



# INTERSECTIONS

---

**Essays in the Sciences  
and Humanities**

---

**Steven D. Scott  
Don Perkins  
Erika Rothwell**

# **INTERSECTIONS**

---

Essays in the Sciences and Humanities

Steven D. Scott

Don Perkins

Erika Rothwell

University of Alberta

Prentice Hall Allyn and Bacon Canada  
Scarborough, Ontario

## Canadian Cataloguing in Publication Data

Main entry under title:

Intersections : essays in the sciences and humanities

Includes indexes.

ISBN 0-13-680059-9

1. College readers. 2. Essays. 3. Readers—Science. 4. Readers—Humanities.  
5. English language—Rhetoric. I. Scott, Steven D. (Steven Douglas).  
II. Perkins, Don. III. Rothwell, Erika.

PE1417.157 1999

808.4

C99-930623-2

© 1999 Prentice-Hall Canada Inc., Scarborough, Ontario

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form without permission in writing from the publisher.

Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Needham Heights, MA  
Prentice-Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, New Jersey  
Prentice-Hall International (UK) Limited, London  
Prentice-Hall of Australia, Pty. Limited, Sydney  
Prentice-Hall Hispanoamericana, S.A., Mexico City  
Prentice-Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi  
Prentice-Hall of Japan, Inc., Tokyo  
Simon & Schuster Southeast Asia Private Limited, Singapore  
Editora Prentice-Hall do Brasil, Ltda., Rio de Janeiro

ISBN 0-13-680059-9

Vice President, Editorial Director: Laura Pearson

Acquisitions Editor: Nicole Lukach

Developmental Editor: Lisa Phillips

Production Editor: Avivah Wargon

Copy Editor: Marjan Farabaksh

Production Coordinator: Wendy Moran

Cover Design: Anne Goodes

Cover Image: PhotoDisc

Page Layout: Christine Velakis and Heidi Palfrey

2 3 4 5 WEB 03 02 01 00 99

Printed and bound in Canada.

Visit the Prentice Hall Canada Web site! Send us your comments, browse our catalogues, and more at [www.phcanada.com](http://www.phcanada.com). Or reach us through e-mail at [phabinfo\\_pubcanada@prenhall.com](mailto:phabinfo_pubcanada@prenhall.com).

# Preface

This collection of essays began as a response to the limitations of the available textbooks used in writing courses that are taken typically by science and technology students, and taught by humanities instructors. We began this project with the premise that the essay is a variable and cross-disciplinary form, and with the awareness that most essay anthologies are collections of writings in either the humanities *or* the sciences. We wanted to question and cross that boundary. As we began to put this collection together, we proceeded from fairly simple desires. We are all experienced instructors of composition and literature classes, and we decided that we wanted to include essays that we would enjoy, or that we had enjoyed, teaching in the classroom—in particular, but not exclusively, to students whose interests or majors were in the sciences. We wanted a selection that would embrace the range of writing that reflects on technology, in both scientific and cultural fields; a selection that would demonstrate good, clear, emphatic, interesting writing on a variety of topics within those fields; a selection of essays that could serve as models of effective writing and that employed techniques that students could incorporate into their own writing.

We began by looking for essays by contemporary writers whose works we admire, in periodical publications that we enjoy reading. We searched our sources for appropriate essays and articles, then began to sort our initial choices and to seek out new pieces for representation across styles, subject matter, genders, and cultures. We collected essays that deal with biology, natural history, chemistry, computers, women's issues, engineering, business, economics, technology, scientific ethics, language, communication, and manners and morals. As our search continued, we began, inevitably, to consider some standard and classic essays by authors whose work has been tested successfully in many classrooms. Our collection soon acquired another characteristic: it spans a wide range of historical periods.

