# Market Abuse and Insider Dealing

## 2nd Edition

By

Professor Barry Rider Counsel, Bryan Cave LLP

Dr. Kern Alexander
Director of Research in Financial Regulation
The Centre for Financial Analysis and Policy
University of Cambridge

Lisa Linklater

Barrister

Chancery House Chambers

Leeds

Stuart Bazley
General Counsel, City Equities Ltd



## About the authors

Professor Barry Rider is Counsel to the international law firm Bryan Cave LLP. He has taught law in the University of Cambridge since 1976. He has held many senior academic appointments including Director of the Institute of Advanced Legal Studies, University of London. He holds doctorates in law from the University of Cambridge, University of London, University of the Free State in South Africa and Penn State University (Dickinson Law School) in the USA. Professor Rider has also served as a civil servant responsible for a special intelligence and mutual assistance unit within an intergovernmental organisation and has been seconded and served as a consultant to many governmental agencies around the world, including the Supreme Peoples' Procuratorate of China. He is currently a consultant to the Islamic Financial Services Board and has worked for the IMF, EU, UN and Commonwealth Secretariat. He is the author of many books and other publications on financial law, economic crime and corporate law.

Dr. Kern Alexander is Director of Research in Financial Regulation at the Centre for Financial Analysis and Policy at the University of Cambridge and is Reader in Law and Finance and Head of the Banking and Financial Law programme at the School of Law, Queen Mary College, University of London. He advises the European Parliament's Economic and Monetary Affairs Committee on banking and capital market regulation and has given oral and written evidence before the Committee on financial regulation issues that relate to the credit crisis. In 2007, he co-authored a highly regarded commissioned report by the EU Parliament on reform of European financial regulation and crisis management entitled: 'Financial Supervision and Crisis Management in the EU'. He is presently serving as a technical assistant adviser to the European Commission on the implementation of the Capital Requirements Directive and the Market Abuse Directive. He also advises the Chinese Banking Regulatory Commission on Basel II implementation and related capital market issues.

Lisa Linklater graduated with an MA in law from Jesus College, University of Cambridge. She was called to the Bar of England and Wales in 1995 and has practised as a barrister from Chancery House Chambers, Leeds since 1996; her practice includes advice and litigation on financial services. Lisa was appointed Junior Counsel to the Crown in 2000, being re-appointed to that position in 2007; she has conducted a wide variety of litigation for different Government departments in that capacity. In addition, Lisa is a CEDR accredited mediator. She lives with her family in Yorkshire.

Stuart Bazley is General Counsel at City Equities Ltd. He took both a bachelors and masters degree in law from the University of London and was

#### About the authors

called to the Bar of England and Wales in 1991. He has worked in the financial services industry for over 25 years – in business operations, as a management consultant and as an in-house lawyer. He is the former head of regulatory consulting at Momenta where he specialised in the management of compliance remediation projects. He is also the former head of the legal and compliance functions for Edward Jones in the UK and the UK business of Irish Life. Stuart has lectured and written extensively on financial services regulation and enforcement, including Bazley and Haynes on Financial Services Authority Regulation and Risk-based Compliance 2nd edition, published by Tottel in 2006. He is currently undertaking doctoral research into Market Abuse enforcement at the Institute of Advanced Legal Studies, University of London.

## **Preface**

Concern about the harm to confidence in the integrity of the markets caused by those who take advantage of privileged information in their own dealings is nothing new. Indeed, it is not only in our own time that the markets and financial system has been traumatised by a crisis of confidence. Some of the earliest laws relating to trade outlaw attempts to artificially interfere with the proper function of the markets and ensure their fairness. While having better information and being better able to use it are factors which in most societies are considered not only acceptable but commendable, others come into play when the information in question could not have been obtained by the most diligent competitor or counterparty and, indeed, the information was taken in a manner or from a source that others would consider to be unfair. Those who appropriate for their own, or for that matter another's benefit, information belonging to someone else, have an unfair advantage and no matter whether as a matter of logic, let alone traditional legal analysis, this can reasonably be expected to justify remedies, it brings the fairness of the market as a whole into disrepute. It is the concern to promote and preserve public confidence in the expectation of fair dealing in the markets that has, at least in Britain, justified our attempts to control the abuse of inside information. Of course, in recent years the influence of various initiatives within the European Union has resulted in a wider concern to address the taking advantage of asymmetric access to information even where such has not been appropriated or misused.

The law that has developed to address these issues in a prohibitive and remedial manner is both complex and multi-layered. While the criminal law is most found in statute, the law relating to market abuse operates in what is to English jurisprudence a relatively new world between criminal law and the civil law. The specific laws and regulatory provisions also function within the context of the general law and other structures of regulation ranging from the Code on Takeovers and Mergers to in-firm compliance systems. The range of potential legal and regulatory responses to even a simple case of insider dealing is both complex and often uncertain. The perception that insider abuse is still a major issue in most markets and the traditional approach of the criminal law has not served as a significant deterrent and has led regulators such as the Financial Services Authority and the US Securities and Exchange Commission renewing their commitment to 'stamp out' insider dealing by whatever means are at their lawful disposal. Consequently it is important to consider the control of insider trading and related abuses in the rather wider context of financial crime and therefore in this edition we have addressed in more depth issues such as the new fraud law and money laundering.

There is another important dimension that this new edition seeks to focus upon. Earlier discussions of insider dealing law have understandably tended to

#### Preface

concentrate on the legal and regulatory liabilities of those who engage in the abuse of inside information. However, today as in the case of money laundering, the impact of the law and especially the regulatory system is in practice rather greater for those who find themselves, innocently or otherwise. as facilitators of objectionable transactions. A serious and very real responsibility has been cast on financial intermediaries and professional advisers to assist in the maintenance of integrity in the markets. In the real world an authorised person and individuals such as those engaged in compliance, are more likely to find themselves subject to legal and regulatory sanctions than those who actually engage in the abuse of inside information or for that matter who engage in other profitable crimes. The obligations that anti-money laundering laws, anti-insider dealing regulations and increasingly anticorruption laws impose on those who handle other people's financial transactions to conduct due diligence and operate effective controls to discourage and expose such activity are onerous and well policed. Therefore, the control of insider dealing and related abuses is a very real and topical concern for all those who operate in the financial sector. In this new edition we give particular emphasis to this and address in detail the role and responsibilities of compliance.

