

SHOPTALK:

SHOPTALK:

Foundations of

Managerial Communication

SHOPTALK:

SHOPTALK:

MAYERSON

SHOPTALK:

Foundations of Managerial Communication

EVELYN W. MAYERSON

University of South Florida

W. B. Saunders Company: West Washington Square
Philadelphia, PA 19105

1 St. Anne's Road
Eastbourne, East Sussex BN21 3UN, England

1 Goldthorne Avenue
Toronto, Ontario M8Z 5T9, Canada

Shoptalk: Foundations of Managerial Communication

ISBN 0-7216-6207-2

© 1979 by W. B. Saunders Company. Copyright under the International Copyright Union. All rights reserved. This book is protected by copyright. No part of it may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without written permission from the publisher. Made in the United States of America. Press of W. B. Saunders Company. Library of Congress Catalog card number 79-1532.

Last digit is the print number: 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Dr. Mayerson has conducted workshops in managerial communication for both the public and private sectors, having worked with Sun Oil, INA, the Department of Commerce, the Civil Service Commission, and the Department of the Army.

As Assistant Professor of Psychiatry at the University of South Florida, her principal responsibility is teaching interpersonal communication skills to medical students and residents. She previously held the same position at Temple University, where she also worked in conjunction with the Department of Management.

*To two Arthurs,
my father and my brother*

Preface

As a consultant in interpersonal relations to both the private and public sectors, I have been continually impressed with the sincere desire demonstrated by managers to improve their own communication skills. It is my experience that contemporary managers are vitally concerned with the human quotient of their work, and are willing to take time from a busy day to spend eight hours in a workshop to investigate it. In addition, managers I have worked with have expressed strong interest in obtaining more information than I could supply in a single workshop. To meet this need, I decided to write *Shoptalk*, a practical guide to communicating with one's subordinates, detailed enough for the practitioner, and explicit enough for the student.

I tried to make *Shoptalk* simple to read and consistent in format. Each chapter begins with an incident that sets the subject and the problem. Each incident, an abbreviated case history, is followed by questions. The reader knows when he begins a chapter what he can expect to learn as a result of having read it. In addition, a summary and questions at each chapter's end help the reader reinforce what he has learned. Throughout each chapter are further examples that clarify and elaborate major points. Finally, margin notes help the reader see at a glance the heart of important paragraphs, the bottom line.

The first two chapters are a historical, sociological, and psychological overview of the changing relationship between managers and their subordinates, and how communication is affected by that relationship. In order to understand the changes that currently affect managers, it is necessary to understand the changes that have affected them in the past.

Chapter Three deals with verbal communication, how the spoken message is heard in the organization. Chapter Four follows with non-verbal communication, an understanding of the vast array of unspoken messages to which managers must be attuned. Chapter Five is concerned with the context of management, the setting in which these verbal and nonverbal messages take place. Chapter Six introduces managers to specifics of facilitating a precise message between them and their subordinates through the use of certain listening and interactional skills.

Chapter Seven treats questioning as a separate entity. The differences between types of questions and indications for their use are examined. Chapters Eight and Nine are directed to the manager's facilitation of himself or herself, the former with clear thinking, and the latter with clear speaking. Chapter Ten explores telephone communication and the problems that are unique to that mode of interaction. Chapter Eleven deals with mediating conflict. Like Chapters Twelve and Thirteen, which follow, it combines learnings from previous chapters. Chapter Twelve examines decision making and creativity, especially as a result of group effort.

Chapter Thirteen explains those times when it is appropriate for managers to take the role of counselor, and Chapter Fourteen concludes the book with a practical look at improving one's written communication.

While many have been instrumental in helping me assemble this book, there are those to whom I wish to give special thanks. First, to my husband Don and sons Gary and Bob who acted as a sounding board; to Jack Neifert, my editor who gave support and encouragement; my workshop students, many of whom shared their experiences with me; to Dick Hodgetts whose invaluable suggestions gave the book its final shape; and finally to Eleanor E. Bergholz of Straher College, David Butt and William Damerst of Pennsylvania State University, Robert Janke of Virginia Commonwealth University, Jacqueline Lindauer of Anchorage, Alaska, Charles Smith of Widener College, and Doria Yeaman of Florida International University for their critical reviews and comments.