Keeping our mixed sciences/humanities audience in mind, we settled on five principles of selection. First, we have selected essays that provide some historical coverage of the essay as a literary form, without making *Intersections* into an anthology that is devoted solely to the history of the essay. We have included essays as early as Swift's from the beginning of the eighteenth century, but we have also included essays published as recently as 1998. Second, we have included essays that we find enjoyable to read. The quality of the writing itself was very important when we were making our choices. We have, therefore, selected essays by long-established writers, but we have also chosen essays by newer, sometimes relatively unknown, writers. The authors represented here have widely different backgrounds, training, and interests, and include scientists, journalists, artists, students, novelists, and sociologists. Third, we wanted this collection to have essays of varying length. Although it is true that students are often asked to write relatively short papers, we thought it was important to include some essays of significant length, to demonstrate that the art of extended exposition is not dead, and to show how to maintain an argument through several thousand words. Fourth, we wanted our collection to incorporate a significant number of Canadian essays without becoming an anthology only of Canadian writing; thus we have included

English essays by American, Canadian, and British authors, as well as a few pieces in translation. Finally, we wanted to collect science essays written by women—not only essays about women in science, but also good scientific writing by women.

Each essay we selected according to these criteria is listed alphabetically by its author's name, chronologically according to the date of its first publication, and alphabetically by its title, for easy access. We have also provided alternative indices, loosely arranged groupings distinguished by style, rhetoric, and theme. Each essay is followed by a brief commentary. These commentaries are meant to aid instructors in conducting classroom discussions of the essays, and to aid students in their reading of the essays. In addition, we have included questions for discussion and suggestions for writing. These questions address particular aspects and techniques of the individual essays, while making connections to other essays in the collection.

Finally, we have included several appendices: three samples of student writing, and a glossary of basic rhetorical terms to aid students in their comprehension of rhetorical devices and styles. The student writing includes an argumentative and comparative academic paper by a fourth-year English student on two best-selling pieces of popular culture; an academic research paper by a second-year English student on a famous (and famously difficult) piece of Canadian literature; and a technical report on the detection of land mines by a group of fourth-year Engineering students. These papers have been included for instructors to use as models for students, and to demonstrate explicitly many of the important features of good student writing: they demonstrate the effective development of a bibliography; the successful embedding of quotations from primary and secondary sources; the appropriate use of information from one field in another setting; and the use of “lively” prose, even in an “academic” assignment.

This is a collection that is designed to cross several boundaries and address several intersections: between genders, among countries, and between science and technology and the humanities. Our fondest hope is that readers, too, will cross boundaries in considering these essays—and that they will enjoy reading the essays collected here as much as we have enjoyed collecting them.

# Acknowledgments

As is true of any project like this one, there are many people who deserve our thanks. First of all, we thank our long-suffering families for their encouragement and sacrifices. We would like to thank the English Department at the University of Alberta for their support in the form of a Graduate Research Assistant. We would also like to thank Sharon Dreger, who was that G.R.A.; Professors Dale Wilkie and Jon C. Stott, for their unflagging enthusiasm and technical advice; Dr. Paul Lumsden, who helped to shape the project into what it has become; and Leonard Swanson, Assistant to the Dean of Engineering, for his prompt, cheerful, and ready supply of Engineering perspectives and materials.

We are grateful to the following reviewers for their thoughtful comments and suggestions: Lorne Daniel, Red Deer College; Linda Dydyk, Dawson College; Amanda Goldrick-Jones, Centre for Academic Writing, University of Winnipeg; Philip Lanthier, Champlain College, Lennoxville Campus; Larry McKill, University of Alberta; Daphne Read, University of Alberta; Jack Robinson, Grant MacEwan Community College; and A.M. Robinson, University of Alberta.