As we have seen only too well with the financial chaos stemming in part from the failure of banks to operate effective risk control systems, all financial markets are to a greater or lesser degree inter-dependent. Consequently, the laws and systems of one jurisdiction cannot be considered in isolation. Those engaged in objectionable or even merely facilitative activities may well be subject to the reach of other regulatory and legal systems. Most significantly in practical terms is the long arm reach of US securities law and in particular the concern of the US Securities and Exchange Commission to protect the integrity of its own national markets. Indeed, the criticism that has been made of the failure of the SEC to prevent and adequately control a series of recent scandals makes it more likely that it will adopt an even more robust enforcement stance. Therefore this edition attempts where relevant to address the legal and regulatory issues that may arise when other jurisdictions and in particular the USA seek to assert their authority.

The authors have attempted to draw upon their unique combination of expertise and practical experience in presenting in a clear and constructive manner an admittedly complex and dramatic body of law and regulation. In doing so they have had the benefit of advice from many involved in the day to day administration of the relevant law and in particular compliance systems. It would be invidious to name particular individuals who have been of such assistance, but their advice and support has always been much appreciated, albeit not always taken as gospel! The advice, however, of our publisher Mr Andy Hill, on the other hand is sacrosanct.

> Professor Barry Rider Dr. Kern Alexander Ms Lisa Linklater Mr Stuart Bazley January 2009

## **Contents**

| Table of cases  | xiii<br>xxiii |
|---|---------------|
| Table of statutes   | XXIII         |
| Chapter 1 The nature of insider dealing and market abuse      | 1             |
| Insider dealing in perspective                                | 1             |
| What is insider dealing?                                      | 3             |
| Chapter 2 Insider dealing: the civil law                      | 17            |
| A wrong to the market or the company?                         | 17            |
| Conflicts of interest   | 18            |
| Secret profits  | 19            |
| Loss to the insider's company                                 | 21            |
| A narrow obligation   | 23            |
| Benefiting another – a breach of duty?                        | 26            |
| Shadow directors and others                                   | 33            |
| The position of investors                                     | 34            |
| Illegality and public policy                                  | 37            |
| Remedies  | 38            |
| Chapter 3 The main offences of insider dealing – dealing on   |               |
| the basis of inside information                               | 43            |
| The law before 1980   | 43            |
| The offence of insider dealing                                | 45            |
| Encouraging insider dealing                                   | 57            |
| Tippee liability  | 58            |
| Secondary persons and tippee liability                        | 59            |
| US insider trading law  | 61            |
| The FSMA 2000 and tippee liability                            | 63            |
| Practical applications of tippee liability under UK law       | 63            |
| Defences to allegations of insider dealing                    | 65            |
| Chapter 4 The market abuse regime                             | 71            |
| Introduction  | 71            |
| The EU Market Abuse Directive and the UK market abuse regime  | 72            |
| The prescribed markets  | 73            |
| Qualifying investments  | 74            |
| Related investments   | 75            |
| Prescribed markets, qualifying investments and the Jabre case | 76            |
| The duty to the market  | 77            |
| What constitutes market abuse                                 | 78            |
| Insider and inside information                                | 78            |
| Information that is 'precise' and has an 'effect' on price    | 80            |
| Behaviour   | 80            |
| The Code of Market Conduct                                    | 81            |
| The Code specifying behaviour                                 | 82            |
| Behaviour which does not amount to market abuse               | 82            |

## Contents

| Safe harbours  | 83    |
|--|-------|
| Sanctions  | 85    |
| Regulatory policy and the Market Abuse Directive   | 86    |
| UK Implementation  | 86    |
| Chanton 5 TCN/A articles   Chanton 5 TCN/A artic |       |
| Chapter 5 FSMA criminal offences of market manipulation  | 89    |
| Introduction   | 89    |
| Manipulation   | 90    |
| UK criminal offences for misleading statements and acts  | 90    |
| Defences   | 92    |
| Market distortion and market manipulation  | 92    |
| Abusive squeezes   | 93    |
| FSA prosecution  | 93    |
| The AIT case and section 397   | 94    |
| Civil sanctions  | 94    |
| Analysis and conclusion  | 94    |
| Chapter 6 Fraud and financial crime  | 97    |
| Introduction   | 97    |
| The creation of false markets  | 99    |
| The common law   | 99    |
| The fair price   | 101   |
| Conspiracy   | 102   |
| Enforcing the bargain  | 103   |
| Fraud (by representation or conduct)   | 105   |
| Requisite state of mind – mens rea   | 106   |
| Proof of dishonesty and fraudulent intention   | 107   |
| Misrepresentation by words or conduct  | 107   |
| Silence  | 108   |
| Concealment  | 110   |
| Criminal breach of trust (CBT)   | 111   |
| Misappropriation (including theft)   | 113   |
| False statements and manipulation  | 116   |
| High pressure selling  | 117   |
| Fraudulent trading and insolvency related offences   | 118   |
| Blackmail and extortion  | 123   |
| Computer-related crime   | 124   |
| Corruption   | 125   |
| False reporting  | 127   |
| Forgery and the reliability of documentation   | 130   |
| Acts preparatory to fraud  | 132   |
| Perjury and false declarations   | 134   |
| Civil fraud  | 135   |
| Disclosure orders and freezing orders  | 137   |
| Disqualification procedures  | 141   |
| Offences by bodies corporate   | 143   |
| Conclusion   | 144   |
| Chapter 7 Anti-money laundering  | 4 4 5 |
| The corporate and financial dimension  | 145   |
| Money laundering in context  | 145   |
| Proceeds of crime  | 147   |
| Terrorist finance  | 150   |
|  | 156   |

