GILLERS

REGULATION OF LAWYERS

Fifth Edition



ASPEN LAW & BUSINESS

Regulation of Lawyers: Problems of Law and Ethics

Fifth Edition

Stephen Gillers

Professor of Law New York University School of Law

> Formerly published by Little, Brown and Company



This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other professional assistance is required, the services of a competent professional person should be sought.

— From a *Declaration of Principles* jointly adopted by a Committee of the American Bar Association and a Committee of Publishers and Associations

Copyright © 1998 by Stephen Gillers

ISBN 1-56706-741-7

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Requests for permission to make copies of any part of this publication should be mailed to:

Permissions Aspen Law & Business 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036

Printed in the United States of America

Library of Congress Catalog Card No. 94-73129

Regulation of Lawyers: Problems of Law and Ethics

Editorial Advisory Board

Aspen Law & Business A Division of Aspen Publishers, Inc.

Richard A. Epstein James Parker Hall Distinguished Service Professor of Law University of Chicago

> E. Allan Farnsworth Alfred McCormack Professor of Law Columbia University

Ronald J. Gilson
Charles J. Meyers Professor of Law and Business
Stanford University
Marc and Eva Stern Professor of Law and Business
Columbia University

Geoffrey C. Hazard, Jr. Trustee Professor of Law University of Pennsylvania

James E. Krier Earl Warren DeLano Professor of Law University of Michigan

> Elizabeth Warren Leo Gottlieb Professor of Law Harvard University

Bernard Wolfman Fessenden Professor of Law Harvard University

In loving dedication to Gillian Gillers and Heather Gillers

les enfants du paradis

Why It Matters: A Preface for Students

The ideas in this book and the course for which it is assigned will govern all of your professional life. Here you learn the rules you have to live by and the consequences if you ignore them. Other courses teach lessons that bear on your clients' problems. This course is for you. Unless you work in the areas of legal malpractice, lawyer discipline, or the like, you and not your clients will be the immediate beneficiary of what you learn here.

The subject of this course can be discussed from at least three perspectives.

At the precipice of your career, perhaps most important are rules that constrain your professional behavior. In such areas as competence, fees, marketing, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, negotiation, and the attorney-client relationship, what may you do, how may you behave, with confidence that your conduct will not land you before a disciplinary committee, lead to a civil lawsuit, invite court sanction, or damage your reputation?

Even to ask this question should be sufficient to forewarn you that the "ethics" in legal ethics is not merely about being a morally good person. It is also about being a professionally safe lawyer. For the fact is that the law business is heavily regulated, like banking, securities, and pharmaceuticals. The regulations are becoming increasingly complex. They have already led to creation of a new phrase—the law governing lawyers—lest anyone is fooled by the word "ethics" into believing that the subject is mostly how to be liked or respected.

You make two mistakes at your peril. First, do not believe that the right way to act—toward clients, courts, adversaries, colleagues—will be intuitively obvious. Sure, sometimes it is. But no one needs to teach you not to lie or steal in professional life, and certainly not in 1000 pages. The rules here are often not self-evident, may even be counterintuitive, and can be exquisitely subtle in their application. Second, do not assume that the law office that hires you will provide all the protection you need against missteps. Good law offices do have systems to detect and avoid improper conduct. But they are not perfect, and,

anyway, the duty to act properly is generally not delegable. The individual responsibility of each lawyer cannot be entrusted to a boss. In the end, you're on your own.

The second perspective from which to view the law governing lawyers is the relationship between the profession and society. The rules that lawyers impose on themselves and that are imposed on them, taken together, help define the nature and work of the entire profession and therefore the behavior of our legal institutions and the quality of our social justice.

For example, a rule that allows lawyers to advertise will influence the conduct of individual members of the bar. But it may also affect whether, and how, large categories of people use lawyers and the size of legal fees. Similarly, a rule that prohibits or requires a lawyer to reveal certain kinds of information about a client will control the lawyer's own behavior, but it may also affect which client populations use lawyers and what information clients are willing to give them. In short, nearly every rule, whatever its source, has social and political consequences beyond any single representation or practice, although there is often fierce disagreement over what these consequences will be and whether they should be avoided or encouraged.

About to go off into law practice, you may be more interested in such questions as "How do I behave?" and "How can I stay out of trouble?" than in asking, "What are the consequences to society and justice if one or another version of a particular ethical rule is applied to America's more than 800,000 lawyers?" Still, the last question is important and, if not as immediate, will surely arise in the course of your professional life. Many readers of this book will someday be in positions that require them to address the broader question.

