

Media Ethics

Issues & Cases

PHILIP PATTERSON

LEE WILKINS

THIRD EDITION

Media Ethics

Issues Cases

PHILIP PATTERSON
OKLAHOMA CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY
OF SCIENCE AND ARTS

LEE WILKINS
UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI-COLUMBIA



Boston, Massachusetts Burr Ridge, Illinois Dubuque, Iowa
Madison, Wisconsin New York, New York San Francisco, California
St. Louis, Missouri

McGraw-Hill

A Division of the McGraw-Hill Companies

MEDIA ETHICS: ISSUES AND CASES

Copyright © 1998 by The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. All rights reserved.

Previous edition 1994 by Brown & Benchmark Publishers, a Times Mirror Higher Education Group, Inc., Company.

Printed in the United States of America. Except as permitted under the United States Copyright Act of 1976, no part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a data base or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of the publisher.



This book is printed on recycled, acid-free paper containing 10% postconsumer waste.

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 DOC/DOC 9 0 9

ISBN 0-697-32717-5

Publisher: *Phillip Butcher*

Sponsoring Editor: *Marge Byers*

Developmental Editor: *Valerie Raymond*

Marketing Manager: *Carl Leonard*

Project Manager: *Jane C. Morgan*

Production Supervisor: *Sandra Hahn*

Cover designer: *Z Graphics*

Compositor: *ElectraGraphics, Inc.*

Typeface: *10/12 Times Roman*

Printer: *R.R. Donnelley & Sons Company, Crawfordsville, IN*

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Media ethics : issues and cases / [edited by] Philip Patterson, Lee

Wilkins. — 3rd ed.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-697-32717-5

1. Mass media—Moral and ethical aspects. I. Patterson, Philip.

II. Wilkins, Lee.

P94.M36 1997

174—dc21

97-8386

CIP

www.mhhe.com

FOREWORD

CLIFFORD G. CHRISTIANS

*Research Professor of Communication
University of Illinois, Urbana*

The playful wit and sharp mind of Socrates attracted disciples from all across ancient Greece. They came to learn and debate in what could be translated “his thinkery.” By shifting the disputes among Athenians over earth, air, fire and water to human virtue, Socrates gave Western philosophy and ethics a new intellectual center (Cassier 1944).

But sometimes his relentless arguments would go nowhere. On one occasion, he sparred with the philosopher Hippias about the difference between truth and falsehood. Hippias was worn into submission, but retorted at the end: “I cannot agree with you, Socrates.” And then the master concluded: “Nor I with myself, Hippias. . . . I go astray, up and down, and never hold the same opinion.” Socrates admitted to being so clever that he had befuddled himself. No wonder he was a favorite target of the comic poets. I. F. Stone likens this wizardry to “whales of the intellect flailing about in deep seas” (Stone 1988).

With his young friend, Meno, Socrates argued whether virtue is teachable. Meno was eager to learn more, after “holding forth often on the subject in front of large audiences.” But he complained, “You are exercising magic and witchcraft upon me and positively laying me under your spell until I am just a mass of helplessness. . . . You are exactly like the flat stingray that one meets in the sea. Whenever anyone comes into contact with it, it numbs him, and that is the sort of thing you seem to be doing to me now. My mind and my lips are literally numb.”

Philosophy is not a semantic game, though sometimes its idiosyncracies feed that response into the popular mind. *Media Ethics: Issues and Cases* does not debunk philosophy as the excess of sovereign reason. The authors of this book will not encourage those who ridicule philosophy as cunning rhetoric. The issue at stake here is actually a somewhat different problem—the Cartesian model of philosophizing.

