

EDGE DETECTION AND GEOMETRIC
METHODS IN COMPUTER VISION
TOPOLOGY,
PERCEPTION, ARTIFICIAL
INTELLIGENCE, LOW-LEVEL

87522.0

BLICHER, ALBERT PETER
DEGREE DATE: 1984

University
Microfilms
International

Published on demand by

300 N. ZEEB ROAD, ANN ARBOR, MI 48106

7P306

B648

8762270

8512758

Blicher, Albert Peter

EDGE DETECTION AND GEOMETRIC METHODS IN COMPUTER VISION

University of California, Berkeley

PH.D. 1984

University
Microfilms
International

300 N. Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106

Copyright 1984

by

Blicher, Albert Peter

All Rights Reserved



E8762270

**This is an authorized facsimile
printed by microfilm/xerography on acid-free paper
in 1986 by
UNIVERSITY MICROFILMS INTERNATIONAL
Ann Arbor, Michigan, U.S.A.**

1416



INFORMATION TO USERS

This reproduction was made from a copy of a document sent to us for microfilming. While the most advanced technology has been used to photograph and reproduce this document, the quality of the reproduction is heavily dependent upon the quality of the material submitted.

The following explanation of techniques is provided to help clarify markings or notations which may appear on this reproduction.

1. The sign or "target" for pages apparently lacking from the document photographed is "Missing Page(s)". If it was possible to obtain the missing page(s) or section, they are spliced into the film along with adjacent pages. This may have necessitated cutting through an image and duplicating adjacent pages to assure complete continuity.
2. When an image on the film is obliterated with a round black mark, it is an indication of either blurred copy because of movement during exposure, duplicate copy, or copyrighted materials that should not have been filmed. For blurred pages, a good image of the page can be found in the adjacent frame. If copyrighted materials were deleted, a target note will appear listing the pages in the adjacent frame.
3. When a map, drawing or chart, etc., is part of the material being photographed, a definite method of "sectioning" the material has been followed. It is customary to begin filming at the upper left hand corner of a large sheet and to continue from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. If necessary, sectioning is continued again—beginning below the first row and continuing on until complete.
4. For illustrations that cannot be satisfactorily reproduced by xerographic means, photographic prints can be purchased at additional cost and inserted into your xerographic copy. These prints are available upon request from the Dissertations Customer Services Department.
5. Some pages in any document may have indistinct print. In all cases the best available copy has been filmed.

**University
Microfilms
International**

300 N. Zeeb Road
Ann Arbor, MI 48106

PLEASE NOTE:

In all cases this material has been filmed in the best possible way from the available copy. Problems encountered with this document have been identified here with a check mark ☒.

1. Glossy photographs or pages _____
2. Colored illustrations, paper or print _____
3. Photographs with dark background _____
4. Illustrations are poor copy _____
5. Pages with black marks, not original copy _____
6. Print shows through as there is text on both sides of page _____
7. Indistinct, broken or small print on several pages ☒ _____
8. Print exceeds margin requirements _____
9. Tightly bound copy with print lost in spine _____
10. Computer printout pages with indistinct print _____
11. Page(s) _____ lacking when material received, and not available from school or author.
12. Page(s) _____ seem to be missing in numbering only as text follows.
13. Two pages numbered _____. Text follows.
14. Curling and wrinkled pages _____
15. Other _____

University
Microfilms
International

Edge Detection and Geometric Methods in Computer Vision

By

Albert Peter Blicher
B.S. (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) 1969
M.A. (University of California) 1973
C.Phil. (University of California) 1978

DISSERTATION

Submitted in partial satisfaction of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

Mathematics

in the

GRADUATE DIVISION

OF THE

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

Approved:

Norman C. Snierson 11-12-84
co-Chairman Date

Harold H. Preston 11-12-84
co-Chairman

John L. White 11-12-84

John L. White 11-13-84

DOCTORAL DEGREE CONFERRED
DECEMBER 18, 1984

Edge Detection and Geometric Methods in Computer Vision

Copyright ©1984

by

A. Peter Blicher

Edge Detection and Geometric Methods in Computer Vision

A. Peter Blicher

Abstract

Basic problems of vision are studied from the viewpoint of modern differential topology and geometry; primarily: edge detection, stereo matching, picture representation at multiple scales, and motion. Some mathematical background is provided for the non-expert.

A comprehensive review of edge detection is presented, including analyses from a mathematical perspective as well as evaluations of practical performance and promise.