Both kinds of questions, but more so the second, engender different, and sometimes vehement, responses from practicing lawyers. Why? In part, because to answer them we must call upon political and moral values more fundamental than the "ethics" that inform various codes. And of course, the political and moral values of different people may differ fundamentally. In addressing these questions, we should try to be honest about the interests we mean to protect. Those of society generally? The legal profession's? The interests of lawyers in practices like the one we have or expect to have? Those of the particular client population we serve? Our firm's? Our own?

Law school and law practice, it is sometimes said, encourage more rather than less self-interestedness in answering the questions raised here. In transition as you are, your answers to many of them will likely vary from what they would have been before you entered law school and will likely be still different five years after you graduate.

I wrote that rules governing the practice of law can be discussed from three perspectives. I have so far listed two. The third is the effect of lawyers' work on the people who do the work, that is, the effect of role on self. For example, a rule that requires silence though it means that another will suffer injustice will take its toll on those who must obey it. As men and women, we consider it laudable to speak up to prevent injustice to others. As lawyers, we may be forbidden to do so. How can we reconcile these two positions, not intellectually or theoretically but personally, within ourselves? A similar point can be made about the rule that requires lawyers diligently to pursue the lawful goals of their clients, even if these goals (or the legal strategies used to achieve them) offend the lawyer's values. Or consider the oft-cited schism between the qualities of personality that law office culture tends to reward and the ones encouraged in personal and family life. Do you have to learn behavior in order to survive in professional environments that will make you downright unpleasant in social and familial ones—unless you also learn how to "leave it at the office"? One thinks of the common retort of a lawyer's lay relatives: "Oh, stop talking like a lawyer!"

Many topics in this book lend themselves to discussion of the effect of role on self. I hope you are able to address this issue in class, but certainly it is worthy of self-reflection throughout your career, starting now.

. . . And My Casebook "Philosophy"

This is the fifth edition of this book. I started work on the first edition in 1982. Between editions I spend an hour or two each week planning for the next one. You get to thinking a lot about what a casebook is and can be when you live with one for so long.

The book's primary function is to provide information, but that's just the beginning. The minimum editorial task would allow me to pick some good cases and other materials, edit them, order them logically, add interstitial notes and questions, and put the product between covers. Voila! A casebook.

Of course, one must begin this way, but if nothing more were possible (even if not required), I doubt that I would have continued this long. I'd grow bored. Luckily, more is possible while still serving the book's objective—to teach the subject.

For starters, we can strive for humor, variety, clarity, and engaging writing. The enterprise will not likely support the extended charm of a Hazlitt essay or the quirkiness of a Vonnegut novel—assuming I had the talent to achieve either, in which case I'd probably be in a different line of work—but a casebook is a book, after all, and should have, well, personality, an authorial presence in so far as possible. So you may find the tone or voice in my contributions to this volume (and even

some of the editorial selections) different from what you're accustomed to encounter in the genre. That's what makes the book mine.

The legal profession is a culture of storytellers and stories. Harrison Tweed, a President of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, once said:

I have a high opinion of lawyers. With all their faults, they stack up well against those in every other occupation or profession. They are better to work with or play with or fight with or drink with than most other varieties of mankind.

These words are in fact inscribed on a wall at the Association's head-quarters. As a young lawyer, I thought Mr. Tweed was a little over the top, if not downright sanctimonious, in making so grandiose a claim. At that time in my life, I was inclined to agree with the character in George Bernard Shaw's play *The Doctor's Dilemma* who said "all professions are conspiracies against the laity." To some extent, I still find Tweed excessive, though not quite as passionately as when I was starting out, and Shaw apt, even if hyperbolic.

And yet. And yet Tweed has a point. The profession and its members are fascinating to study and its stories are fascinating to hear. Like any culture, understanding it requires density. We must know a thousand small things about life within the society of lawyers, not merely two or three big things, if we are going to understand it truly. While this book is not a sociological study of lawyers or of legal institutions, I have tried to incorporate current events in the materials and to offer you note cases exemplifying multiple variations on particular themes. I believe that these will make the culture of law practice more real for you and thereby better help you understand the rules that define it.

Finally, I invite your views on the book. What was dull? What worked well? How can the book be improved? Have you encountered a story somewhere (true or fiction) that you think nicely highlights an issue? You can reach me in several ways. By snailmail at NYU School of Law, New York, NY 10012. By fax at (212) 995-4030. Send e-mail to stephen.gillers@nyu.edu. All comments will be acknowledged.

