

CRIMINAL PLEADINGS AND PRACTICE IN CANADA

EUGENE G. EWASCHUK, Q.C.
OF THE ONTARIO AND SASKATCHEWAN BARS

1983

CANADA LAW BOOK LIMITED
240 EDWARD STREET, AURORA, ONTARIO

©1983

CANADA LAW BOOK LIMITED

All rights reserved. No part of this book
may be reproduced in any form by any
photographic, electronic, mechanical or
other means, or used in any information
storage and retrieval system, without the
written permission of the publisher.

ISBN: 0 88804 013 X

TO MY PARENTS
PAUL AND HELEN EWASCHUK

FOREWORD

By The Honourable Mr. Justice Kaufman

The number of criminal offences committed each year in Canada now exceeds two million, and the number of persons charged — some with more than one offence — has passed the 500,000 mark. Yet, unlike most other branches of the law, books on criminal procedure and practice are relatively scarce.

We have, of course, the annotated codes, useful and informative, but, by their very nature, limited in scope. There was Langelier's *Procédure Criminelle*, published in 1916, and Daly's *Canadian Criminal Procedure and Practice*, with periodic supplements by that indefatigable writer on all matters criminal, A.E. Popple. And then there was Popple's own *Canadian Criminal Procedure*, a hand-book of basic rules, unsophisticated, perhaps, but widely used by judges and practitioners.

Fortunately, in recent years, the subject has attracted wider interest: Salhany's *Canadian Criminal Procedure*, now in its third edition, Bélieau, Bellemare and Lussier's *Traité de procédure pénale*, and Friedland's and Del Buono's casebooks.

To these we now have a happy addition: "Gene" Ewaschuk's *Criminal Pleadings and Practice in Canada*. It is, as the reader will quickly discern, a monumental endeavour — a book which grew with every draft until both author and editor were satisfied that it was indeed complete. And so it is, with cases and comments on every conceivable problem, arranged in logical sequence, accessible and findable, cross-referenced and indexed. In short, an excellent aid for the practitioner, be it for instant use or research.

The profession is indebted to those who write textbooks. That debt is even greater where, as here, the author's contribution fills a void in an important yet neglected subject. I commend it to all in the field.

F. K.

Palais de Justice,
Montréal, Québec,
November 12, 1982

PREFACE

The notion of writing a textbook on criminal law, which now after the fact I consider bizarre and perhaps a bit daft, never entered my head when I first began to practise criminal law.

From 1966 until 1972 I practised criminal law as an Assistant Crown Attorney in Toronto. The pace and quality of practice were so hectic and demanding that it was all that I could do to keep up with the preparation and prosecution of my caseload and the perusal and digestion of the relevant case law.

However, the unquestioned acceptance of my lot in life changed in 1972 when I married and accepted a position as Associate Professor of Law at the University of Windsor. At that time I had the privilege of lecturing with many first-rate legal scholars. Among them were Ed Ratushny, amazingly pragmatic for a respected academic, Ron Ianni, now the Dean and "diplomat" of the Faculty, and Bernie Starkman, now senior adviser with the Federal Department of Justice at Ottawa on law and medical issues.

It was during my profitable and enjoyable stay at Windsor that I first entertained the notion of writing a criminal law text. Perhaps the professorial concern of "publish or perish" played some part in that pursuit. In any event, Ken Chasse of the Toronto "Crowns' Office" convinced me that we should collaborate on a text on criminal procedure.

However, before that goal could be realized, in 1974 I moved to Regina where I accepted a position with the provincial government as Director of Criminal Justice for the Province of Saskatchewan. The notion of writing a criminal procedure text renewed itself when I became aware of the technical criminal law practised in the province, especially in regard to criminal pleadings. It was at that time that I developed a short piece in proposition form on criminal pleadings which was eventually published in the Criminal Reports with its many warts, due mostly to its many typographical errors.

My odyssey ended, at least until now, with a position in 1976 with the Department of Justice in Ottawa as Director of Criminal Law Amendments.

Sometime shortly thereafter I was asked to deliver a lecture for federal prosecutors on criminal pleadings with the very learned Mr. Justice Dickson of the Supreme Court of Canada. For that lecture I had expanded my previous written materials on criminal pleadings and Howard Weston of the department, as my father confessor, insisted that I publish those materials. I then showed my monograph to Ed Greenspan, senior adviser on criminal law to Canada Law Book Limited and renowned criminal lawyer. Mr. Greenspan somewhat sheepishly pointed out the monograph's many deficiencies and suggested that the work needed revision and major additions before it could be published. Some three years after, it is to be hoped

that the manuscript now somewhat satisfies Mr. Greenspan's high expectations and demands.

A word or two is required as to the format of the book. I am mindful of the criticism that the proposition or "factum" style format may evoke from certain "critical analysts". Each proposition undoubtedly merits full development. However, full development with an analysis of historical background, comparative differences and present social considerations often would entail pages of exposition resulting in a book many sizes larger than the present one. Instead, I have opted for the more "black-letter" statement approach which appeals to the harried practitioner looking for the quick answer to pressing technical problems. However, for the more learned, demanding and inquisitive counsel I have attempted to list cases from all provinces and even cases later overruled or rendered inapplicable by later legislation. I have also tried to explain the purpose of many procedural requirements to provide a better understanding of the concepts, principles and rules involved. As well, reference to articles and texts are given as starting points for those interested in fuller analysis of the pertinent issues.

I thus realize the limitations of the text. Much fuller analysis is made in some areas than in others. But that has been deliberate, given the format of the book and the fact that it aims to be more a practitioner's manual and reference tool than an ordinary text.

At present, as "General Counsel, Criminal Law" with the Department of Justice, I follow more an appellate criminal practice than a trial practice. Moreover, I continue to lecture in criminal law at the University of Ottawa, Faculty of Law and the Ontario Bar Admission Course, and advise on criminal law reform and legislation. Hence, I have attempted to blend theory and practice into the text and as well at times, but to a lesser extent, have ventured into the area of policy, *i.e.*, the social value of certain procedures and practices. The result is thus a reflection of my varied and unusual background.

Thanks must be given to many contributors. Howard Wetston and Ed Greenspan have already been mentioned. Without their encouragement, the text would never have been written. The Honourable Mr. Justice Fred Kaufman of the Quebec Court of Appeal, a good friend over the years, has given much general advice on format and specific advice on various chapters as have Judge Lloyd Graburn of the County Court of York (Ontario), a former Crown Attorney, and Marc Rosenberg, legal scholar and adviser to Mr. Greenspan and associates.

