

# TREATISE ON INVERTEBRATE PALEONTOLOGY

*Prepared under Sponsorship of  
The Geological Society of America*

*The Paleontological Society    The Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists  
The Palaeontographical Society    The Palaeontological Association*

Directed and Edited by  
RAYMOND C. MOORE

## Part K MOLLUSCA 3

CEPHALOPODA—GENERAL FEATURES  
ENDOCERATOIDEA—ACTINOCERATOIDEA—NAUTILCIDEA  
BACTRITOIDEA

By CURT TEICHERT, BERNHARD KUMMEL, W. C. SWEET, H. B. STENZEL,  
W. M. FURNISH, BRIAN F. GLENISTER, H. K. EREIN, R. C. MOORE,  
and D. E. NODINE ZELLER

# TREATISE ON INVERTEBRATE PALEONTOLOGY

*Prepared under Sponsorship of  
The Geological Society of America*

*The Paleontological Society    The Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists  
The Palaeontographical Society    The Palaeontological Association*

Directed and Edited by  
✓RAYMOND C. MOORE

//  
Part K

MOLLUSCA 3

CEPHALOPODA—GENERAL FEATURES  
ENDOCERATOIDEA—ACTINOCERATOIDEA—NAUTILOIDEA  
BACTRITOIDEA

By CURT TEICHERT, BERNHARD KUMMEL, W. C. SWEET, H. B. STENZEL,  
W. M. FURNISH, BRIAN F. GLENISTER, H. K. ERBEN, R. C. MOORE,  
and D. E. NODINE ZELLER

© 1964 BY THE UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS PRESS  
AND  
THE GEOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF AMERICA

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Library of Congress Catalogue Card  
Number: 53-12913

Text Composed by  
THE UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS PRESS  
Lawrence, Kansas

Illustrations and Offset Lithography  
MERIDEN GRAVURE COMPANY  
Meriden, Connecticut

Binding  
RUSSELL RUTTER COMPANY  
New York City

Published September 30, 1964

Address all communications to The Geological Society of America, 231 East 46 Street, New York 17, N.Y.

The *Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology* has been made possible by (1) grants of funds from The Geological Society of America through the bequest of Richard Alexander Fullerton Penrose, Jr., for preparation of illustrations and partial defrayment of organizational expense and the United States National Science Foundation, in December, 1959, for completion of the *Treatise* project; (2) contribution of the knowledge and labor of specialists throughout the world, working in co-operation under sponsorship of The Geological Society of America, The Paleontological Society, The Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, The Palaeontographical Society, and The Palaeontological Association; and (3) acceptance by The University of Kansas Press of publication without cost to the Societies concerned and without any financial gain to the Press.

# TREATISE ON INVERTEBRATE PALEONTOLOGY

Directed and Edited by

RAYMOND C. MOORE

Assistants: DORIS E. NODINE ZELLER, LAVON McCORMICK, ROGER B. WILLIAMS

Advisers: AGNES CREAGH (The Geological Society of America, *Executive Director*), BERNHARD KUMMEL, J. WYATT DURHAM (The Paleontological Society), N. D. NEWELL (The Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists), C. J. STUBBLEFIELD, H. DIGHTON THOMAS (The Palaeontographical Society), L. R. COX, C. H. HOLLAND (The Paleontological Association), CLYDE K. HYDER (The University of Kansas Press, Editor).

## PARTS

Parts of the *Treatise* are distinguished by assigned letters with a view to indicating their systematic sequence while allowing publication of units in whatever order each may be made ready for the press. The volumes are cloth-bound with title in gold on the cover. Copies are available on orders sent to the Publication Department of The Geological Society of America at 231 East 46th Street, New York 17, N.Y. The prices quoted very incompletely cover costs of producing and distributing the several volumes, but on receipt of payment the Society will ship copies without additional charge to any address in the world. Special discounts are available to members of sponsoring societies under arrangements made by appropriate officers of these societies, to whom inquiries should be addressed.

## VOLUMES ALREADY PUBLISHED

(Previous to 1964)

- Part C. PROTISTA 2 (Sarcodina, chiefly "Thecamoebians" and Foraminiferida), xxxi+900 p., 5311 fig., 1964.
- Part D. PROTISTA 3 (chiefly Radiolaria, Tintinnina), xii+195 p., 1050 fig., 1954.
- Part E. ARCHAEOCYATHA, PORIFERA, xviii+122 p., 728 fig., 1955.
- Part F. COELENTERATA, xvii+498 p., 2700 fig., 1956.
- Part G. BRYOZOA, xii+253 p., 2000 fig., 1953.
- Part I. MOLLUSCA 1 (Mollusca General Features, Scaphopoda, Amphineura, Monoplacophora, Gastropoda General Features, Archaeogastropoda, mainly Paleozoic Caenogastropoda and Opisthobranchia), xxiii+351 p., 1732 fig., 1960.
- Part L. MOLLUSCA 4 (Ammonoidea), xxii+490 p., 3800 fig., 1959.
- Part O. ARTHROPODA 1 (Arthropoda General Features, Protarthropoda, Euarthropoda General Features, Trilobitomorpha), xix+560 p., 2880 fig., 1959.
- Part P. ARTHROPODA 2 (Chelicerata, Pycnogonida, Palaeoisopus), xvii+181 p., 565 fig., 1955.
- Part Q. ARTHROPODA 3 (Crustacea, Ostracoda), xxiii+442 p., 3476 fig., 1961.
- Part V. GRAPTOLITHINA, xvii+101 p., 358 fig., 1955.
- Part W. MISCELLANEA (Conodonts, Conoidal Shells of Uncertain Affinities, Worms, Trace Fossils, Problematica), xxv+259 p., 1058 fig., 1962.

## THIS VOLUME

- Part K. MOLLUSCA 3 (Cephalopoda General Features, Endoceratoidea, Actinoceratoidea, Nautiloidea, Bactritoidea), xxviii+519 p., 2382 fig., 1964.

## EDITORIAL PREFACE

The aim of the *Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology*, as originally conceived and consistently pursued, is to present the most comprehensive and authoritative, yet compact statement of knowledge concerning invertebrate fossil groups that can be formulated by collaboration of competent specialists in seeking to organize what has been learned of this subject up to the mid-point of the present century. Such work has value in providing a most useful summary of the collective results of multitudinous investigations and thus should constitute an indispensable text and reference book for all persons who wish to know about remains of invertebrate organisms preserved in rocks of the earth's crust. This applies to neozoologists as well as paleozoologists and to beginners in study of fossils as well as to thoroughly trained, long-experienced professional workers, including teachers, stratigraphical geologists, and individuals engaged in research on fossil invertebrates. The making of a reasonably complete inventory of present knowledge of invertebrate paleontology may be expected to yield needed foundation for future research and it is hoped that the *Treatise* will serve this end.