The founder of modern philosophy, René Descartes, preferred to work in solitude. Paris was whirling in the early seventeenth century, but for two years even Descartes’s friends could not find him as he squirreled away studying mathematics. One can even guess the motto above his desk: “Happy is he who lives in seclusion.” Imagine the conditions under which he wrote *Meditations* II. The Thirty Years’ War

in Europe brought social chaos everywhere. The Spanish were ravaging the French provinces and even threatening Paris, but Descartes was shut away in an apartment in Holland. Tranquility for philosophical speculation mattered so much to him that upon hearing Galileo had been condemned by the Church, he retracted parallel arguments of his own on natural science. Pure philosophy as an abstract enterprise needed a cool atmosphere isolated from everyday events.

Descartes's magnificent formulations have always had their detractors, of course. David Hume did not think of philosophy in those terms, believing as he did that sentiment is the foundation of morality. For Søren Kierkegaard, an abstract system of ethics is only paper currency with nothing to back it up. Karl Marx insisted that we change the world and not merely explain it. But no one drew the modern philosophical map more decisively than Descartes, and his mode of rigid inquiry has generally defined the field's parameters.

This book adopts the historical perspective suggested by Stephen Toulmin:

The philosophy whose legitimacy the critics challenge is always the seventeenth-century tradition founded primarily upon René Descartes. . . . [The] arguments are directed to one particular style of philosophizing—a theory-centered style which poses philosophical problems, and frames solutions to them, in timeless and universal terms. From 1650, this particular style was taken as defining the very agenda of philosophy. (1988, 338)

The seventeenth-century philosophers set aside the particular, the timely, the local and the oral. And that development left untouched nearly half of the philosophical agenda.

Indeed, it is those neglected topics—what I here call “practical philosophy”—that are showing fresh signs of life today, at the very time when the more familiar “theory-centered” half of the subject is languishing. (Toulmin 1988, 338)

This book collaborates in demolishing the barrier of three centuries between pure and applied philosophy; it joins in reentering practical concerns as the legitimate domain of philosophy itself. For Toulmin, the primary locus of ethics has moved from the study to the bedside, to criminal courts, engineering labs, the newsroom, factories and the ethnic street corners. Moral philosophers are not being asked to hand over their duties to technical experts in today's institutions, but rather to fashion their agenda within the conditions of contemporary struggle.

All humans have a theoretical capacity. Critical thinking, the reflective dimension, is our common property. And this book nurtures that reflection in communication classrooms and by extension into centers of media practice. If the mind is like a muscle, this volume provides a regimen of exercises for strengthening its powers of systematic reflection and moral discernment. It does not permit those aimless arguments that result in quandary ethics. Instead it operates in the finest traditions of practical philosophy, anchoring the debates in real-life conundrums but pushing the discussion toward substantive issues and integrating appropriate theory into the decision-making process. It seeks to empower students to do ethics themselves, un-

der the old adage that teaching someone to fish lasts a lifetime, and providing fish only saves the day.

Media Ethics: Issues and Cases arrives on the scene at a strategic time in higher education. Since the late nineteenth century, ethical questions have been taken from the curriculum as a whole and from the philosophy department. Recovering practical philosophy has involved a revolution during the last decade in which courses in professional ethics have reappeared throughout the curriculum. This book advocates the pervasive method and carries the discussions even further, beyond freestanding courses into communication classrooms across the board.

In this sense, the book represents a constructive response to the current debates over the mission of higher education. Professional ethics has long been saddled with the dilemma that the university was given responsibility for professional training precisely at the point in its history that it turned away from values to scientific naturalism. Today one sees it as a vast horizontal plain given to technical excellence but barren in enabling students to articulate a philosophy of life. As James Carey concludes,

Higher education has not been performing well of late and, like most American institutions, is suffering from a confusion of purpose, an excess of ambition that borders on hubris, and an appetite for money that is truly alarming. (1989, 48)

The broadside critiques leveled in Thorstein Veblen's *The Higher Learning in America* (1918) and Upton Sinclair's *The Goose Step* (1922) are now too blatantly obvious to ignore.

