# STEVENS' HANDBOOK OF EXPERIMENTAL PSYCHOLOGY

Second Edition

VOLUME 2
Learning and Cognition

## STEVENS' HANDBOOK OF EXPERIMENTAL PSYCHOLOGY

**Second Edition** 

### **VOLUME 2: Learning and Cognition**

Edited by

RICHARD C. ATKINSON RICHARD J. HERRNSTEIN GARDNER LINDZEY R. DUNCAN LUCE



A Wiley-Interscience Publication

JOHN WILEY & SONS

New York / Chichester / Brisbane / Toronto / Singapore

Copyright @ 1988 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

All rights reserved. Published simultaneously in Canada.

Reproduction or translation of any part of this work beyond that permitted by Section 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act without the permission of the copyright owner is unlawful. Requests for permission or further information should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional service. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional person should be sought. From a Declaration of Principles jointly adopted by a Committee of the American Bar Association and a Committee of Publishers.

#### Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication Data:

Handbook of Experimental psychology.

Stevens' handbook of experimental psychology.

Rev. ed. of: Handbook of experimental psychology edited by S.S. Stevens. 1951.

"A Wiley-Interscience publication."

Includes bibliographies and index.

Contents: v. 1. Perception and motivation --

v. 2. Learning and cognition.

 Psychology, Experimental. I. Stevens, S. S. (Stanley Smith), 1906-1973. II. Atkinson, Richard C.

III. Title. [DNLM: 1. Cognition. 2. Learning3. Motivation. 4. Perception. 5. Psychophysiology.

WL 102 H2355] BF181.H336 1988 150 87-31637 ISBN 0-471-04203-X (v. 1) IBSN 0-471-04207-2 (v. 2)

# STEVENS' HANDBOOK OF EXPERIMENTAL PSYCHOLOGY

#### **CONTRIBUTORS**

PETER D. BALSAM, Ph.D. Associate Professor, Department of Psychology

Barnard College of Columbia University New York, New York

Nelson Butters, Ph.D.

Professor, Department of Psychiatry University of California, San Diego School of Medicine La Jolla, California

Chief, Psychological Services San Diego V.A. Medical Center

San Diego, California

JOHN B. CARROLL, Ph.D. William R. Kenan Jr. Professor of Psychology Emeritus

L. L. Thurstone Psychometric Laboratory Department of Psychology

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Chapel Hill, North Carolina

Nelson H. Donegan, Ph.D. Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology Yale University New Haven, Connecticut

WILLIAM K. ESTES, Ph.D. Professor, Department of Psychology Harvard University Cambridge, Massachusetts

BARUCH FISCHHOFF, Ph.D. Professor, Departments of Social and Decision Sciences, and Engineering and Public Policy Carnegie-Mellon University Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

SAM GLUCKSBERG, Ph.D. Professor, Department of Psychology Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey

HAROLD GOODGLASS, Ph.D.

Director, Boston University Aphasia Research

Professor, Department of Neurology

**Boston University** School of Medicine

Boston V.A. Medical Center

Boston, Massachusetts

JAMES G. GREENO, Ph.D. Professor, School of Education

Stanford University Stanford, California

ELIOT HEARST, Ph.D.

Distinguished Professor of Psychology

Department of Psychology

Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana

DAVID G. LAVOND, Ph.D. Postdoctoral Research Associate Department of Psychology

Stanford University Stanford, California

SARAH LICHTENSTEIN, Ph.D.

Research Associate Decision Research Eugene, Oregon

GEORGE A. MILLER, Ph.D.

James S. McDonnell Distinguished University

Professor of Psychology Department of Psychology Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey

DONALD A. NORMAN, Ph.D.

