

PROCEEDINGS OF SPIE



SPIE—The International Society for Optical Engineering

Free-Space Laser Communication Technologies X

G. Stephen Mecherle
Chair/Editor

27–28 January 1998
San Jose, California

Sponsored and Published by
SPIE—The International Society for Optical Engineering



Volume 3266

SPIE is an international technical society dedicated to advancing engineering and scientific applications of optical, photonic, imaging, electronic, and optoelectronic technologies.



The papers appearing in this book comprise the proceedings of the meeting mentioned on the cover and title page. They reflect the authors' opinions and are published as presented and without change, in the interests of timely dissemination. Their inclusion in this publication does not necessarily constitute endorsement by the editors or by SPIE.

Please use the following format to cite material from this book:

Authors: "Title of paper," in *Free-Space Laser Communication Technologies*, A. G. Stephen Mecherle, Editor, Proceedings of SPIE Vol. 3266, page numbers (1998).

ISSN 0277-786X
ISBN 0-8194-2705-5

Published by
SPIE—The International Society for Optical Engineering
P.O. Box 10, Bellingham, Washington 98227-0010 USA
Telephone 360/676-3290 (Pacific Time) • Fax 360/647-1445

Copyright © 1998, The Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers.

Copying of material in this book for internal or personal use, or for the internal or personal use of specific clients, beyond the fair use provisions granted by the U.S. Copyright Law is authorized by SPIE subject to payment of copying fees. The Transactional Reporting Service base fee for this volume is \$10.00 per article (or portion thereof), which should be paid directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Payment may also be made electronically through CCC Online at <http://www.director.net/copyright/>. Other copying for republication, resale, advertising or promotion, or any form of systematic or multiple reproduction of any material in this book is prohibited except with permission in writing from the publisher. The CCC fee code is 0277-786X/98/\$10.00.

Printed in the United States of America.

Conference Committee

Conference Chair

G. Stephen Mecherle, Laser Diode Systems Corporation

Program Committee

Tomohiro Araki, National Space Development Agency of Japan
Yoshinori Arimoto, Communications Research Laboratory (Japan)
David L. Begley, Ball Aerospace & Technologies Corporation
Robert T. Carlson, LSA, Inc.
Victor J. Chan, AstroTerra Corporation
Richard R. Craig, SDL, Inc.
Robert J. Feldmann, Air Force Research Laboratory
Wayne R. Fenner, The Aerospace Corporation
James E. Freidell, Daedalian Technologies, Ltd.
Emily S. Kintzer, MIT Lincoln Laboratory
Eric J. Korevaar, AstroTerra Corporation
Bernard Laurent, Matra Marconi Space (France)
James R. Lesh, Jet Propulsion Laboratory
Theodore L. Miller, Eastman Kodak Company
Donald J. Nicholson, Air Force Research Laboratory
Gotthard Oppenhauser, European Space Agency (Netherlands)
Klaus Pribil, Dornier GmbH (Switzerland)

Session Chairs

- 1 Experimental Results
 G. Stephen Mecherle, Laser Diode Systems Corporation
- 2 Pointing, Acquisition, and Tracking
 James E. Freidell, Daedalian Technologies, Ltd.
- 3 System and Market Analysis
 David L. Begley, Ball Aerospace & Technologies Corporation
- 4 System Design
 G. Stephen Mecherle, Laser Diode Systems Corporation
- 5 Atmospheric Effects
 James R. Lesh, Jet Propulsion Laboratory

Introduction

It is a landmark to have reached the tenth installment of Free-Space Laser Communication Technologies and, somewhat coincidentally, it occurs in the same year that marks my twentieth anniversary of industrial involvement with lasercom. This is a testament to the longevity and continued evolution of free-space laser communication technology, and it coincides with a landmark of another sort: this year marked the crossover from government promotion of technology development to commercial program demands for mature technology for deployment.

The commercial undercurrent at the conference was unmistakable, ranging from the overview of paper 14 to the commercial space applications of papers 2, 18, and 30 to the commercial terrestrial applications of papers 25 and 28. It is my intention, with preliminary agreement from the program sponsors, to include more information on the commercial space lasercom programs at next year's conference.