|   | Contents |
|---|----------|
| Money laundering liability in the civil law                 | 158      |
| Naughty knowledge and mens rea                              | 160      |
| Compliance  | 161      |
| Chapter 8 Conflicts of interest                             | 163      |
| A fundamental rule  | 163      |
| Directors and their duty of loyalty                         | 163      |
| Multiple appointments                                       | 166      |
| Modification of duties                                      | 166      |
| Other fiduciaries   | 170      |
| Contracting out   | 172      |
| Informed consent  | 174      |
| A real case!  | 176      |
| Conflicts, compliance and the regulatory environment        | 177      |
| Chapter 9 FSA investigations                                | 185      |
| Introduction  | 185      |
| Investigations and information gathering                    | 187      |
| Notification of the investigation                           | 198      |
| Special cases of investigation                              | 199      |
| Confidentiality   | 201      |
| Enforcement of investigations                               | 201      |
| Preliminary findings letter                                 | 203      |
| Disciplinary powers, criteria for disciplinary action       | 203      |
| Case selection by the FSA                                   | 203      |
| Chapter 10 Enforcement issues                               | 205      |
| Introduction  | 205      |
| Sanctions for market abuse                                  | 206      |
| Principles based enforcement                                | 206      |
| The FSA's enforcement of decision making                    | 209      |
| Regulatory Decisions Committee                              | 210      |
| Warning notice and representations                          | 211      |
| Access to FSA material                                      | 212      |
| Decision notice and notice of discontinuance                | 213      |
| Third party rights  | 213      |
| Referral to the Financial Services and Markets Tribunal     | 216      |
| Final notice  | 221      |
| Publication   | 221      |
| Penalties and statements                                    | 222      |
| Financial penalty or statement of misconduct                | 223      |
| Settlement and mediation                                    | 223      |
| Determining the level of financial penalty                  | 224      |
| Removal or variation of business permissions                | 225      |
| Applications to the court                                   | 226      |
| Injunctions   | 226      |
| Prohibitory injunction                                      | 226      |
| Mandatory injunction  | 227      |
| Freezing order  | 227      |
| Factors the FSA may consider in determining whether to seek | **-      |
| injunctions Restitution orders                              | 227      |
| Actions in damages arising from enforcement                 | 227      |
| Actions in damages arising from enforcement                 | 229      |

#### Contents

| Prosecution of criminal offences   | 231 |
|--|-----|
| Referrals to the Serious Fraud Office  | 231 |
| Section 2 of the Criminal Justice Act 1987   | 232 |
| Chapter 11 Issuer disclosure   | 233 |
| Disclosure and the issuer  | 233 |
| Disclosure obligations   | 233 |
| Delayed disclosure   | 234 |
| Selective disclosure   | 235 |
| Managerial disclosures   | 235 |
| Safe harbours  | 235 |
| Issuer disclosure and third party lists  | 236 |
| Issuer and senior officer liability  | 236 |
| Professional disclosure requirements   | 237 |
| Professionals and confidentiality  | 237 |
| FSA favours enhanced disclosure  | 238 |
| Issuer's disclosure decision tree  | 238 |
| Takeovers  | 240 |
| General principles   | 240 |
| The Takeover Panel's powers  | 240 |
| The FSA's role   | 241 |
| Market abuse   | 241 |
| Actual or potential offerors   | 241 |
| Chapter 12 Compliance  | 243 |
| Introduction   | 243 |
| Compliance arrangement systems and controls  | 244 |
| Entering into personal transactions  | 250 |
| The compliance function  | 253 |
| Risk-based compliance  | 258 |
| Chapter 13 The impact of other laws: domestic and overseas                                     | 263 |
| Introduction   | 263 |
| Impact of EU law on market abuse and co-operation in investigations and enforcement            |     |
|  | 264 |
| Extra-territorial application of US securities laws, foreign issuers and anti-fraud provisions | 200 |
| IOSCO and UK efforts at international co-operation   | 266 |
| Conclusion   | 271 |
|  | 274 |
| Index  | 277 |