But *Media Ethics: Issues and Cases* does not merely demand a better general education or a recommitment to values; it strengthens the communications curriculum by equipping thoughtful students with a more enlightened moral awareness. Since Confucius we have understood that lighting a candle is better than cursing the darkness. Or in Mother Teresa's version, we feed the world one mouth at a time.

PREFACE

As you glance through this book, you will notice its features—text, illustrations, cases, photos—represent choices the authors have made. I think it’s as important to point out what’s missing as what’s there, and why.

First, you’ll find no ethics codes in the book. Several media organizations have codes that are well thought out. Some media ethics texts include them. However, we agree with Anthony Insolia of *Newsday* when he says, “Rules and guidelines, unfortunately, cover only the pat situations.” And with Arnold Rosenfeld, editor of the *Dayton Daily News and Journal-Herald*, when he says, “The decisions straight out of the book are easy. It is unfortunately the 2 to 3 percent for which there are no book rules that we earn our pay—and reputations.” This book is for the tough situations where codes work only when combined with a knowledge of ethical theory and a deep sense of personal ethics.

Second, you’ll find no media bashing in this book. There’s enough of that already, and besides, it’s too easy to do. This book is not designed to indict the media; it’s designed to train its future employees. If we dwell on ethical lapses from the past, it is only to learn from them what we can do to prevent similar occurrences in the future.

Third, you’ll find no conclusions in this book—neither at the end of the book nor after each case. No one has yet written the conclusive chapter to the ethical dilemmas of the media, and I don’t suspect that we will be the first.

What, then, is in the book? You will find a diverse, up-to-date, and classroom-tested compilation of cases in media ethics. Authors from more than thirty institutions and media outlets contributed real-life and hypothetical cases to this text to help students prepare for the ethical situations they will confront in whatever area of the media they enter. The authors are convinced that case studies are the premiere teaching vehicle for the study of ethics, and this book reflects what we think are the best available written by the most qualified people.

Binding these cases together and providing a philosophical basis from which to approach them is the text. While it has intentionally been kept succinct, the text introduces students to the relevant ethical theory that will help eliminate “quandary ethics,” which often results when cases are used as a teaching strategy. Deni Elliott’s essay at the end of chapter 1 instructs students and professors on how to use case studies as an educational tool without bogging down into quandary ethics.

You will find built-in discussion starters in the questions that follow each case. These questions were written by the authors of each case, with the instructions that

they were to be like concentric circles. The tightest circle—the micro issues—focuses only on the case at hand and the dilemmas it presents. The next circle—middle-range issues—focuses on the problem in its context, and sometimes manipulates the facts slightly to see if the decisions remain the same. On the most abstract level—the macro issues—the students are dealing with issues such as truth, equity, responsibility and loyalty. Properly used, the questions can guide the discussion from the particular to the universal in any case in a single class period.

The book may be used either as the main text for a media ethics course or as a supplementary text for ethics sequences found in courses in newswriting, media and society, advertising and public relations, and photojournalism. The book works well for teachers who like to use the Socratic method in their classes, or as resource material for lecture classes.

More than a third of the cases in this text are new to this edition. Also new is a chapter on the emerging ethical issues of cyberspace and an appendix that capsulizes some of the most historically significant media ethics “milestones” in this century.

Our approach in this text is best illustrated by an anecdote from a few years ago. One student in my class had the last hand up after the debate on a particularly heated case study. When I called on her, she asked, “Well, what’s the answer?” I was surprised that she asked the question, and I was surprised that I didn’t have a ready answer. I joked my way out of the question by asking if she wanted the Answer with a capital A or a lowercase one. If she asked today, I’d respond differently. I’d tell her that the answer exists within her, but that it won’t emerge in any justifiable form without systematic study and frequent wrestling with the issues.

That’s what this book is about. The chapters are an attempt to direct you in some systematic way through the philosophy that has explored these questions for centuries. The cases will make you wrestle with that knowledge in scenarios not unlike ones you might encounter while working. Together, they might not enable you to find *the answer*, but they might help you find *your answer*.