The *Treatise* is divided into parts which bear index letters, each except the initial and concluding ones being defined to include designated groups of invertebrates. The chief purpose of this arrangement is to provide for independence of the several parts as regards date of publication, because it is judged desirable to print and distribute each segment as soon as possible after it is ready for press. Pages in each part will bear

the assigned index letter joined with numbers beginning with 1 and running consecutively to the end of the part. When the parts ultimately are assembled into volumes, no renumbering of pages and figures is required.

The outline of subjects to be treated in connection with each large group of invertebrates includes (1) description of morphological features, with special reference to hard parts, (2) ontogeny, (3) classification, (4) geological distribution, (5) evolutionary trends and phylogeny, and (6) systematic description of genera, subgenera, and higher taxonomic units. In general, paleoecological aspects of study are omitted or little emphasized because comprehensive treatment of this subject is given in the *Treatise on Marine Ecology and Paleocology* (H. S. LADD, Editor, Geological Society of America, Memoir 67, 1957), prepared under auspices of a committee of the United States National Research Council. A selected list of references is furnished in each part of the *Treatise*.

Features of style in the taxonomic portions of this work have been fixed by the Editor with aid furnished by advice from the Joint Committee on Invertebrate Paleontology representing the societies which have undertaken to sponsor the *Treatise*. It is the Editor's responsibility to consult with authors and co-ordinate their work, seeing that manuscript properly incorporates features of adopted style. Especially he has been called on to formulate policies in respect to many questions of nomenclature and procedure. The subject of family and subfamily names is reviewed briefly in a following section

of this preface, and features of *Treatise* style in generic descriptions are explained.

In December, 1959, the National Science Foundation of the United States, through its Division of Biological and Medical Sciences and the Program Director for Systematic Biology, made a grant in the amount of \$210,000 for the purpose of aiding the completion of yet-unpublished volumes of the *Treatise*. Payment of this sum was provided to be made in installments distributed over a five-year period, with administration of disbursements handled by the University of Kansas. Expenditures planned are primarily for needed assistance to authors and may be arranged through approved institutions located anywhere. Important help for the Director-Editor of the *Treatise* has been made available from the grant, but no part of his stipend comes from it. Grateful acknowledgment to the Foundation is expressed on behalf of the societies sponsoring the *Treatise*, the University of Kansas, and innumerable individuals benefited by the *Treatise* project.

#### FORM OF ZOOLOGICAL NAMES

Many questions arise in connection with the form of zoological names. These include such matters as adherence to stipulations concerning Latin or Latinized nature of words accepted as zoological names, gender of generic and subgeneric names, nominative or adjectival form of specific names, required endings for some family-group names, and numerous others. Regulation extends to capitalization, treatment of particles belonging to modern proper names, use of neo-Latin letters, and approved methods for converting diacritical marks. The magnitude and complexities of nomenclatural problems surely are enough to warrant the complaint of those who hold that zoology is the study of animals rather than of names applied to them.

#### CLASSIFICATION OF ZOOLOGICAL NAMES

In accordance with the "Copenhagen Decisions on Zoological Nomenclature" (London, 135 p., 1953), zoological names may be classified usefully in various ways. The subject is summarized here with introduction of designations for some categories which the *Treatise* proposes to distinguish

in systematic parts of the text for the purpose of giving readers comprehension of the nature of various names together with authorship and dates attributed to them.

#### CO-ORDINATE NAMES OF TAXA GROUPS

Five groups of different-rank taxonomic units (termed *taxa*, sing., *taxon*) are discriminated, within each of which names are treated as co-ordinate, being transferable from one category to another without change of authorship or date. These are: (1) species group (subspecies, species); (2) genus group (subgenus, genus); (3) family group (tribe, subfamily, family, superfamily); (4) suprafamilial group (suborder, order, subclass, class, subphylum, phylum). In groups (1), (2), and (3), the author of the first-published valid name for any taxon is held to be the author of all other taxa in the group which are based on the same nominate type and the date of publication for purposes of priority is that of the first-published name. Thus, if author A in 1800 introduced the family name X-idae to include 3 genera, one of which is X-us; and if author B in 1850 divided the 20 genera then included in X-idae into subfamilies called X-inae and Y-inae; and if author C in 1950 combined X-idae with other later-formed families to make a superfamily X-acea (or X-oidea, X-icae, etc.), the author of X-inae, X-idae and X-acea is A, 1800, under the Rules. Because taxonomic concepts introduced by authors B and C along with appropriate names surely are not attributable to author A, some means of recording responsibility of B and C are needed. This is discussed later in explaining use of "*nom. transl.*" Taxa of group (4) are not regulated by the zoological Code (1961); they are discussed later under the heading "Suprafamilial Taxa."

The co-ordinate status of zoological names belonging to the species group is stipulated in Art. 46 of the present Rules; genus group in Art. 43 of the present Rules; family group in Art. 36 of the present Rules.

#### ORIGINAL AND SUBSEQUENT FORMS OF NAMES

Zoological names may be classified according to form (spelling) given in original publication and employed by subsequent authors. In one group are names which are

entirely identical in original and subsequent usage. Another group comprises names which include with the original subsequently published variants of one sort or another. In this second group, it is important to distinguish names which are inadvertent changes from those constituting intentional emendations, for they have quite different status in nomenclature. Also, among intentional emendations, some are acceptable and some quite unacceptable under the Rules.

#### VALID AND INVALID NAMES

*Valid names.* A valid zoological name is one that conforms to all mandatory provisions of the Rules. Such names are divisible into groups as follows: (1) "*inviolate names*," which as originally published not only meet all mandatory requirements of the Rules but are not subject to any sort of alteration (most generic and subgeneric names); (2) "*perfect names*," which as they appear in original publication (with or without precise duplication by subsequent authors) meet all mandatory requirements and need no correction of any kind but which nevertheless are legally alterable under present Rules (as in changing the form of ending of a super- or supra-familial name); (3) "*imperfect names*," which as originally published and with or without subsequent duplication meet mandatory requirements but contain defects such as incorrect gender of an adjectival specific name (for example, *Spironema recta* instead of *Spironema rectum*) or incorrect stem or form of ending of a family-group name (for example, Spironemidae instead of Spironematidae); (4) "*transferred names*," which are derived by valid emendation from either of the 2nd or 3rd groups or from a pre-existing transferred name (as illustrated by change of a family-group name from -inae to -idae or making of a superfamily name); (5) "*improved names*," which include necessary as well as somewhat arbitrarily made emendations allowable under the Rules for taxonomic categories not now covered by regulations as to name form and alterations that are distinct from changes that distinguish the 4th group (including names derived from the 2nd and 3rd groups and possibly some alterations of 4th group names).

