

T H I R D E D I T I O N

INFLUENCE

Science and Practice



ROBERT B. CIALDINI

INFLUENCE

Science and Practice

THIRD EDITION

Robert B. Cialdini

Arizona State University



HarperCollinsCollegePublishers

Acquisitions Editor: Catherine Woods
Developmental Editor: Laura McKenna
Project Editor: Melonie Parnes
Design Supervisor: Heather A. Ziegler
Text Adaptation: North 7 Atelier Ltd.
Cover Design: Heather A. Ziegler
Cover Photo: © James H. Karales/Peter Arnold, Inc. "Ripples in Water Surface"
Photo Researcher: Rosemary Hunter
Production Manager/Assistant: Willie Lane/Sunaina Schwani
Compositor: BookMasters, Inc.
Printer and Binder: R. R. Donnelley & Sons Company
Cover Printer: The Lehigh Press, Inc.

For permission to use copyrighted material, grateful acknowledgment is made to the copyright holders on pp. 247–248, which are hereby made part of this copyright page.

Influence: Science and Practice, Third Edition

Copyright © 1993 by HarperCollins College Publishers

All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. No part of this book may be used or reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission, except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical articles and reviews. For information address HarperCollins College Publishers, 10 East 53rd Street, New York, NY 10022.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Cialdini, Robert B.

Influence : science and practice / Robert B. Cialdini.—3rd ed.
p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-673-46751-1

1. Influence (Psychology) 2. Persuasion (Psychology)
3. Compliance. I. Title.
BF774.C53 1993
153.8'52—dc20

92-26230
CIP

93 94 95 9 8 7 6 5 4

INFLUENCE

Preface

The initial (trade) version of *Influence* was designed for the popular reader and, as such, an attempt was made to write it in an engaging style. In the classroom version, that style is retained but, in addition, I present the research evidence for my statements, recommendations, and conclusions. Although they are dramatized and corroborated through such devices as interviews, quotes, and systematic personal observations, the conclusions of *Influence* are based on controlled, psychological research. This fact allows the instructor and the student to feel confident that the book is not “pop” psychology but represents work that is scientifically grounded. The classroom version also provides new and updated material, chapter summaries, and study questions to enhance its classroom utility.

A potentially attractive feature of the present version of *Influence* lies in its ability to serve as an enjoyable, practical, yet scientifically documented text for students. Perhaps one way to view the book, then, is to see it as a refreshing change of pace (from standard text material) that does not retreat from scientific respectability. In a related vein, the book might be seen as a way to demonstrate that, properly presented, what often seems like dry science can actually prove to be lively, useful, and relevant to student's personal lives.

COMMENT ON THE THIRD EDITION OF INFLUENCE: SCIENCE AND PRACTICE

It has been some time since *Influence* was last published. In the interim, some things have happened that deserve a place in this new edition. First, we now know more about the influence process than before. The study of persuasion,

compliance, and change has advanced, and the pages that follow have been adapted to reflect that progress. In addition to an overall update of the material, I have included a new feature that was stimulated by the responses of prior readers.

That new feature highlights the experiences of individuals who have read *Influence*, recognized how one of the principles worked on (or for) them in a particular instance, and wrote to me describing the event. Their descriptions, which appear in the "Reader's Reports" at the end of each chapter, illustrate how easily and frequently we can fall victim to the influence process in our everyday lives.

An array of people deserve and have my appreciation for their aid in making *Influence* possible. Several of my academic colleagues read and provided perceptive comments on the entire manuscript in its initial draft form, greatly strengthening the subsequent version. They are Gus Levine, Doug Kenrick, Art Beaman, and Mark Zanna. In addition, the first draft was read by a few family members and friends—Richard and Gloria Cialdini, Bobette Gorden, and Ted Hall—who offered not only much-needed emotional support but insightful substantive commentary as well.

A second, larger group provided helpful suggestions for selected chapters or groups of chapters: Todd Anderson, Sandy Braver, Catherine Chambers, Judi Cialdini, Nancy Eisenberg, Larry Etkin, Joanne Gersten, Jeff Goldstein, Betsy Hans, Valerie Hans, Joe Hepworth, Holly Hunt, Ann Inskeep, Barry Leshowitz, Darwyn Linder, Debbie Littler, John Mowen, Igor Pavlov, Janis Posner, Trish Puryear, Marilyn Rall, John Reich, Peter Reingen, Diane Ruble, Phyllis Sensenig, Roman Sherman, and Henry Wellman.