For the authors and contributors,
Philip Patterson

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

One's first lessons in ethics are learned by modeling ethical people, and I am grateful for parents who provided me with good, commonsense role models for the research and writing in ethics that I would do later in life.

No book of this type is a solo effort, and this book is certainly the result of hard work and encouragement by many people. To begin, Marty Linsky, Lou Hodges, Cliff Christians, Deni Elliott and others listened patiently to the idea in its many stages and offered advice along the way. In the past decade, I have been privileged to attend ethics workshops sponsored by the Poynter Institute, the Freedom Forum, and the University of Nebraska. To Bob Steele, Ed Lambeth, Steve Kalish and Robert Audi, I owe a debt of gratitude for helping me to continue to learn about ethics as I seek to teach my students. And every new edition brings a new group of people to get to know and to be deeply grateful to. This group of case study authors and photographers—forty-eight by my latest count—were all a pleasure to work with in meeting deadlines and writing excellent cases strictly for the love of the profession and the hope that their contribution could make a difference in the education of a future media professional.

Others on the Oklahoma Christian University campus deserve credit as well. Vicki Wendling entered much of the copy into the computer as it came in from several dozen sources. She also went above the role of duty and into the realm of friendship in the amount of work she put into making sure the details of this book were correct. Tamie Willis processed all of our requests for library acquisitions as we pursued books, articles, and other materials as source material for the chapters. Thank you both.

A special thanks goes to the Ethics and Excellence in Journalism Foundation for a grant to cover photographs and illustrations in this edition of the text. Thanks to the many instructors who responded to the questionnaire for the first edition of the text. The insightful comments were very useful to us as we revised the text. Thanks to our publishers for believing in the project enough to give it an expanded third edition. Finally, I thank Linda and our children Amy, Andrew, and Joshua: I love you all.

p.d.p.

When ethics entrepreneur Michael Josephson opens his public speeches, he asks audience members to think of the most ethical people they know. Those people set ethical standards for others, by who they are and by what they inspire. It's fair for readers of this book to know who's on my list.

First, my mother—whose sense of human connection and compassion has been only incompletely copied by her daughter. Second, my father, who is the most principled human being I have ever met. Third, my stepmother Carrie, who's managed to love the family she's married into—a feat worthy of far more than a Kantian sense of duty.

My dissertation advisor and friend Jim Davies affirmed for me the ethical connection between people and politics. My former colleagues at the University of Colorado—Russ Shain, Steve Jones, Sue O'Brien and Risa Palm—have proved that connection a very human one, as have my colleagues at the University of Missouri. They have also been willing to listen—*another ethical activity that too often goes unmentioned*. Barrie Hartman and the staff of the *Boulder Daily Camera* were wonderful reality checks.

There has been intellectual help as well. I've attended a number of conferences designed to teach me about ethics. The Hastings House, Gannett, the Poynter Institute, and the University of Nebraska have done their best to educate me in this field. The people connected with those efforts deserve special mention. Among them, Ed Lambeth, Ted Glasser, Deni Elliott, Cliff Christians, Lou Hodges, Martin Linsky, Roy Peter Clark, Don Fry, Sharon Murphy, Jay Black, Ralph Barney, Steve Kalish and Robert Audi have helped most profoundly. Many of them you will find listed in various ways on the pages that follow. All of them have a special place in my intellectual psyche.

Two sets of acknowledgments remain.

For the past twelve years, my students at the Universities of Missouri and Colorado have taught me much more about ethics than I have taught them. They have suffered through portions of this manuscript with me. Their questions and their insights are evident on every page of this book.

Then there are Miranda and David—my daughter and my spouse. For the smiles, the hugs, the reading of first drafts, the talking, the listening, the suggestions, the lecture about using “skin” names, the films, and all of the rest that being a family means. Love and thanks. I could not have done this without you.

l.c.w.