In addition, some zoological names included among those recognized as valid are classifiable in special categories, while at the same time belonging to one or more of the above-listed groups. These chiefly include (7) "*substitute names*," introduced to replace invalid names such as junior homonyms; and (8) "*conserved names*," which are names that would have to be rejected by application of the Rules except for saving them in their original or an altered spelling by action of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature in exercising its plenary powers to this end. Whenever a name requires replacement, any individual may publish a "new name" for it and the first one so introduced has priority over any others; since newness is temporary and relative, the replacement designation is better called substitute name rather than new name.

It is useful for convenience and brevity of distinction in recording these groups of valid zoological names to introduce Latin designations, following the pattern of *nomen nudum*, *nomen novum*, etc. Accordingly, the groups are (1) *nomina inviolata* (sing., *nomen inviolatum*, abbr., *nom. inviol.*); (2) *nomina perfecta* (sing., *nomen perfectum*, abbr., *nom. perf.*); (3) *nomina imperfecta* (sing., *nomen imperfectum*, abbr., *nom. imperf.*); (4) *nomina translata* (sing., *nomen translatum*, abbr., *nom. transl.*); (5) *nomina correcta* (sing., *nomen correctum*, abbr., *nom. correct.*); (6) *nomina substituta* (sing., *nomen substitutum*, abbr., *nom. subst.*); (7) *nomina conservata* (sing., *nomen conservatum*, abbr., *nom. conserv.*).

*Invalid names.* Invalid zoological names consisting of originally published names that fail to comply with mandatory provisions of the Rules and consisting of inadvertent changes in spelling of names have no status in nomenclature. They are not available as replacement names and they do not preoccupy for purposes of the Law of Homonymy. In addition to *nomen nudum*, invalid names may be distinguished as follows: (1) "*denied names*," which consist of originally published names (with or without subsequent duplication) that do not meet mandatory requirements of the Rules; (2) "*null names*," which comprise unintentional alterations of names; and (3)



"vain or void names," which consist of invalid emendations of previously published valid or invalid names. Void names do have status in nomenclature, being classified as junior synonyms of valid names.

Proposed Latin designations for the indicated kinds of invalid names are as follows: (1) *nomina negata* (sing., *nomen negatum*, abbr., *nom. neg.*); (2) *nomina nulla* (sing., *nomen nullum*, abbr., *nom. null.*); (3) *nomina vana* (sing., *nomen vanum*, abbr., *nom. van.*). It is desirable in the *Treatise* to identify invalid names, particularly in view of the fact that many of the names (*nom. neg.*, *nom. null.*) have been considered incorrectly to be junior objective synonyms (like *nom. van.*), which have status in nomenclature.

#### SUMMARY OF NAME GROUPS

Partly because only in such publications as the *Treatise* is special attention to groups of zoological names called for and partly because new designations are now introduced as means of recording distinctions explicitly as well as compactly, a summary may be useful. In the following tabulation valid groups of names are indicated in bold-face type, whereas invalid ones are printed in italics.

#### Definitions of Name Groups

**nomen conservatum** (*nom. conserv.*). Name otherwise unacceptable under application of the Rules which is made valid, either with original or altered spelling, through procedures specified by the zoological Code (1961) or by action of ICZN exercising its plenary powers.

**nomen correctum** (*nom. correct.*). Name with intentionally altered spelling of sort required or allowable under the Rules but not dependent on transfer from one taxonomic category to another ("improved name"). (See Code, Arts. 26-b, 27, 29, 30-a-3, 31, 32-c-i, 33-a; in addition, change of endings for categories not now fixed by Rules.)

**nomen imperfectum** (*nom. imperf.*). Name that as originally published (with or without subsequent identical spelling) meets all mandatory requirements of the Rules but contains defect needing correction ("imperfect name"). (See Code, Arts. 26-b, 27, 29.)

**nomen inviolatum** (*nom. inviol.*). Name that as originally published meets all mandatory requirements of the Rules and also is uncorrectable or alterable in any way ("inviolable name").

*nomen negatum* (*nom. neg.*). Name that as originally published (with or without subsequent identical spelling) constitutes invalid original

spelling and although possibly meeting all other mandatory requirements of the Rules, is not correctable to establish original authorship and date ("denied name").

*nomen nudum* (*nom. nud.*). Name that as originally published (with or without subsequent identical spelling) fails to meet mandatory requirements of the Rules and having no status in nomenclature, is not correctable to establish original authorship and date ("naked name").

*nomen nullum* (*nom. null.*). Name consisting of an unintentional alteration in form (spelling) of a previously published name (either valid name, as *nom. inviol.*, *nom. perf.*, *nom. imperf.*, *nom. transl.*; or invalid name, as *nom. neg.*, *nom. nud.*, *nom. van.*, or another *nom. null.*) ("null name").

**nomen perfectum** (*nom. perf.*). Name that as originally published meets all mandatory requirements of the Rules and needs no correction of any kind but which nevertheless is validly alterable ("perfect name").

**nomen substitutum** (*nom. subst.*). Replacement name published as substitute for an invalid name, such as a junior homonym (equivalent to "new name").

**nomen translatum** (*nom. transl.*). Name that is derived by valid emendation of a previously published name as result of transfer from one taxonomic category to another within the group to which it belongs ("transferred name").

*nomen vanum* (*nom. van.*). Name consisting of an invalid intentional change in form (spelling) from a previously published name, such invalid emendations having status in nomenclature as junior objective synonyms ("vain or void name").

Except as specified otherwise, zoological names accepted in the *Treatise* may be understood to be classifiable either as *nomina inviolata* or *nomina perfecta* (omitting from notice *nomina correcta* among specific names) and these are not discriminated. Names which are not accepted for one reason or another include junior homonyms, a few senior synonyms classifiable as *nomina negata* or *nomina nuda*, and numerous junior synonyms which include both objective (*nomina vana*) and subjective (all classes of valid names) types; effort to classify the invalid names as completely as possible is intended.