## A A Bank v A Ltd (Serious Fraud Office Interested Party) (The Times,

| 18 July 2000)   |
|---|
| A-G's Reference (No 1 of 1980), Re [1981] 1 WLR 34, [1981] 1 All ER     |
| 366, (1981) 72 Cr App R 60 6.83   |
| A-G's Reference (No 2 of 1982), Re [1984] QB 624, [1984] 2 WLR 447,     |
| [1984] 2 All ER 216, (1984) 1 BCC 98973                                 |
| A-G's Reference (No 1 of 1988) [1989] 2 W.L.R. 195, [1989] 1 All E.R.   |
| 321, (1988) 4 B.C.C. 765, [1989] AC 971 2.45, 3.62, 3.70                |
| A-G's Reference (No 1 of 2004) [2004] EWCA Crim 1025, [2004] 1 WLR      |
| 2111, [2005] 4 All ER 457 6.68  |
| Aberdeen Rly v Blaikie Bros (1854) 2 Eq Rep 1281, [1843-60] All ER      |
| Rep 249, 23 LTOS 315 8.3  |
| Adams v R [1995] 1 WLR 52, [1995] BCC 376, [1995] 2 BCLC 17 6.14; 7.5   |
| Agip (Africa) Ltd v Jackson [1991] Ch 547, [1991] 3 WLR 116,            |
| [1992] 4 All ER 451   |
| Alfadda v Fenn 935 F 2d 475 (2 <sup>nd</sup> Cir, 1991)                 |
| Allen v Hyatt (1914) 30 TLR 444 2.12                                    |
| Alpine Investments BV v Minister van Financien (Case C-384/93) [1995]   |
| All ER (EC) 543, [1995] ECR I-1141, [1995] 2 BCLC 214 6.53              |
| Alstom SA, Re 406 F Supp 2d 346 (SDNY, 2005)                            |
| Amalgamated Metal Trading Ltd v City of London Police Financial         |
| Investigation Unit (2003) EWHC 703 (Comm), [2003] 1 WLR 2711,           |
| [2003] 4 All ER 1225  |
| Anglo-African Merchants Ltd v Bayley [1970] 1 QB 311, [1969] 2 WLR      |
| 686, [1969] 2 All ER 421 8.13   |
| Armitage v Nurse [1998] Ch 241, [1997] 3 WLR 1046                       |
| Arthur Lipper Corpn v SEC 547 F 2d 171 (2nd Cir, 1976); cert denied 434 |
| US 1009 (1978)  |
| Attorney General v Blake [1998] Ch 439, [1998] 1 All ER 833; affd       |
| [2000] 4 All ER 385 2.11, 2.27, 2.52                                    |
| Attorney General for Hong Kong v Reid (1994) 1 AC 324, [1993] UKPC      |
| 2   |
| Attorney General of Hong Kong v Pat Chiuk-Wah [1971] AC 835,            |
| [1971] 2 WLR 1381, [1971] 2 All ER 1460                                 |
| Attorney General of Hong Kong v Wai Yu-Tsang see Wai Tu-Tsang v R       |
| Atwood v Merryweather (1967) LT 5 Eq 464                                |
| Australian Securities & Investments Commission v Citigroup Markets      |
| Australia Pty Ltd (2007) FCA 963  |
|   |
| В   |
| Bairstow v Queen's Moat Houses plc [2001] EWCA Civ 712, [2002] BCC      |
| 91, [2001] 2 BCLC 531   |
| Baldwin & WRT Investments v Financial Services Authority (2006, Case    |
| No 2005/011)  |
|   |
|   |

| Bank Mellat v Kazmi [1989] QB 541, [1989] 2 WLR 613, [1989] 1 All ER      | 6 100                     |
|---|---------------------------|
| 925   | 0.100                     |
| [2001] 3 All ER 58  | 7.3                       |
| Banque Financiere de la Cite SA (formerly Banque Keysey Ullman SA) v      |                           |
| Westgate Insurance Co (formerly Hodge General &                           |                           |
| Mercantile Co Ltd) [1990] 1 QB 665, [1989] 3 WLR 25, [1989] 2 All ER 952  | 100.816                   |
| Barclays Bank Ltd v Quistclose Investments Ltd [1970] AC 567,             | .100, 0.10                |
| [1968] 3 WLR 1097, [1968] 3 All ER 651                                    |                           |
| Barry v Croskey (1861) 2 John & H 1, 70 ER 945                            | 6.18                      |
| Bayer AG v Winter (No 1) [1986] 1 WLR 497, [1986] 1 All ER 733,           | 6 110                     |
| [1986] FSR 323  | 6.17                      |
| Bell v Lever Bros Ltd [1932] AC 161                                       | 2.14; 8.7                 |
| Bersch v Drexel Firestone Inc 519 F 2d 974 (2nd Cir, 1975)                | .10, 13.15                |
| Bhullar v Bhullar [2003] EWCA Civ 424, [2003] BCC 711,                    |                           |
| [2003] 2 BCLC 241   |                           |
| Boardman v Phipps [1967] 2 AC 46, [1966] 3 WLR 1009, [1966] 3 All ER      |                           |
|   |                           |
| 721   | ,                         |
| [1999] 1 All ER 517 8.14,   | 8.18, 8.31                |
| Bourassa v Desrochers 938 F 2d 1056 (9th Cir, 1991)                       | 13.20                     |
| Bowman v Fels [2005] EWCA Civ 226, [2005] 1 WLR 3083, [2005] 4 All ER 609 | 7 17                      |
| Bowman v Secular Society Ltd [1917] AC 406                                | 7.1                       |
| Bray v Ford [1896] AC 44  | 2.3; 8.1                  |
| Breen v Williams (1996) 186 CLR 71  | 8.20                      |
| Briess v Woolley [1954] AC 333, [1954] 2 WLR 832, [1954] 1 All ER         | 0.10                      |
| 909   | 2.12                      |
| Bristol & West Building Society v Mothew [1998] Ch 1, [1997] 2 WLR        | , 0.15                    |
| 436, [1996] 4 All ER 698  | 6.39; 8.15                |
| British Airways Board v Taylor [1976] 1 WLR 13, [1976] 1 All ER 65,       |                           |
| [1976] 1 Lloyd's Rep 167  |                           |
| (1999) 32 ACSR 294(1999) 32 ACSR 294                                      |                           |
| Butte Mining plc v Smith 76 F 3d 287 (9th Cir, 1996)                      |                           |
|   |                           |
|   |                           |
| C   |                           |
| C v S (Money Laundering: Discovery of Documents) [1999] 1 WLR 1551        |                           |
| [1999] 2 All ER 343, [1999] Lloyd's Rep 26                                | 1.3                       |
| *****   | 2.37                      |
| Canada Trust Co v Stolzenberg (The Times, 10 November 1997)               |                           |
| Canadian Aero Service Ltd v O'Malley [1974] SCR 592, (1974) 40 DLR        |                           |
| (3d) 371, 11 CPR (2d) 206   | , 2.37; 6.39              |
| Caparo Industries plc v Dickman [1990] 2 AC 605, [1990] 2 WLR 358,        | 2.31                      |
| [1990] 1 All ER 568   | 2.39                      |
| Cargill Inc v Hardin 452 F 2d 1154 (8th Cir, 1971)                        | 5.2; 13.17                |
| Carpenter v US 484 US 19 (1987)   | 6.43                      |
| Chase Manhattan Equities Ltd v Goodman [1991] BCC 308, [1991] BCL0        | ]<br>. 2 <b>5</b> 1. 4 14 |
| 897   | , 3.31; 0.10<br>2 20      |
| Chez Nico (Restaurants) Ltd, Re [1991] BCC 736, [1992] BCLC 192           | 2.16: 6.39                |
| Clark v Urquhart [1930] AC 28, (1929) 34 Ll L Rep 359                     | 6.101                     |
|   |                           |