Various specialists and experts have also given generously of their time. David Frankel of Vancouver, one of Canada's recognized experts in the area of wiretap law, has aided if not directed me in developing that chapter. Likewise former Judge Reilly Watson, Canada's undoubted expert on the law of bail or, as he calls it, "release" has given unstintingly of himself on that chapter as have David Solberg on the chapter on the mentally dis-

ordered offender and Paul Chumak on the jury. Howard Morton also generously provided materials on indictable appeals.

Mention must also be made to the generosity of John Hodges, Keith Ward, Joe Pethes, Ron Fainstein, Stan Cohen, Rick Mosley, Gerry McCracken, Vincent Del Buono and Orval Troy for their review and correction of the many deficiencies the text has experienced over the years.

Finally, acknowledgment must be given to the patience of my ever-loving wife, Jeanie, who has tolerated hours of research, writings and revisions which have taken place in the family home during numerous evenings and week-ends. My children, Glen, Michael and Celeste have literally grown up with my endless manuscripts, texts and corrections.

One cannot finish without mentioning the ever loyal and devoted secretary, in this case Pierrette Bathurst, who has toiled during my obsession to correct my many mistakes and convert my language into publishable prose, as has Liz Edwards of Canada Law Book whose generous assistance and advice has greatly contributed to the final product. All errors are of course my responsibility.

The law is generally stated as of the end of October, 1982, and in certain instances later. In regard to many propositions the law may change with the whim of an appellate court, the Supreme Court of Canada or the Canadian Parliament. Thus, regard must be had to the latest reports, Weekly Criminal Bulletins, and unpublished judgments if available, in determining whether the law as stated remains valid.

In that regard, it is the intention of the publisher to issue supplements from time to time, which will be accumulative, to keep the text up to date, and these will be designed to be inserted in the special pocket at the back of the book.

I would particularly appreciate comments on corrections, oversights and areas in need of expansion and development. Already, I have decided that additional chapters are needed on the Charter of Rights, contempt, possession, extradition and all recognized common law and statutory defences.

Finally, a word of advice. Since the book is now on the press the publisher, having indulged me until the last moment, has finally cut me off from further revision, but that has not stopped me from already writing into my copy changes to various propositions.

The case of *R. v. Carpenter*, as summarized in 8 W.C.B. 287 (Ont.C.A.) has clearly qualified proposition 15.59 as it applies to police "will say" statements. Similarly, *R. v. Holmes*, summarized in 8 W.C.B. 445 (Ont.C.A.) qualifies propositions 1.98 and 23.14. The latter, another definitive judgment of Mr. Justice G. Arthur Martin of the Ontario Court of Appeal, again demonstrates why he continues to be regarded as Canada's outstanding jurist in all facets of criminal law. In that regard, I would encourage readers to likewise "pencil in" new cases, especially in their

own books, with a view to keeping up with the everchanging law in the area of "criminal pleadings and practice in Canada".

In conclusion, the writing of this book has made me more appreciative of the tremendous effort authors make in composing their texts and more tolerant of the many resultant mistakes which can be expurged, but not completely, only by innumerable hours of tedious checking and rechecking.

Ottawa
December, 1982

E. G. Ewaschuk

TABLE OF CASES

Note: References are to paragraph numbers

103956 Canada Ltd. and Moniuk, Re (1981), 61 C.C.C. (2d) 285, 23 C.R. (3d) 87 (N.W.T.S.C.)	16.143
Abarca and The Queen, Re (1980), 57 C.C.C. (2d) 410 (Ont. C.A.) . 6.23, 7.11, 12.04, 25.13	
Abbott v. Refuge Ass'ce Co., Ltd., [1961] 3 All E.R. 1074 (C.A.)	12.85
Abel et al. and Advisory Review Board, Re (1980), 56 C.C.C. (2d) 153, 119 D.L.R. (3d) 101, 31 O.R. (2d) 520 (C.A.)	20.02
Abel v. United States, 362 U.S. 217 (1960)	3.64
Abitibi Paper Co. Ltd. and The Queen, Re (1979), 47 C.C.C. (2d) 487, 99 D.L.R. (3d) 333, 24 O.R. (2d) 742 (C.A.)	12.68, 12.69, 12.82
Abou-Assale and Pollack and The Queen, Re (1978), 39 C.C.C. (2d) 546, 1 C.R. (3d) 213 <i>sub nom.</i> Abou-Assale and Pollack v. Bourdon J.S.P., Champagne and Lockwood (Que. S.C.)	3.10, 3.23, 3.24, 3.35
Abrahams v. The Queen (1881), 6 S.C.R. 10	12.08
Adair v. M'Garry, [1933] S.L.T. 482	5.53
Adel Muhammed El Dabbah v. A.-G. Palestine, [1944] A.C. 156 (P.C.)	13.47
Adelphi Book Store Ltd. and The Queen, Re (1972), 8 C.C.C. (2d) 49, [1972] 6 W.W.R. 126 <i>sub nom.</i> R. v. King, 7 C.P.R. (2d) 166 (Sask. C.A.)	3.24
Adgey v. The Queen, [1975] 2 S.C.R. 426, 13 C.C.C. (2d) 177, 23 C.R.N.S. 298, 39 D.L.R. (3d) 553	14.21, 14.29
Amionetti and The Queen, Re (1981), 58 C.C.C. (2d) 164, 24 C.R. (3d) 13, [1981] 3 W.W.R. 42, 8 Man. R. (2d) 271 (C.A.), leave to appeal to S.C.C. refused C.C.C. <i>loc. cit.</i> , 37 N.A. 290n, 9 Man. R. (2d) 450n	3.44, 12.15
Alberta Giftwares Ltd. v. The Queen, [1974] S.C.R. 584, 11 C.C.C. (2d) 513, 36 D.L.R. (3d) 321, 11 C.P.R. (2d) 233, [1973] 5 W.W.R. 458	22.06
Alder et al. and The Queen, Re (1977), 37 C.C.C. (2d) 234, [1977] 5 W.W.R. 132, 5 A.R. 473, <i>sub nom.</i> Alder et al. v. A.-G. Alta. et al. (S.C.T.D.) 3.23, 3.39, 3.43, 3.51	
Alder et al. v. A.-G. Alta. et al. (No. 2) (1978), 5 Alta. L.R. (2d) 129, 12 A.R. 324 (S.C.T.D.)	3.45
Alderson v. Booth (1969), 53 Cr. App. R. 301	5.37
Allen, ex p. Dowson, Re. <i>See</i> Dowson and The Queen, Re	
Allen v. Flood and Taylor, [1898] A.C. 1 (H.L.)	9.80, 9.121
Allen v. The King (1911), 44 S.C.R. 331, 18 C.C.C. 1	22.73, 22.75
Allman et al., Re (1982), 7 W.C.B. 520 (B.C. Co. Ct.)	11.38
Alward and Mooney v. The Queen. <i>See</i> R. v. Alward	
Amato v. The Queen (1982), 69 C.C.C. (2d) 31, 42 N.R. 487 (S.C.C.)	12.81
Ambrose v. The Queen; Hutchison v. The Queen. <i>See</i> R. v. Hutchison	
Amero and The Queen, Re (1974), 17 C.C.C. (2d) 228, 29 C.R.N.S. 381, 8 N.S.R. (2d) 615, <i>sub nom.</i> R. v. Amero (S.C. App. Div.)	13.34
Anaskan and The Queen, Re (1977), 34 C.C.C. (2d) 361, 76 D.L.R. (3d) 351, 15 O.R. (2d) 515 (C.A.)	16.167
Anderson and The Queen, Re (1982), 66 C.C.C. (2d) 382 (B.C.S.C. in Chambers)	8.62
Anderson v. Johnston (1918), 30 C.C.C. 268, 43 D.L.R. 183, [1918] 3 W.W.R. 620, 11 Sask. L.R. 478 (C.A.)	5.18

