# Applications of Artificial Intelligence VIII Part 1



# Applications of Artificial Intelligence VIII

Mohan M. Trivedi Chair/Editor

17-19 April 1990 Orlando, Florida

Sponsored by SPIE—The International Society for Optical Engineering

In cooperation with
The Computer Society of the IEEE
The Systems, Man, and Cybernetics Society of the IEEE

Published by

SPIE—The International Society for Optical Engineering
P.O. Box 10, Bellingham, Washington 98227-0010 USA
Telephone 206/676-3290 (Pacific Time) • Telex 46-7053



SPIE (The Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers) is a nonprofit society dedicated to advancing engineering and scientific applications of optical, electro-optical, and optoelectronic instrumentation, systems, and technology.



The papers appearing in this book comprise the proceedings of the meeting mentioned on the cover and title page. They reflect the authors' opinions and are published as presented and without change, in the interests of timely dissemination. Their inclusion in this publication does not necessarily constitute endorsement by the editors or by SPIE.

Please use the following format to cite material from this book:
Author(s), "Title of Paper," Applications of Artificial Intelligence VIII, Mohan M. Trivedi,
Editor, Proc. SPIE 1293, page numbers (1990).

Library of Congress Catalog Card No. 86-641430 ISBN 0-8194-0344-X

Copyright © 1990, The Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers.

Copying of material in this book for sale or for internal or personal use beyond the fair use provisions granted by the U.S. Copyright Law is subject to payment of copying fees. The Transactional Reporting Service base fee for this volume is \$2.00 per article and should be paid directly to Copyright Clearance Center, 27 Congress Street, Salem, MA 01970. For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license by CCC, a separate system of payment has been arranged. The fee code for users of the Transactional Reporting Service is 0-8194-0344-X/90/\$2.00.

Individual readers of this book and nonprofit libraries acting for them are permitted to make fair use of the material in it, such as to copy an article for teaching or research, without payment of a fee. Republication or systematic or multiple reproduction of any material in this book (including abstracts) is prohibited except with the permission of SPIE and one of the authors.

Permission is granted to quote excerpts from articles in this book in other scientific or technical works with acknowledgment of the source, including the author's name, the title of the book, SPIE volume number, page number(s), and year. Reproduction of figures and tables is likewise permitted in other articles and books provided that the same acknowledgment of the source is printed with them, permission of one of the original authors is obtained, and notification is given to SPIE.

In the case of authors who are employees of the United States government, its contractors or grantees, SPIE recognizes the right of the United States government to retain a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to use the author's copyrighted article for United States government purposes.

Address inquiries and notices to Director of Publications, SPIE, P.O. Box 10, Bellingham, WA 98227-0010 USA.

Volume 1293

#### **CONFERENCE COMMITTEE**

Chair
Mohan M. Trivedi, University of Tennessee/Knoxville

Cochairs

J. K. Aggarwal, University of Texas/Austin
Peter K. Allen, Columbia University
James C. Bezdek, University of West Florida
Gautam Biswas, Vanderbilt University
Y. T. Chien, National Science Foundation
John F. Gilmore, Georgia Tech Research Institute
Rafael C. Gonzalez, University of Tennessee/Knoxville
Richard D. Holben, Odetics, Inc.
Ramesh C. Jain, University of Michigan
Jay Mendelsohn, Grumman Corporate Research Center
Steven K. Rogers, Air Force Institute of Technology
Linda G. Shapiro, University of Washington

Session Chairs
Session 1A—Computer Vision
Richard W. Conners, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

Session 1B—Expert Systems I
Steven K. Rogers, Air Force Institute of Technology

Session 2A—Three-Dimensional Vision Larry S. Davis, University of Maryland

Session 2B—Knowledge Acquisition and Representation Manikant D. Lodaya, Grumman Aircraft Systems

Session 3—Plenary Session I

Mohan M. Trivedi, University of Tennessee/Knoxville

Session 4A—Model-Based Vision
Kevin W. Bowyer, University of South Florida

Session 4B—Neural Networks

James C. Bezdek, University of West Florida

Session 5A—Robot Planning and Control Peter K. Allen, Columbia University

Session 5B—Knowledge-Based Systems
Robert L. Cannon, University of South Carolina

Volume 1293

Session 6A—Path Planning Richard D. Holben, Odetics, Inc.

Session 6B—Expert Systems II
Su-shing Chen, University of North Carolina/Charlotte

Session 7A—Image Analysis
George C. Stockman, Michigan State University

Session 7B—Natural Languages
Robert L. Cannon, University of South Carolina

Session 8—Plenary Session II

James C. Bezdek, University of West Florida

Session 9A—Manufacturing and Robotic Systems Richard D. Holben, Odetics, Inc.