Certain people were instrumental at the beginning stages. John Staley was the first publishing professional to recognize the project's potential. Jim Sherman, Al Goethals, John Keating, Dan Wagner, Dalmas Taylor, Wendy Wood, and David Watson provided early, positive reviews that encouraged author and editors alike. My editors at HarperCollins, Catherine Woods and Anne Smith, were consistently congenial, helpful, and insightful. The current edition benefited substantially from the reviews of Assaad Azzi, Yale University; Robert M. Brady, University of Arkansas; Brian M. Cohen, University of Texas at San Antonio; Christian B. Crandall, University of Florida; Catherine Goodwin, University of Alaska; Robert G. Lowder, Bradley University; James W. Michael, Jr., Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University; Eugene P. Sheehan, University of Northern Colorado; Jefferson A. Singer, Connecticut College; and Sandi W. Smith, Michigan State University, as well as from the impressive editorial skills of Laura McKenna.

Finally, throughout the project, no one was more on my side than Bobette Gorden, who lived every word with me.

I wish to thank the following individuals who—either directly or through their course instructors—contributed the "Reader's Reports" used in this edition: Pat Bobbs, Mark Hastings, James Michaels, Paul R. Nail, Alan J. Resnik, Daryl Retzlaff, Dan Swift, and Karla Vasks.

I would also like to invite new readers to contribute similar "Reports" for possible publication in a future edition. They can be sent to me at the Department of Psychology, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287-1104.

Robert B. Cialdini

Introduction

I can admit it freely now. All my life I've been a patsy. For as long as I can recall, I've been an easy mark for the pitches of peddlers, fund-raisers, and operators of one sort or another. True, only some of these people have had dishonorable motives. The others—representatives of certain charitable agencies, for instance—have had the best of intentions. No matter. With personally disquieting frequency, I have always found myself in possession of unwanted magazine subscriptions or tickets to the sanitation workers' ball. Probably this longstanding status as sucker accounts for my interest in the study of compliance: Just what are the factors that cause one person to say yes to another person? And which techniques most effectively use these factors to bring about such compliance? I wondered why it is that a request stated in a certain way will be rejected, when a request that asks for the same favor in a slightly different fashion will be successful.

So in my role as an experimental social psychologist, I began to research the psychology of compliance. At first the research took the form of experiments performed, for the most part, in my laboratory and on college students. I wanted to find out which psychological principles influenced the tendency to comply with a request. Right now, psychologists know quite a bit about these principles—what they are and how they work. I have characterized such principles as weapons of influence and will be discussing some of the most important of them in this book.

After a time, though, I began to realize that the experimental work, while necessary, wasn't enough. It didn't allow me to judge the importance of the principles in the world beyond the psychology building and the campus where I was examining them. It became clear that if I was to understand fully the psychology of compliance, I would need to broaden my scope of investigation.

I would need to look to the compliance professionals—the people who had been using the principles on me all my life. They know what works and what doesn't; the law of survival of the fittest assures it. Their business is to make us comply, and their livelihoods depend on it. Those who don't know how to get people to say yes soon fall away; those who do, stay and flourish.

Of course, the compliance professionals aren't the only ones who know about and use these principles to help them get their way. We all employ them and fall victim to them to some degree in our daily interactions with neighbors, friends, lovers, and family. But the compliance practitioners have much more than the vague and amateurish understanding of what works than the rest of us have. As I thought about it, I knew that they represented the richest vein of information about compliance available to me. For nearly three years, then, I combined my experimental studies with a decidedly more entertaining program: I systematically immersed myself in the world of compliance professionals—salespeople, fund-raisers, advertisers, and others.

My purpose was to observe, from the inside, the techniques and strategies most commonly and effectively used by a broad range of compliance practitioners. That program of observation sometimes took the form of interviews with the practitioners themselves and sometimes with the natural enemies (for example, police bunco-squad officers, consumer agencies) of certain of the practitioners. At other times it involved an intensive examination of the written materials by which compliance techniques are passed down from one generation to another—sales manuals and the like.