CONTENTS

Foreword	xiii
<i>Clifford G. Christians</i>	
Preface	xvii
Acknowledgments	xix

CHAPTER I

AN INTRODUCTION TO ETHICAL DECISION MAKING 1

CHAPTER 1 ESSAY

Cases and Moral Systems: An Essay	18
<i>Deni Elliott</i>	

CHAPTER II

INFORMATION ETHICS: A PROFESSION SEEKS THE TRUTH 20

CASES

II-A	When Is Objective Reporting Irresponsible Reporting?	33
<i>Theodore L. Glasser</i>		
II-B	The Doctor Has AIDS	35
<i>Deni Elliott</i>		
II-C	Taste in Photojournalism: A Question of Ethics or Aesthetics?	37
<i>Lou Hodges</i>		
II-D	Reporters and Confidential Sources	41
<i>Steve Weinberg</i>		
II-E	Rodent Wars and Cultural Battles: Reporting Hantavirus	44
<i>JoAnn M. Valenti</i>		
II-F	Too Many Bodies, Too Much Blood: A Case Study of the Family Sensitive Newscast Movement	47
<i>Bill Silcock</i>		
II-G	Nine Days in Union: The Susan Smith Case	51
<i>Sonya Forte Duhé</i>		
II-H	Handling the Media in Times of Crisis: Lessons from the Oklahoma City Bombing	54
<i>Jon Hansen</i>		

CHAPTER III**PERSUASION ETHICS: WHAT'S FAIR IN ADVERTISING
AND PUBLIC RELATIONS 60****CASES**

- III-A The Plagiarism Factory 74
John P. Ferré
- III-B Public Relations' Role in the Alar Scare 76
Philip Patterson
- III-C A Case of Need 81
Deni Elliott
- III-D Exxon's Whipping Cream on a Pile of Manure 84
JoAnn M. Valenti
- III-E If You Let Nike Play . . . 86
Renita Coleman
- III-F A Sobering Dilemma 89
Beverly Horvit

CHAPTER IV**LOYALTY: CHOOSING BETWEEN COMPETING ALLEGIANCES 92****CASES**

- IV-A The Anchor as Activist 103
Fred Bales
- IV-B The Wonderful World of Junkets 105
Ralph D. Barney
- IV-C One Person's Tragedy, Another Person's Prize 107
Philip Patterson
- IV-D Keeping Secrets: A Public Relations Dilemma 110
Jay Black
- IV-E Standing behind a reporter: The CBS/News Journal Controversy 112
John Sweeney
- IV-F She Chose before Losing the Choice 115
Tom Lyons

CHAPTER V**PRIVACY: LOOKING FOR SOLITUDE IN THE GLOBAL VILLAGE 119****CASES**

- V-A Naming Names: Privacy and the Public's Right to Know 130
John B. Webster
- V-B Public Grief and the Right to Be Left Alone 133
Philip Patterson

V-C	A Reporter's Question: Propriety and Punishment	136
	<i>Stanley Cunningham</i>	
V-D	Arthur Ashe and the Right to Privacy	138
	<i>Carol Oukrop</i>	
V-E	Computers and the News: A Complicated Challenge	141
	<i>Karon Reinboth Speckman</i>	
V-F	Honor to Die For: <i>Newsweek</i> and the Admiral Boorda Case	143
	<i>Philip Patterson</i>	
V-G	Competition, Deadlines and the Mistreatment of Richard Jewell	145
	<i>Greg Lisby</i>	

CHAPTER VI

THE MASS MEDIA IN A DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY: KEEPING A PROMISE 151