TABLE OF CASES

Andrews, Ex p. (1973), 15 C.C.C. (2d) 43, [1974] 2 W.W.R. 481 <i>sub nom.</i> R. v. Andrews (B.C.S.C.)	16.77
Angle v. M.N.R., [1975] 2 S.C.R. 248, 47 D.L.R. (3d) 544, 2 N.R. 397, 74 D.T.C. 6278	14.84, 14.89
Antonation and The Queen, Re (1977), 35 C.C.C. (2d) 426 (B.C.S.C.)	8.63
Antonecchia v. The Queen (1959), 31 C.R. 320 (Que. C.A.)	16.28
Archambault, Ex p. (1910), 16 C.C.C. 433 (Que. K.B.)	10.25
Archer v. The Queen, [1955] S.C.R. 33, 110 C.C.C. 321, 20 C.R. 181, [1955] 2 D.L.R. 621	9.67, 9.83, 9.84, 9.85, 9.93
Ares v. Venner, [1970] S.C.R. 608, 12 C.R.N.S. 349, 14 D.L.R. (3d) 4, 73 W.W.R. 347	15.54
Armstrong and State of Wisconsin, Re (1977), 37 C.C.C. (2d) 397 (Ont. Co. Ct.)	6.16
Arnold v. Edelweiss Valley Ltd. (1978), 4 C.R. (3d) 371 (Que. C.A.)	1.23, 10.14
Arseneau and The Queen, Re (1974), 21 C.C.C. (2d) 432, 9 N.B.R. (2d) 391 <i>sub nom.</i> R. v. Arseneau (Q.B. Div.)	8.59, 11.10, 11.24, 11.40
Arthur v. A.-G. N.I. (1970), 55 Cr. App. R. 161 (H.L.)	15.147
Asselin and The Queen, Re (1979), 55 C.C.C. (2d) 332 (Que. C.A.)	12.68, 25.01
Atkinson and The Queen, Re (1978), 41 C.C.C. (2d) 435, 23 N.B.R. (2d) 121 (S.C. App. Div.)	3.40, 3.43
Atkinson v. The Queen, [1978] 1 S.C.R. 1018, 37 C.C.C. (2d) 416n, 1 C.R. (3d) 186, 22 N.R. 523	14.13
A.-G. v. Hitchcock (1847), 1 Ex. 91, 154 E.R. 38	15.79
A.-G. Alta. v. Kazakewich and Kazakewich, [1937] S.C.R. 427, [1937] 3 D.L.R. 574 ..	22.22
A.-G. Alta. v. Wallace et al. <i>See</i> R. v. Wallace et al., Re	
A.-G. B.C. v. Macdonell, Co. Ct. J. and Henyu. <i>See</i> R. and Henyu, Re	
A.-G. B.C. v. Moffet, Prov. J., and Jones (1978), 5 C.R. (3d) 285, [1978] 5 W.W.R. 533 (B.C.S.C.)	13.11
A.-G. B.C. v. Nelson (1980), 5 W.C.B. 171 (B.C.S.C.)	23.36
A.-G. B.C. v. Niedzwieki and Tribe. <i>See</i> R. and Niedzwieki and Tribe, Re	
A.-G. Can., Re; Re Customs Act, [1976] 1 F.C. 254 (C.A.)	3.60
A.-G. Can. v. A.-G. Ont. (1895), 23 S.C.R. 458	14.81
A.-G. Can. v. Bradley. <i>See</i> R. v. Bradley and Bickerdike	
A.-G. Can. v. Brydon (1974), 18 C.C.C. (2d) 221 (Man. C.A.), leave to appeal to S.C.C. refused January 28, 1975	22.81
A.-G. Can. and Doer, Re (1979), 49 C.C.C. (2d) 533 (Ont. H.C.J.)	3.24
A.-G. Can. v. Hyndels. <i>See</i> R. v. Hyndels	
A.-G. Can. v. Inuit Tapirisat of Canada et al., [1980] 2 S.C.R. 735, 115 D.L.R. (3d) 1, 33 N.R. 304	11.05, 12.04
A.-G. Can. et al. v. Law Society of British Columbia et al.; Jabour v. Law Society of British Columbia (unreported, 1982, S.C.C.)	20.03
A.-G. Can. v. Pattison (unreported, 1980, Alta. C.A.)	22.22
A.-G. Can. v. Power et al. (1982), 7 W.C.B. 195 (N.S.S.C. App. Div.)	22.18
A.-G. Can. v. Regal Drugs (1958) Ltd. <i>See</i> R. v. Regal Drugs (1958) Ltd.	
A.-G. Can. v. Riddell et al. <i>See</i> R. v. Riddell et al.	
A.-G. Can. v. Roy (1972), 18 C.R.N.S. 89 (Que. Q.B.)	16.174, 16.176, 24.09, 24.10
A.-G. Can. v. Sigouin, [1970] Que. C.A. 569	16.36
A.-G. Can. v. Wetmore Co. Ct. J. <i>See</i> R. and Kripps Pharmacy Ltd. and Kripps, Re	
A.-G. Can. v. Wong (1961), 37 C.R. 194, [1961] Que. Q.B. 907 (C.A.)	16.76, 16.121