E. W. M.

Contents

1. FOLLOW THE LEADER	1
Incident	1
Biological Determinants	3
Higher Vertebrates	4
Rank Order	4
Human Being Rank Order	6
Rank Order and Organizational Behavior	7
Trappings of Rank Order	8
Why Rank?	10
Man's Uniqueness	10
What Was Required of Primitive Leaders	12
Fear of Hidden Forces	13
Summary	16
2. NEW LEADERSHIP	18
Incident	18
Squeeze Play	19
Skills Required	25
Summary	31
3. VERBAL COMMUNICATION	33
Incident	33
Communication	35
Stumbling Blocks in Communication	39
Signals	44
Speech	45
Paralanguage	53
Summary	54
4. NONVERBAL COMMUNICATION	58
Incident	58
Facial Expression	60
Postures	67
Gestures	73
Somatic Signals	76
Summary	76

5. CONTEXT	79
Incident	79
Paradox	81
Lottery	81
Conflict	82
Serious Mode	82
Definition	82
Human Needs	84
Stress	93
Summary	95
6. FACILITATING SUBORDINATES	98
Incident	98
Environment	99
Active Listening	102
Small Talk	109
Silent Cues	111
Affirmative Leads	112
Feedback	112
Silence	120
Learning	122
Evaluation	124
Summary	127
7. QUESTIONING TECHNIQUES	129
Incident A	129
Incident B	130
Uses of Questions	132
Preparing for Questioning	133
Indirect Questions	145
Direct Questions	146
Inductive Learning	148
Summary	151
8. FACILITATING YOURSELF: THINKING CLEARLY	153
Incident	153
Using Logic	155
Fallacies	168
Summary	171
9. FACILITATING YOURSELF: SPEAKING CLEARLY	174
Incident	174
Sounding Clear	175
Speaking	180
Prepared Speech	183
Summary	191
10. ON THE TELEPHONE	194
Incident	194
Limitations	195

Voice as Emissary	199
Sounding Clear	199
Timing	201
Initiating the Call	202
Gentle Persuasion	206
Feedback	207
The Answerer	208
Confirm With Memo	216
Summary	216
 11. MEDIATING CONFLICT	 220
Incident	220
Why We Avoid Conflict	222
Personal Conflict Style	224
What Causes Conflict?	225
The Expense of Conflict Ignored	229
Conflict Resolution	231
Summary	251
 12. DECISION MAKING AND CREATIVITY	 254
Incident	254
Orientation	256
Creativity	258
Practical Problem Solving	269
Summary	273
 13. MANAGER AS COUNSELOR	 276
Incident	276
Erosion of Barriers	278
The Effects of Environment	278
The Effects of Stress	279
Response to Stress	280
Early Warning Signs	280
Open Door Policy	282
Reluctance to Counsel	282
Assertion Helps	283
Caution	284
Vital Link	285
Effective Management-Counseling	286
Summary	298
 14. WRITING CLEARLY	 300
Incident	300
Letters	302
Memoranda	313
Reports	317
 <i>APPENDIX: ANSWERS TO GENERAL QUESTIONS</i>	 323
 INDEX	 331

Follow the Leader

Learning Objectives

Learning objectives reflect the content of each chapter. They will prepare the reader for what he can expect to learn as a result of having read the chapter. At the conclusion of this chapter the reader should be able to

1. Explain the biological and historical perspective that shaped the role of leader.
2. Define rank order.
3. Describe some of the ways rank order affects organizational behavior.

Leadership is what management is all about. It is a natural aspect of human behavior. How one behaves at his place of work, including what he says, how he says it, and who he says it to, is determined by his rank order. The following incident illustrates the subtle behavioral differences between leader and follower.



INCIDENT

An ice cream manufacturing company is one of 300 operating acquisitions of a highly decentralized parent company. The manager, Rod Thinnes, has been given almost total manufacturing and marketing authority, although strict financial controls, including a constant check, have remained the responsibility of the parent company. Thinnes and two of his top people, an accountant, Emory Wilson, and house counsel Lois Drew, meet with the president of the parent company, which acts as a central banker for its subsidiaries, to request needed cash.