Another technology milestone was reached at this year's conference—the commercial availability of multiwatt, diffraction-limited, high-bandwidth lasers based on a DFB master oscillator and erbium-doped fiber amplifier at 1.5 μm (paper 5, which unfortunately is not available in this proceedings). Although still expensive, this laser has been on the wish list of lasercom system engineers for at least the last two decades. Additionally, the very interesting Jet Propulsion Laboratory projects for deep space exploration and the International Space Station cannot help but capture the imagination.

Once again, we had many new faces and, of course, many returning veterans at this year's conference. I hope to see all of you again and meet the additional newcomers at next year's conference.

G. Stephen Mecherle

Contents

vii	<i>Conference Committee</i>
ix	<i>Introduction</i>

SESSION 1 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

- 2 **Results of the STRV-2 lasercom terminal evaluation tests [3266-01]**
A. Biswas, G. Williams, K. E. Wilson, Jet Propulsion Lab.; H. Hakakha, R. Stieger,
E. J. Korevaar, AstroTerra Corp.

- 14 **Very high speed optoelectronic functions for extremely high data rate communications
between satellites [3266-02]**
G. Folcke, J. M. DeFrancq, D. Geffroy, Thomson-CSF (France); B. Roy, Matra Marconi
Space (France)

- 22 **Lasercom test and evaluation station (LTES) development: an update [3266-03]**
A. Biswas, K. E. Wilson, N. A. Page, Jet Propulsion Lab.

- 33 **Performance analysis and electronics packaging of the optical communications demonstrator
[3266-04]**
M. Jeganathan, S. Monacos, Jet Propulsion Lab.

- 42 **Latest results and trade-off of high-power optical fiber amplifiers for optical interorbit
communications [3266-06]**
T. Araki, S. Yamakawa, M. Furuya, Y. Hisada, National Space Development Agency of Japan

- 49 **High-power high-speed single-mode diode lasers for optical intersatellite link applications
[3266-07]**
B. Li, W. Sha, S. Yeh, R. Nagarajan, R. R. Craig, SDL, Inc.

- 54 **Application of COTS high-power laser diodes and driver for a free-space laser communication
terminal [3266-30]**
E. Y. Chan, J. C. Adams, J. M. Saint Clair, Boeing Defense & Space Group; K. A. Morrison,
M. Sosa, Hytek Microsystems, Inc.

SESSION 2 POINTING, ACQUISITION, AND TRACKING

- 70 **Experimental demonstration of a self-tracking 16-aperture receiver telescope array for laser
intersatellite communications [3266-10]**
A. Kalmar, K. H. Kudielka, W. R. Leeb, Technische Univ. Wien (Austria)

- 79 **Small-size two-axis mechanical devices for FPM and PAM using piezoelectronic actuators
[3266-11]**
M. Furuya, T. Araki, S. Yamakawa, Y. Hisada, National Space Development Agency of Japan;
F. Kondo, T. Akiba, Toshiba Corp. (Japan)

SESSION 3 SYSTEM AND MARKET ANALYSIS

- 90 **Development of the free-space optical communications analysis software [3266-13]**
M. Jeganathan, Jet Propulsion Lab.; G. S. Mecherle, Laser Diode Systems Corp.; J. R. Lesh, Jet Propulsion Lab.
- 99 **Why commercial broadband satellites absolutely must have laser intersatellite links (ISLs) and how the free-space laser communications community could let them down [3266-14]**
J. E. Freidell, Daedalian Technologies, Ltd.
- 111 **Comparison of coherent detection and optically preamplified receivers [3266-15]**
G. S. Mecherle, Laser Diode Systems Corp.
- 120 **Computer simulation of the laser radar [3266-16]**
Y. Chen, Y. Tie, Harbin Univ. of Science and Technology (China)