Most frequently, though, it took the form of participant observation. Participant observation is a research approach in which the researcher becomes a spy of sorts. With disguised identity and intent, the investigator infiltrates the setting of interest and becomes a full-fledged participant in the group to be studied. So when I wanted to learn about the compliance tactics of encyclopedia (or vacuum cleaner, or portrait photography, or dance lesson) sales organizations, I would answer a newspaper ad for sales trainees and have them teach me their methods. Using similar but not identical approaches, I was able to penetrate advertising, public relations, and fund-raising agencies to examine their techniques. Much of the evidence presented in this book, then, comes from my experience posing as a compliance professional, or aspiring professional, in a large variety of organizations dedicated to getting us to say yes.

One aspect of what I learned in this three-year period of participant observation was most instructive. Although there are thousands of different tactics that compliance practitioners employ to produce yes, the majority fall within six basic categories. Each of these categories is governed by a fundamental psychological principle that directs human behavior and, in so doing, gives the tactics their power. This book is organized around these six principles. The principles—reciprocation, consistency, social proof, liking, authority, and scarcity—are each discussed in terms of their function in the society and in terms of how their enormous force can be commissioned by a compliance pro-

fessional who deftly incorporates them into requests for purchases, donations, concessions, votes, or assent.¹

Finally, each principle is examined as to its ability to produce a distinct kind of automatic, mindless compliance from people, that is, a willingness to say yes without thinking first. The evidence suggests that the ever-accelerating pace and informational crush of modern life will make this particular form of unthinking compliance more and more prevalent in the future. It will be increasingly important for the society, therefore, to understand the how and why of automatic influence.

¹It is worthy of note that I have not included among the six principles the simple rule of material self-interest: that people want to get the most and pay the least for their choices. This omission does not stem from any perception on my part that the desire to maximize benefits and minimize costs is unimportant in driving our decisions. Nor does it come from any evidence that I have that compliance professionals ignore the power of this rule. Quite the opposite: in my investigations, I frequently saw practitioners use (sometimes honestly, sometimes not) the compelling "I can give you a good deal" approach. I chose not to treat the material self-interest rule separately in this book because I see it as a motivational given, as a goes-without-saying factor that deserves acknowledgment, but not extensive description.

Contents

Preface	ix
Introduction	xiii

Chapter 1 Weapons of Influence: 1

Click, Whirr	2
Betting the Shortcut Odds	6
The Profiteers	9
Jujitsu	11
Reader's Report	15
Summary	16
Study Questions	16

Chapter 2 Reciprocation: The Old Give and Take . . . and Take 19

How the Rule Works	21
<i>The Rule Is Overpowering</i>	22
<i>Politics</i>	25
<i>The Not-So-Free Sample</i>	26
<i>The Rule Enforces Uninvited Debts</i>	29
<i>The Rule Can Trigger Unfair Exchanges</i>	31
Reciprocal Concessions	34
Rejection-Then-Retreat	36
<i>Reciprocal Concessions, Perceptual Contrast, and the Watergate Mystery</i>	39
<i>Damned If You Do, Damned If You Don't</i>	41
<i>Here's My Blood, and Do Call Again</i>	42
<i>The Sweet, Secret Side Effects</i>	43
<i>Responsibility</i>	44
<i>Satisfaction</i>	44
Defense	44
<i>Rejecting the Rule</i>	45
<i>Smoking Out the Enemy</i>	46
Reader's Report	47
Summary	48
Study Questions	49

Chapter 3 Commitment and Consistency: Hobgoblins of the Mind 50

Whirring Along	51
<i>The Quick Fix</i>	52
<i>The Foolish Fortress</i>	53
<i>Seek and Hide</i>	56
Commitment Is the Key	58
<i>Hearts and Minds</i>	64
<i>The Magic Act</i>	65
<i>The Public Eye</i>	68
<i>The Effort Extra</i>	70
<i>The Inner Choice</i>	76
<i>Growing Legs to Stand On</i>	80
<i>Standing Up for the Public Good</i>	83
Defense	86
<i>Stomach Signs</i>	86
<i>Heart-of-Hearts Signs</i>	88
Reader's Report	90
Summary	91
Study Questions	92