TABLE OF CASES

A.-G. N.S. et al. v. MacIntyre (1982), 65 C.C.C. (2d) 129, 26 C.R. (3d) 193, 132 D.L.R. (3d) 385, 40 N.R. 181, 49 N.S.R. (2d) 609 (S.C.C.)	3.08, 3.38
A.-G. Ont. v. Daly and others. <i>See</i> R. v. Daly et al., Re	
A.-G. Ont. v. Reale, [1975] S.C.R. 624, 22 C.C.C. (2d) 571, 58 D.L.R. (3d) 560, 8 N.R. 164, <i>sub nom.</i> R. v. Reale	15.44
A.-G. Ont. and Yanover et al., Re (1982), 68 C.C.C. (2d) 151, 26 C.R. (3d) 216 <i>sub nom.</i> Re Yanover et al; Re Hill (Ont. Prov. Ct.)	3.38
A.-G. Que. and Keable v. A.-G. Can., [1979] 1 S.C.R. 218, 43 C.C.C. (2d) 49, 6 C.R. (3d) 145, 90 D.L.R. (3d) 161, 24 N.R. 1 <i>sub nom.</i> Keable et al. v. A.-G. Can.	15.54
A.-G. Que. v. Cohen, [1979] 2 S.C.R. 305, 46 C.C.C. (2d) 473, 13 C.R. (3d) 36 <i>sub nom.</i> A.-G. Que. v. Cohen, Brunet and Forget, 97 D.L.R. (3d) 193, 27 N.R. 344 <i>sub nom.</i> Re Cohen and A.-G. Que.	13.10, 25.01, 25.03, 25.22, 25.24
A.-G. Que. v. Cohen. <i>See</i> Cohen and The Queen, Re	
A.-G. Que. v. Cohen, Brunet and Forget. <i>See</i> A.-G. Que. v. Cohen	
A.-G. Que. v. Court of Records (1978), 3 C.R. (3d) 270 (Que. S.C.)	12.10
A.-G. Que. v. Labelle Prov. J. et al. (1979), 11 C.R. (3d) 370 (Que. S.C.) .	8.31, 8.59, 11.40
A.-G. Que. et al. v. Lechasseur et al., [1981] 2 S.C.R. 253, 63 C.C.C. (2d) 301, 28 C.R. (3d) 44, 128 D.L.R. (3d) 739, 38 N.R. 516, 25 R.F.L. (2d) 1	10.05
A.-G. Que. v. Lessard, J.S.P. et al. (1979), 9 C.R. (3d) 362 (Que. S.C.)	13.11
A.-G. Que. v. Trottier (1978), 1 C.R. (3d) 20 (Que. S.C.)	6.35
A.-G. Sask. and A.-G. Can., Re (1980), 57 C.C.C. (2d) 181, 6 Sask. R. 135 (C.A.)	18.08
A.-G. Sask. v. Pritchard (1961), 130 C.C.C. 61, 35 C.R. 150, 34 W.W.R. 458 (Sask. C.A.)	5.14
A.-G. Sask. and Underhill v. Boychuk and McConnell. <i>See</i> R. and McConnell, Re	
A.-G. South Australia v. Brown, [1960] A.C. 432 (P.C.)	17.25
Aucoin v. The Queen, [1979] 1 S.C.R. 554	15.44, 15.226
Ault v. Read (1956), 115 C.C.C. 132, 24 C.R. 260, 18 W.W.R. 438 <i>sub nom.</i> Re Ault; Ault v. Read (Alta. S.C. App. Div.)	9.131, 9.132, 9.138, 25.37
Azoulay v. The Queen, [1952] 2 S.C.R. 495, 104 C.C.C. 97, 15 C.R. 181	15.147, 22.87
Avon v. The Queen, [1971] S.C.R. 650, 4 C.C.C. (2d) 357, 21 D.L.R. (3d) 442	15.154, 22.76
 B v. Kimelman et al. <i>See</i> CB v. The Queen	
Babiuk v. Anderson (1929), 52 C.C.C. 23, [1929] 3 D.L.R. 944, [1929] 1 W.W.R. 728, 23 Sask. L.R. 377 (C.A.)	1.71
Bain v. The Queen (1955), 111 C.C.C. 281, 21 C.R. 144, 15 W.W.R. (N.S.) 228, 63 Man. R. 95 (C.A.)	5.27
Baker and The Queen, Re (1981), 60 C.C.C. (2d) 476, 23 C.R. (3d) 52 (B.C.S.C.)	6.29
Balcombe v. The Queen, [1954] S.C.R. 303, 110 C.C.C. 146	1.54, 1.68, 22.06
Baldhead, Re. <i>See</i> R. v. Baldhead	
Baldwin and Bauer and The Queen, Re (1980), 54 C.C.C. (2d) 85 (Ont. H.C.J.) .	1.10, 12.79
Ball and The Queen, Re (1978), 44 C.C.C. (2d) 532 (Ont. C.A.)	12.68
Banton and The Queen, Re (1976), 30 C.C.C. (2d) 253 (Ont. H.C.J.)	15.108
Baptiste and The Queen, Re (1982), 65 C.C.C. (2d) 510, 134 D.L.R. (3d) 382 (B.C.C.A.)	25.35
Bar of Province of Quebec v. Ste-Marie, Tisseur et al., third parties, [1977] 2 S.C.R. 414, 11 N.R. 59	22.99
Barnes and State of Tennessee, Re (1972), 34 C.C.C. (2d) 122 (Ont. H.C.J.)	6.16
Baron v. The King, [1930] S.C.R. 194, 53 C.C.C. 154, [1930] 2 D.L.R. 945	22.56
Barrick v. Parker and Bence. <i>See</i> R. v. Parker and Bence, ex p. Barwick	