Professor, Department of Psychology University of California, San Diego

La Jolla, California

RICHARD W. PEW, Ph.D.
Principal Scientist, Experimental Psychology
Bolt Beranek and Newman Inc.
Cambridge, Massachusetts

DAVID A. ROSENBAUM, Ph.D. Associate Professor, Department of Psychology University of Massachusetts Amherst, Massachusetts

DAVID E. RUMELHART, Ph.D. Professor, Department of Psychology Stanford University Stanford, California

RICHARD M. SHIFFRIN, Ph.D. Professor, Department of Psychology Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana HERBERT A. SIMON, Ph.D.
Richard King Mellon University Professor of
Computer Science and Psychology
Department of Psychology
Carnegie-Mellon University
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Paul Slovic, Ph.D.
Research Associate
Decision Research
Professor, Department of Psychology
University of Oregon
Eugene, Oregon

RICHARD F. THOMPSON, Ph.D. Professor, Department of Psychology University of Southern California Los Angeles, California

BEN A. WILLIAMS, Ph.D. Professor, Department of Psychology University of California, San Diego La Jolla, California

#### **PREFACE**

Stanley Smith Stevens' original Handbook of Experimental Psychology, published in 1951, comprised 36 chapters in six sections, all in one large volume. Here, the number of chapters has shrunk to 27, the sections to four, and the whole made more manageable as two volumes. The shrinkage is not in experimental psychology, for what has vanished are mainly importations into psychology from other disciplines. The original Handbook had chapters on the neuron, on synapses, on neural maturation, on the mathematics of growth curves, and on the anatomy of motor systems. Also missing are topics that now seem less pertinent to experimental psychology than they did right after World War II, such as engineering psychology, equipment design, and manpower training. As experimental psychology developed, it grew less dependent on other sciences and focused more sharply on its own territory.

Experimental psychology has grown differentially. What was a single chapter on Cognitive Processes has become a section of nine chapters in the present edition, a full third of the entire undertaking. The section on Sensory Processes has been transformed into Perception, a shift away from the physical and physiological to the more purely psychological. Physics and physiology remain, as they must, but the hope is that they make more meaningful contact with psychology's variables. The section on Motivation has grown from three chapters to five, almost all of the growth owing to the enriching convergence of behavioral biology and experimental psychology. The section on Learning and Adjustment changed its name to Learning and ceded Cognitive Processes and Speech and Language to other sections. It abandoned a mixture of substantive and methodological chapter topics that has become unwieldy, and it now presents four fundamental issues of simple learning: conditioning processes, stimulus discrimination, response strength, and the physiology of learning and memory.

This work is not so much a revision of Stevens' *Handbook* as it is a tribute to its editor. Although the present editors had quite different relations with our predecessor, all of us were significantly influenced by him in our work, and we are unified in our admiration for him as a scientist, scholar, and person. It is difficult to say anything about Smitty without saying a great deal. Here space permits us only to point to his distinguished contributions to sensory psychology and measurement, and to his Harvard presence for four decades, where in his highly individual manner he molded generations of experimental psychologists. So, too, did his *Handbook* shape experimental psychology in its time. Readers who are not familiar with him and his work may wish to consult his fascinating autobiography, which says much of interest about him and about our science (S. S. Stevens, *Autobiography*. In G. Lindzey (ed.), *A History of Psychology in Autobiography*, Vol VI. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1974, pp 395–420).

Conversations among the editors about a possible new edition of Stevens' Handbook began in the fall of 1977. The old edition was out of date and out of print, but the question was whether a new one of so comprehensive a scope would be useful at a time when fine handbooks in perception, learning, and other specialized areas of experimental psychology have become available. Or were the fissures within experimental psychology so deep, we wondered, that it was pointless to try to span them in a single work. We came to believe that the very fractionation of our subject that

viii PREFACE

almost deterred us could be blamed in part on the lack of a contemporary Handbook. Experimental psychology has more coherence than is reflected in the specialized textbooks, handbooks, journals, and monographs of our time, or so we thought. Wiley, publisher of the original Handbook, agreed. The next step was to try to discover whether colleagues elsewhere agreed as well.

In the summer of 1978, a tentative outline, drafted by the editors, was sent to several dozen psychologists and other scholars. They were asked for advice on the idea of a new edition, on our outline, and on possible authors. Their answers helped mold our tentative plan into the present Handbook. Because of their generous response to our inquiry, the outline was extensively revised, a list of prospective authors drawn up, and, most significantly, the editors felt encouraged to proceed with the project. We acknowledge, but cannot fully repay, our debt to these consultants by listing their names.

Authors were recruited to write chapters of a level of scholarship sufficient to serve the needs of scholars and a level of clarity to serve those of beginning graduate students in experimental psychology. That was Stevens' conception of his Handbook, as it is the present editors'. All who were asked accepted or provided advice, about the outline of the Handbook or about alternative authors. Soon we had the assemblage here represented. Only the enduring and versatile George A. Miller turns up as author in both editions, although several others of the original authors helped as consultants.