Chapter 4 Social Proof: Truths Are Us 94

The Principle of Social Proof	95
<i>People Power</i>	96
<i>After the Deluge</i>	100
Cause of Death: Uncertain(ty)	106
<i>A Scientific Approach</i>	109
<i>Devictimizing Yourself</i>	112
<i>Many Are Called But Only One</i>	
<i>Should Be Chosen</i>	113
Monkey Me, Monkey Do	114
<i>Monkey Die</i>	116
<i>Monkey Island</i>	123
Defense	126
<i>Sabotage</i>	127
<i>Looking Up</i>	130
Reader's Report	132
Summary	133
Study Questions	134

Chapter 5**Liking:****The Friendly Thief 136**

Making Friends to Influence People 139

Why Do I Like You? Let Me List the Reasons 140

*Physical Attractiveness 140**Similarity 142**Compliments 143**Contact and Cooperation 145**Off to Camp 147**Back to School 149*

Conditioning and Association 154

*Does the Name Pavlov Ring a Bell? 158**From the News and Weather to the Sports 160*

Defense 166

Reader's Report 168

Summary 169

Study Questions 170

Chapter 6**Authority:****Directed Deference 171**

The Power of Authority Pressure 173

The Allures and Dangers of Blind Obedience 177

Connotation Not Content 180

*Titles 181**Clothes 184**Trappings 186*

Defense 187

*Authoritative Authority 188**Sly Sincerity 189*

Reader's Report 191

Summary 192

Study Questions 193

Chapter 7**Scarcity:****The Rule of the Few 194**

Less Is Best And Loss Is Worst 195

*Limited Numbers 196**Time Limits 197*

Psychological Reactance 199

*Adult Reactance: Love, Guns, and Suds 202**Censorship 205*


Optimal Conditions	209
<i>New Scarcity: Costlier Cookies and Civil Conflict</i>	209
<i>Competition for Scarce Resources: Foolish Fury</i>	213
Defense	216
Reader's Report	220
Summary	220
Study Questions	221

Chapter 8	Instant Influence:	
	Primitive Consent for an Automatic Age	223
	Primitive Automaticity	224
	Modern Automaticity	225
	Shortcuts Shall Be Sacred	227
	Summary	229
	Study Questions	229

References	231
Credits	247
Index	249

1

Weapons of Influence



"Civilization advances by extending the number of operations we can perform without thinking about them."

Alfred North Whitehead

I got a phone call one day from a friend who had recently opened an Indian jewelry store in Arizona. She was giddy with a curious piece of news. Something fascinating had just happened, and she thought that, as a psychologist, I might be able to explain it to her. The story involved a certain allotment of turquoise jewelry she had been having trouble selling. It was the peak of the tourist season, the store was unusually full of customers, the turquoise pieces were of good quality for the prices she was asking; yet they had not sold. My friend had attempted a couple of standard sales tricks to get them moving. She tried calling attention to them by shifting their location to a more central display area; no luck. She even told her sales staff to "push" the items hard—again without success.

Finally, the night before leaving on an out-of-town buying trip, she scribbled an exasperated note to her head saleswoman, "Everything in this display case, price $\times \frac{1}{2}$," hoping just to be rid of the offending pieces, even if at a loss. When she returned a few days later, she was not surprised to find that every article had been sold. She was shocked, though, to discover that, because the employee had read the " $\frac{1}{2}$ " in her scrawled message as a "2," the entire allotment had sold at twice the original price!

That's when she called me. I thought I knew what had happened but told her that, if I were to explain things properly, she would have to listen to a story of mine. Actually, it isn't my story; it's about mother turkeys, and it belongs to the relatively new science of ethology—the study of animals in their natural settings. Turkey mothers are good mothers—loving, watchful, and protective. They spend much of their time tending, warming, cleaning, and huddling the young beneath them; but there is something odd about their method. Virtually all of this mothering is triggered by one thing: the "cheep-cheep" sound of young turkey chicks. Other identifying features of the chicks, such as their smell, touch, or appearance, seem to play minor roles in the mothering process. If a chick makes the cheep-cheep noise, its mother will care for it; if not, the mother will ignore or sometimes kill it.