TABLE OF CASES

Barrette v. The Queen, [1977] 2 S.C.R. 121, 29 C.C.C. (2d) 189, 33 C.R.N.S. 377, 68 D.L.R. (3d) 260, 10 N.R. 321	15.41, 15.43, 22.06
Barron, Re (1897), 4 C.C.C. 465 (P.E.I.S.C.)	1.107
Barton v. The King, [1929] S.C.R. 42, 51 C.C.C. 1, [1929] 1 D.L.R. 634	15.208
Batchelor v. The Queen, [1978] 2 S.C.R. 988, 38 C.C.C. (2d) 113, 81 D.L.R. (3d) 241, 18 N.R. 416	25.11, 25.39
Baxter v. The Queen (1952), 106 C.C.C. 15, 15 C.R. 265 (Que. C.A.)	11.34, 12.46
Bayne v. The Queen (1970), 14 C.R.N.S. 130, 74 W.W.R. 561 (Alta. S.C. App. Div.)	13.20,
	13.27, 13.29
Beach v. A.-G. Can., A.-G. Alta., Harvie and Blakey (1978), 8 Alta. L.R. (2d) 379, 13 A.R. 505 (S.C. App. Div.), leave to appeal to S.C.C. refused 15 A.R. 90n <i>sub nom.</i> R. v. Beach	3.36
Beattie v. The Queen, [1967] S.C.R. 474	22.11
Beaufils v. The Queen, [1977] Que. C.A. 151	14.111
Beaupre and The Queen, Re (1981), 61 C.C.C. (2d) 92, [1981] 5 W.W.R. 278, 12 Man. R. (2d) 238, 11 M.V.R. 221 (C.A.)	22.21, 25.23
Beauvais v. The Queen, [1956] S.C.R. 795, 116 C.C.C. 183, 24 C.R. 365 ..	7.30, 8.23, 10.04,
	12.23
Beeds and The Queen, Re (1972), 8 C.C.C. (2d) 462, [1972] 6 W.W.R. 44 <i>sub nom.</i> Beeds v. The Queen (Sask. Q.B.)	9.168, 11.09, 11.10, 13.43
Beim v. Goyer, [1965] S.C.R. 638, [1966] 4 C.C.C. 9, 57 D.L.R. (2d) 253	5.33, 5.35
Belanger v. The Queen, [1970] S.C.R. 567, [1970] 2 C.C.C. 206, 10 C.R.N.S. 373, 10 D.L.R. (3d) 683	15.182
Bell v. Parent (1903), 7 C.C.C. 465 (Que. Sess. of Peace)	9.202
Bell v. The Queen and McGillivray (1981), 23 C.R. (3d) 85 (B.C.C.A.) .	12.62, 12.75, 12.77
Bell v. The Queen et al., [1978] 1 W.W.R. 81 (B.C.S.C.)	8.44
Bell Telephone Co. of Canada, Re (1947), 89 C.C.C. 196, 4 C.R. 162, [1947] O.W.N. 651 (H.C.J.)	3.12
Bellerose and Durston v. Gunn. <i>See</i> Gunn and The Queen, Re	
Bellerose Prov. J. et al. v. Gunn. <i>See</i> Gunn and The Queen, Re	
Belton and The Queen, Re (1981), 61 C.C.C. (2d) 499, 10 Man. R. (2d) 253, <i>sub nom.</i> R. v. Belton (C.A.)	1.80
Belyea v. The King; Weinraub v. The King, [1932] S.C.R. 279, 57 C.C.C. 318, [1932] 2 D.L.R. 88	9.53, 9.105, 22.06
Belzberg v. The Queen, [1962] S.C.R. 254, 131 C.C.C. 281, 36 C.R. 368, 37 W.W.R. 97	12.16
Benloulou, Cote et Vezina v. Le Greffier de la Couronne du Dist. de Montreal (1976), 38 C.R.N.S. 359 (Que. C.A.)	12.62
Bennett v. The Queen (1982), 8 W.C.B. 428 (S.C.C.)	14.39, 16.69, 22.79
Bergeron et al. v. Deschamps et al., [1978] 1 S.C.R. 243, 33 C.C.C. (2d) 461, 73 D.L.R. (3d) 765, 14 N.R. 83	3.18, 3.43, 3.51
Bernier v. The Queen (1978), 5 C.R. (3d) S-1 (Que. C.A.)	16.163
Bertrand v. The Queen (1953), 108 C.C.C. 278, 17 C.R. 189 (Que. C.A.)	15.35
Beverley's Case (1603), 4 Co. Rep. 123 b, 76 E.R. 1118	17.01
Bietel v. Ouseley (1921), 35 C.C.C. 386, 61 D.L.R. 313, [1921] 3 W.W.R. 70 (Sask. C.A.)	6.07
Bigaouette v. The King, [1927] S.C.R. 112, 47 C.C.C. 271, [1927] 1 D.L.R. 1147	15.154
Bilodeau v. A.-G. Man. (1981), 63 C.C.C. (2d) 109 (Man. C.A.)	22.97, 22.98, 23.50
Binet v. The Queen, [1954] S.C.R. 52, 17 C.R. 361	15.147