#### NAME CHANGES IN RELATION TO GROUP CATEGORIES

##### SPECIFIC AND SUBSPECIFIC NAMES

Detailed consideration of valid emendation of specific and subspecific names is unnecessary here because it is well under-

stood and relatively inconsequential. When the form of adjectival specific names is changed to obtain agreement with the gender of a generic name in transferring a species from one genus to another, it is never needful to label the changed name as a *nom. transl.* Likewise, transliteration of a letter accompanied by a diacritical mark in manner now called for by the Rules (as in changing originally published *bröggeri* to *broeggeri*) or elimination of a hyphen (as in changing originally published *cornuoryx* to *cornuorix*) does not require "*nom. correct.*" with it.

#### GENERIC AND SUBGENERIC NAMES

So rare are conditions warranting change of the originally published valid form of generic and subgeneric names that lengthy discussion may be omitted. Only elimination of diacritical marks of some names in this category seems to furnish basis for valid emendation. It is true that many changes of generic and subgeneric names have been published, but virtually all of these are either *nomina vana* or *nomina nulla*. Various names which formerly were classed as homonyms are not now, for two names that differ only by a single letter (or in original publication by presence or absence of a diacritical mark) are construed to be entirely distinct.

Examples in use of classificatory designations for generic names as previously given are the following, which also illustrate designation of type-species, as explained later.

- Kurnatiophyllum* THOMPSON, 1875 [*\*K. concentricum*; SD GREGORY, 1917] [= *Kumatiophyllum* THOMPSON, 1876 (*nom. null.*); *Cymatiophyllum* THOMPSON, 1901 (*nom. van.*); *Cymatiophyllum* LANG, SMITH & THOMAS, 1940 (*nom. van.*)].
- Stichophyma* POMEL, 1872 [*\*Manon turbinatum* RÖMER, 1841; SD RAUFF, 1893] [= *Stychophyma* VOSMAER, 1885 (*nom. null.*); *Sticophyma* MORET, 1924 (*nom. null.*)].
- Stratophyllum* SMYTH, 1933 [*\*S. tenue*] [= *Eihmoplax* SMYTH, 1939 (*nom. van. pro Stratophyllum*); *Stratiphyllum* LANG, SMITH & THOMAS, 1940 (*nom. van. pro Stratophyllum* SMYTH) (non *Stratiphyllum* SCHEFFEN, 1933)].
- Placotelia* OPPLIGER, 1907 [*\*Porostoma marconi* FROMENTEL, 1859; SD DELAUBENFELS, herein] [= *Plakotelia* OPPLIGER, 1907 (*nom. neg.*)].
- Walcottella* DELAUB., *nom. subst.*, 1955 [*pro Rhopalicus* SCHRAMM, 1936 (non FÖRSTER, 1856)].
- Cyrtograptus* CARRUTHERS, 1867 [*nom. correct.*]

LAPWORTH, 1873 (*pro Cyrtograptus* CARRUTHERS, (1867), *nom. conserv.* proposed BULMAN, 1955 (ICZN pend.)).

#### FAMILY-GROUP NAMES; USE OF "*NOM. TRANSL.*"

The Rules now specify the form of endings only for subfamily (-inae) and family (-idae) but the Code defines all family-group assemblages (taxa) as co-ordinate, signifying that for purposes of priority a name published for a unit in any category and based on a particular type-genus shall date from its original publication for a unit in any category, retaining this priority (and authorship) when the unit is treated as belonging to a lower or higher category. By exclusion of -inae and -idae, respectively reserved for subfamily and family, the endings of names used for tribes and superfamilies must be unspecified different letter combinations. These, if introduced subsequent to designation of a subfamily or family based on the same nominate genus, are *nomina translata*, as is also a subfamily that is elevated to family rank or a family reduced to subfamily rank. In the *Treatise* it is desirable to distinguish the valid emendation comprised in the changed ending of each transferred family group name by the abbreviation "*nom. transl.*" and record of the author and date belonging to this emendation. This is particularly important in the case of superfamilies, for it is the author who introduced this taxon that one wishes to know about rather than the author of the superfamily as defined by the Rules, for the latter is merely the individual who first defined some lower-rank family-group taxon that contains the nominate genus of the superfamily. The publication of the author containing introduction of the superfamily *nomen translatum* is likely to furnish the information on taxonomic considerations that support definition of the unit.

Examples of the use of "*nom. transl.*" are the following.

##### Subfamily STYLININAE d'Orbigny, 1851

[*nom. transl.* EDWARDS & HAIME, 1857 (ex Stylinidae D'ORBIGNY, 1851)]

##### Superfamily ARCHAEOCTONOIDEA Petrunkévitch, 1949

[*nom. transl.* PETRUNKEVITCH, 1955 (ex Archaeoctonidae PETRUNKEVITCH, 1949)]

Superfamily CRIOCERATITACEAE Hyatt, 1900  
[*nom. transl.* WRIGHT, 1952 (*ex* Crioceratitidae HYATT, 1900)]

FAMILY-GROUP NAMES; USE OF "NOM.  
CORRECT."

Valid emendations classed as *nomina correctae* do not depend on transfer from one category of family-group units to another but most commonly involve correction of the stem of the nominate genus; in addition, they include somewhat arbitrarily chosen modification of ending for names of tribe or superfamily. Examples of the use of "*nom. correct.*" are the following.

Family STREPTELASMATIDAE Nicholson, 1889  
[*nom. correct.* WEDEKIND, 1927 (*ex* Streptelasmidae NICHOLSON, 1889, *nom. imperf.*)]

Family PALAEOSCORPIIDAE Lehmann, 1944  
[*nom. correct.* PETRUNKEVITCH, 1955 (*ex* Palaeoscorpionidae LEHMANN, 1944, *nom. imperf.*)]

Family AGLASPIDIDAE Miller, 1877  
[*nom. correct.* STÖRMER, 1959 (*ex* Aglaspididae MILLER, 1877, *nom. imperf.*)]

Superfamily AGARICIACE Gray, 1847  
[*nom. correct.* WELLS, 1956 (*ex* Agaricioidae VAUGHAN & WELLS, 1943, *nom. transl. ex* Agariciidae GRAY, 1847)]

FAMILY-GROUP NAMES; USE OF "NOM.  
CONSERV."

It may happen that long-used family-group names are invalid under strict application of the Rules. In order to retain the otherwise invalid name, appeal to ICZN is needful. Examples of use of *nom. conserv.* in this connection, as cited in the *Treatise*, are the following.

Family ARIETITIDAE Hyatt, 1874  
[*nom. correct.* HAUG, 1885 (*pro* Arietidæ HYATT, 1875) *nom. conserv.* proposed ARKELL, 1955 (ICZN pend.)]