SESSION 4 SYSTEM DESIGN

- 128 **Planning for a long-term optical demonstration from the International Space Station [3266-17]**
J. V. Sandusky, J. R. Lesh, Jet Propulsion Lab.
- 135 **Optical communications terminals for multimedia applications [3266-18]**
G. C. Baister, P. V. Gatenby, Matra Marconi Space (UK); B. Laurent, G. Griseri, Matra Marconi Space (France)
- 146 **Development of a 1-m-class telescope at TMF to support optical communications demonstrations [3266-19]**
K. E. Wilson, J. V. Sandusky, Jet Propulsion Lab.
- 153 **Design of a ground terminal for the STRV-2 satellite-to-ground lasercom experiment [3266-20]**
E. J. Korevaar, J. J. Schuster, H. Hakakha, R. Stieger, P. Adhikari, B. Riley, C. Moursund, J. Koontz, A. Lath, M. Barclay, AstroTerra Corp.
- 165 **Combined laser communication and imager for microspacecraft (ACLAIM) [3266-21]**
H. Hemmati, J. R. Lesh, Jet Propulsion Lab.
- 171 **Laser communications terminal for the X2000 series of planetary missions [3266-22]**
H. Hemmati, J. R. Lesh, Jet Propulsion Lab.
- 178 **500-km 1-GBPS airborne laser link [3266-31]**
R. L. Arnold, E. L. Woodbridge, Trex Communications; G. Smith, G. L. Taylor, R. G. Trissel, ThermoTrex Corp.; R. J. Feldmann, R. A. Gill, Air Force Research Lab.

SESSION 5 ATMOSPHERIC EFFECTS

- 200 **Analysis of data from the Atmospheric Visibility Monitoring (AVM) program [3266-24]**
M. Jeganathan, Jet Propulsion Lab.; N. Jalali, California Institute of Technology

- 209 **Scintillation measurements performed during the limited-visibility lasercom experiment [3266-25]**
I. J. Kim, AstroTerra Corp.; E. L. Woodbridge, Trex Communications Corp.; V. J. Chan, AstroTerra Corp.; B. R. Strickland, U.S. Army Space and Missile Defense Command
- 221 **Adaptive beam-size control for ground-to-satellite laser communications [3266-26]**
Y. E. Yenice, Univ. of Surrey (UK) and Nigde Univ. (Turkey); B. G. Evans, Univ. of Surrey (UK)
- 231 **Temporal broadening of ultrashort space-time Gaussian pulses with applications in laser satellite communication [3266-27]**
D. E. Kelly, Univ. of Central Florida and CREOL/Univ. of Central Florida; C. Y. Young, Univ. of Central Florida; L. C. Andrews, Univ. of Central Florida and CREOL/Univ. of Central Florida
- 241 *Addendum*
242 *Author Index*

SESSION 1

Experimental Results

Results of the STRV-2 lasercom terminal evaluation tests

A. Biswas, G. Williams, K. E. Wilson,

Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak grove Drive, Pasadena, CA

H. Hakakha, R. Steiger, E. Korevaar

AstroTerra Corporation, Sorrento Valley road, San Diego, CA


ABSTRACT

The STRV-2 lasercom terminal (LCT) was designed and developed by AstroTerra Corporation of San Diego, California, under funding from the Ballistic Missile Defense Organization (BMDO). Scheduled for launch in late 1998 it will be used to demonstrate, for the first time, high data rate bi-directional satellite-to-ground optical communications. Concurrently with the development of the STRV-2 lasercom NASA/JPL was assembling the lasercom test and evaluation station (LTES), a high quality test platform for pre-flight characterization of optical communications terminals. The respective development schedules allowed evaluation of the STRV-2 LCT using LTES, for a month, prior to integration of the LCT with the spacecraft palette. Final co-alignment of the transmitter lasers to within ± 20 μ rad with respect to the receive axis was achieved. This in turn allowed the specified 76 μ rad transmit beam divergence to be realized. However, subjecting the LCT to expected on-orbit temperatures revealed that the co-alignment deteriorated causing beam spreading, a finding which prompted the recommendation to operate the lasers warmed up during ground encounters. The "bent-pipe" operation bit-error rates (BER) at 155, 194 and 325 Mbps were $\leq 1E-10$ over a ~ 20 dB range of irradiance measured at the receive telescope aperture. At 500 Mbps BER's of $1E-6$ were achieved over a ~ 6 dB irradiance range, suggesting greater vulnerability to atmosphere induced fades. A pointing offset between the acquisition receivers and transmitter lasers of 1 mrad was measured. The impact of this offset will be to limit acquisition camera framing rates to 87 and 251 Hz, thus limiting the tracking loop bandwidth. Tracking performance tests of the lasercom terminal, though planned, could not be carried out because the software was not ready at the time of testing with LTES. The test results obtained for STRV-2 lasercom terminal will be used for designing the ground receiver.