Thinnes has submitted a request for a loan along with a five-year record of sales, earnings, return on net assets, and cash flow. He hopes not only to sew up a commitment for the loan but,

since it is company policy that if a subsidiary's record is good enough it may receive a loan interest free, to get that as well.

The president, Peter Sturgiss, stands and greets all three, shakes their hands, smiles, and offers them seats. While there are two sofas across the room that are at right angles, and three chairs on the other side of Sturgiss's desk, Thinnes, Wilson, and Drew choose seats on the sofas. Sturgiss goes behind his desk, leans back, folds his hands behind his head, swivels in his chair, and looks about while they begin to plead their case. While he is quite relaxed, their postures are alert and tense, and while his eye contact is averted from time to time, they keep their eyes at the ready, like marksmen aiming their guns. After some initial talk, Sturgiss says, "I have seen your figures, and they look good. It's people like you who understand the operation from the bottom up, the real world. It's why we kept you on, Rod. You're one of the best." Rod accepts this tribute with a quick look at the floor and a pass at his tie. He replies, "Thank you, Mr. Sturgiss. We appreciate your confidence. We feel that our numbers and our record are good enough to earn us the loan interest free." Sturgiss swivels to face Thinnes head on, sits still, narrows his eyes, is silent for a moment, and says, "You are cash users, but as long as you give us higher returns, we're here to help you." Thinnes leans forward on the sofa, sitting almost at its edge. "We appreciate that; it's one of the reasons the association between us has been so great. We do what we have to and know how to, and you're there to back us up. Mr. Sturgiss, we have consistently shown good margins and a return on net assets. . . ." Sturgiss interrupts, "We are not ready to commit. It looks good on the loan, but whether or not we can do it without interest requires a deeper look into your record." He sits on the edge of his chair, his hands both placed knuckles down on his desk. "I thank you for coming to see me. I much prefer a face to face visit to a telephone call. I'll want you to spend more time with our people here, share with them more information." He stands, they stand, and the meeting is over.



Incident Questions

The reader is not expected to be able to answer any of the incident questions before reading the text. Answers appear at the end of the chapter.

1. All members of this meeting displayed a certain kind of behavior. What was it?
2. How did Sturgiss display and maintain his authority?
3. How did Thinnes and his people display their lesser rank?
4. How did the role of leader in human systems come about?

Anyone who is a manager or who would like to be a manager wants to be a good one. In order to be really good, whether we are a chief executive, a factory foreman, a presiding bishop, or a football coach, we have to be skilled above all else in communicating to everyone we deal with in the course of our work, not only subordinates but superiors, peers, and outsiders as well.

This book will try to establish basic principles of interpersonal competence required of a manager. In order to do this, it helps to see how the role of leader fits into other human systems. It is a role that has been around a long time. It isn't even the sole property of man, but is shared by animals.

BIOLOGICAL DETERMINANTS


Most higher-order species divide their numbers among those who give and those who take orders. The presence of leadership seems to require some complexity in the organism. A biological lightweight like planaria, for example, a small marine creature lacking brain, sex, and rectum that has demonstrated an amazing capacity to learn despite its primitive brain, has no idea of leadership. One would be hard put to imagine what an organism with a head so expendable that it can regenerate itself in six days would need a leader for.¹ In some large-scale collective societies, such as those of minnows, bison, and certain flocks of birds, who, like Mahler's Eighth Symphony, can boast of a cast of thousands, there appears to be no leader. Large herds, flocks, or schools simply band together, feed together, drop their young together, get startled together, and flee together, operating as a single egalitarian unit.