Family STEPHANOCERATIDAE Neumayr, 1875  
[*nom. correct.* FISCHER, 1882 (*pro* Stephanoceratinen NEUMAYR, 1875, invalid vernacular name), *nom. conserv.* proposed ARKELL, 1955 (ICZN pend.)]

FAMILY-GROUP NAMES; REPLACEMENTS

Family-group names are formed by adding letter combinations (prescribed for family and subfamily but not now for others) to the stem of the name belonging to genus (nominate genus) first chosen as type of the assemblage. The type-genus

need not be the oldest in terms of receiving its name and definition, but it must be the first-published as name-giver to a family-group taxon among all those included. Once fixed, the family-group name remains tied to the nominate genus even if its name is changed by reason of status as a junior homonym or junior synonym, either objective or subjective. According to the zoological Code, the family-group name requires replacement only in the event that the nominate genus is found to be a junior homonym, and then a substitute family-group name is accepted if it is formed from the oldest available substitute name for the nominate genus. Authorship and date attributed to the replacement family-group name are determined by first publication of the changed family-group name.

The aim of family-group nomenclature is greatest possible stability and uniformity, just as in case of other zoological names. Experience indicates the wisdom of sustaining family-group names based on junior subjective synonyms if they have priority of publication, for opinions of different workers as to the synonymy of generic names founded on different type-species may not agree and opinions of the same worker may alter from time to time. The retention similarly of first-published family-group names which are found to be based on junior objective synonyms is less clearly desirable, especially if a replacement name derived from the senior objective synonym has been recognized very long and widely. To displace a much-used family-group name based on the senior objective synonym by disinterring a forgotten and virtually unused family-group name based on a junior objective synonym because the latter happens to have priority of publication is unsettling. Conversely, a long-used family-group name founded on a junior objective synonym and having priority of publication is better continued in nomenclature than a replacement name based on the senior objective synonym.

Replacement of a family-group name may be needed if the former nominate genus is transferred to another family-group. Then the first-published name-giver of a family-group assemblage in the remnant taxon is to be recognized in forming a replacement name.

#### FAMILY-GROUP NAMES; AUTHORSHIP AND DATE

All family-group taxa having names based on the same type-genus are attributed to the author who first published the name for any of these assemblages, whether tribe, subfamily, or family (superfamily being almost inevitably a later-conceived taxon). Accordingly, if a family is divided into subfamilies or a subfamily into tribes, the name of no such subfamily or tribe can antedate the family name. Also, every family containing differentiated subfamilies must have a nominate (*sensu stricto*) subfamily, which is based on the same type genus as that for the family, and the author and date set down for the nominate subfamily invariably are identical with those of the family, without reference as to whether the author of the family or some subsequent author introduced subdivisions.

Changes in the form of family-group names of the sort constituting *nomina correcta*, as previously discussed, do not affect authorship and date of the taxon concerned, but in publications such as the *Treatise* it is desirable to record the authorship and date of the correction.

#### SUPRAFAMILIAL TAXA

International rules of zoological nomenclature as given in the Code (1961) are limited to stipulations affecting lower-rank categories (infrasubspecies to superfamily). Suprafamilial categories (suborder to phylum) are either unmentioned or explicitly placed outside of the application of zoological rules. The Copenhagen Decisions on Zoological Nomenclature (1953, Arts. 59-69) proposed to adopt rules for naming suborders and higher taxonomic divisions up to and including phylum, with provision for designating a type-genus for each, hopefully in such manner as not to interfere with the taxonomic freedom of workers. Procedures for applying the Law of Priority and Law of Homonymy to suprafamilial taxa were outlined and for dealing with the names for such units and their authorship, with assigned dates, when they should be transferred on taxonomic grounds from one rank to another. The adoption of terminations of names, different for each category but uniform within each, was recommended.

The Colloquium on zoological nomen-

clature which met in London during the week just before the XVth International Congress of Zoology convened in 1958 thoroughly discussed the proposals for regulating suprafamilial nomenclature, as well as many others advocated for inclusion in the new Code or recommended for exclusion from it. A decision which was supported by a wide majority of the participants in the Colloquium was against the establishment of rules for naming taxa above family-group rank, mainly because it was judged that such regulation would unwisely tie the hands of taxonomists. For example, if a class or order was defined by some author at a given date, using chosen morphologic characters (e.g., gills of pelecypods), this should not be allowed to freeze nomenclature, taking precedence over another later-proposed class or order distinguished by different characters (e.g., hinge-teeth of pelecypods). Even the fixing of type-genera for suprafamilial taxa might have small value, if any, hindering taxonomic work rather than aiding it. At all events, no legal basis for establishing such types and for naming these taxa has yet been provided.

The considerations just stated do not prevent the editor of the *Treatise* from making "rules" for dealing with suprafamilial groups of animals described and illustrated in this publication. At least a degree of uniform policy is thought to be needed, especially for the guidance of *Treatise*-contributing authors. This policy should accord with recognized general practice among zoologists, but where general practice is indeterminate or nonexistent our own procedure in suprafamilial nomenclature needs to be specified as clearly as possible. This pertains especially to decisions about names themselves, about citation of authors and dates, and about treatment of suprafamilial taxa which on taxonomic grounds are changed from their originally assigned rank. Accordingly, a few "rules" expressing *Treatise* policy are given here, some with examples of their application.

(1) The name of any suprafamilial taxon must be a Latin or latinized uninominal noun of plural form, or treated as such, (a) with a capital initial letter, (b) without diacritical mark, apostrophe, diaeresis, or hyphen, and (c) if component consisting of a

numeral, numerical adjective, or adverb is used, this must be written in full (e.g., Stethostomata, Trionychi, Septemchitonina, Scorpiones, Subselliflorae). No uniformity in choice of ending for taxa of a given rank is demanded (e.g., orders named *Gorgonacea*, *Milleporina*, *Rugosa*, *Scleractinia*, *Stromatoporoidea*, *Phalangida*).