Some new edge detection techniques are introduced, including a nonlinear operator based on a symmetry principle, a variational approach to global edge finding, and a least-squares localization method. A theorem is proved which shows that localizing edge position and orientation requires at least 2 orientation dependent families of convolution operators.

A unifying mathematical structure is presented for vision, notably stereo, motion stereo, optic flow (apparent flow of visual space under motion), and matching. The general matching problem is analyzed, and it is proved that generically, general matching is highly degenerate for monochrome pictures, but has a unique solution for 2 or more color dimensions. The result is extended to pictures with unknown bias and gain. Small diagrams and level set topology are introduced as invariants useful for matching, reducing the problem to graph or tree matching. The level set topology tree is also proposed as a method of multi-scale description of the picture, and shown to be an invariant generalization of the "scale space" technique.

The motion problem is analyzed using Lie group methods, and a theorem is proved

Acknowledgments

Through the period of this work, my parents have been my greatest asset, not only by their love and sacrifice, but in many, many ways.

I am grateful to my advisers, Prof. Tom Binford and Prof. Hans Bremermann, for their unflagging support and encouragement. Tom Binford generously provided the financial support and facilities which made this work possible. Hans Bremermann's kindness and helpfulness continued unabated throughout a long and rocky trek. Stephen Omohundro has helped immensely with many discussions. Lynn Hall patiently and selflessly made this effort possible for me. Dr. Marilyn Baker aided me in manifold ways. Dr. Anni Bruss, Dr. Dick Gabriel, David Marimont, Dr. David Lowe, Dr. Hans Moravec, Prof. Rod Brooks, Dr. Oussama Khalib, Allan Miller, Michael Lowry, and Sathya Narayanan have all been generous with their help, advice, and friendship. Dr. Eric Berger and Prof. Morris Hirsch gave me expert mathematical advice.

The Stanford computer science community, always generous, helpful, encouraging, and pleasant, far beyond the norm, have my heartfelt gratitude for magically transforming difficulties into pleasures. Betty Scott, Len Bosack, Ralph Gorin, Marty Frost, Marlie Yearwood, Amy Atkinson, Don Coates, Lynn Gotelli, Harry Lull, Richard Manuck, Les Earnest, and Patte Wood have all been kind far above the call of duty. Prof. John McCarthy is, if not the father, then the midwife of this environment I have enjoyed.

I am indebted to Dr. Lee Nackman of the IBM T.J. Watson Research Center for bringing the work of Koenderink and van Doorn of Utrecht to my attention.

This document was typeset using \TeX , and therefore owes a debt to Prof. Donald Knuth, who with \TeX has elevated the art of writing to the art of computer programming.

Arthur Keller, Dave Fuchs, and Prof. Knuth provided expert help with T_EX in numerous times of need.

For leading me to this research, I must also thank the professors of engineering who taught me that the key to engineering is understanding physics; the professors of physics who taught me that the key to physics is understanding mathematics; and the professors of mathematics who taught me that mathematics has nothing to do with the material world.

This work was partially supported by ARIPA contracts MDA903-80-C-0102 and N00039-82-C-0250.

Preface

I wrote this work for an audience of both vision workers and mathematicians. There are many people who could be counted in both groups, but the full intended audience has a wide spectrum of backgrounds. Among vision workers, I include students of biological vision, but it is artificial vision that I am directly addressing. It is widely appreciated that there are many common problems, but I haven't written about any specifically *biological* problems, such as explaining the function of some cell population. My hope is not only to communicate research results, but to convince readers in each of these fields that there is something of interest to them in the other. Many people have a bad taste from previous experience with touts of fancy mathematics in these concrete situations, with elixirs which turned out to be oversold or plain irrelevant. Mathematics is not magic; using it doesn't melt all impediments into triviality. It merely provides a structure for understanding, and an apparatus for resolving questions. *If* the questions are the right grist for the mill. Attracting these fields to each other isn't necessarily easy.