Stephen Gillers February 1998

Acknowledgments

Like the first four editions of this book, written for law students, this edition is the fortunate beneficiary of the diligent work of student research assistants. I am indebted to Leonard A. Ho and David F. Levine of the New York University Law School Class of 1999 for their thorough and timely attention to all the heavy responsibilities that befall students who enlist to work on projects like these.

I have been fortunate beyond words to have the invaluable help of a single person—Shirley Gray—beginning with the very first word of the very first edition and continuing, meticulously, to the very last word of this one.

This fifth edition builds on the first four and, therefore, benefits from the work of students whose energies contributed to this volume's ancestors. Julie C. Brain and Maria Lopotukhin, New York University Law School Class of 1995 and 1996 respectively, provided essential aid for the fourth edition of this book. The third edition enjoyed the conscientious research assistance of Mary E. McDonald, NYU Law School Class of 1993. Laura Gilbert, NYU Law School Class of 1990, and Barbara Quackenbos, NYU Law School Class of 1988, made vital contributions to the second edition of the book that yet live on in the fifth. Thorough and ground breaking work on the first edition was done by Patricia C. Hayashi, NYU Law School Class of 1983; Virginia L. Richards, NYU Law School Class of 1986; and Susan A. Waxenberg, NYU Law School Class of 1982. My gratitude to each of these students continues undiluted.

My debt to members of the professional staff of the NYU Law School Library also continues. Anyone who produces a book like this knows how important librarians are. Exceptionally useful assistance to this and prior editions was repeatedly available from Carol Alpert, Ronald Brown, Elizabeth Evans, Gretchen Feltes, Leslie Rich, and Jay Shuman.

My colleague Norman Dorsen was my co-worker on the first two editions of this casebook. Other demands on his time caused Professor Dorsen to trust succeeding editions to my sole care. Nevertheless, in countless ways this edition, like the third and fourth, benefits from Professor Dorsen's early work.

xxvii

My understanding of the issues raised in the following pages is greatly dependent on conversations with one person whom I mention last but am grateful to most: Barbara S. Gillers, Esq., whose professional work on lawyer discipline puts her daily in the very tribunals whose decisions yield many of the lessons recounted here.

I would like to thank the following for permission to reprint the identified material:

American Bar Association, excerpts from the Legislative History for Rules of Professional Conduct and from ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct; ABA Formal Opinions 87-353, 87-355, and 92-366. Copyright © the American Bar Association. Reprinted by permission of the American Bar Association.

Ball, Milner S., Wrong Experiment, Wrong Result: An Appreciatively Critical Response to Schwartz, 1983 Am. B. Found. Res. J. 565, 569-571. Reprinted by permission.

Caine, Robert S., A Lawyer's View of Being a Litigant, N.Y.L.J., May 16, 1994, at 2. Reprinted by permission.

DILBERT reprinted by permission of United Feature Syndicate, Inc. Duncan, Richard F., A Speech Code for Lawyers, Wall St. J., Feb. 3, 1994, at A14. Reprinted with permission of The Wall Street Journal © 1994 Dow Jones & Company, Inc. All rights reserved.

Fortune, William H., Richard Underwood, Edward J. Imwinkelried. Modern Litigation and Professional Responsibility Handbook (Boston: Little, Brown 1996). Reprinted by permission.

Frankel, Marvin E., excerpts from "Free Private Legal Enterprise," from PARTISAN JUSTICE by Marvin E. Frankel. Copyright © 1980 by Marvin E. Frankel. Reprinted by permission of Hill & Wang, a division of Farrar, Straus & Giroux, Inc.

Goldfarb, Ronald L., Lawyers Should Be Judged by the Clients They Keep, Wash. Post, Apr. 6, 1997. Reprinted by permission.

Hoagland, Jim, Gadhafi's Lawyer, Wash. Post, July 14, 1993. © 1993, Washington Post Writers Group. Reprinted with permission.

Kornstein, Daniel J., A Tragic Fire—A Great Cross-Examination, N.Y.L.J., Mar. 28, 1986, at 2. Reprinted by permission.

Lamson, Peggy, excerpts from ROGER BALDWIN: FOUNDER OF THE AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION. Copyright © 1976 by Peggy Lamson. Reprinted by permission of Houghton Mifflin Co. All rights reserved.

Luban, David, Lawyers and Justice: An Ethical Study. Copyright © 1989 by Princeton University Press. Reprinted by permission of Princeton University Press.

Margolick, David, At the Bar, N.Y. Times, Jan. 22, 1988, at 4. Copyright © 1988 by The New York Times Co. Reprinted by permission.