CASES

VI-A	Victims and the Press	166
	<i>Robert Logan</i>	
VI-B	The David Duke Candidacy: Fairness and the Klansman	169
	<i>Keith Woods</i>	
VI-C	Whose Abuse of Power: <i>The Seattle Times</i> and Brock Adams	173
	<i>Lee Wilkins</i>	
VI-D	The Gym Shoe Phenomenon: Social Values vs. Marketability	176
	<i>Gail Baker Woods</i>	
VI-E	Denver's Rocky Flats: The Role of the Alternative Press	179
	<i>Lee Wilkins</i>	
VI-F	Terrorist Use of the News Media; News Media Use of Terrorists	182
	<i>Jack Lule</i>	

CHAPTER VII

MEDIA ECONOMICS: THE DEADLINE MEETS THE BOTTOM LINE 185

CASES

VII-A	Union Activism and the Broadcast Personality	198
	<i>Stanley Cunningham</i>	
VII-B	<i>Ms.</i> Magazine—No More Ads!	200
	<i>Philip Patterson</i>	
VII-C	A Salesperson's Dilemma: Whose Interests Come First?	202
	<i>Charles H. Warner</i>	
VII-D	"Bonding" Announcements in the News	204
	<i>JoAnn Byrd</i>	
VII-E	Turning off the <i>Light</i> : The San Antonio Newspaper War	207
	<i>Fred Blevens</i>	

- VII-F Calvin Klein's Kiddie Porn Ads Prick Our Tolerance 210
Valerie Lilley
- VII-G Punishing the Messenger: The Tobacco Industry and the Press 213
Steve Weinberg

CHAPTER VIII

PICTURE THIS: THE ETHICS OF PHOTO AND VIDEO JOURNALISM 216

CASES

- VIII-A Problem Photos and Public Outcry 227
Jon Roosenraad
- VIII-B Digital Manipulation of Photos with New Computer Technology 230
Shiela Reaves
- VIII-C Military Censorship of Photographs 233
Paul Lester
- VIII-D Faking Photos: Is It Ever Justified? 237
James Van Meter
- VIII-E "Above the Fold": Balancing Newsworthy Photos
 with Community Standards 240
Jim Godbold
Jannelle Hartman
- VIII-F "Film at Ten": Handling Graphic Video in the News 245
Sonya Forte Duhé
- VIII-G Horror in Soweto 248
Sue O'Brien

CHAPTER IX

ETHICS IN CYBERSPACE: NEW QUESTIONS AND ROLES 264

CASES

- IX-A Digital Sound Sampling: Sampling the Options 264
Don E. Tomlinson
- IX-B Ethics on the Internet: Abiding by the Rules of the Road
 on the Information Superhighway 267
Bruce Lewenstein
- IX-C Cry Wolf: *Time* Magazine and the Cyberporn Story 270
Karon Reinboth Speckman
- IX-D Filmmaking: Looking through the Lens for Truth 274
Kathy Brittain McKee
- IX-E The Madonna and the Web Site: Good Taste
 in Newspaper Online Forums 277

CHAPTER X**THE ETHICAL DIMENSIONS OF ART AND ENTERTAINMENT 282****CASES**

X-A Hate Radio: The Outer Limits of Tasteful Broadcasting 294

Brian Simmons

X-B How to Remember Malcolm X 297

Dennis Lancaster

X-C Beavis and Butthead: The Case for Standards in Entertainment 300

Philip Patterson

X-D *Schindler's List*: The Role of Memory 303

Lee Wilkins

X-E Joe Klein and the Authorship of *Primary Colors*:
Stranger than Fiction 306

Lee Wilkins

CHAPTER XI**BECOMING A MORAL ADULT 309**

APPENDIX A

Thinking about Ethics with Film 319

Lee Wilkins

APPENDIX B

Novel Ideas about Ethics 323

Steve Weinberg

APPENDIX C

From the Past: Historical Glimpses of Media Ethics 325

Susan Willey

Bibliography 341

Index 351

CHAPTER I

An Introduction to Ethical Decision Making

At the end of this chapter, you should be able to:

- **Recognize the need for professional ethics in journalism.**
- **Work through a model of ethical decision making.**
- **Be familiar with five philosophical principles applicable to mass-communication situations.**

Making Ethical Decisions

Few people must make ethical decisions in public. Though all professionals make occasional ethical mistakes, only journalists have the courage or misfortune to display them to the public. And when those ethical decisions are faulty, public reaction is swift and critical.