In other collective societies, such as insects as bees, ants, and termites behave as if they were interchangeable parts, cellular elements of a single, larger organism. In these aggregates, rank order is not hierarchy but job designation, clearly marked and defined in a genetically programmed caste system. Their life work is determined at the moment of their conception. While certain human cultures are designed with clearly defined castes, these distinctions include ranking, which divides the social spoils. In all of these systems, the higher castes have privileges not shared by the lower. In insect colonies, caste is not a situation of privilege but of job specifications. Each member has a specific task, the status of which we interpret through human preference. In the human being the foot is not inferior to the eye. It has no more privilege. Its job is to move the body about and support its weight, just as the eye's job is to see and report. The termite queen has a specific job, that of producing fertilized eggs. We call her queen because she has all her wants supplied by others. She is fed and nourished and groomed by workers, and hers seems a job of high status. Actually, the queen gets to do very little except eat, mate, and produce young. She makes no unilateral decisions and is so massive that she must be turned and moved by the workers. She exercises no

Leadership requires organism complexity.

choice but is obliged to stay confined and produce young. The workers call more shots than she, if one can consider a blind waiter-nursemaid a shot caller.

HIGHER VERTEBRATES



Rank order appears in higher vertebrates.

In higher vertebrates, the intellectual heavyweights of the animal world, there begins what is called ranking order. Like a ladder, the higher up you go, the better things are. Every member of the society knows which one is stronger and which one is weaker, and if there is uncertainty, there are testing procedures, functioning much like a baseball scorecard, that quickly establish the players. Chickens have a pecking order, not just domesticated barnyard chickens, but wild fowl as well. Each bird has a specific position in the chicken hierarchy, and all get to peck another level of chicken except the last, which gets to peck at nothing except a stray kernel of corn he spots with his walleyes. The last chicken may not resent his lowly position but may have rationalized that he is unworthy and therefore deserves to be pecked by other chickens. Every member of a nonchicken organization is aware of the pecking order within that organization. He knows who can say what to whom. He knows pretty much how far he can go in each direction, whom he can peck, and who can peck him.

RANK ORDER

The leader among most animal species that contain rank order is usually the one of the largest size. In certain societies, the leader is

both the strongest and the oldest, as, for example, among certain free-living baboons in which the band is led by a senate of several older males. High ranking order is not reserved for the male. Some species of deer delegate responsibility of the leadership of the herd to an aged female who no longer has the responsibility of her own young. In most animal species, however, it is the strongest, which usually means the largest, male. If there is any doubt, reasonable or otherwise, competing members test their physical strength by contest or simply by their ability to fake the other one out. Social control among those who rub the ground with their knuckles is a recognized male dominance hierarchy in which priority of food and resting places is the privilege of the top members. Baboon and macaque societies, for example, have effective status systems similar to social control mechanisms in human beings. In these societies high-ranking members are also privileged to make aggressive gestures from time to time to the others, meant to keep them literally in their places.² The gestures may be no more serious than a display of teeth or a full gathering of height, but they do the job. By the same token, the high-ranking members are the recipients of submissive gestures and deferring postures, such as when they and one of lesser rank arrive at the scene of food and the lesser one holds back, a move that clearly says to the one of rank, "You first." As we move higher up, the threats and appeasements become more subtle.

In most animal species, leadership is reserved for strongest male.

Within species that have rank order, there is always tension between those who are close in position. The tension diminishes as rank differential increases. It is as if the higher-ranking member understands that to engage in an encounter with someone so clearly beneath him and of so little threat would narrow the gap.

One of the major causes of tension is jockeying for position, sometimes subtle and sometimes obvious, but always the bid for the next rung up on the ladder. Most species have a series of reciprocals, sequential escalating actions, in which the contenders engage before there is any real bloodshed. Among primates, the one who disputes the other's authority may begin to test this authority by first staring a few seconds too long. The established leader cannot ignore this challenge. Human beings also respond to the stare as a challenge: "Something you don't like, Mac?" and Mac has to turn away or escalate the reciprocals with something like, "What's it to you?" His primate counterpart is offended by the unrelieved eye contact and reciprocates with bared teeth. If the initiator does not retract his stare, the challenged one will make a sound, a warning coming from somewhere back in his throat, very much like a ventriloquist. If the initiator does not then drop his eyes, but instead draws back his own lips to expose teeth, the gauntlet has been flung and the challenged one must respond with a more menacing reciprocal. It is his way of saying, "Are you sure you want to go through with this?" in a manner similar to barroom bravado, when the challenged downs the last sip and casually says to the challenger, "O.K., if that's the way you want it." The challenged primate then engages the initiator in combat; a bite

Tension exists between those in close position.

on the neck usually does it. Before this actual contact occurs, the initiator may have second thoughts and do a last-ditch reciprocal, his ace card. That is to swing around, bend over, and present his undefended rump, which the challenged one may mount in a casual manner that says, "I acknowledge your obeisance, but I'm really not into this." Presentation of the rump is a postural *nolo contendere*, a way of saying, "Don't hit me, boss."