Mitchell, John B., Reasonable Doubts Are Where You Find Them: A Response to Professor Subin's Position on the Criminal Lawyer's Different Mission, 1 Geo. J. Legal Ethics 339 (1987). Reprinted by permission.

Napier, Michael, For Many, English Rule Impedes Access to Justice, Wall St. J., Sept. 24, 1992. Reprinted with permission of The Wall Street Journal © 1992 Dow Jones & Company, Inc. All rights reserved.

Note, Legal Ethics and the Destruction of Evidence, 88 Yale L.J. 1665 (1979). Reprinted by permission of The Yale Law Journal.

Post, Robert C., On the Popular Image of the Lawyer: Reflections in a Dark Glass, 75 Cal. L. Rev. 379, 379-380, 387-389 (1987). Reprinted by permission.

Rifkind, Simon H., The Lawyer's Role and Responsibility in Modern Society, 30 The Record of the Assoc. of the Bar of the City of N.Y. 534 (1975). Copyright © 1975 by Simon H. Rifkind. Reprinted by permission.

Ruben, Ann, and Emily Ruben, Letters to the Editor, N.Y.L.J., Apr. 14, 1986, at 2. Reprinted by permission.

Schwartz, Murray L., The Zeal of the Civil Advocate, 1983 Am. B. Found. Res. J. 543, 553-554. Reprinted by permission.

Shakespeare, William, for permission to quote from Hamlet, Act V, scene 1.

State Bar of Michigan Professional and Judicial Ethics Committee, Ethics Opinion CI-1164 (1987). Reprinted by permission.

Subin, Harry I., Is This Lie Necessary? Further Reflections on the Right to Present a False Defense, 1 Geo. J. Legal Ethics 689, 690-691 (1988). Reprinted by permission.

Subin, Harry I., The Criminal Lawyer's "Different Mission," 1 Geo. J. Legal Ethics 125 (1987). Reprinted by permission.

Stephen Gillers

A Word About Case Editing

No case is reprinted unedited. Omissions are identified with ellipses or the presence of bracketed material, except that no ellipsis is used when citations are deleted. Cases and authorities are omitted, including those that are the sources of quotes, for considerations of space and ease of reading. However, a citation is generally retained if the court's discussion of the case bears on its legal analysis or if the case is substantially addressed elsewhere in the book. Except for citations to principal cases, citations do not include reference to a higher court's denial of certiorari or dismissal or denial of an appeal.

xxxi

Summary of Contents

d My Casebook
xxiii
xxvii
xxxi
s Come From?
SHIP 15
er Relationship 17
yer Relationship
ence 97
127
199
Interest 201
Interest 201 nterest 295
10.0
nterest 295
343
343 345
343 345 al Advocacy 459
343 345

D	ar	4	F	-		•
1	aı	ι	T.	v	u	l

AVOIDING A	AND REDRESSING				
PROFESSIONAL FAILURE					
Chapter XII	Control of Quality: Reducing the Likelihood				
•	of Professional Failure	617			
Chapter XIII	Control of Quality: Remedies for				
•	Professional Failure	689			
Chapter XIV	Control of Quality: Lay Participation in Law				
-	Business (And Law Firm Ancillary Services)	813			
Part Five					
FIRST AME	NDMENT RIGHTS OF LAWYERS	849			
Chapter XV	Free Speech Rights of Lawyers	851			
Chapter XVI	Marketing Legal Services	891			
Table of Cases		945			
Table of Code and Rules Provisions					
Index					

Contents

Why It Ma	tter	s: A Preface for Students And My Casebook		
"Philosophy" Acknowledgments				
Chapter	I	Where Do "Ethics" Rules Come From?	1	
Part On	e			
THE CI	ΙE	NT-LAWYER RELATIONSHIP	15	
Chapter	П	Defining the Client-Lawyer Relationship	17	
A.	Is	There a Client Here?	18	
В.	Εle	ements of the Client-Lawyer Relationship	22	
	1.	Competence	22	
	2.	Confidentiality	23	
		Privileged and Ethically Protected Information	23	
		Legislative History	26	
		State Variations	27	
		The Restatement's Position	28	
		Perez v. Kirk & Carrigan	29	
		"The Guy Has HIV"	32	
		"I Know There's a Gun"	32	
		"She's Going to Kill Herself"	33	
		Entity Clients	33	
		Úpjohn Co. v. United States	34	
		Samaritan Foundation v. Goodfarb	37	
		The Restatement's Position	45	
		What's the Right Policy?	45	
		"Slip and Fall"	47	
		Is There a Government Attorney-Client Privilege?	48	
		Exceptions to the Privilege or the Ethical Duty	50	