TABLE OF CASES

Bird Construction Co. Ltd. v. A.-G. Alta. et al. <i>See</i> R. v. Read; Ex p. Bird Construction Co. Ltd.	
Bisaillon and Keable et al., Re (1980), 62 C.C.C. (2d) 340, 17 C.R. (3d) 193, 127 D.L.R. (3d) 368 (Que. C.A.), leave to appeal to S.C.C. granted 35 N.R. 267n	12.03, 25.34
Black and The Queen, Re (1973), 13 C.C.C. (2d) 446, 24 C.R.N.S. 203, [1973] 6 W.W.R. 371, <i>sub nom.</i> R. v. Black (B.C.S.C.)	3.21, 3.43
Blackley, Hook and Watt and The Queen, Re (1978), 41 C.C.C. (2d) 361, 11 A.R. 255 (S.C.T.D.)	11.39, 13.16
Blanchard v. Galbraith (1966), 10 C.L.Q. 122 (Man. Q.B.)	5.04
Blasko and The Queen, Re (1975), 29 C.C.C. (2d) 321, 33 C.R.N.S. 227 (Ont. H.C.J.)	12.88, 12.90, 14.58
Bleta v. The Queen, [1964] S.C.R. 561, [1965] 1 C.C.C. 1, 44 C.R. 193, 48 D.L.R. (2d) 139	18.02, 22.11
Blewett v. Tregonning (1835), 3 Ad. & E. 554, 111 E.R. 524	15.75
Blythe and The Queen, Re (1973), 13 C.C.C. (2d) 192 (B.C.S.C.)	10.19
Board of Trade v. Owen and Another, [1957] A.C. 602 (H.L.)	1.30
Bokor and Justices of Peace, Re (1971), 4 C.C.C. (2d) 177, [1971] 3 O.R. 647 (H.C.J.)	10.11, 10.19
Bolduc v. A.-G. Que. et al. (1982), 68 C.C.C. (2d) 413, 137 D.L.R. (3d) 674, 43 N.R. 185 (S.C.C.)	9.28, 25.37
Bonanza Creek Gold Mining Co., Ltd. v. The King, [1916] 1 A.C. 566, 26 D.L.R. 273 (P.C.)	14.81
Bond, Re (1936), 66 C.C.C. 271, [1936] 3 D.L.R. 769, 10 M.P.R. 506 (N.S.S.C.)	12.86, 14.58
Bonli v. Gosselin et al. (1981), 25 C.R. (3d) 303, 13 Sask. R. 45 (C.A.), leave to appeal to S.C.C. refused 41 N.R. 357n, 16 Sask. R. 178n	12.68, 12.75, 12.86, 14.52, 14.58, 25.02
Borden & Elliott and The Queen, Re (1975), 30 C.C.C. (2d) 337, 36 C.R.N.S. 334 <i>sub nom.</i> Re R. v. Froats, 70 D.L.R. (3d) 579, 13 O.R. (2d) 248 (C.A.) ..	3.19, 3.50, 3.51
Borgias v. The Queen (1966), 49 C.R. 38, [1966] Que. Q.B. 722n (C.A.)	5.11
Boucher v. The Queen, [1955] S.C.R. 16, 110 C.C.C. 263, 20 C.R. 1	15.142, 22.75
Boudreau v. The Queen (1960), 127 C.C.C. 355, 45 M.P.R. 45 (N.B.S.C. App. Div.) ..	25.34
Bouffard v. The Queen (1979), 16 C.R. (3d) 373 (Que. S.C.)	6.31
Boulet v. The Queen, [1978] 1 S.C.R. 332, 34 C.C.C. (2d) 397, 40 C.R.N.S. 158, 75 D.L.R. (3d) 223, 15 N.R. 541	15.151
Boyce, Ex p. (1974), 18 C.C.C. (2d) 439 (Ont. H.C.J.)	11.23
Boyer v. The King, [1949] S.C.R. 89, 94 C.C.C. 259, 7 C.R. 257, [1949] 4 D.L.R. 469 ..	22.54
Boykin v. Alabama, 395 U.S. 238 (U.S.S.C., 1969)	14.21
Boylan and The Queen, Re (1979), 46 C.C.C. (2d) 415, 8 C.R. (3d) 36, [1979] 3 W.W.R. 435, 3 Sask. R. 157, <i>sub nom.</i> R. v. Boylan (C.A.)	13.25
Bradlaugh and Besant v. The Queen (1878), 3 Q.B.D. 607, 14 Cox C.C. 68 (C.A.) ..	9.55
Bradley et al. and The Queen, Re (1975), 24 C.C.C. (2d) 482, 35 C.R.N.S. 192, 9 O.R. (2d) 161 (C.A.)	12.02, 12.26
Bradley v. The Queen, [1956] S.C.R. 723, 116 C.C.C. 341, 24 C.R. 91, 6 D.L.R. (2d) 385	15.156
Brand and The Queen, Re (1974), 20 C.C.C. (2d) 253, [1975] 2 W.W.R. 356 (Alta. S.C.T.D.)	1.91
Bratty v. A.-G. Northern Ireland, [1963] A.C. 386, 46 Cr. App. R. 1 (H.L.)	17.13, 18.04

TABLE OF CASES

British Acceptance Corp. Ltd. v. Belzberg et al.; British Acceptance Corp. Ltd. v. Car Lot Without A Name Ltd. et al. (1962), 40 W.W.R. 57 (Alta. S.C.)	9.46
Broadfoot and The Queen, Re (1977), 35 C.C.C. (2d) 493 (Ont. C.A.)	23.15
Brodie et al. v. The King, [1936] S.C.R. 188, 65 C.C.C. 289, [1936] 3 D.L.R. 81	9.100, 9.103, 9.104, 9.114
Brooks v. The King, [1927] S.C.R. 633, 48 C.C.C. 333, [1928] 1 D.L.R. 268	22.06, 22.73, 22.75
Broom v. Denison (1911), 20 O.W.R. 244 (Div. Ct.)	10.19
Brosseau v. The Queen, [1969] S.C.R. 181, [1969] 3 C.C.C. 129, 5 C.R.N.S. 331, 2 D.L.R. (3d) 139, 65 W.W.R. 751	14.21
Brown v. Baugh and Williams (1982), 8 W.C.B. 119 (B.C.C.A.)	5.54
Brown v. The Queen (1961), 132 C.C.C. 104, 46 M.P.R. 321 (N.B.S.C. App. Div.)	15.01
Browne v. Dunn (1893), 6 R. 67 (H.L.)	15.67
Brownell v. Brownell (1909), 42 S.C.R. 368	15.61
Bruck v. The King (1942), 80 C.C.C. 52, [1943] Rev. Leg. 219 (Que. K.B.)	9.59
Brulay v. United States, 383 F. 2d 345 (9th Cir. 1967), (cert. denied 389 U.S. 986	3.64
Brunet v. The King (1918), 57 S.C.R. 83, 30 C.C.C. 16, 42 D.L.R. 405	15.76
Buchholz v. The Queen (1958), 121 C.C.C. 293, 29 C.R. 24, 25 W.W.R. 683 (Man. C.A.)	1.107
Buckingham v. Daily News Ltd., [1956] 2 Q.B. 534 (C.A.)	15.51
Buckley v. Fitzgelbon (Warden) (1921), 36 C.C.C. 288, 32 Que. K.B. 123, 67 D.L.R. 727 (C.A.)	8.16
Buckman v. Button, [1943] K.B. 405	16.169
Burchill, Re. <i>See</i> R. and Smith, Re	
Burdeau v. McDowell, 256 U.S. 465 (1921)	3.64
Bureau v. The King (1931), 52 Que. K.B. 15	15.22
Burton, Re. <i>See</i> R. v. Burton	
B. X. Development Inc. and 9 others and The Queen, Re (1976), 31 C.C.C. (2d) 14, 70 D.L.R. (3d) 366, [1976] 4 W.W.R. 364 (B.C.C.A.)	3.12, 3.50
C and The Queen, Re (1976), 29 C.C.C. (2d) 37 (Sask. Q.B.)	9.51
C B v. The Queen (1981), 62 C.C.C. (2d) 107, 127 D.L.R. (3d) 482, 23 C.R. (3d) 289, [1981] 6 W.W.R. 701, 38 N.R. 451, <i>sub nom.</i> B. v. Kimelman et al. (S.C.C.)	15.49
Caccamo v. The Queen, [1976] 1 S.C.R. 786, 21 C.C.C. (2d) 257, 29 C.R.N.S. 78, 54 D.L.R. (3d) 685, 4 N.R. 133	13.06, 13.46, 13.47
Cachia, Re. <i>See</i> R. v. Cachia	
Camara v. Municipal Court of San Francisco, 387 U.S. 523 (1967)	3.64
Cameron and The Queen, Re (1979), 48 C.C.C. (2d) 222 (Sask. Q.B.)	1.10, 12.79
Campbell v. Sumida, [1965] 3 C.C.C. 29, 45 C.R. 198, 49 D.L.R. (2d) 263, 50 W.W.R. 16 (Man. C.A.)	10.03
Canadian Broadcasting Corp. v. A.-G. Ont., [1959] S.C.R. 188, 122 C.C.C. 305, 16 D.L.R. (2d) 609	1.10
Canadian Cablesystems (Ontario) Ltd. v. Consumers' Ass'n of Canada and Canadian Radio-Television Com'n, [1977] 2 S.C.R. 740, 77 D.L.R. (3d) 641, 30 C.P.R. (2d) 76, 15 N.R. 111 <i>sub nom.</i> Re Canadian Radio-Television Com'n; Canadian Cablesystems (Ontario) Ltd. v. Consumers' Ass'n of Canada et al.	22.110

