
	<i>Duration of privilege</i> .....	191
	<i>Immunity statutes</i> .....	191
	<i>Waiver of privilege against self-incrimination</i> .....	192
Sec. 10-9	Privilege as to Evidence Obtained in Violation of Rights .....	193
	<i>The exclusionary rule, its background and philosophy</i> .....	194
	<i>Scope and extent of exclusionary rule</i> .....	194
	<i>Fruit of poisonous tree</i> .....	195
	<i>Exceptions to exclusionary rule</i> .....	195
	<i>Assertion of privilege and waiver</i> .....	195
	<i>Procedure for assertion of privilege</i> .....	195
	<i>Criticism of exclusionary rule</i> .....	196

Sec. 10-10	Miscellaneous Privileges .....	197
	<i>State secrets</i> .....	198
	<i>Journalist and informant</i> .....	198
	<i>Accountant and client</i> .....	198
	<i>Accident reports</i> .....	198
	<i>Miscellaneous privileges conferred by statute</i> .....	199
	<i>Nonprivileged relationships</i> .....	199
 <b>Chapter 11</b>		
<b>WITNESSES</b> .....		212
<b>PART 1. Witnesses Generally</b> .....		212
Sec. 11-1	Definition of Witnesses .....	212
Sec. 11-2	Attendance of Witnesses .....	212
Sec. 11-3	Production of Witnesses .....	212
	<i>Subpoena duces tecum</i> .....	212
	<i>Uniform Act to Secure the Attendance of Witnesses</i> <i>from Without a State in Criminal Proceedings</i> .....	213
Sec. 11-4	Witnesses for Defendant .....	213
	<i>Right of accused to present his defense</i> .....	213
	<i>Compulsory process for defendant's witnesses</i> .....	213
	<i>Uniform Act to Secure the Attendance of Witnesses</i> <i>from Without a State in Criminal Proceedings</i> .....	213
Sec. 11-5	Notice of Witnesses .....	214
Sec. 11-6	Right to Interview Witnesses .....	214
Sec. 11-7	Calling Witnesses During Trial .....	215
Sec. 11-8	Court's Witness .....	215
 <b>PART 2. Competency of Witnesses</b> .....		215
Sec. 11-9	Competency of Witnesses Generally .....	215
	<i>Presumptions, objections, and waiver</i> .....	216
	<i>Primary factors of competency</i> .....	216
Sec. 11-10	Children as Witnesses .....	216
Sec. 11-11	Insane or Incompetent Persons .....	217
Sec. 11-12	Husband or Wife as Witness For or Against the Other .....	217
	<i>Waiver of incompetency</i> .....	218
Sec. 11-13	Competency of Various Persons as Witnesses .....	218
	<i>Judges, jurors, court officials</i> .....	218
	<i>Attorneys and prosecutors</i> .....	218
	<i>Persons addicted to use of drugs or alcohol</i> .....	218
	<i>Persons convicted of crime</i> .....	218
	<i>Persons having legal interest in case</i> .....	218
	<i>Deaf mutes</i> .....	219
	<i>Witnesses discovered through unconstitutional search</i> .....	219
	<i>Accomplices</i> .....	219
	<i>Competency of various other persons</i> .....	219
 <b>PART 3. Impeachment of Witnesses</b> .....		219
Sec. 11-14	Impeachment of Witnesses, Generally .....	219
Sec. 11-15	Who May be Impeached .....	220
Sec. 11-16	Prior Statements and Conduct Inconsistent with Present Testimony .....	220
Sec. 11-17	Foundation for Impeachment .....	221
Sec. 11-18	Prior Confessions .....	221
Sec. 11-19	Presentation of Prior Statements .....	222
Sec. 11-20	Effect of Impeaching Testimony .....	222
	<i>Effect of California v. Green</i> .....	222
Sec. 11-21	Rehabilitation of Impeached Witness .....	223
Sec. 11-22	Impeachment for Bias, Prejudice, Hostility, Interest .....	223
	<i>Foundation for showing bias, prejudice, hostility, etc.</i> .....	223
	<i>Effect of impeaching evidence</i> .....	223
Sec. 11-23	Impeachment by Showing Bad Reputation for Truth and Veracity .....	223
	<i>Impeachment of accused as witness</i> .....	224
Sec. 11-24	Conviction of Crime .....	224
	<i>An infamous crime</i> .....	224
	<i>Moral turpitude</i> .....	224
Sec. 11-25	Impeachment: Mental Condition or Capacity of Witness .....	225
Sec. 11-26	Impeachment by Contradiction of Witness .....	225
Sec. 11-27	Various Matters of Impeachment .....	226