(2) Names of suprafamilial taxa may be constructed in almost any way, (a) intended to indicate morphological attributes (e.g., *Lamellibranchiata*, *Cyclostomata*, *Toxoglossa*), (b) based on the stem of an included genus (e.g., *Bellerophontina*, *Nautilida*, *Fungiina*), or (c) arbitrary combinations of letters, (e.g., *Yuania*), but none of these can be allowed to end in *-idae* or *-inae*, reserved for family-group taxa. A class or subclass (e.g., *Nautiloidea*), order (e.g., *Nautilida*), or suborder (e.g., *Nautilina*) named from the stem of an included genus may be presumed to have that genus (e.g., *Nautilus*) as its objective type. No suprafamilial name identical in form to that of a genus or to another published suprafamilial name should be employed (e.g., order *Decapoda* Latreille, 1803, crustacean, and order *Decapoda* Leach, 1818, cephalopods; suborder *Chonetoidea* Muir-Wood, 1955, and genus *Chonetoidea* Jones, 1928). Worthy of notice is the classificatory and nomenclatural distinction between suprafamilial and family-group taxa which respectively are named from the same type-genus, since one is not considered to be transferable to the other (e.g., suborder *Bellerophontina* Ulrich & Scofield, 1897; superfamily *Bellerophontacea* McCoy, 1851; family *Bellerophontidae* McCoy, 1851).

(3) The Laws of Priority and Homonymy lack any force of international agreement as applied to suprafamilial names, yet in the interest of nomenclatural stability and the avoidance of confusion these laws are widely accepted by zoologists above the family-group level wherever they do not infringe on taxonomic freedom and long-established usage.

(4) Authors who accept priority as a determinant in nomenclature of a suprafamilial taxon may change its assigned rank at will, with or without modifying the terminal letters of the name, but such change(s) cannot rationally be judged to alter the authorship and date of the taxon

as published originally. (a) A name revised from its previously published rank is a "transferred name" (*nom. transl.*), as illustrated in the following.

**Order CORYNEXOCHIDA Kobayashi, 1935**

[*nom. transl.* MOORE, 1955 (ex suborder *Corynexochida* KOBAYASHI, 1935)]

(b) A name revised from its previously published form merely by adoption of a different termination, without changing taxonomic rank, is an "altered name" (*nom. correct.*). Examples follow.

**Order DISPARIDA Moore & Laudon, 1943**

[*nom. correct.* MOORE, 1952 (pro order *Disparata* MOORE & LAUDON, 1943)]

**Suborder AGNOSTINA Salter, 1864**

[*nom. correct.* HARRINGTON & LEANZA, 1957 (pro suborder *Agnostini* SALTER, 1864)]

(c) A suprafamilial name revised from its previously published rank with accompanying change of termination (which may or may not be intended to signalize the change of rank) is construed to be primarily a *nom. transl.* (compare change of ending for family-group taxa *-idae* to *-inae*, or vice versa, and to superfamily) but if desired it could be recorded as *nom. transl. et correct.*

**Order ORTHIDA Schuchert & Cooper, 1931**

[*nom. transl.* MOORE, 1952 (ex suborder *Orthoidea* SCHUCHERT & COOPER, 1931)]

(5) The authorship and date of nominate subordinate and superordinate taxa among suprafamilial taxa are considered in the *Treatise* to be identical since each actually or potentially has the same type. Examples are given below.

**Order ENDOCERIDA Teichert, 1933**

[*nom. correct.* TEICHERT, 1963 (pro order *Endoceroidea* TEICHERT, 1933)]

**Suborder ENDOCERINA Teichert, 1933**

[*nom. correct.* TEICHERT, 1963 (pro suborder *Endoceracea* SCHINDEWOLF, 1935, *nom. transl.* ex order *Endoceroidea* TEICHERT, 1933)]

**Subclass ENDOCERATOIDEA Teichert, 1933**

[*nom. transl.* TEICHERT, 1963 (ex superorder *Endoceratoidea* SHIMANSKIY & ZHURAVLEVA, 1961, *nom. transl.* ex order *Endoceroidea* TEICHERT, 1933)]

(6) A suprafamilial taxon may or may not contain a family-group taxon or taxa having the same type-genus, and if it does, the respective suprafamilial and family-group taxa may or may not be nominate (having names with the same stem). The zoological Code (Art. 61) affirms that

"each taxon [of any rank] has, actually or potentially, its type." Taxa above the family-group level which may be designated as having the same type-genus (such designations not being stipulated or recognized by any articles of the zoological Code) are considered to have identical authorship and date if the stem of names employed is the same (illustrated in preceding paragraph), but otherwise their authorship and date are accepted as various. Examples showing both suprafamilial and familial taxa in a group of spiders follow.

**Class ARACHNIDA Lamarck, 1801**

[*nom. correct.* NEWPORT, 1830 (*pro class—not family—* Arachnidae LAMARCK, 1801) (type, *Araneus* CLERCK, 1757, validated ICZN, 1948)]

**Subclass CAULOGASTRA Pocock, 1893**

[type, *Araneus* CLERCK, 1757]

**Superorder LABELLATA Petrunkevitch, 1949**

[type, *Araneus* CLERCK, 1757]

**Order ARANEIDA Clerck, 1757**

[*nom. correct.* DALLAS, 1864 (*pro Araneidea* BLACKWALL, 1861, *pro Araneides* LATREILLE, 1801, *pro Aranei* CLERCK, 1757, validated ICZN, 1948) (type, *Araneus* CLERCK, 1757)]

**Suborder DIPNEUMONINÆ Latreille, 1817**

[*nom. correct.* PETRUNKEVITCH, 1955 (*pro Dipneumones* LATREILLE, 1817) (type, *Araneus* CLERCK, 1757)]

**Division TRIONYCHI Petrunkevitch, 1933**

[type, *Araneus* CLERCK, 1757]

**Superfamily ARANEOIDEA Leach, 1815**

[*nom. transl.* PETRUNKEVITCH, 1955 (*ex Araneides* LEACH, 1815) (type, *Araneus* CLERCK, 1757)]

**Family ARANEIDAE Leach, 1815**

[*nom. correct.* PETRUNKEVITCH, 1955 (*pro Araneidae* LEACH, 1819, *pro Araneides* LEACH, 1815) (type, *Araneus* CLERCK, 1757)]

**Subfamily ARANEINAE Leach, 1815**

[*nom. transl.* SIMON, 1892 (*ex Araneidae* LEACH, 1815) (type, *Araneus* CLERCK, 1757)]

**TAXONOMIC EMENDATION**

Emendation has two measurably distinct aspects as regards zoological nomenclature. These embrace (1) alteration of a name itself in various ways for various reasons, as has been reviewed, and (2) alteration of taxonomic scope or concept in application of a given zoological name, whatever its hierarchical rank. The latter type of emendation primarily concerns classification and inherently is not associated with change of name, whereas the other type introduces change of name without necessary expansion, restriction, or other modification in applying the name. Little attention gener-

ally has been paid to this distinction in spite of its significance.