| Clarke Boyce v Mouat [1994] 1 AC 428, [1993] 3 WLR 1021, [1993] 4 All ER 268               |
|--|
| [1993] 4 All ER 208  |
| 958 7.27   |
| Coca-Cola Co v Gilbey [1995] 4 All ER 711, (1995) 145 NLJ 1688 6.111                       |
| Coleman v Myers [1977] 2 NZLR 225  |
| 382  |
| Comrs of Customs & Excise v Barclays Bank plc (2006) 3 WML 1                               |
| Consolidated Gold Fields plc v Minorco, SA 871 F 2d 252 (2 <sup>nd</sup> Cir,              |
| 1989)  |
| Continental Grain (Australia) Pty Ltd v Pacific Oilseeds Inc 592 F 2d 409                  |
| (8 <sup>th</sup> Cir, 1979)  |
| Cook v Deeks [1916] 1 AC 554   |
| Cundy v Lindsay (1877–78) LR 3 App Cas 459, [1874–80] All ER Rep<br>1149, (1878) 42 JP 483 |
| Cunliffe-Owen v Teather & Greenwood [1967] 1 WLR 1421,                                     |
| [1967] 3 All ER 561, (1967) 111 SJ 866   |
|  |
| D  |
| D & J Constructions Pty Ltd v Head & others Trading as Clayton Utz                         |
| (1987) 9 NSWLR 118 8.20  |
| DPP v Welham see Welham v DPP  |
| Daly v The Sydney Stock Exchange Ltd (1986) 160 CLR 371                                    |
| Daniels v Daniels [1978] Ch 406, [1978] 2 WLR 73, [1978] 2 All ER 89 2.21;                 |
| 7.31; 8.9, 8.10 Daraydan Holdings Ltd v Solland International Ltd [2004] EWHC 622,         |
| [2005] Ch 119, [2004] 3 WLR 1106   |
| Davidson (Paul) & Tatham (Ashley) v FSA (Case No 2003/016)(Financial                       |
| Services and Markets Trubunal, 11 October 2006)  |
| Dept of Economic Development v Arthur Anderson & Co 683 F Supp                             |
| 1463 (SDNY, 1988)  |
| Des Brisay v Goldfield Corpn 549 F 2d 133 (9th Cir, 1977)                                  |
| Director of Fair Trading v Pioneer Concrete (UK) Ltd [1995] 1 AC 456,                      |
| [1994] 3 WLR 1249, [1995] 1 All ER 135   |
| Dirks v SEC 463 US 646 (1983)  |
| Doe (John) v UNOCAL Corpn 110 F Supp 2d 1294 (CD Cal, 2000)                                |
| Doyle v Olby (Ironmongers) Ltd [1969] 2 QB 158, [1969] 2 WLR 672,                          |
| [1969] 2 All ER 119  |
| 505, [1978] FSR 143, (1977) 121 SJ 53  |
| 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -  |
| E  |
| Ebrahimi v Westbourne Galleries Ltd [1973] AC 360, [1972] 2 WLR 1289,                      |
| [1972] 2 All ER 492  |
| Edgington v Fitzmaurice (1885) LR 29 Ch D 459 6.30   |
| El-Ajou v Dollar Land Holdings plc (No 1) [1994] 2 All ER 685, [1994]                      |
| BCC 143, [1994] 1 BCLC 464   |
| Euro-Diam Ltd v Bathurst [1990] 1 QB 1, [1988] 2 WLR 517, [1988] 2 All ER 23               |
| Europe & Overseas Commodity Traders SA v Banque Paribas London 147                         |
| F 3d 118 (2nd Cir, 1998)   |
|  |
| <b>F</b>   |
| Fidenas AG v Compagnie Internationale Pour L'informatique CII                              |
| Honeywell Bull SA 606 F 2d 5 (2 <sup>nd</sup> Cir, 1979)                                   |
| Financial Services Authority v Fitt [2004] EWHC 1669                                       |

| Financial Services Authority v Sean Fradley (t/a Top Bet Placement Services) [2004] EWHC 3008, [2005] 1 BCLC 479, (2004) 101 (45) LSG 31   | 10.70         |
|--|---------------|
| Financial Services Authority v Gary Woodward [2004] EWHC 3008, [2005] 1 BCLC 479, (2004) 101 (45) LSG 31                                   |               |
| Finers v Miro [1991] 1 WLR 35, [1991] 1 All ER 182, (1990) 140 NLJ 1387  | 7.3           |
| Foss v Harbottle (1843) 2 Hare 461, 67 ER 189  | 31; 8.10      |
| 4/3  | 6.0           |
| G  |               |
| Gadsden v Bennetto (No 2) (1913) 9 DLR 719, 3 WWR 1  | 2; 13.17      |
| Gilford Motor Co Ltd v Horne (1933) Ch 935   | 7.1           |
| Gillett v Peppercorne (1840) 3 Beav 78, 49 ER 31   | •             |
| (1993) 11 ACLC 985   |               |
| 80   |               |
| н  |               |
| H, (minors) (sexual abuse: standard of prrof) Re [1996] AC 563,  |               |
| [1996] 2 WLR 8, [1996] 1 All ER 1  | 10.47<br>6.11 |
| [1999] 34 LS Gaz R 33  | 2.32          |
| Heron International Ltd v Lord Grade [1983] BCLC 244, [1982] Com LR 108  | 6 30          |
| Hivac Ltd v Park Royal Scientific Instruments Ltd [1946] Ch 169, CA<br>Hospital Products Ltd v US Surgical Corpn (1984) 156 CLR 41, 55 ALR | 8.7           |
| 417<br>Hutton v Warren, Clerk (1836) 1 M & W 466, 150 ER 517   | 8.20          |
| Hydrodan (Corby) Ltd (in liquidation), Re [1994] BCC 161,  |               |
| [1994] 2 BCLC 180  | 2.37          |
| I  |               |
| IIT v Vencap Ltd 519 F 2d 1001 (2 <sup>nd</sup> Cir, 1975)   |               |
| 412, [1998] FSR 248, (1997) 141 SJLB 216   |               |
| innovisions Ltd v Chan Sing-chuk, Charles & others (1992) 1 HKLR 71:   |               |
| affd (1992) 1 HKLR 255   | 8.2, 8.7      |
| International Credit & Investment Co (Overseas) Ltd v Adham  |               |
| (appointment of receiver) [1998] BCC 134   | 6.112<br>8.6  |
| Item Softwear (UK) Ltd v Fassihi [2004] EWCA Civ 1244, [2004] BCC 994, [2005] 2 BCLC 91  |               |
| Itoba Ltd v Lep Group plc 54 F 3d 118 (2nd Cir 1995)   | 13.9          |