Session 9B—Machine Learning
Walton A. Perkins III, Lockheed Artificial Intelligence Center

Session 10A—Intelligent Robotic Systems Y. T. Chien, National Science Foundation

Session 10B—Planning and Scheduling Gautam Biswas, Vanderbilt University

Session 11A—Robot Vision and Sensing Arun K. Sood, George Mason University

Session 11B—AI in Aerospace Systems
Kevin W. Bowyer, University of South Florida

Session 12A—AI: Architectures and Algorithms

J. Douglas Birdwell, University of Tennessee/Knoxville

Session 12B—Expert Systems III

Jay Mendelsohn, Grumman Corporate Research Center

Session 13—Plenary Session III

Mohan M. Trivedi, University of Tennessee/Knoxville

Session 14A—Semiconductor Manufacturing Systems
Surshing Chen, University of North Carolina/Charlotte

Session 14B—Diagnostic Systems

James C. Bezdek, University of West Florida

Volume 1293



#### **INTRODUCTION**

Mohan M. Trivedi University of Tennessee/Knoxville

It is with great pleasure that I, on behalf of the program committee, welcome you to the Applications of Artificial Intelligence VIII conference. We have been organizing this annual event since 1984, and, as one can see from these proceedings, it has become a major forum for exchanging the latest ideas in the applied artificial intelligence field. The conference program includes participants from academia, government research laboratories, and industry. Also, in the last few years an increasing number of our international colleagues have been involved in the program. This diversity in the backgrounds of the participants provides a unique opportunity to examine and discuss technical ideas in formal as well as informal settings.

Al is now accepted as an important technology with significant scientific and commercial value. Al as a discipline has always attracted attention and debate. One of the issues discussed in these debates is that of the utility and contributions of this discipline. Our conference program provides a documentation of some of the tangible Al applications. Papers in the program highlight issues underlying a broad range of applications in real-world environments. The close scrutiny of our discipline is enforcing the practitioners in the field to justify the practical utility of the Al principles, tools, and techniques in non-trivial application domains. This is a refreshing trend.

The technical program for this year's conference includes 25 sessions and over 100 presentations. It also includes keynote addresses by three distinguished and active researchers in the field. On Tuesday, Professor Benjamin J. Kuipers will discuss Qualitative Reasoning and its Applications. Professor Bernard Widrow will talk about Control Applications for Neural Networks on Wednesday. The final plenary talk will be given by Professor Elliot Soloway on Highly Interactive Computing Environments.

Once again I welcome your participation in this conference. We hope that you will find the technical program stimulating, informative, and useful. Finally, it is my pleasure to acknowledge the assistance and contributions of the members of the program committee, of the authors, of the SPIE staff, and of Mrs. Janet Smith of the University of Tennessee.

Volume 1293



#### Benjamin Kuipers

#### Use of qualitative simulation in support of model-based reasoning

Benjamin Kuipers received his B.A. degree in mathematics from Swarthmore College in 1970, did his graduate work at the MIT Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, and received a Ph.D. degree in mathematics from MIT in 1977.

He is now Associate Professor of Computer Sciences at the University of Texas at Austin. He has worked at Harvard University, Tufts University, and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. His research interests are in various aspects of commonsense knowledge: qualitative reasoning with incomplete knowledge of physical mechanisms; resource-limited inference, and spatial exploration, learning, and problem solving.

Dr. Kuipers is a member of the Association for Computing Machinery, the American Association for the Advancement of Science, the American Association for Artificial Intelligence, IEEE, the Society for Values in Higher Education, and Computer Professionals for Social Responsibility.



Bernard Widrow

Truck backer-upper: an example of self-learning in neural networks

Bernard Widrow is a professor of electrical engineering at Stanford University. Before joining the Stanford faculty in 1959, he was with the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts. He is presently engaged in research and teaching of neural networks, pattern recognition, adaptive filtering, and adaptive control systems. He is associate editor of the journals Adaptive Control and Signal Processing, Neural Networks, Information Sciences, and Pattern Recognition and coauthor with S. D. Stearns of Adaptive Signal Processing (Prentice Hall).

(continued)

#### Volume 1293

Dr. Widrow received S.B., S.M., and Sc.D. degrees from MIT in 1951, 1953, and 1956. He is a member of the American Association of University Professors, the Pattern Recognition Society, Sigma Xi, and Tau Beta Pi. He is a fellow of the IEEE and of the American Association for the Advancement of Science. He is the president of the International Neural Network Society. Dr. Widrow received the IEEE Alexander Graham Bell Medal in 1986 for exceptional contributions to the advancement of telecommunications.