Most zoologists, including paleozoologists, who have signified emendation of zoological names refer to what they consider a material change in application of the name such as may be expressed by an importantly altered diagnosis of the assemblage covered by the name. The abbreviation "*emend.*" then may accompany the name, with statement of the author and date of the emendation. On the other hand, a multitude of workers concerned with systematic zoology think that publication of "*emend.*" with a zoological name is valueless, because more or less alteration of taxonomic sort is introduced whenever a subspecies, species, genus, or other assemblage of animals is incorporated under or removed from the coverage of a given zoological name. Inevitably associated with such classificatory expansions and restrictions is some degree of emendation affecting diagnosis. Granting this, still it is true that now and then somewhat radical revisions are put forward, generally with published statement of reasons for changing the application of a name. To erect a signpost at such points of most significant change is worthwhile, both as aid to subsequent workers in taking account of the altered nomenclatural usage and as indication that not-to-be-overlooked discussion may be found at a particular place in the literature. Authors of contributions to the *Treatise* are encouraged to include records of all specially noteworthy emendations of this nature, using the abbreviation "*emend.*" with the name to which it refers and citing the author and date of the emendation.

In Part G (Bryozoa) and Part D (Protista 3) of the *Treatise*, the abbreviation "*emend.*" is employed to record various sorts of name emendations, thus conflicting with usage of "*emend.*" for change in taxonomic application of a name without alteration of the name itself. This is objectionable. In Part E (Archaeocyatha, Porifera) and later-issued divisions of the *Treatise*, use of "*emend.*" is restricted to its customary sense, that is, significant alteration in taxonomic scope of a name such as calls for noteworthy modifications of a diagnosis. Other means of designating emendations that relate to form of a name are introduced.

## STYLE IN GENERIC DESCRIPTIONS

### CITATION OF TYPE-SPECIES

The name of the type-species of each genus and subgenus is given next following the generic name with its accompanying author and date, or after entries needed for definition of the name if it is involved in homonymy. The originally published combination of generic and trivial names for this species is cited, accompanied by an asterisk (\*), with notation of the author and date of original publication. An exception in this procedure is made, however, if the species was first published in the same paper and by the same author as that containing definition of the genus which it serves as type; in such case, the initial letter of the generic name followed by the trivial name is given without repeating the name of the author and date, for this saves needed space. Examples of these two sorts of citations are as follows:

*Diplotrypa* NICHOLSON, 1879 [\**Favosites petropoli-tanus* PANDER, 1830].

*Chainodictyon* FOERSTE, 1887 [\**C. laxum*].

If the cited type-species is a junior synonym of some other species, the name of this latter also is given, as follows:

*Acervularia* SCHWEIGGER, 1819 [\**A. baltica* (= \**Madrepora ananas* LINNÉ, 1758)].

It is judged desirable to record the manner of establishing the type-species, whether by original designation or by subsequent designation.

*Fixation of type-species originally.* The type-species of a genus or subgenus, according to provisions of the Rules, may be fixed in various ways originally (that is, in the publication containing first proposal of the generic name) or it may be fixed in specified ways subsequent to the original publication. Fixation of a type-species originally may be classified as automatic if the new genus was introduced for a single species (monotypy), or if the names of species referred to the genus are objectively synonymous. In addition, fixation of a type-species originally may be established in several ways by original designation, as by explicit statement given by an author, by use of *typus* or *typicus* as a new specific name, and by absolute tautonymy (e.g., *Mesolobus mesolo-*

*bus*). According to convention adopted in the *Treatise*, the absence of indication as to the manner of fixing the type-species is to be understood as signifying fixation of the type-species in one way or another originally. Where an author wishes to specify the mode of original fixation, however, this may be done by such abbreviations as "M" (monotypy), "OS" (objective synonymy), and "OD" (original designation), the first- and last-mentioned being most common and the other very rare.

*Fixation of type-species subsequently.* The type-species of many genera are not determinable from the publication in which the generic name was introduced and therefore such genera can acquire a type-species only by some manner of subsequent designation. Most commonly this is established by publishing a statement naming as type-species one of the species originally included in the genus, and in the *Treatise* fixation of the type-species in this manner is indicated by the letters "SD" accompanied by the name of the subsequent author (who may be the same person as the original author) and the date of publishing the subsequent designation. Some genera, as first described and named, included no mentioned species and these necessarily lack a type-species until a date subsequent to that of the original publication when one or more species are assigned to such a genus. If only a single species is thus assigned, it automatically becomes the type-species and in the *Treatise* this subsequent monotypy is indicated by the letters "SM." Of course, the first publication containing assignment of species to the genus which originally lacked any included species is the one concerned in fixation of the type-species, and if this named 2 or more species as belonging to the genus but did not designate a type-species, then a later "SD" designation is necessary. Examples of the use of "SD" and "SM" as employed in the *Treatise* follow.

*Hexagonaria* GÜRICH, 1896 [\**Cyathophyllum hexagonum* GOLDFUSS, 1826; SD LANG, SMITH & THOMAS, 1940].

*Muriceides* STUDER, 1887 [\**M. fragilis* WRIGHT & STUDER, 1889; SM WRIGHT & STUDER, 1889].

Another mode of fixing the type-species of a genus that may be construed as a special sort of subsequent designation is action of

the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature using its plenary powers. Definition in this way may set aside application of the Rules so as to arrive at a decision considered to be in the best interest of continuity and stability of zoological nomenclature. When made, it is binding and commonly is cited in the *Treatise* by the letters "ICZN," accompanied by the date of announced decision and (generally) reference to the appropriate numbered Opinion.

## HOMONYMS

Most generic names are distinct from all others and are indicated without ambiguity by citing their originally published spelling accompanied by name of the author and date of first publication. If the same generic name has been applied to 2 or more distinct taxonomic units, however, it is necessary to differentiate such homonyms, and this calls for distinction between junior homonyms and senior homonyms. Because a junior homonym is invalid, it must be replaced by some other name. For example, *Callopora* HALL, 1851, introduced for Paleozoic trepostome bryozoans, is invalid because GRAY in 1848 published the same name for Cretaceous-to-Recent cheilostome bryozoans, and BASSLER in 1911 introduced the new name *Hallopora* to replace HALL's homonym. The *Treatise* style of entry is:

*Hallopora* BASSLER, 1911, *nom. subst.* [*pro Callopora* HALL, 1851 (*non* GRAY, 1848)].

In like manner, a needed replacement generic name may be introduced in the *Treatise* (even though first publication of generic names otherwise in this work is avoided). The requirement that an exact bibliographic reference must be given for the replaced name commonly can be met in the *Treatise* by citing a publication recorded in the list of references, using its assigned index number, as shown in the following example.