Also enduring is Geraldine Stone Stevens, whom Stevens gratefully acknowledged in his Preface. We are grateful to her, too, for allowing us to grace this edition with "Stevens" in its title, for advice about how to put a handbook together, for editing about one-third of the chapters, and for her enthusiasm and encouragement.

The editors needed further help as the chapters came in. The reviewers listed below provided expertise where the editors' expertise fell short. Their criticisms and suggestions were often detailed, and occasionally fundamental. We know we speak not just for ourselves but also for the authors when we express most earnest thanks to these reviewers for accepting the largely thankless task of careful editorial reading and commenting. Much, though not all, of this edition's long delay from conception to publication is accounted for by the slow pace of the successive exchanges from reviewer to author and back again, always via an editor.

But the delay would have been worse, perhaps infinite, had it not been for the efforts of Susan Herrnstein, of whom we write, as Stevens wrote of Geraldine Stone Stevens in his Preface, that "she did more work on this handbook than anyone else." Detecting a vacuum, Susan Herrnstein took administrative command—organizing, advising, and keeping the communication and paper flowing among editors, authors, publisher, and, at last, printer. Despite a schedule already too busy, she found the time and energy to do the work that needed to be done when the rest of us did not. We cannot begin to repay our debt to her, but our profound gratitude we can at least acknowledge here.

R.C.A. R.J.H. G.L. R.D.L.

29 January 1988 Cambridge, MA La Jolla, CA Stanford, CA PREFACE ix

#### Consultants

Jack A. Adams Abram Amsel S. Howard Bartley William H. Batchelder Frank A. Beach Robert C. Bolles Robert M. Boynton J. Douglas Carroll Russell M. Church Charles N. Cofer F.I.M. Craik Robert G. Crowder M.R. D'Amato Hallowell Davis James Deese Victoria A. Fromkin Wendell R. Garner Norman Geschwind James J. Gibson Bert F. Green, Jr. Sebastian P. Grossman Norman Guttman Eliot Hearst Ernest R. Hilgard Robert A. Hinde Earl Hunt Tarow Indow Paul A. Kolers John I. Lacev Alvin A. Liberman

Frank A. Logan George Mandler Lawrence E. Marks A.A.J. Marley Edwin Martin George A. Miller Thomas O. Nelson Allen Newell Irwin Pollack Michael I. Posner J.O. Ramsay Floyd Ratliff Robert A. Rescorla Lorrin A. Riggs Rudolph W. Schulz Charles P. Shimp B.F. Skinner Richard L. Solomon Norman E. Spear J.E.R. Staddon Saul Sternberg N.S. Sutherland Philip Teitelbaum Garth J. Thomas Richard F. Thompson Endel Tulving William Uttal Bernice M. Wenzel Wayne Wickelgren

#### Reviewers

William J. McGill Donald R. Meyer Jeffrey Miller Richard Millward John A. Nevin Donald Norman Carl Pfaffman Leo Postman Howard Resnikoff David Rumelhart Richard Shiffrin Edward Smith Larry R. Squire Saul Sternberg Patrick Suppes Delbert O. Thiessen Garth J. Thomas Richard F. Thompson William Vaughan, Jr. Allan R. Wagner Wayne Wickelgren Thomas Wickens Frederic L. Wightman

Norman Anderson Phipps Arabie Elizabeth Bates Ursula Bellugi-Klima Gordon Bower Robert Boynton Herbert Clark Michael Domian Richard L. Doty Trygg Engen E. Bruce Goldstein Isidore Gormezano James L. Gould Bruce P. Halpern Katherine S. Harris Julian Hochberg J.A. Scott Kelso Walter Kintsch Michael Kubovy Herschel Leibowitz Steve Link John C. Loehlin George Mandler James L. McGaugh