## **INTERSECTIONS**

# Table of Contents

## ALPHABETICAL BY AUTHOR

|  |             |
|--|-------------|
| <i>Preface</i>   | <i>xiii</i> |
| <b>Honoré de Balzac</b>  | <b>1</b>    |
| “The Pleasures and Pains of Coffee” (1830)                         |             |
| <b>Wendell Berry</b>   | <b>6</b>    |
| “Why I Am Not Going to Buy a Computer” (1990)                      |             |
| <b>Roberta Bondar</b>  | <b>12</b>   |
| “Liftoff!” (1994)  |             |
| <b>Rachel Carson</b>   | <b>20</b>   |
| “A Fable for Tomorrow” (1962)                                      |             |
| <b>Samuel L. Clemens</b>   | <b>23</b>   |
| “Political Economy” (1870)   |             |
| <b>John Haslett Cuff</b>   | <b>28</b>   |
| “Eat Your Hearts Out Cinephiles, The Tube Is Where It’s At” (1996) |             |
| <b>Marie Curie</b>   | <b>31</b>   |
| Introduction to <i>Radioactive Substances</i>                      |             |
| <b>Charles Darwin</b>  | <b>34</b>   |
| Excerpt from <i>The Origin of Species</i> (1859)                   |             |
| <b>Paul Davies</b>   | <b>38</b>   |
| “Doomsday” (1994)  |             |
| <b>Charles Dickens</b>   | <b>43</b>   |
| “Frauds on the Fairies” (1853)                                     |             |
| <b>Annie Dillard</b>   | <b>50</b>   |
| “Living Like Weasels” (1982)                                       |             |



|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>Edward Dolnick</b>                         | <b>54</b>  |
| “The Ghost’s Vocabulary” (1991)               |            |
| <b>Umberto Eco</b>                            | <b>61</b>  |
| “Letter to My Son” (1964)                     |            |
| <b>Jan Furlong</b>                            | <b>67</b>  |
| “Straight Talk at the Parakeet Café” (1998)   |            |
| <b>Alan Goodman</b>                           | <b>70</b>  |
| “Bred in the Bone?” (1997)                    |            |
| <b>Stephen Jay Gould</b>                      | <b>78</b>  |
| “The Monster’s Human Nature” (1995)           |            |
| <b>O. B. Hardison, Jr.</b>                    | <b>86</b>  |
| “Charles Darwin’s Tree of Life” (1989)        |            |
| <b>Werner Heisenberg</b>                      | <b>94</b>  |
| “What Is an Elementary Particle?” (1976)      |            |
| <b>Douglas Hofstadter</b>                     | <b>105</b> |
| “A Person Paper on Purity in Language” (1983) |            |
| <b>Sherida Houlihan and John H. Wotiz</b>     | <b>113</b> |
| “Women in Chemistry Before 1900” (1973)       |            |
| <b>Michael Ignatieff</b>                      | <b>120</b> |
| “Myth and Malevolence” (1995)                 |            |
| <b>Jay Ingram</b>                             | <b>124</b> |
| “The Atom’s Image Problem” (1996)             |            |
| <b>Axel Kahn</b>                              | <b>128</b> |
| “Clone Mammals . . . Clone Man?” (1997)       |            |
| <b>Walter Karp</b>                            | <b>132</b> |
| “Why Johnny Can’t Think” (1985)               |            |
| <b>Perri Klass</b>                            | <b>138</b> |
| “India” (1987)                                |            |
| <b>Thomas S. Kuhn</b>                         | <b>143</b> |
| “The Route to Normal Science” (1962)          |            |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>Lewis H. Lapham</b>   | <b>153</b> |