HUMAN BEING RANK ORDER

Ascribed status position is determined by birth.

The human being also has rank order. Every nation in every time and every culture has a system of ranking. Each culture decides what gets top priority, depending on the needs, institutions, and priorities of the culture. Status positions may be formal ones, called *ascribed positions*. These rankings have nothing to do with an individual's personal qualities. The person is simply born into them. Sex, the position of birth order within the family (whether first-born, middle, or last child in the family), the status of the family, and the status of child within the culture determine ascribed position. If a child is born into a society that values judges and eldest sons, and if he is the eldest son of a judge, his ascribed position is a high one.

Achieved status is what we secure for ourselves.

As we grow into adulthood, rankings include not just ascribed position but also *achieved position*, determined by what we have come to be. Whether we are short-order cooks, mayors, doctors, varsity fullbacks, or plant foremen, our achieved status is what we have been able to secure for ourselves. Our rights and obligations in any rank position, ascribed or achieved, are carefully spelled out. We know what is expected of us. We know what to expect from others. For example, when the foreman of a plant faces the president of the company, the differences in rank are quickly known. The foreman will usually defer, in ways not unlike the submissive deferments seen in primates. He will be less likely to speak first and will wait for the company president to proceed. Once discussion is begun, he will be less likely to interrupt, will lean forward, stand straight, and not come too close, and will be less likely to avert his eyes. When the foreman in turn faces his forklift operator, he will trade behaviors with the company president and his subordinate will behave toward him as he had behaved toward the president.

Behavior is affected by rank order.

Rank order, while established by *recognized position*, is also a subtle decision made among strangers. It is a decision made instantaneously. While we are invested in certain learned courtesies such as deferring to women and older persons, and while we acknowledge an informal first-come, first-served order arrangement that keeps long theater lines self-checked, who goes through the turnstile first and who gets the neck of the chicken are determined by subtle rankings. We usually defer to one of higher status. In any organization the top person leaves the elevator first, selects a chair first, selects the walking path that others follow, and doesn't get the neck of the chicken unless he or she insists.

RANK ORDER AND ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR

People in organizations communicate rank by their nonverbal actions. For example, upper-level executives do less of the subtle cowering, bending, and crouching behavior done by their subordinates. The movements of organizational cowering are a slight bend to the head, a slight bend to the waist, or both, a courtly little dance made as if the bender were trying somehow to make himself smaller and less of a threat. It is reminiscent of the self-effacing behavior of many higher vertebrates when faced with another of higher status.

A high-status executive is more likely to engage in direct eye contact when speaking, one of the hallmarks of a powerful and persuasive speaker, yet, conversely, is more free to look elsewhere when spoken to by others of lesser status. When leaders initiate a conversation, lesser members of dyads or groups are attentive and responsive. They will sit in a posture of attention, leaning forward frequently with their eyes riveted on the leader. Leaders, in contrast, do not have to be overly responsive, and can listen while turning their heads away, looking in another direction, showing little reaction, and even reading their mail.

In conversation, leaders are more likely to interrupt, not bothering to wait for an opportune moment, but making their own, often doubling up on the other's words. Subordinates will seldom interrupt a higher-ranking person, even though they have no guarantee that their own remarks will receive the same attention. Leaders are less likely to smile and nod, movements of someone seeking approbation. In contrast, lesser-status members of dyads can be seen smiling more frequently and nodding their heads as if to punctuate the superior's discourse. They speak in a softer voice with more hesitations and qualifications. "Well, of course, it's only an opinion . . ." "It's probably just a stupid idea, but . . ."