**Elliot Soloway** 

Highly interactive computing environments: the next wave

Elliot Soloway is an Associate Professor in the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science at the University of Michigan. He joined the department in September of 1988, after spending seven years in the Computer Science Department at Yale University. Dr. Soloway is a member of the Artificial Intelligence Laboratory at the University.

Dr. Soloway's research interests weave together two broad areas: AI and software engineering, and AI and education. In the former, Dr. Soloway has brought a cognitively oriented perspective to understanding how software professionals carry out their tasks (design, testing, etc.). Drawing on that understanding, Dr. Soloway is developing both the theory and the curriculum materials (and computer-based support systems) to enable students to learn "synthesis skills" — building skills — by way of learning computer programming. Key to both areas, of course, is the role of collaboration in building complex artifacts such as software systems.

In order to gain access to real software projects, Dr. Soloway consults extensively to companies and government agencies such as IBM, DEC, and NASA/JPL. In order to assess the impact of his models of learning, Dr. Soloway teaches courses on software design at the university level as well as in high schools. He also plays an active role in the research community by founding and chairing workshops on empirical studies of programmers and editing the new journal of AI & Education.

#### Volume 1293

# CONTENTS

	Conference Committee
	Part One
SESSION 1A	COMPUTER VISION
1293-01	Object detection using scale space
	V. A. Topkar, B. P. Kjell, A. K. Sood, George Mason Univ
1293-02	Design and evaluation of a multistage object-detection approach
	M. Shirvaikar, M. M. Trivedi, Univ. of Tennessee/Knoxville
1293-03	Non-monotonic model-based system for scene interpretation
-	R. Abramczyk, M. Pai, Roadnet Technologies, Inc
1293-04	Control strategies for error handling in a knowledge-based system for image processing and recognition
• •	D. Agazzani, S. Cerini, S. B. Serpico, G. L. Vernazza, Univ. of Genoa (Italy)
1293-05	Computer vision as a coupled system
	S. M. Bhandarkar, Univ. of Georgia; M. Suk, Syracuse Univ
SESSION 1B	EXPERT SYSTEMS I
1293-06	Expert system for heatpipe bakeout
	A. L. Lee, Lockheed Missiles & Space Co., Inc
1293-07	Expert system diagnosis of CMOS VLSI fabrication problems
	T. E. Sullivan, B. P. Butz, R. J. Schuhl, Temple Univ
1293-08	Expert system that aids reactor-operations personnel in the event of a severe accident
	J. A. Boshers, L. Tsoukalas, Univ. of Tennessee/Knoxville
1293-09	Al techniques and object-oriented technology for VLSI design-space representation, optimization, and management
•	A. Hekmatpour, P. M. Chau, Univ. of California/San Diego
1293-10	Learning diagnostics expert system for plasma arc welding machines
	G. Vaidyanathan, S. Sharma, F. E. Petry, Tulane Univ
SESSION 2A	THREE-DIMENSIONAL VISION
1293-11	Classification of range images
	E. H. Al-Hujazi, Wayne State Univ.; A. K. Sood, George Mason Univ
1293-12	Optical flow and depth from motion on the Connection Machine
	M. Tistarelli, Univ. of Genoa (Italy)
1293-13	Three-dimensional object reconstruction from multiple views of a stereo- based vision system
	R. A. Bachnak, M. Celenk, Ohio Univ
1293-105	Edge-segment-based stereo analysis
	S. B. Marapane, M. M. Trivedi, Univ. of Tennessee/Knoxville
1293-14	Octree object-oriented geometric modeler
	A. Poggi, Univ. of Genoa (Italy); G. Adorni, Univ. of Trento and Univ. of Genoa (Italy)
	Continued