| “Sense and Sensibility” (1991)                                     |            |
| <b>Stephen Leacock</b>   | <b>158</b> |
| “A, B, and C: The Human Element in Mathematics” (1911)             |            |
| <b>Robert W. Lucky</b>   | <b>162</b> |
| “Finger-Pointing” (1985)   |            |
| <b>Rick McConnell</b>  | <b>166</b> |
| “Beginning to Understand the Beginning” (1996)                     |            |
| <b>Walter Russell Mead</b>   | <b>170</b> |
| “Why the Deficit Is a Godsend” (1993)                              |            |
| <b>Jessica Mitford</b>   | <b>179</b> |
| “Behind the Formaldehyde Curtain” (1963)                           |            |
| <b>William Morris</b>  | <b>186</b> |
| “The Revival of Handicraft” (1888)                                 |            |
| <b>Joyce Carol Oates</b>   | <b>194</b> |
| “‘State-of-the-Art Car’: The Ferrari Testarossa” (1985)            |            |
| <b>George Orwell</b>   | <b>199</b> |
| “Politics and the English Language” (1946)                         |            |
| <b>Cynthia Ozick</b>   | <b>210</b> |
| “Crocodiled Moats in the Kingdom of Letters” (1989)                |            |
| <b>Henry Petroski</b>  | <b>215</b> |
| “Lessons from Play; Lessons from Life” (1992)                      |            |
| <b>Heather Pringle</b>   | <b>226</b> |
| “The Way We Woo” (1993)  |            |
| <b>Hilary Rose</b>   | <b>236</b> |
| “Marie Curie and Mileva Einstein Maric” (1994)                     |            |
| <b>Morton L. Ross</b>  | <b>243</b> |
| “Praise to the Albany” (1975)                                      |            |
| <b>John Ruskin</b>   | <b>250</b> |
| “The English Villa,” from <i>The Poetry of Architecture</i> (1838) |            |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>Richard Selzer</b>  | <b>259</b> |
| “A Mask on the Face of Death” (1987)   |            |
| <b>David Suzuki</b>  | <b>269</b> |
| “The Road from Rio” (1992)   |            |
| <b>Jonathan Swift</b>  | <b>276</b> |
| “A Modest Proposal” (1729)   |            |
| <b>Henry David Thoreau</b>   | <b>283</b> |
| “The Ponds,” from <i>Walden</i> (1854)   |            |
| <b>Sherry Turkle</b>   | <b>287</b> |
| “Ghosts in the Machine” (1995)   |            |
| <b>J. D. Watson and F. H. C. Crick</b>   | <b>293</b> |
| “Molecular Structure of Nucleic Acids:<br>A Structure for Deoxyribose Nucleic Acid” (1953)   |            |
| <b>Ian Wilmut et al.</b>   | <b>297</b> |
| “Viable Offspring Derived from Fetal and Adult Mammalian Cells” (1997)   |            |
| <b>Marie Winn</b>  | <b>305</b> |
| “Television and Reading” (1985)  |            |
| <b>Glenn Zorpette</b>  | <b>313</b> |
| “How Iraq Reverse-Engineered the Bomb” (1992)  |            |
| <b>Appendix A: Lisa Grekul</b>   | <b>327</b> |
| “Putting Self-Help ‘Leviathans’ and ‘Oracles’ to Sleep”:<br>A Discussion of Anthony Robbins’ <i>Awaken the Giant Within</i> and<br>James Redfield’s <i>The Celestine Prophecy</i> (1998) |            |
| <b>Appendix B: Cherina Sparks</b>  | <b>333</b> |
| Fishing for Coyote in Sheila Watson’s <i>The Double Hook</i> (1998)  |            |
| <b>Appendix C: Robert Gust, Chris Turner, Peter Karl,<br/>and Scott Koehn</b>  | <b>340</b> |
| Detection of Landmines: A Technical Report for a Course in<br>Mechanical Engineering (1998)  |            |
| <i>Glossary</i>  | 356        |
| <i>Title Index</i>   | 359        |
| <i>Date Index</i>  | 361        |