| Jabre (Phillipe) & the FSA (decision on market abuse) (Financial Services   |
|---|
| and Markets Tribunal, 10 July 2006)   |
| Jones v Canavan [1972] 2 NSWLR 236  |
| 531 7.1   |
|   |
| K   |
| K Ltd v National Westminster Bank plc [2006] EWCA Civ 1039, [2007]  |
| Bus LR 26, [2007] 1 WLR 311   |
| Kaufman v Campeau Corpn 744 F Supp 808 (SD Ohio, 1990)  |
| 2.25; 8.18  |
| Kuwait Asia Bank EC v National Mutual Life Nominees Ltd [1991] 1 AC   |
| 187, [1990] 3 WLR 297, [1990] BCLC 868  |
| _   |
| L   |
| Landon v Beiorly (1849) 10 LTOS 505   |
| 1972)   |
| Lee (David) & Co (Lincoln) Ltd v Coward Chance (a firm); sub nom  |
| Supasave Retail b Coward Chance (a firm) [1991] Ch 259,   |
| [1990] 3 WLR 1278, [1991] 1 All ER 668  |
| Legal & General Assurance Society Ltd v Financial Services Authority (2005, Case No 11)   |
| Lennard's Carrying Co Ltd v Asiatic Petroleum Co Ltd [1915] AC 705 2.36   |
| Lewis v Averay (No 1) [1972] 1 QB 198, [1971] 3 WLR 603,  |
| [1971] 3 All ER 907 6.43  |
| Lister & Co v Stubbs (1890) LR 45 Ch D 1  |
| Looe Fosh Ltd, Re [1993] BCC 348, [1993] BCLC 1160  |
| London & Mashonaland Exploration Co v New Mashonaland   |
| Exploration Co [1891] WN 165  |
| Lynall v IRC [1972] AC 680, [1971] 3 WLR 759, [1971] 3 All ER 914 6.101   |
|   |
| M<br>MCC Inc. of Const. Western S.A. 544 F. S 915 (SDNN, 1992)  |
| MCG Inc v Great Western SA 544 F Supp 815 (SDNY, 1982)  |
| 363, (1971) 115 SJ 464  |
| Mareva Compania Naviera SA v Intenational Bulk Carriers SA (The   |
| Mareva) [1980] 1 All ER 213, [1975] 2 Lloyd's Rep 509, [1980] 2   |
| MLJ 71  |
| Meridian Global Funds Management Asia Ltd v Securities Commission [1995] 2 AC 500, [1995] 3 WLR 413, [1995] 3 All ER 918 2.33, 2.34 |
| Mormels v Girofinance SA 544 F Supp 815 (SDNY, 1982)  |
| Motorola Credit Corpn v Uzan (No 6) [2003] EWCA Civ 752,  |
| [2004] 1 WLR 113, [2003] CP Rep 56  |
| Movitex Ltd v Bulfield [1986] 2 BCC 99403, [1988] BCLC 104  |
| N.T   |
| N<br>Nanus Asia Co Inc v Standard Chartered Bank [1990] 1 HKLR 396 2.7, 2.9, 2.24,  |
| 2.29  |
| Nelson v Rye [1996] 1 WLR 1378, [1996] 2 All ER 186, [1996] EMLR  |
| 37 2.25   |
| New Zealand Netherlands Society "Oranje" v Kuys [1973] 1 WLR 1126,  |
| [1973] 2 All ER 1222, [1974] RPC 272  |
| [2008] UKHL 16  |