Keywords: STRV-2, free space optical communications, lasercom testing and evaluation

1. INTRODUCTION

The lasercom terminal^{1,2} on STRV-2 was designed and developed by AstroTerra Corporation of San Diego, California, under funding from Ballistic Missile Defense Organization (BMDO). STRV-2 is scheduled for launch in late 1998 and the primary objective of the lasercom experiment is to demonstrate, for the first time, high data rate bi-directional satellite-to-ground optical communications. Accurate co-alignment and characterization of the lasercom communications terminal (LCT) performance required a high precision test bed such as the lasercom test and evaluation station^{3,4} (LTES) designed and assembled by NASA/JPL. With this in mind and prior to integration with the spacecraft palette, the STRV-2 LCT was brought to the LTES laboratory at JPL, to first, co-align the lasercom terminals eight transmitter lasers and then, to evaluate the effect of expected on-orbit temperatures on the transmitted laser far-field divergence. Additionally, characterization tests in order to evaluate the acquisition, receive and transmit functions of the LCT were performed. These include, measuring the field-of views (FOV's) of the communications and acquisition receivers, the optical power of the transmitters, the transmit and receive wavelength



(a)



(b)

Figure 1.1 (a) Showing the STRV-2 LCT and (b) the LCT connected to the flight electronic box.

characteristics and the “bent-pipe” or “loop-back” bit error rate characteristics. Except for the tracking performance of the LCT which could not be performed due to the unavailability of the tracking software at the time of testing, all other functional features were tested and the results will be used in the design of the ground receivers to be used during the STRV-2 lasercom experiment.

A brief description of the lasercom terminal (LCT) is provided in what follows, for a more detailed description see references 1 and 2. Figure 1.1a shows a photograph of the LCT where the central 14 cm telescope aperture with 5.7 cm obscuration serves as the receive aperture for the two communications channels and the primary acquisition channel. Arranged around this telescope along an approximate semicircular circumference (17.3 cm in diameter) are shown ten 16 mm diameter lasers of which the two at the extremities are cw beacon lasers (852 nm) and the remaining eight are transmitter lasers (810 nm). Also shown along this semicircle are two larger (3.8 cm diameter) apertures, one of which serves as a secondary acquisition channel, the other remaining unused.

The communications receiver channel detectors are avalanche photodiodes (APD's) each of which is designed to accept either right hand circular polarized (RHCP) or left hand circular polarized (LHCP) laser light at 810 nm with a 9 nm bandpass. The primary acquisition detector is a CCD camera behind a Cs atomic line filter (ALF) designed to accept 852.112 nm light with a bandpass of 0.02 nm. The secondary acquisition channel also consists of a CCD detector behind a 4 nm bandpass filter centered at 852 nm. Each of the transmitter lasers emits a 16 mm diameter beam. The front end optics of these transmitters are designed so that a bank of four lasers each, emit RHCP or LHCP light. The LCT is mounted on a two axis (slant and azimuth) precision gimbal. Figure 1.1b shows the LCT connected to the flight electronic box, which provides the control interface to all the gimbal motors, detectors, lasers and health monitors on the terminal.

A detailed description of LTES appears in references 3 and 4 and will not be repeated here, however, for the sake of completeness an optical layout diagram is shown in Figure 1.2. Modifications to LTES were necessary in order to transmit the appropriate beacon to the STRV-2 LCT, namely, a 810 nm modulated LHCP or RHCP beam for the communications receivers and a cw tunable 852 nm RHCP beam for the acquisition receivers. Moreover the data channel of LTES was also modified to accept either RHCP or LHCP laser light.




Figure 1.2 Showing the optical layout of LTES which was used as a test bed for characterizing the STRV-2 LCT.

2.0 TEST SETUP

Figure 2.1 is a schematic diagram of the experimental set up used to characterize the LCT. The glove box enclosure was mounted on a base plate and then bolted to a sturdy three point laboratory stand that allowed height adjustment. The glove box enclosure was continuously purged with extra dry nitrogen (~5-10 standard cubic foot per hour) during the experiment, in order to maintain a relative humidity (RH) of <10%. The low RH kept the LCT in a controlled environment, and limited the moisture absorbed into the LCT's composite material. Water absorption could degrade the stability of the LCT's structure and hence the terminal's performance. The flowing dry nitrogen, after pre-cooling by flowing through a liquid




Figure 2.1 A schematic view of the test setup used to perform characterization of STRV-2 LCT using LTES.

nitrogen dewar, was used to cool the LCT during tests to evaluate the effect of temperature on the transmitter laser co-alignment.