# Alternative

## Table of Contents

### THEMATIC

#### **Biology, Natural History, and Nature**

Carson 20  
 Darwin 34  
 Dillard 50  
 Goodman 70  
 Gould 78  
 Hardison 86  
 Kahn 128  
 Suzuki 269  
 Thoreau 283  
 Watson and Crick 293  
 Wilmut et al. 297

#### **Business and Economics**

Clemens 23  
 Mead 170  
 Morris 186  
 Swift 276  
 Zorpette 313

#### **Chemistry**

Balzac 1  
 Carson 20  
 Houlihan and Wotiz 113  
 Ingram 124  
 Watson and Crick 293  
 Wilmut et al. 297

#### **Computers**

Berry 6  
 Dolnick 54  
 Turkle 287

#### **Education**

Karp 132  
 Petroski 215

#### **Engineering**

Lucky 162  
 Morris 186  
 Petroski 215

#### **Humour**

Clemens 23  
 Dickens 43  
 Hofstadter 105  
 Leacock 158

#### **Language and Communication**

Clemens 23  
 Cuff 28  
 Dolnick 54  
 Furlong 67  
 Hofstadter 105  
 Ignatieff 120  
 McConnell 166  
 Turkle 287

#### **Manners and Morals**

Berry 6  
 Eco 61  
 Ignatieff 120  
 Lapham 153  
 Mitford 179  
 Pringle 226

#### **Medicine**

Klass 138  
 Selzer 259  
 Watson and Crick 293

#### **Myth and Story**

Davies 38  
 Dickens 43  
 Gould 78  
 Ignatieff 120  
 Leacock 158

#### **Physics**

Curie 31  
 Heisenberg 94  
 Ingram 124  
 Zorpette 313

**Places**

Furlong 67  
Klass 138  
Ross 243  
Ruskin 250  
Selzer 259

**Race and Racism**

Goodman 70  
Hofstadter 105

**Science and  
Ethics/Culture**

Cuff 28  
Kahn 128  
Klass 138  
Lapham 153  
Mitford 179  
Morris 186  
Selzer 259  
Winn 305  
Zorpette 313

**Scientists**

Carson 20  
Curie 31  
Darwin 34  
Heisenberg 94

**Technology and  
Inventions**

Berry 6  
Bondar 12  
Cuff 28  
Mitford 179  
Morris 186  
Ruskin 250  
Turtle 287  
Watson and Crick 293  
Wilmut et al. 297  
Winn 305  
Zorpette 313

**Women and  
Science**

Bondar 12  
Rose 236

# Alternative

## Table of Contents

### STYLISTIC/RHETORICAL

#### Analogy

Davies 38  
Dillard 50  
Ingram 124  
Leacock 158  
Suzuki 269

#### Argument/ Persuasion

Berry 6  
Eco 61  
Gould 78  
Hardison 86  
Kahn 128  
Karp 132  
Mead 170  
Swift 276  
Watson and Crick 293

#### Bullets and Lists

Berry 6  
Orwell 199

#### Cause and Effect

Berry 6  
Dolnick 54  
Eco 61  
Ignatieff 120  
Karp 132  
Swift 276  
Zorpette 313

#### Classification

Carson 20  
Darwin 34  
Dolnick 54  
Goodman 70  
Heisenberg 94  
Kuhn 143  
Orwell 199

#### Collaboration

Houlihan and Wotiz 113  
Watson and Crick 293  
Wilmot et al. 297

#### Comparison- Contrast

Clemens 23  
Cuff 28  
Ignatieff 120  
Ingram 124  
Morris 186  
Rose 236  
Winn 305

#### Definition

Berry 6  
Goodman 70  
Heisenberg 94  
Ingram 124  
Morris 186  
Ruskin 250

#### Description

Balzac 1  
Dillard 50  
Eco 61  
Furlong 67  
Goodman 70  
Ingram 124  
Mitford 179  
Oates 194  
Ross 243  
Ruskin 250  
Thoreau 283

#### Example

Dickens 43  
Houlihan and Wotiz 113  
Ignatieff 120  
Ingram 124  
Lapham 153  
Lucky 162  
McConnell 166  
Mitford 179  
Orwell 199  
Petroski 215

#### Narration

Bondar 12  
Clemens 23  
Dickens 43  
Furlong 67  
Leacock 158  
Lucky 162  
Ross 243

**Process Analysis**

|          |     |
|----------|-----|
| Bondar   | 12  |
| Dolnick  | 54  |
| Lapham   | 153 |
| Mitford  | 179 |
| Ozick    | 210 |
| Pringle  | 226 |
| Ruskin   | 250 |
| Swift    | 276 |
| Zorpette | 313 |

**Research**

|                  |     |
|------------------|-----|
| Dolnick          | 54  |
| Goodman          | 70  |
| Gould            | 78  |
| Turkle           | 287 |
| Watson and Crick | 293 |
| Wilmot et al.    | 297 |
| Zorpette         | 313 |

# THE PLEASURES AND PAINS OF COFFEE

Honoré de Balzac

## BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

Honoré de Balzac (1799–1850) is considered one of the great French writers. His masterwork is a multi-volume novel entitled *La Comédie humaine* (1842–46). This essay appeared as part of an appendix (added after the first edition) to Anthelme Brillat-Savarin's *La Physiologie du goût* (1825). The full appendix deals with the effects of coffee, wine, and tobacco and is entitled *Traité des excitants modernes*. Balzac died while still quite young, probably in part due to the effects of drinking a good deal of very strong coffee.