Sec. 11-28	Methods of Impeachment .....	226
Sec. 11-29	Impeachment of Party's Own Witness .....	226
<b>PART 4.</b>	<b>Presentation and Control of Testimony .....</b>	<b>227</b>
Sec. 11-30	Sequestration of Witnesses .....	227
Sec. 11-31	Effect of Violation of "The Rule" .....	227
Sec. 11-32	Examination of Witnesses .....	228
	<i>Leading questions</i> .....	228
	<i>Conclusions and opinions of witnesses</i> .....	228
	<i>Who may propound questions to witnesses</i> .....	228
	<i>Redirect examination of witnesses</i> .....	228
Sec. 11-33	Cross-Examination of Witnesses .....	228
	<i>Persons subject to cross-examination</i> .....	229
	<i>Scope of cross-examination</i> .....	229
	<i>Cross-examination of accomplices</i> .....	229
	<i>Court's control of cross-examination</i> .....	229
	<i>Witness' answers</i> .....	229
	<i>Recross-examination</i> .....	229
Sec. 11-34	Accomplices as Witnesses .....	229
	<i>Accomplices defined</i> .....	229
	<i>Who are accomplices</i> .....	229
	<i>Who are not accomplices</i> .....	229
	<i>Burden of proof</i> .....	230
	<i>Credibility of accomplice witnesses</i> .....	230
Sec. 11-35	Protection of Witnesses .....	230
	<i>Witness testifying under threat of reprisal</i> .....	231
<b>Appendix I</b>	<b>FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE .....</b>	<b>246</b>
<b>INDEX</b> .....		<b>262</b>

## Chapter 1

# Introduction to Rules of Evidence

### Sec. 1-1 Methods of Trial in Primitive Times

In olden times various methods were employed in attempting to determine the truth of accusations and charges brought against persons, both in civil and criminal matters. Most of these primitive methods of "trial" seem fantastic and barbaric today, and in fact were found unreliable and ineffective even before the present judge-trial-jury system was developed several centuries ago in England. The jury idea, conceived as a group of persons gathered to administer justice according to existing custom, had been in use in one aspect or another throughout northern Europe from time immemorial.<sup>1</sup> But it was not brought to any semblance of its modern form until about the time of Magna Charta,<sup>2</sup> in which the right to jury trial by one's peers was expressly recognized and guaranteed, although following that time there were periods of interruption in its use.

All of the ancient systems of trial depended upon divine intervention in the affairs of men. The most ancient species of trial, at least in Saxon and old English law, was the trial by physical ordeal,<sup>3</sup> on the supposition that supernatural intervention would protect a person from physical harm if he were innocent.<sup>4</sup> The ordeal by fire was performed either by taking up in the hand a piece of red-hot iron, or by walking barefoot and blindfolded over red-hot plowshares laid lengthwise at unequal distances. The *hot-water* ordeal consisted of plunging the bare arm up to the elbow in boiling water. If the person escaped unscathed in such tests it was considered that supernatural forces had intervened in his behalf to hold him innocent of the charges brought against him.<sup>5</sup> The *cold-water* ordeal was performed by throwing the accused into a river or pond of cold water, and if he floated *without any act of swimming* it was held evidence of his guilt but if he sunk he was acquitted.<sup>6</sup> Such ordeals could be performed by proxy but the accused person himself was bound to answer for an unfavorable outcome. As Blackstone wrote in the mid-eighteenth century:

"One cannot but be astonished at the folly and impiety of pronouncing a man guilty, unless he was cleared by a miracle; and of expecting that all the powers of nature should be suspended by an immediate interposition of Providence to save the innocent, whenever it was presumptuously required."<sup>7</sup>

Another species of primitive trial, somewhat similar to trial by ordeal and also of great antiquity, was the trial by battle—"judicial combat." This probably originated in a combination of the religious zeal and military ardor of the warlike clans and tribes which overran Europe in medieval times. The system of trial by battle called for the accused to do battle with his accuser, the winner of the fight to be pronounced in the right of the dispute. Here again there was something akin to dependence upon the God of Battles to lend strength to the arm of the innocent party and give him victory over his opponent. The actual fight could be carried on by *champions* of the parties and these could well be experts in the use of weapons, so that in the absence of a miracle, victory no doubt went mostly to the superior warrior. Traces of this savage method of administering justice are to be found in the later custom of fighting duels to settle private arguments.<sup>8</sup>

In nearly all early English trials the oath played a dominant role and in all primitive civilizations, an appeal to the supernatural figured largely in the administration of justice. There was a deeply imbedded conviction that an appeal to Deity, according to the religious beliefs of the period, was a most serious step, not to be taken with impunity, for one who swore falsely surely risked eternal damnation.<sup>9</sup> In the so-called trial by *compurgation*, one against whom a charge was made could *purge* himself of guilt by securing enough *compurgators* to swear they believed him on his oath,<sup>10</sup> the theory being that these people would not jeopardize their immortal souls by taking false oath in his behalf if he were guilty.<sup>11</sup>

These means of establishing guilt or innocence were not only crude, some were actually vicious. As civilization progressed they gradually gave way to the present system

of trial by judge and jury, the judge interpreting the law and the jury determining the facts. This did not come about suddenly. The jury system underwent many changes and variations during its long course of development. In its earliest stages a jury seems to have been something comparable to our present grand jury, but composed of persons who knew the facts of the case at hand. Proving quite unwieldy because of the tendency to call in as many witnesses as possible, the "grand" jury as a trial jury gave way to the "petit" (small) jury composed of persons who knew nothing of the facts but depended mainly upon sworn information brought before them by those who could give them the facts.