*Mysterium* DELAUBENFELS, *nom. subst.* [*pro Mysterium* SCHRAMMEN, 1936 (ref. 40, p. 60) (*non* ROGER, 1862)] [*\*Mysterium porosum* SCHRAMMEN, 1936].

For some replaced homonyms, a footnote reference to the literature is necessary. A

senior homonym is valid, and in so far as the *Treatise* is concerned, such names are handled according to whether the junior homonym belongs to the same major taxonomic division (class or phylum) as the senior homonym or to some other; in the former instance, the author and date of the junior homonym are cited as:

*Diplophyllum* HALL, 1851 [*non* SOSHKINA, 1939] [*\*D. caespitosum*].

Otherwise, no mention of the existence of a junior homonym generally is made.

*Homonyms by misidentification.* When an author uses a generic name for species not congeneric with the type-species, it is needful to record the misuse of the generic name, even though this is only determinable subjectively. In the *Treatise* homonyms by misidentification are cited in synonymies as illustrated in the following example.

*Asmussia* PACHT, 1849 [*\*A. membranacea*] [= *Posidonomya* PACHT, 1852 (*non* BRONN, 1834); *Esteria* JONES, 1856 (*non* ROBINEAU-DESVOIDY, 1830; *nec* RUEPPELL, 1837)].

*Synonymic homonyms.* An author sometimes publishes a generic name in two or more papers of different date, each of which indicates that the name is new. This is a bothersome source of errors for later workers who are unaware that a supposed first publication which they have in hand is not actually the original one. Although the names were separately published, they are identical and therefore definable as homonyms; at the same time they are absolute synonyms. For the guidance of all concerned, it seems desirable to record such names as synonymic homonyms and in the *Treatise* the junior one of these is indicated by the abbreviation "jr. syn. hom."

Identical family-group names not infrequently are published as new names by different authors, the author of the later-introduced name being ignorant of previous publication(s) by one or more other workers. In spite of differences in taxonomic concepts as indicated by diagnoses and grouping of genera and possibly in assigned rank, these family-group taxa are nomenclatural homonyms, based on the same type-genus, and they are also synonyms. Wherever encountered, such synonymic homonyms are



distinguished in the *Treatise* as in dealing with generic names.

#### SYNONYMS

Citation of synonyms is given next following record of the type-species and if 2 or more synonyms of differing date are recognized, these are arranged in chronological order. Objective synonyms are indicated by accompanying designation "(obj.)," others being understood to constitute subjective synonyms. Examples showing *Treatise* style in listing synonyms follow.

**Calapoecia** BILLINGS, 1865 [*\*C. anticostiensis*; SD LINDSTRÖM, 1883] [= *Columnopora* NICHOLSON, 1874; *Houghtonia* ROMINGER, 1876].

**Staurocyelia** HAECKEL, 1882 [*\*S. cruciata* HAECKEL, 1887] [= *Coccostaurus* HAECKEL, 1882 (obj.); *Phacostaurus* HAECKEL, 1887 (obj.)].

A synonym which also constitutes a homonym is recorded as follows:

**Lyopora** NICHOLSON & ETHERIDGE, 1878 [*\*Palaeopora?* *javosa* M'COY, 1850] [= *Liopora* LANG, SMITH & THOMAS, 1940 (*non* GIRTY, 1915)].

Some junior synonyms of either objective or subjective sort may take precedence desirably over senior synonyms wherever uniformity and continuity of nomenclature are served by retaining a widely used but technically rejectable name for a generic assemblage. This requires action of ICZN using its plenary powers to set aside the unwanted name and validate the wanted one, with placement of the concerned names on appropriate official lists. In the *Treatise* citation of such a conserved generic name is given in the manner shown by the following example.

**Tetraraptus** SALTER, 1863 [*nom. correct.* HALL, 1865 (*pro* *Tetrarapsus* SALTER, 1863), *nom. conserv.* proposed BULMAN, 1955, ICZN pend.] [*\*Fucoides serra* BRONGNIART, 1828 (= *Graptolithus bryonoides* HALL, 1858)].

#### ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviations used in this division of the *Treatise* are explained in the following alphabetically arranged list.

#### Abbreviations

adj., adjective  
aff., *affinis* (related to)  
Afr., Africa, -an  
Ala., Alabama  
Alb., Albanian  
Alba., Alberta  
Am., America, -n  
Anis., Anisian  
ant., anterior  
apert., apertural  
approx., approximately  
Apt., Aptian  
Arch., Archipelago  
Arct., Arctic  
Arenig., Arenigian  
Arg., Argentina  
Ariz., Arizona  
Ark., Arkansas  
art., article  
Artinsk., Artinskian  
Ashgill., Ashgillian  
auctt., *auctorum* (of others)  
Aus., Austria

Balt., Baltic  
B.C., British Columbia  
Belg., Belgium, Belgique  
Blackriver., Blackriverian  
Boh., Bohemia  
Bol., Bolivia  
Brit., Britain, British  
Bull., Bulletin

C., Central  
ca., circa  
Calif., California  
Callov., Callovian  
Cam., Cambrian  
Can., Canada  
Canad., Canadian  
Caradoc., Caradocian  
Carb., Carboniferous  
Carn., Carnian  
Cenoman., Cenomanian  
cf., *confer* (compare)  
Chazy., Chazyan  
cm., centimeter  
Coblentz., Coblentzian  
Colo., Colorado  
Colom., Colombia  
cosmop., cosmopolitan  
Cret., Cretaceous  
Czech., Czechoslovakia

Dev., Devonian  
diagram., diagrammatic  
Dol., Dolomite  
dors., dorsal  
dorsovent., dorsoventral

E., East  
ed., edition, editor  
e.g., *exempli gratia* (for example)  
Eifel., Eifelian  
emend., *emendatus* (-a)

Ems., Emsian  
endosiph., endosiphuncular  
Eng., England  
Eoc., Eocene  
equiv., equivalent, -s  
err., *errore* (by error)  
Est., Estonia  
et al., *et alii* (and others, persons)  
etc., *et cetera* (and others, objects)  
Eu., Europe  
ext., exterior

F., Formation  
fam., family  
fig., figure, -s  
Fr., France, French, Français, -e

G.Brit., Great Britain  
Geol., Geology, Geological, Geologische, etc.  
Ger., Germany, German  
Givet., Givetian  
Gr., Group  
Greenl., Greenland

Hauteriv., Hauterivian  
H't., History  
Holl., Holland