---

- 1 On this subject Brillat-Savarin is far from complete. I can add something to what he has said because coffee is a great power in my life; I have observed its effects on an epic scale. Coffee roasts your insides. Many people claim coffee inspires them; but as everybody likewise knows, coffee only makes boring people even more boring. Think about it: although more grocery stores are staying open in Paris until midnight, few writers are actually becoming more spiritual.
- 2 But as Brillat-Savarin has correctly observed, coffee sets the blood in motion and stimulates the muscles; it accelerates the digestive processes, chases away sleep, and gives us the capacity to engage a little longer in the exercise of our intellects. It is on this last point, in particular, that I want to add my personal experience to Brillat-Savarin's observations, and to add some remarks about coffee from the great sages.
- 3 Coffee affects the diaphragm and the plexus of the stomach, from which it reaches the brain by barely perceptible radiations which escape complete analysis; that aside, we may surmise that our primary nervous flux conducts an electricity emitted by coffee when we drink

Translated from the French by Robert Onopa. First published in *The Michigan Quarterly Review*, Spring 1996. Robert Onopa teaches in the Creative Writing Program at the University of Hawaii.



it. Coffee's power changes over time. Rossini has personally experienced some of these effects, as, of course, have I.

4 "Coffee," Rossini told me, "is an affair of fifteen or twenty days; just the right amount of time, fortunately, to write an opera."

5 This is true. But the length of time during which one can enjoy the benefits of coffee *can* be extended. This knowledge is so useful to so many people that I cannot but confess the secrets of releasing the bean's precious essence.

6 All of you, then you illustrious Human Candles—you who consume your own brilliant selves with the heat and light of your minds—approach and listen to the Gospel of the Watch, of Wakefulness, of Intellectual Travail!

7 1. Coffee completely pulverized in the Turkish manner has a much richer flavor than coffee ground in a coffee mill.

8 In many of the mechanical aspects of pleasure, Orientals are far superior to Europeans. Their particular genius—to observe as carefully as do those toads who spend entire years squatting on their haunches, holding their unblinking eyes open like two suns—has revealed to them what our science has only recently been able to show us through analysis. The principal toxin in coffee is *tannin*, an evil substance which chemists have not yet studied sufficiently. When the stomach membranes have been "tanned," or when the action of the tannin particular to coffee has numbed them by overuse, the membranes become incapable of contracting properly. This becomes the source of the serious disorders affecting the coffee connoisseur. There is a man in London, for example, whose immoderate use of coffee has left him with a stomach twisted in knots. An engraver in Paris I know personally needed five years to recover from the physical state his love of coffee had put him in. Finally, an artist, Chenavard, was burned to death by coffee: all because he went to cafés excessively, as workers go to cabarets, on the flimsiest excuse. Connoisseurs pursue coffee drinking the way they pursue all their passions; they proceed by increments, and, like Nicolet, move from strong to stronger stuff, until consumption becomes abuse. Yet when you pulverize rather than grind coffee, you crush it into a unique form of molecule which retains the harmful tannin and releases only the aroma. That is why Italians, Venetians, Greeks, and Turks can drink coffee incessantly without harm, a coffee the French contemptuously call *cafiot*. Voltaire drank just such coffee.

9 Remember, then. Coffee is composed of two elements: one, the extractable matter, which hot water or cold water dissolves quickly and which conducts the aroma; the other element, the tannin, is less dissolvable in water, and emerges from the surrounding plant tissue only slowly and with more effort. From which follows this axiom: *To brew coffee by contact with boiling water, especially for a long time, is heresy; to brew coffee with water that has already passed through coffee grounds is to subject the stomach and other internal organs to tannin.*

10 2. Using as a benchmark coffee brewed in the immortal coffeepot of my secretary, Auguste de Belloy (the cousin of a Cardinal, and, like him, related to the ancient and illustrious Marquis de Belloy), the very best coffee is made by an infusion of cold rather than boiling water; controlling the water temperature, after pulverizing the beans completely, is a second method of managing its effects.