Also, it poses a problem in writing; since I have tried to keep the material accessible to the novice, some of it must necessarily be old hat to the expert, so I apologize to the expert whom I have subjected to the obvious. I have tried to make this work reasonably self-contained, including various standard definitions and results from differential topology and geometry. When these are not in the main line of thought, they have been relegated to fine print, so they can be easily skipped by those who already have the necessary background. Sometimes, standard terms are used before they are defined, and sometimes they are defined twice, partially from a lack of organization, but primarily to locate the mathematical digressions where they are most important, and avoid bogging things down where they are not. I haven't tried to be exhaustive in this, or I would have been obliged to include a complete introduction to differential topology and geometry,

something which has already been accomplished with great skill by others. The chapter Geometric Methods in Vision makes the heaviest use of abstract mathematics; therefore I have put most mathematical background material into the fine print of that chapter. Since I have assumed some of that background material in earlier chapters, the reader may find it useful to glance through it to clarify the unfamiliar, such as the implicit function theorem, or functional notation.

The 3 major chapters (A Survey of Edge Detection, Contributions to Edge Detection, and Geometric Methods in Vision) are largely independent, and can be read in any order, or in isolation. The survey has many discussions which go beyond summarizing, and should be of interest to readers who are already familiar with the literature, as well as to newcomers. The contributions chapter is probably of most interest to specialists, while the geometry chapter is likely to appeal to the more mathematically inclined.

Stanford, California

October, 1984

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	i
Preface	iii
1 Introduction	1
2 A Survey of Edge Detection	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.1.1 Why edge detection?	9
2.1.2 Local edge detection	13
Spatial differentiation and gradient estimation	14
Template matching and matched filtering	14
Best edge fit and optimal estimation	15
Higher order derivatives	17
Approximation and representation of image function	18
2.1.3 Global edge detection	19
2.1.4 Region growing	20
2.2 General Works	22
Prewitt 1970	22
Edge enhancement	22
Frequency filtering	23
Evaluation	24
Davis 1973	24
Kanade 1978	25
Evaluation	25
2.3 Local Methods	28
2.3.1 Best fit techniques	28

Roberts 1963	28
Local edge detector	29
Edge detection process	29
Linking	30
Curve representation and segmentation	30
Evaluation of line finding	31
Hueckel 1969, Hueckel 1971, Hueckel 1973	31
Evaluation	33
Nevalia 1977	34
Altes 1975	35
Evaluation	37
O'Gorman 1978	38
Turner 1974	40
Abdou 1978	41
Evaluation	44
Beaudet 1978	44
Evaluation	46
Hsu, Mundy, Beaudet 1978	47
Experimental results	47
Evaluation	48
Dreschler and Nagel 1981a, Dreschler and Nagel 1981b	48
Experimental results	50
Evaluation	50
Haralick 1980	51
Evaluation	53
Haralick 1981, Haralick 1982, Haralick 1984	53
Evaluation	54
2.3.2 Optimal Filters	55

Shanmugam, Dickey, Green 1979	55
The optimality of Gaussians	57
Evaluation	58
Marr and Hildreth 1979	59
Evaluation	62
Canny 1983	63
The 1-dimensional problem	64
Sensitivity criterion	64
Localization criterion	65
Optimizing sensitivity and localization	66
Multiple response criterion and optimizing for all criteria	67
The 2-dimensional problem	69
Linking	74
Empirical results	75
Evaluation	76
A nonlinear approach	78
2.4 Global Methods	81
2.4.1 Accumulator arrays	81
Hough 1962	81
Basic idea	81
Evaluation	82
Ballard and Sklansky 1976	83
Summary of processing steps	83
Evaluation	84
2.4.2 Region growing	85
Brice and Fennema 1970, Fennema and Brice 1970	85
Phagocyte heuristic	85
Weakness heuristic	85

Evaluation	85
Kirsch 1971	86
Evaluation	87
Somerville and Mundy 1976	88
Experimental results	90
2.4.3 Histogramming	90
Ohlander 1975	90
Evaluation	91
Shafer 1980	91
2.4.4 Optimal linking	93
Montanari 1970, Montanari 1971	93
Evaluation	94
Martelli 1972, Martelli 1973	95
Evaluation	96
Rosenfeld, Hummel, Zucker 1975, Zucker, Hummel, Rosenfeld 1977	96
Evaluation	98
3 Contributions to Edge Detection	100
3.1 Introduction	100
3.2 Edge localization in both θ and x	102
3.2.1 Introduction	102
3.2.2 Some Mathematics of Parametric Convolutions	102
3.2.3 The Limitations of Zero-crossings	107
Definition of zero crossings	107
Remarks on the definition of zero crossings	107
Case 1: s of class C^r , $r \geq 1$	108
Case 2: s of class C^0	109
3.3 Nonlinear Local Edge Detection	112
3.3.1 The even-odd operator of [Binford 1981]	114