Attempting to gain public acceptance or prevent public outcry isn't the only reason for advocating ethical professional practice—and it's not a very profound one at that. The most compelling reason for making ethical choices, other than internal personal satisfaction, is what ethical behavior can contribute to the profession. Ethical journalism is better journalism. Not only is it journalism that sustains reader confidence, an important consideration in these days of waning media credibility, but ethical journalism also sets a standard by digging deeper, including necessary context, and providing a variety of sources without undue regard to any particular set of special interests, including the journalists' own.

Too many books insist that ethics can't be taught. It's situational, they say—every message is unique, leaving no real way to learn ethics other than by daily life. This analysis is partially correct. Most of us, outside of church or parental teachings, have learned ethics by the choices we've made or seen others make.

Ethics, it is argued, is something you have, not something you do. But, while it's true that reading about ethics is no guarantee you will perform your job ethically, thinking about ethics is a skill anyone can acquire. It first requires some background about the study of ethics, which you will be introduced to in this chapter. Then you should learn a decision-making model that allows you to make ethical choices systematically. The model we've adopted was developed by philosopher Sissela Bok.

She has written about the ethical choices many professionals, among them lawyers, doctors and journalists, have to make.

While each facet of mass communication has its unique ethical quandaries, thinking about ethics is the same, whether you make your living writing advertising copy or obituaries. Each day at work, journalists make ethical choices, and some days those choices will have an influence far beyond a single broadcast or one newspaper's circulation area. An example is the controversy over how much coverage the media should give teenage suicides in the face of research that shows that a number of "copycat" suicides sometimes follow a well-publicized teen suicide. Such "suicide clusters" have occurred in communities around the world. The decision about whether and how to cover these events is a troublesome one indeed for editors in the communities involved, and such decisions influence people far beyond individual media markets.

Thinking about ethics won't make many of those choices easier, but, with practice, your ethical decision making can become more consistent. Ethics will then become not something you have, but something you do. A consistently ethical approach to your work as a reporter, photographer, or copywriter in whatever field of mass media you enter can improve that work as well.

Contemporary professional ethics revolves around these questions:

- What duties do I have, and to whom do I owe them?
- What values are reflected by the duties I've assumed?

Ethics takes us out of the world of "this is the way I do it" or "this is the way it's always been done" into the realm of "this is what I should do" or "this is the action that can be rationally justified." Ethics in this sense is "ought talk." The dual questions of duty and values can be answered a number of ways as long as they are consistent with each other. For example, if a journalist sees her primary duty as that of informing the public, she will place a high value on truth telling, tenacity in the pursuit of a story, etc. If a public relations practitioner sees his duty as promoting a cause, his choices would change accordingly.

It is important here to distinguish between **ethics**, which is a rational process founded on certain principles, and **morals**, which are in the realm of religion. For example, the Ten Commandments are a moral system in the Judeo-Christian tradition, and Jewish scholars have expanded this study of the laws throughout the Bible's Old Testament into the Talmud, a 1,400-page religious volume. The Buddhist Eightfold Path provides a similar moral framework.

But moral systems are not synonymous with ethics. *Ethics begins when elements within a moral system conflict.* Ethics is less about the conflict between right and wrong than it is about the conflict between equally compelling (or equally unattractive) values and the choices that must be made between them.

Immanuel Kant, the most influential philosopher of the eighteenth century, described this famous ethical dilemma: What should you do when a man carrying a gun arrives at your front door, asking the whereabouts of a second man (who is hiding in your closet) because he wants to kill him. Do you lie, or do you tell the truth? The Judeo-Christian moral system says that both killing and lying are wrong. Yet,