An electrical feed-through in the glove box connected the LCT to the flight electronics box which was interfaced to the satellite control monitor (SCM) simulator computer. Software resident in this computer allowed command, control and data acquisition from the LCT.

The entire LCT assembly was positioned in front of and facing the LTES aperture. Coarse horizontal and vertical adjustment of the assembly were used to achieve nominal co-alignment of the respective receive/transmit axes of the LCT and LTES. Fine adjustments were then made by controlling the slant and azimuth pointing of the LCT by issuing commands via the SCM computer.

3.1 CO-ALIGNMENT OF TRANSMIT LASERS

The center of the field-of-view (FOV) of the communications or APD receivers is taken as the receive axis of the LCT. In order to locate this center, a beacon⁴ was transmitted from LTES to the LCT. The beacon

beam was at 810 ± 4 nm and modulated (155 Mbps) with a pseudo-random bit sequence (PRBS) non-return to zero (NRZ) PN7 data stream. The polarization state of the beacon beam was either RHCP or LHCP. Of the two communications receivers on the LCT, APD#1 accepts RHCP light and its output after amplification on the LCT, modulates transmit lasers numbered 3, 4, 5 and 6, which in turn, emit RHCP light. Similarly, APD#2 accepts LHCP laser light transmitted from LTES and its output is used for modulating lasers 1, 2, 7 and 8 which emit LHCP light. In other words, the laser signal transmitted from LTES is received and regenerated on the LCT and transmitted back to LTES. This is the "bent-pipe" or "loop-back" mode of operation. The data channel on LTES is configured to accept either RHCP or LHCP light, corresponding to whatever polarization state is being transmitted from LTES. The LTES data detector senses the returned light from the LCT and displays its output on a digital oscilloscope. The upper trace of Figure 3.1 shows the data stream used to modulate the beacon laser on LTES while the lower trace shows the LTES data channel signal. An examination of Figure 3.1 indicates a ~ 100 ns delay between the LTES transmitted and received signal which accounts for the optical round trip and electronic transit delays. Once the oscilloscope display shown in Figure 3.1 is obtained, stepped scans of the LCT slant and azimuth directions are initiated. For scans in both directions the motor encoder positions, where degradation of return signal is encountered, are noted and these positions demarcate the extremities of the APD FOV. Such scans were performed for both the APD receivers. By interpolating between the extremities the respective center of FOV's for each APD receiver was established. The repeatability of these scans was ± 10 μ rad. Table 3.1 below shows the measured FOV's in azimuth and slant units, as well as, transformed horizontal and vertical units.

The respective FOV centers, of each APD detector, were offset by 200×100 μ rad (slant x azimuth) or 130×30 μ rad (horizontal x vertical). A check of the motor encoder readings was performed by moving the LCT from one APD center to the other and simultaneously recording the motion of the transmitted laser spot centroid on the LTES acquisition detector. The centroid moved by 134×22 μ rad showing a good agreement with the motor encoder readings. ↓

Table 3.1 Showing the measured FOV's for each of the APD receivers on the LCT

	FOV from motor encoder position Azimuth x Slant (μ rad)	FOV obtained by transforming the azimuth x slant to horizontal x vertical (μ rad)
APD #1 (RHCP)	1100 x 1100	700 x 600
APD #2 (LHCP)	1300 x 1400	830 x 780

The receive axis FOV center is taken as the gimbal motor encoder positions corresponding to a location interpolated between the two APD detector centers.