TABLE OF CASES

Canadian Pacific Transport Co. Ltd. et al. and Provincial Court of Alberta et al., etc., Re (1982), 66 C.C.C. (2d) 241, 135 D.L.R. (3d) 89, 27 C.R. (3d) 138, 63 C.P.R. (2d) 113, [1982] 2 W.W.R. 673, 18 Alta. L.R. (2d) 223, 35 A.R. 132, <i>sub nom.</i> Canadian National Transportation Ltd. et al. v. Provincial Court of Alberta et al. (C.A.), leave to appeal to S.C.C. granted C.C.C. <i>loc. cit.</i> , D.L.R. <i>loc. cit.</i> , 42 N.R. 173n, 36 A.R. 359n	12.05, 12.07, 12.19
Canadian Transport (U.K.) Ltd. v. Alsbury et al. (1952), 105 C.C.C. 20, [1953] 1 D.L.R. 385, 7 W.W.R. (N.S.) 49 (B.C.C.A.), affd [1953] 1 S.C.R. 516, C.C.C. <i>loc. cit.</i> p. 311, 17 C.R. 176, [1953] 2 D.L.R. 785, <i>sub nom.</i> Tony Poje et al. v. A.-G. B.C. (S.C.C.)	4.61
Canequip Exports Ltd. and Smith et al., Re (1972), 8 C.C.C. (2d) 360 (Man. Q.B.)	3.41
Cardwell v. Lewis, 417 U.S. 583 (1974)	3.64
Carriere, Preet and Davidson, Re (1970), 14 C.R.N.S. 20 (Ont. C.A.)	13.32, 13.33
Casault v. Theberge. <i>See</i> Theberge and The Queen, Re	
Casey v. Automobiles Renault Canada Ltd. et al., [1965] S.C.R. 607, [1966] 2 C.C.C. 289, 54 D.L.R. (2d) 600	10.11
Cassidy, Re, (1980), 5 W.C.B. 19 (B.C.S.C.)	
Cassidy v. The Queen. <i>See</i> R. v. Cassidy	
Castellani v. The Queen, [1970] S.C.R. 310, [1970] 4 C.C.C. 287, 9 C.R.N.S. 111, 12 D.L.R. (3d) 92, 71 W.W.R. 147	15.84
Castro v. The Queen (1881), 6 App. Cas. 229, 14 Cox C.C. 546 (H.L.)	9.23, 9.212
Cathro v. The Queen, [1956] S.C.R. 101, 113 C.C.C. 225, 22 C.R. 231, 2 D.L.R. (2d) 270	15.147, 15.160
Caufield v. The King (1926), 48 C.C.C. 109, 42 Que. K.B. 449 (C.A.)	15.122
Cayer v. The Queen; Sullivan v. The Queen (1980), 16 C.R. (3d) 387 (Que. C.A.)	5.36
Cedarvale Tree Services Ltd. and Labourer's Int'l Union of North America, Local 183, Re (1971), 22 D.L.R. (3d) 40, [1971] 3 O.R. 832, 71 C.L.L.C. 14,087 (C.A.) ..	25.10
Certiorari Application, Re; Re Popoff's Conviction. <i>See</i> R. v. Popoff	
Chalmers and Others v. The King (1932), 52 Que. K.B. 244 (C.A.), affd [1933] S.C.R. 196, [1933] 2 D.L.R. 789	9.121
Chamakese and The Queen, Re (1978), 44 C.C.C. (2d) 361 (Sask. Q.B.)	25.18
Chambers v. Murphy, [1953] 2 D.L.R. 705, [1953] O.W.N. 399 (C.A.)	15.51
Chandler et al. v. D.P.P., [1964] A.C. 763 (H.L.)	15.152
Chapelle and The Queen, Re (1980), 52 C.C.C. (2d) 32 (Ont. H.C.J.)	18.10
Chapman, Re, [1970] 5 C.C.C. 46, 11 C.R.N.S. 1, [1970] 3 O.R. 344 (C.A.)	1.30, 1.43
Chapman v. United States, 365 U.S. 610 (1961)	3.64
Charest v. The Queen (1957), 119 C.C.C. 197, 26 C.R. 250 (Que. C.A.)	22.38
Charette v. The Queen. <i>See</i> R. v. Parsons et al.	
Charlton, Re (1968), 3 D.L.R. (3d) 623, [1969] 1 O.R. 706 (C.A.)	14.35
Chartier v. A.-G. Que., [1979] 2 S.C.R. 474, 48 C.C.C. (2d) 34, 9 C.R. (3d) 97, 104 D.L.R. (3d) 321, 27 N.R. 1	5.17, 5.30, 5.33
Chartrand v. The Queen, [1977] 1 S.C.R. 314, 26 C.C.C. (2d) 417, 64 D.L.R. (3d) 145, 8 N.R. 503	17.25
Chase v. The Queen (1981), 34 N.B.R. (2d) 690 (Q.B.)	12.41
Chedrawy v. A.-G. Can. and Welton (1981), 23 C.R. (3d) 30, 46 N.S.R. (2d) 146 (S.C.T.D.)	3.12, 3.13, 3.18
Chenard and The Queen, Re (1975), 26 C.C.C. (2d) 333 (Ont. H.C.J.)	1.70
Cheyenne Realty Ltd. v. Thompson et al., [1975] 1 S.C.R. 87, 15 C.C.C. (2d) 49, 42 D.L.R. (3d) 733, 1 N.R. 273	22.20, 23.30, 25.01, 25.12, 25.37, 25.38
Chiappetta and The Queen, Re (1980), 57 C.C.C. (2d) 556 (Ont. H.C.J.)	1.79