The extreme reliance of maternal turkeys upon this one sound was dramatically illustrated by animal behaviorist M. W. Fox (1974) in his description of an experiment involving a mother turkey and a stuffed polecat. For a mother turkey, a polecat is a natural enemy whose approach is to be greeted with squawking, pecking, clawing rage. Indeed, the experiments found that even a stuffed model of a polecat, when drawn by a string to a mother turkey, received an immediate and furious attack. When, however, the same stuffed replica carried inside it a small recorder that played the cheep-cheep sound of baby turkeys, the mother not only accepted the oncoming polecat but gathered it underneath her. When the machine was turned off, the polecat model again drew a vicious attack.

CLICK, WHIRR

How ridiculous a mother turkey seems under these circumstances: She will embrace a natural enemy just because it goes cheep-cheep and she will mistreat or murder one of her chicks just because it does not. She acts like an automaton whose maternal instincts are under the automatic control of that single sound. The ethologists tell us that this sort of thing is far from unique to the turkey. They have begun to identify regular, blindly mechanical patterns of action in a wide variety of species.

Called *fixed-action patterns*, they can involve intricate sequences of behavior, such as entire courtship or mating rituals. A fundamental characteristic of these patterns is that the behaviors comprising them occur in virtually the same fashion and in the same order every time. It is almost as if the patterns were recorded on tapes within the animals. When a situation calls for courtship, a

courtship tape gets played; when a situation calls for mothering, a maternal behavior tape gets played. *Click* and the appropriate tape is activated; *whirr* and out rolls the standard sequence of behaviors.

The most interesting aspect of all this is the way the tapes are activated. When an animal acts to defend its territory for instance, it is the intrusion of another animal of the same species that cues the territorial-defense tape of rigid vigilance, threat, and if need be, combat behaviors; however, there is a quirk in the system. It is not the rival as a whole that is the trigger; it is, rather, some specific feature, the *trigger feature*. Often the trigger feature will be just one tiny aspect of the totality that is the approaching intruder. Sometimes a shade of color is the trigger feature. The experiments of ethologists have shown, for instance, that a male robin, acting as if a rival robin had entered its territory, will vigorously attack nothing more than a clump of robin red breast feathers placed there. At the same time, it will virtually ignore a perfect stuffed replica of a male robin *without* red breast feathers (Lack, 1943). Similar results have been found in another species of bird, the bluethroat, where it appears that the trigger for territorial defense is a specific shade of blue breast feathers (Peiponen, 1960).

Before we enjoy too smugly the ease with which trigger features can trick lower animals into reacting in ways wholly inappropriate to the situation, we should realize two things. First, the automatic, fixed-action patterns of these animals work very well most of the time. For example, because only normal, healthy turkey chicks make the peculiar sound of baby turkeys, it makes sense for mother turkeys to respond maternally to that single cheep-cheep noise. By reacting to just that one stimulus, the average mother turkey will nearly always behave correctly. It takes a trickster like a scientist to make her tapelike response seem silly. The second important thing to understand is that we, too, have our preprogrammed tapes; and, although they usually work to our advantage, the trigger features that activate them can dupe *us* into playing the tapes at the wrong times.¹

This parallel form of human automatic action is aptly demonstrated in an experiment by social psychologist Ellen Langer and her co-workers (Langer, Blank, & Chanowitz, 1978). A well-known principle of human behavior says that when we ask someone to do us a favor we will be more successful if we provide a reason. People simply like to have reasons for what they do. Langer demonstrated this unsurprising fact by asking a small favor of people waiting in line to use a library copying machine: "Excuse me, I have five pages. May I use the Xerox machine because I'm in a rush?" The effectiveness of this request-plus-reason was nearly total: 94 percent of those asked let her skip ahead of them in line. Compare this success rate to the results when she made the

¹Although several important similarities exist between this kind of automatic responding in humans and lower animals, there are some important differences as well. The automatic behavior patterns of humans tend to be learned rather than inborn, more flexible than the lock-step patterns of the lower animals, and responsive to a larger number of triggers.