With the LCT commanded to slant and azimuth positions corresponding to the located APD center, Figure 3.2 shows schematically the arrangement for referencing this position on the LTES divergence channel detector. The solid lines indicate the beacon transmitted from LTES to the LCT while the dashed lines correspond to the path of retro-reflected portions of this beacon re-directed to the LTES divergence channel. Since the beacon is well collimated the retro-reflected spots from the two retro-reflectors converge on the divergence detector. The centroid of this spot serves as a reference for the LCT receive axis center. The transmitted laser beam path from the LCT to the divergence channel is indicated by the dotted lines. Each of the transmitter laser spots must be brought to co-incidence with the reference spot on the divergence CCD camera in order to co-align the eight transmitter lasers to the receive axis and to each




Figure 3.1 Showing the data stream used to modulate the LTES beacon laser which is transmitted to the LCT and the resulting laser signal transmitted by the LCT in the bent-pipe mode. The lower trace is contributed by a bank of four of the eight transmit lasers and is delayed due to optic round-trip and electronic transit time by 100 ns.

other. This was done by manually adjusting a steering lens in front of each laser transmitter. The spots could be brought to within $\pm 20 \mu\text{rad}$ of this reference position. After 24 hours of the initial alignment no significant mechanical relaxation was apparent and the steering lenses were glued down with epoxy.

3.2 TEMPERATURE SENSITIVITY OF TRANSMIT LASER CO-ALIGNMENT

The co-alignment of the transmitter lasers on the STRV-2 LCT was achieved at laboratory ambient temperature after the lasers had warmed up. This corresponded to a temperature of $30 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ sensed by the temperature sensors on the shroud covering the laser transmitters (see Figure 2.1). Planned operational scenarios call for this temperature to be $\sim 0^\circ\text{C}$. The sensitivity of the transmit laser co-alignment at the expected on-orbit temperature was investigated. The LCT was cooled while the combined laser spot (all eight transmit lasers turned on) divergence was monitored using the LTES divergence channel. Figure 3.3 shows contour plots of the combined laser spot at 0, 11, 20 and 32°C . The beam divergence of the individual laser spots (not shown) are unaffected by the temperature change. As shown the divergence of the combined laser spot, however, increases significantly with lowering of temperature. This is due to thermal drift. A simulation, assuming Gaussian profiles for each transmit laser predicts the loss of on-axis power in dB as indicated in Figure 3.3.




Figure 3.2 Schematic view of the arrangement used to establish co-incidence between the LCT receive axis and the transmit lasers on the LCT.

The results depicted in Figure 3.3 show that at the planned operating temperature of 0°C the beam divergence far exceeds the design divergence of 72 μ rad with a corresponding lowering of on-axis power. Based on these findings we recommend that the lasers be heated up to 30°C in space. Heaters for accomplishing this are available on the terminal.

3.3 TRANSMIT LASER POWER AND WAVLENGTH

Table 3.2 shows the power measured for the transmitter and beacon lasers on the STRV-2 LCT, where L1, L2...L8 represent the transmitter lasers and B1, B2 denote the beacon lasers. The powers were measured at each laser aperture. At lower temperatures an increase in power is observed. However, the loss in on-axis power due to beam spreading upon cooling of the LCT, just discussed in section 3.2, far outweighs this modest increase.

Figure 3.4 shows the LCT wavelength measured with all eight transmitter lasers turned on, at two different temperatures. The wavelength was measured using a scanning monochromator. As expected for AlGaAs lasers there is a decrease in wavelength at lower temperatures.

Table 3.2 Power measurements (mW) made on STRV-2 LCT transmit lasers modulated at 155 Mb/s and beacon lasers operating CW

	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L6	L7	L8	B1	B2
30°C Coherent Fieldmaster P/N 0210-761-99 SN# B888	32	39	33	29	37	39	29	39	-	-
30°C Scientech 362 Model 38-0101 S/N 2531	32	40	34	29	37	39	30	40	67	61
7°C Scientech 362 Model 38-0101 S/N 2531	35	44	42	36	45	43	36	42	66	68

3.4 BENT-PIPE SENSITIVITY

The bent-pipe operation already described in section 3.1 was characterized in terms of the bit-error rate (BER) performance. The LTES data channel output was used to record BER while beacon power transmitted from LTES was varied by using variable attenuation. Figure 3.5 shows the BER characteristics




Figure 3.3. The laser spot transmitted from STRV-2 lasercom and incident on the LTES divergence detector at (a) 0°C (b) 11°C (c) 20°C and (d) 32°C . The divergence in the x - and y - directions are shown. The estimated loss in on-axis power relative to perfectly co-aligned lasers is indicated.