| North & South Trust Co v Berkeley [1971] 1 WLR 470, [1971] 1 All ER 980, [1970] 2 Lloyd's Rep 467 [fn 4]                         |
|--|
| 0  |
| O'Driscoll v Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc 1983 WL 1360,   |
| Fed Sec L Rep 99 (SDNY, 1983)  |
| Oxford v Moss (1978) 68 Cr App R 183, [1979] Crim LR 119 1.18; 2.27; 6.43  |
|  |
| P  |
| Parker v Financial Services Authority (2006, Case 037)   |
| Pasley v Freeman (1789) 3 TR 51  |
| Peek v Gurney (1871–72) LR 13 Eq 79  |
| Peskin v Anderson [2001] BCC 874, [2001] 1 BCLC 372 2.12, 2.16, 2.18; 6.39   |
| Phillips v Brooks Ltd [1919] 2 KB 243  |
| Piller (Anton) KG v Manufacturing Processes Ltd [1976] Ch 55,  |
| [1976] 2 WLR 162, [1976] 1 All ER 779  |
| Pirelli General Cable Works Ltd v Oscar Faber & Partners [1983] 2 AC 1,  |
| [1983] 2 WLR 6, [1983] 1 All ER 65   |
| Platt v Platt [1999] 2 BCLC 745, [2001] 1 BCLC 698   |
| Plessey Co v General Electric Co 628 F Supp 477 (D Del, 1986)  |
| Pretrorius v Natal South Sea Investent Trust Ltd 1965 (3) SA 410 (W)   |
| 418  |
| Princess of Reuss v Bos (1871–72) LR 5 HL 176  |
| Prudential Assurance Co Ltd v Newman Industries Ltd (No 2)   |
| [1982] Ch 204, [1982] 2 WLR 31, [1982] 1 All ER 354  |
| Psimenos v EF Hutton & Co 722 F 2d 1041 (2 <sup>nd</sup> Cir, 1983)  |
| Public Prosecutor v Choudhury [1980] 1 MLJ 76  |
| R  |
| R v Adams see Adams v R  |
| R v Aspinall (Joseph) (1876–77) LR 2 QBD 48, 1 QBD 730; affd (1876) 2  |
| OBD 48, CA   |
| R v Ayres [1984] AC 447, [1984] 2 WLR 257, [1984] 1 All ER 619 6.12  |
| R v Boyle (Edward Beall) [1914] 3 KB 339, (1914) 10 Cr App R 180 6.71  |
| R v Braithwaite (Frank Wilson) [1983] 1 WLR 385, [1983] 2 All ER 87,   |
| (1983) 77 Cr App R 34  |
| R v Clear (Thomas Walter) [1968] 1 QB 670, [1968] 2 WLR 122,   |
| [1968] 1 All ER 74   |
| [1986] 2 All ER 985  |
| R v Cox (Rodney James) (1979) 1 Cr App R (S) 190   |
| R v Cross (John Morris) [1990] BCC 237, [1991] BCLC 125,   |
| (1990) 91 Cr App R 115 3.78  |
| R v Da Silva (Hilda Gondwe) [2006] EWCA Crim 1654, [2007] 1 WLR  |
| 303, [2006] 4 All ER 900   |
| R v De Berenger (1814) 3 M & S 67, 105 ER 536  |
| R v Doubleday (William Donald) (1964) 49 Cr App R 62   |
| R v Forsyth (Elizabeth) [1997] 2 Cr App Rep 299, [1997] Crim LR 581 7.31 R v Ghosh (Deb Baran) [1982] QB 1053, [1982] 3 WLR 110, |
| (1982) 75 Cr App R 154   |
| R v Goodman (Ivor Michael) [1993] 2 All ER 789, [1992] BCC 625,  |
| [1994] 1 BCLC 349  |
| R v Hall (Edward Leonard) (1985) 81 Cr App Rep 260, [1985] Crim LR   |
| 377, (1985) 82 LSG 1485 7.31   |

| R v Harris (Martin) (1986) 84 Cr App Rep 75  | 7.31    |
|--|---------|
| 128 SJ 54  | . 7.31  |
| [1998] Crim LR 339   |         |
| R v Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, ex p More [1931] 2 KB 197  |         |
| R v Rigby, Bailey & Rowley (unreported, 8 August 2005)   |         |
| [1996] 1 BCLC 380  | , 2.36  |
| R v Smith (Albert Arthur) (1977) 64 Cr App Rep 217   |         |
| R v Toor (Pritpal Singh)(1987) 85 Cr App Rep 116, [1987] Crim LR 122   |         |
| R v Williams (Jean Jacques) [1980] Crim LR 589   | 6.33    |
| RBG Resources plc v Rastogi [2002] EWHC 2782   | 0.107   |
| 6.41; 8.1 6.41; 8.1 6.41; 8.1 6.41; 8.1 6.41; 8.1 6.41; 8.1  |         |
| 0.41, 6.1 Reingold v Deloitte Haskins & Sells 599 F Supp 1241 (SDNY, 1984)                                     | 13.20   |
| Ridgely v Keene 134 AD 647, 119 NYS 451 (2 <sup>nd</sup> dept, 1875)   | 6.15    |
| Royal Brunei Airlines Sdn Bhd v Philip Tan Kok Ming [1995] 2 AC 378,   | . 0.15  |
| [1995] 3 WLR 64, [1995] 3 All ER 97 2.5, 2.18, 2.31, 2.32; 6.103   | : 7.30  |
| Rubery v Grant (1871–72) LR 13 Eq 443  | 6.6     |
| Ryan v Triguboff [1976] 1 NSWLR 588  | . 3.36  |
|  |         |
| S  |         |
| SCF Finance Co v Masri (No 1) [1985] 1 WLR 876, [1985] 2 All ER 747,   |         |
| [1985] 2 Lloyds Rep 206  |         |
| SEC v Berger 322 F 3d 187 (2 <sup>nd</sup> Cir, 2003)  | 13.10   |
| SEC v Kasser 548 F 2d 109 (3rd Cir, 1977)  | 13.13   |
| SEC v MacDonald 699 F 2d 47 (1st Cir, 1983)  | . 3.45  |
| SEC v Switzer 590 F Supp 756 (WD Okla, 1984)   | . 3.67  |
| SEC v Texas Gulf Sulfur Co 401 F 2d 833 (2 <sup>nd</sup> Cir, 1968)  |         |
| Salaman v Warner [1891] 1 QB 734, (1891) 7 TLR 484, CA   | . 6.18  |
| Jo 45  | \ 6 1 1 |
| Saunders v United Kingdom [1997] BCC 872, [1998] 1 BCLC 362,   | , 0.11  |
| (1997) 23 EHRR 313   | 9.42    |
| Scheder & Co v Walton & Hemingway (1910) 27 TLR 89   |         |
| Schoenbaum v Firstbrook 405 F 2d 200 (2 <sup>nd</sup> Cir, 1968)   | 13.10   |
|  |         |
| Scott (Anthony Peter) v Metropolitan Police Commissioner [1975] AC 819, [1974] 3 WLR 741, [1974] 3 All ER 1032 | . 6.94  |
| Scottish Co-operative Wholesale Society Ltd v Meyer [1959] AC 324, [1958] 3 WLR 404, [1958] 3 All ER 66        |         |
| Seagor v Copydex (No 2) [1969] 1 WLR 809, [1969] 2 All ER 718, [1969]  |         |
| FSR 261  | . 2.52  |
| Seagull Manufacturing Co Ltd (in liquidation) (No 2), Re [1994] Ch 91,   |         |
| [1994] 2 WLR 453, [1994] 1 BCLC 273  | 6.114   |
| [1998] 1 BCLC 333, (1997) 94 (24) LSG 31   | 2 27    |
| Selangor United Rubber Estates Ltd v Craddock (No 3) [1968] 1 WLR  | . 2.37  |
| 1555, [1968] 2 All ER 1073, [1968] 2 Lloyds Rep 289  | R- 7 30 |
| Shaaban bin Hussein v Chong Fook Kam [1970] AC 942, [1970] 2 WLR   | 3, 7.50 |
| 441, [1969] 3 All ER 1626  | . 7.31  |
| Smith v Croft (No 2) [1988] Ch 114, [1987] 3 WLR 405, [1987] 3 All ER 909                                      |         |
| Smith v Hancock [1894] 2 Ch 377  | 7.1     |
| Smith New Court Securities Ltd v Citibank NA [1994] 1 WLR 1271,  |         |
| [1994] 4 All ER 225, [1994] 4 All ER 225 6.100,  | 6.101   |
| Solicitors (a firm), Re [1992] QB 959, [1992] 2 WLR 809.   |         |
| [1992] 1 All ER 353  | . 8.14  |