TABLE OF CASES

Chic Fashions (West Wales), Ltd. v. Jones, [1968] 1 All E.R. 229 (C.A.)	3.34
Chisholm and The Queen, Re (1973), 11 C.C.C. (2d) 401 (B.C.S.C.)	10.27
Chisholm v. The Queen (1973), 21 C.R.N.S. 181 (Que. S.C.)	23.28
Choueiri v. Somers (unreported, 1981, Que. S.C.)	13.09
Chouinard and McDonnell v. The Queen, [1963] S.C.R. 279, 39 C.R. 352n ... 15.232, 16.108	
Christianson, Re (1951), 100 C.C.C. 289, 13 C.R. 22, [1951] 4 D.L.R. 462, 3 W.W.R. (N.S.) 133 (B.C.S.C.)	15.236
Christie and Another v. Leachinsky, [1947] A.C. 573 (H.L.)	5.27, 5.28, 5.31
Chromiak v. The Queen, [1980] 1 S.C.R. 471, 49 C.C.C. (2d) 257, 12 C.R. (3d) 300, 102 D.L.R. (3d) 368, 29 N.R. 441, 11 Alta. L.R. (2d) 295, 24 A.R. 206, 3 M.V.R. 69	5.37
Chung et al., Ex p. (1975), 26 C.C.C. (2d) 497, [1976] 1 W.W.R. 453 (B.C.C.A.)	5.45
Ciglen et al. and The Queen, Re (1978), 45 C.C.C. (2d) 227 (Ont. H.C.J.)	9.231, 22.06
Clark v. The King (1921), 61 S.C.R. 608, 35 C.C.C. 261, 59 D.L.R. 121, [1921] 2 W.W.R. 446	18.01
Clark v. The Queen (1981), 49 N.S.R. (2d) 704 (S.C. App. Div.)	15.235
Clarke (No. 1), Ex p.; Ex p. White (1978), 41 C.C.C. (2d) 511, 19 Nfld. & P.E.I.R. 219 <i>sub nom.</i> Re Clarke and White (No. 1) (Nfld. S.C.T.D.)	6.48, 25.20
Clarke et al. v. Holdsworth, Laaja and Steelhead Ranch Resort Ltd. (1967), 62 W.W.R. 1 (B.C.S.C.)	15.65
Cloutier v. The Queen, [1979] 2 S.C.R. 709, 48 C.C.C. (2d) 1, 12 C.R. (3d) 10, 99 D.L.R. (3d) 577, 28 N.R. 1	15.14, 15.25, 15.53, 15.235
Cobbett v. Hudson (1852), 1 El. & Bl. 11, 118 E.R. 341	15.46
Cohen and A.-G. Que., Re. See A.-G. Que. v. Cohen	
Cohen and The Queen, Re (1976), 32 C.C.C. (2d) 446, 34 C.R.N.S. 362 <i>sub nom.</i> A.-G. Que. v. Cohen (Que. C.A.), revd [1979] 2 S.C.R. 305, 46 2 C.C.C. (2d) 473, 13 C.R. (3d) 36 <i>sub nom.</i> A.-G. Que. v. Cohen, Brunet and Forget, 97 D.L.R. (3d) 193, 27 N.R. 344	10.22
Colet v. The Queen, [1981] 1 S.C.R. 2, 57 C.C.C. (2d) 105, 19 C.R. (3d) 84, 119 D.L.R. (3d) 521, [1981] 2 W.W.R. 472, 35 N.R. 227	3.04, 5.51
Colligan v. The Queen (1955), 113 C.C.C. 168, 21 C.R. 120 (Que. C.A.)	14.25
Collinge v. Gee (1968), 64 W.W.R. 321 (B.C.C.A.), affd [1968] S.C.R. 948, W.W.R. loc. cit. p. 512	22.49
Collins and The Queen, Re (1973), 13 C.C.C. (2d) 172, 41 D.L.R. (3d) 232, [1973] 3 O.R. 672 (C.A.)	22.68
Collins v. The King (1921), 62 S.C.R. 154, 35 C.C.C. 390, 62 D.L.R. 166	12.55
Colpitts v. The Queen, [1965] S.C.R. 739, [1966] 1 C.C.C. 146, 47 C.R. 175, 52 D.L.R. (2d) 416	15.147, 15.149, 22.75
Committee for Justice and Liberty et al. v. National Energy Board et al., [1978] 2 S.C.R. 369, 68 D.L.R. (3d) 716, 9 N.R. 115	25.34
Commonwealth of Puerto Rico v. Hernandez, [1975] 1 S.C.R. 228, 14 C.C.C. (2d) 209, 41 D.L.R. (3d) 549	25.08
Com'r of Police of Metropolis v. Hills, [1980] A.C. 26, 67 Cr. App. R 375 (H.L.) 9.40, 9.225	
Connelly v. D.P.P. (1964), 48 Cr. App. R. 183 (H.L.)	9.207, 9.212, 12.71, 14.48, 14.51, 14.100
Connor v. Hodges, [1968] Que. Q.B. 1008n (C.A.)	5.48
Conrad and The Queen, Re (1973), 12 C.C.C. (2d) 405, 13 N.S.R. (2d) 265 <i>sub nom.</i> Conrad v. Murray (S.C.T.D.), leave to appeal to S.C.C. refused S.C.R. x	1.14, 1.93, 12.25, 14.03