## Sec. 1-2 Development of Rules of Evidence

Prior to the inception of the present judge-jury system there were no rules of evidence. Under the primitive trial practices described above, proof of guilt or innocence depended upon a revelation of the supernatural—an Act of God. The judge or court merely confirmed the "finding" thus made manifest and entered judgment accordingly. There was no element of persuasion involved, no concern about the reliability of the tests administered, and there was no thought given to the credibility of witnesses who swore in compurgation of their friend and neighbor. Their oath alone was all that mattered.<sup>1</sup>

During the 13th and 14th centuries an English trial was beginning to involve some element of persuasion, but only through consideration of evidentiary factors known to the jurors themselves, who thus had both knowledge of the facts and the power of decision thereon in their own hands. By the 16th and 17th centuries, however, the jury's chief source of information had come to be that furnished them by the sworn testimony of witnesses. Eventually, of course, juries came to be chosen from those who had *no* first-hand knowledge of the facts, but were *wholly* dependent upon the testimony of others in making their decisions. It was concurrent with these developments that the need arose for some means by which the jury could be protected against the introduction of false or otherwise undependable testimony. Rules of evidence were devised for this purpose. Questions were arising relative to the admissibility of various types of evidence. Since the reliability of evidence brought before a jury by witnesses was necessarily dependent upon the credibility and trustworthiness of those witnesses, it was imperative that rules of admissibility be established, otherwise the evidence would be nothing more than a hopeless jumble of hearsay, conclusions, and prejudicial remarks. The interests of justice demanded more than this for a "fair and impartial trial."

Also, matters of privilege began to arise, along with questions relating to the right to compel witnesses to appear in court and give testimony. Participation of wit-

nesses in the primitive compurgation trials, and even in the various other early "trials," had been on a purely voluntary basis. Compulsory attendance and testimony at trials were entirely new concepts, and several centuries were to pass before it came to be recognized that if the truth were to be discovered and real justice administered, it was essential that those having knowledge of facts pertaining to the case be brought in to testify.<sup>2</sup>

The considerations forced the judges to adopt rules to control the conduct of trials with order and efficiency, as well as to govern the admissibility of evidence presented to juries.<sup>3</sup> Such rules developed by gradual evolution through the ensuing centuries and are still in process of development today.<sup>4</sup> The law has never become static and this is especially true of the rules of evidence, which are adaptable to changing times and conditions.

"The law of evidence is a constantly expanding phase of our jurisprudence and its rules are moulded and applied according to the needs of justice, to meet changes made by the progress of society."<sup>5</sup>

Thus it was concurrent with the gradual shaping of the modern jury system that we find the rules of evidence coming into being. Extraneous matter which might safely be submitted to a learned judge without danger of misuse or misconstruction had to be kept from a jury composed of ordinary laymen, unacquainted with the law and its intricacies, apt to be highly impressionable, easily misled by irrelevancies appealing to their sympathies, passions and prejudices, yet charged with the responsibility of deciding the rights and liberties of those who came before them.<sup>6</sup>

Obviously there were logical and compelling reasons for the growth of evidentiary rules. Evidence which was untrustworthy, irrelevant, or too remote, had to be barred so that the jury could hear "the truth and nothing but the truth." The primary purpose of rules of evidence is to make possible a fair and impartial trial. Most of the evidentiary rules as we now know them have been formulated by courts at various stages of judicial history and thus come to us as part of our common law heritage.

There is no *universal* set of rules of evidence as such. Rulings of courts as to some of the rules may vary from one state to another. Common law rules still exist in some states, but have been modified by statute in others, and to an increasing extent the rules of evidence are being codified by legislative enactments. It is well recognized that state legislatures have power to prescribe rules of evidence for their courts as well as to establish methods of proof, if not in violation of constitutional rights or requirements. This authority extends to the establishment of presumptions, *prima facie* evidence, burden of proof, and the like.<sup>7</sup> "The due process clause has always been interpreted as permitting the states wide latitude in fashioning rules of evidence and procedure."<sup>8</sup>

For the Federal Courts, the "Rules of Evidence for