| Solicitors (a firm), Re [1997] Ch 1, [1996] 3 WLR 16, [1965] 3 All ER 482       |
|---|
|   |
| Sonaike v Financial Services Authority (13 July 2005)                           |
| Squirrell Ltd v National Westminster Bank plc [2005] EWHC 664 (Ch),             |
| [2006] 1 WLR 637, [2005] 2 All ER 784   |
|   |
| Stein v Blake (No 2) [1998] 1 All ER 724, [1998] BCC 316,                       |
| [1998] 1 BCLC 573   |
| Stracey v Urquhart [1930] AC 28, (1929) 34 L1 L Rep 359                         |
| Strong v Repide 213 US 419 (1909)   |
| Sumitomo Bank Ltd v Kartika Ratna Thahir [1993] 1 SLR 735                       |
| Supasave Retail v Coward Chance (a firm) see Lee (David) & Co                   |
| (Lincoln) Ltd v Coward Chance (a firm)  |
| Swindle v Harrison [1997] 4 All ER 705, [1997] PNLR 641, [1997] NPC             |
| 50 2.49, 2.50   |
| _   |
| TSB Private Bank International SA v Chabra [1992] 1 WLR 231,                    |
| [1002] 2 All ED 2/5   |
| [1992] 2 All ER 245   |
| order off'd 720 E 2d 1102 (7th Clim 1094), and 1 m' 1 460 Typ 2071              |
| order aff'd 730 F 2d 1103 (7th Cir, 1984); cert denied 469 US 871               |
| (1984)  |
| Target Holdings Ltd v Redferns [1996] AC 421, [1995] 3 WLR 352,                 |
| [1995] 3 All ER 785   |
| Tayeb (Com & Hosni) v HSBC Bank plc [2004] EWHC 1529 (Comm),                    |
| [2004] 4 All ER 1024, [2004] 2 All ER (Comm) 880                                |
| Three Rivers District Council v Bank of England (No 3) [2003] 2 AC 1,           |
| [2000] 2 WLR 1220, [2000] 3 All ER 1  |
| Treacy v DPP [1971] AC 537, [1971] 2 WLR 112, [1971] 1 All ER 110 6.71          |
| Twinsectra v Yardley [2002] UKHL 12, [2002] 2 AC 164, [2000] 2 All ER           |
| 377 2.32; 7.30  |
|   |
| U   |
| US v Brown 5 F Supp 81 (SDNY 1933) 6.19   |
| US v O'Hagan 521 US 642 (1997)  |
| US v Carpenter 791 F 2d 1024 (2 <sup>nd</sup> Cir, 1986)                        |
| US v Musella 678 F Supp 1060 (SDNY, 1988)                                       |
| Ultraframe (UK) Ltd v Fielding [2005] EWHC 1638, [2006] FSR 17, [2007] WTLR 835 |
| United Pan-Europe Communications NV v Deutsche Bank AG                          |
| [2000] 2 BCLC 461   |
| [2000] 2 BCDC 401 2.10, 2.24, 2.23, 2.20, 2.27                                  |
| W T   |
| Wooddall y Dlasklay (1970) A ODD (70  |
| Waddell v Blockley (1879) 4 QBD 678   |
| Wai Tu-Tsang v R [1992] 1 AC 269, [1991] 3 WLR 1006, [1991] 4 All ER            |
| 664 6.92, 6.94  |
| Warman International Ltd v Dwyer (1995) 128 ALR 201, [1995] HCA                 |
| 12  |
| Watts (Sir Philip) v Financial Services Authority Ltd [2006] EWHC 2044          |
| (Admin) 10.29   |
| Weiham v DPP [1961] AC 103, [1960] 2 WLR 669, [1960] 1 All ER 805               |
| Westdeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale v London Borough of Islington              |
| [1996] AC 669, [1996] 2 WLR 802, [1996] 2 All ER 961                            |
| Winkworth v Edward Baron Development Co Ltd [1986] 1 WI R 1512                  |
| [1987] 1 All ER 114, (1987) 3 BCC 4   |
| rerguson v Paterson [1900] AC 2/1, (1900) 3 F (HL) 37, (1900) 7 SI T            |
| 472 8.20  |