## **CONTENTS**

PA.	RT 1: LEARNING	
1.	Fundamentals of learning and conditioning  Eliot Hearst	3
2.	Selection, representation, and equivalence of controlling stimuli Peter D. Balsam	111
3.	Reinforcement, choice, and response strength Ben A. Williams	167
4.	The psychobiology of learning and memory Richard F. Thompson, Nelson H. Donegan, and David G. Lavond	245
PA	RT II: COGNITION	
5.	Human learning and memory W. K. Estes	351
6.	Psycholinguistic aspects of pragmatics and semantics George A. Miller and Sam Glucksberg	417
7.	Human movement control: Computation, representation, and implementation Richard W. Pew and David A. Rosenbaum	478
8.	Representation in memory  David E. Rumelhart and Donald A. Norman	511
9.	Problem solving and reasoning  James G. Greeno and Herbert A. Simon	589
10.	Decision making Paul Slovic, Sarah Lichtenstein, and Baruch Fischhoff	673
11.	Attention Richard M. Shiffrin	739
12.	Individual differences in cognitive functioning  John B. Carroll	818

xii CONTENTS

13. Psychobiology of cognitive processes  Harold Goodglass and Nelson Butters	863
Author Index	953
Subject Index	995

## **CONTENTS FOR VOLUME 1**

PA	RT I: PERCEPTION	
1.	Measurement, scaling, and psychophysics R. Duncan Luce and Carol L. Krumhansl	8
2.	Vision: Physics and retinal physiology $E. N. Pugh, Jr.$	75
3.	Vision: Space and movement  Gerald Westheimer	165
4.	Visual perception Julian Hochberg	195
5.	Physics and physiology of hearing N.Y.S. Kiang and W.T. Peake	277
6.	Audition: Psychophysics and perception  David M. Green	327
7.	Auditory perception and speech  Ira J. Hirsh	377
8.	Olfaction William S. Cain	409
9.	Taste Linda M. Bartoshuk	461
PA	RT II: MOTIVATION	
10.	The adaptive-evolutionary point of view in experimental psychology Paul Rozin and Jonathan Schull	503
11.	Neuroscience and motivation: Pathways and peptides that define motivational systems	547

xiv	CONTENTS

12.	Emotions Carroll E. Izard and Patricia M. Saxton	627
13.	Behavioral genetics Gerald E. McClearn and Terryl T. Foch	677
14.	Ethology Gerald P. Baerends	765
Aut	thor Index	831
Sub	oject Index	873

\_\_\_PART 1

## **LEARNING**

CHAPTER 1.	Fundamentals of learning and conditioning, Eliot Hearst	8
CHAPTER 2.	Selection, representation and equivalence of controlling stimuli, Peter D. Balsam	111
CHAPTER 3.	Reinforcement, choice, and response strength, Ben A. Williams	167
CHAPTER 4.	The psychobiology of learning and memory, Richard F. Thompson, Nelson H. Donegan, and David G. Layond	945



## FUNDAMENTALS OF LEARNING AND CONDITIONING

Eliot Hearst, Indiana University

Historical Background	4
Learning: Definition and Classification	9
Learning from Experience with Individual Stimuli or Situations	11
Learning from Experience with Relations between Stimuli	27
Learning from Experience with Relations between Behavior and Stimuli	61

#### INTRODUCTION

Together with the study of vision and audition, experiments on conditioning and learning constitute a large part of the laboratory research performed during the first century of scientific psychology. To uncover basic mechanisms of sensation and perception, rigorous psychophysical techniques with both animals and humans

The writing of this chapter was supported by National Institute of Mental Health Research Grant MH 19300 and a James McKeen Cattell Fellowship. I thank the many students and colleagues who read and criticized versions of the manuscript. Particularly valuable was the help of Robert Rescorla, who generously supplied constructive suggestions and detailed commentary at various stages of the work. I acknowledge both Rescorla's and Herbert M. Jenkins's specific influence on my organization of the chapter and on my thinking about many topics covered here. For example, the classification of learning procedures derives mainly from Rescorla and Holland (1976). Useful reviews of the final draft of the manuscript were furnished by Abram Amsel, James Dinsmoor, Ralph Miller, Charles Perkins, Michael Rashotte, Herbert Terrace, and Allan Wagner; I am sorry I could not incorporate even more of their suggestions into the published version and I hope they will forgive me for deciding to omit some points about which they felt strongly. Finally, Dexter Gormley, Jim Hull, Susie Hull, and Sheryl Mobley deserve great credit for providing expert assistance in preparation of the manuscript and illustrative material.

have proved invaluable. Similarly, students of classical and instrumental conditioning have adopted objective methods and measurements to determine principles of learning and memory in animals and humans. As in other biological sciences, which also rely heavily on model systems involving convenient infrahuman organisms, the study of learning has depended on rats, pigeons, dogs, cats, and monkeys as subjects, in the hope that consistent results would emerge, revealing basic laws with substantial generality across situations, response classes, and species.

The domain of animal behavior and conditioning can easily justify its own separate existence. However, in the long run an understanding of "simple" processes of learning is also assumed to be important, either for its direct application to human behavior or, perhaps more realistically, for its establishment of the degree to which various conditioning mechanisms participate in complex human activities. These crucial questions can be settled only after we attain a reasonably complete knowledge of animal learning mechanisms—which, as this chapter will demonstrate, are not so simple after all.

Besides their inherent appeal and potential

implications for human behavior and cognition, experiments on animal learning have furnished specific tools and models for studying many topics of general psychological significance, including the workings of the brain, the analysis of development and aging, and the nature and evolution of intelligence. The use of surgical, pharmacological, and electrical stimulation techniques can rarely be ethically justified with healthy human beings; therefore basic research on the biological foundations of behavior must rely, at least initially, on animal work that permits measurement of phenomena resembling as closely as possible aspects of human learning and memory. And even experimental psychologists concerned with less molecular issuessuch as social interactions, pedagogy, personality, or psychopathology-have found techniques or analogues from the animal learning laboratory useful in their research and theorizing.

This chapter concentrates on the most important procedures, findings, and theoretical accounts that have guided the study of learning in infrahuman organisms. Human laboratory research and practical implications will not be disregarded, however (see also Estes, Chapter 5, and Pew & Rosenbaum, Chapter 7, in this volume). The chapter emphasizes work performed and new directions taken since the earlier edition of this handbook, which highlighted the topic of animal learning in four relatively disparate contributions (Brogden; Hilgard; Miller; and Spence; all 1951). First I offer a bit of history, sketching the major intellectual paths that converged in the modern study of learning. Then a discussion of some definitional issues follows and previews the three general kinds of learning procedures that have captured experimental interest. Next, in the main parts of the chapter, there is a survey of relevant methods, results, and theories for each of the three paradigms.

Throughout the chapter the reader should detect the increasing liberalization of views and the broadened scope of topics that characterize contemporary research in the field. Many concepts and themes prominent in today's experimental psychology of human cognition and memory appear in work with animals too—for example, discrepant information, causal attribution, context dependency, short-term retention, inference and representation, cognitive maps, selective attention, priming effects, patterning and organization. And discernible also

in current animal research are the influences of sociobiology, ethology, and developmental neurobiology toward heightened recognition of evolutionary specialization, genetic determinants of behavior, and species differences.

#### HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

Excellent general accounts of the intellectual and experimental precursors of modern research in learning and conditioning can be found in several sources, including Anderson and Bower (1973), Bower and Hilgard (1981), Cofer (1979), Gottlieb (1979), Hilgard and Marquis (1940), Jenkins (1979), Keller (1973), and Kimble (1967). Aside from its intrinsic interest, a historical perspective helps one to understand and appreciate (1) why conditioning experiments were so readily accepted as bearing significance for numerous psychological processes and (2) why such experiments have typically been conducted in certain ways. The following short look at the heritage of the past emphasizes influential beliefs and methods, rather than the individual scientists who proposed and championed them. The various routes are not easily separable, and some complex issues had to be glossed over to keep this summary brief.

#### Empiricism-Associationism versus Nativism-Rationalism

Associationism remains a central theme in current accounts of animal learning, which typically appeal to association between events as the main basis for learned changes in behavior. Proposals concerning the elementary laws of association-contiguity, similarity, and contrast-can be traced back at least as far as Aristotle (384–322 B.C.). Philosophers interested in the origins of knowledge and the nature of the human mind have expressed many views on the topic, but the British associationist-empiricist philosophers of the seventeenth to nineteenth centuries (e.g., Hobbes, Locke, Berkeley, Hume, Hartley, James Mill, John Stuart Mill, and Thomas Brown) receive major credit for propagating the belief that sensation and association are the two most basic mental processes. For the empiricist, past experience is the main if not the only source of human knowledge; complex ideas (like justice or psychology) that are not