SESSION 2B	KNOWLEDGE ACQUISITION AND REPRESENTATION
1293-15	Flexible scheme for knowledge acquisition in expert-system development
	S. Sundararajan, Hewlett-Packard Co
1293-1 <b>6</b>	Traditional and automated approaches for acquiring expert knowledge
	J. P. Rosenking, Grumman Data Systems Div.; I. C. Hayslip, Ford Aerospace Corp.; J. L. Eilbert, Grumman Corporate Research Ctr
1293-17	Techniques for capturing expert knowledge: an expert systems/hypertext approach
	L. Lafferty, ENSCO, Inc.; A. M. Koller, Jr., NASA/Kennedy Space Ctr.; G. Taylor,
	R. Schumann, R. Evans, ENSCO, Inc.
1293-18	SYCOJET: a tool for building automatically sets of test for knowledge bases
	L. Vignollet, M. Ayel, Univ. of Savoie (France)
SESSION 3	PLENARY SESSION I
1293-19	Use of qualitative simulation in support of model-based reasoning
	B. J. Kuipers, Univ. of Texas/Austin
SESSION 4A	MODEL-BASED VISION
1293-20	
1293-20	Using functional features for 3-D object recognition
1293-21	L. Stark, K. W. Bowyer, Univ. of South Florida
1255-21	Recognition via alignment using aspect models
1293-22	G. C. Stockman, Michigan State Univ.; B. Flinchbaugh, Texas Instruments Inc
12/3 22	N. T. Chu, L. G. Shapiro, Univ. of Washington
1293-23	Parallel vision and planning algorithms for visual surveillance
	L. S. Davis, Univ. of Maryland
SESSION 4B	NEURAL NETWORKS
1293-24	Note on generalized self-organizing network algorithms
	J. C. Bezdek, Univ. of West Florida
1293-25	Note on two clustering algorithms for relational network data
	J. C. Bezdek, Univ. of West Florida; R. J. Hathaway, Georgia Southern College
1293-26	Locally versus globally interlayer-connected feed-forward neural networks:  a performance comparison
	J. D. Provence, S. Naganathan, Southern Methodist Univ
1293-27	Hybrid neural network and rule-based pattern recognition system capable of
	self-modification
	C. W. Glover, M. Silliman, M. Walker, P. Spelt, Oak Ridge National Lab.; N. S. Rao,
1202.121	Old Dominion Univ
1293-104	Target detection in a neural-network environment
	J. F. Gilmore, A. J. Czuchry, Jr., Georgia Tech Research Institute
SESSION 5A	ROBOT PLANNING AND CONTROL
1293-28	Planning optimal precedence-constraint robot assembly sequences problem
	with neural computation
1202.22	C. P. Chen, Wright State Univ
1293-29	Dynamic control of robotic welding: on-going research
	C. C. Ruokangas, Rockwell International Science Ctr

1293-30	Three-dimensional vision for robot manipulator control
	P. J. Shuttleworth, M. Robinson, Nottingham Polytechnic (UK)
1293-31	Expert production automation system using sensors
	D. E. Malone, W. E. Friedrich, Dept. of Scientific and Industrial Research
. 21	(New Zealand)
SESSION 5B	KNOWLEDGE-BASED SYSTEMS
1293-33	Integrated document management system
·	A. R. Dengel, German Research Ctr. for Artificial Intelligence (FRG); N. M. Mattos, B. Mitschang, Univ. of Kaiserslautern (FRG)
1293-34	Knowledge-based system for the evaluation and selection of beam-to-column connections
	K. J. Werkman, M. Barone, D. J. Hillman, J. L. Wilson, Lehigh Univ
1293-35	Modular architecture for an expert system for assistance in biological modeling
	L. Alem, INRIA Sophia-Antipolis (France)
1293-37	Reuse of persistent information between different paradigms: a knowledge-
	based approach W. Benn, G. Schlageter, X. Wu, Univ. of Hagen (FRG)
	W. Benn, G. Schlageter, A. Wu, Univ. of Hagen (FRO).
SESSION 6A	PATH PLANNING
1293-38	Maintaining knowledge for an adaptive path-planning system
12,0 00	R. C. Luo, T. Pan, North Carolina State Univ
1293-39	Free-space representations for robot path planning
12,5.57	K. Hughes, A. Tokuta, Univ. of South Florida
1293-40	Decision analytic approach to the weighted region problem
1293-40	B. G. Mobasseri, Villanova Univ
1293-41	Visual robot guidance in time-varying environment
1293-41	M. Celenk, Ohio Univ.; W. Sun, Northeastern Univ
	M. Celenk, Onio Onivi, w. Sun, Northeastern Oniv.
SESSION 6B	EXPERT SYSTEMS II
1293-43	PLAYMAKER: a knowledge-based approach to characterizing hydrocarbon plays
12,5 (5	G. Biswas, X. Yu. W. I. Hagins, Vanderbilt Univ.; I. S. Strobel, C. G. Kendall,
•	R. L. Cannon, Univ. of South Carolina; J. C. Bezdek, Univ. of West Florida
1293-44	Intelligent nesting system on 2-D highly irregular resources
	J. Chung, D. R. Scott, D. J. Hillman, Lehigh Univ
1293-45	Developing PC-based expert systems: promises fulfilled and lessons learned
1233 13	G. Drapé, L. Lafferty, S. Leonard, ENSCO, Inc
1293-46	Control Laws Expert Assistant for Rotorcraft (CLEAR)
1293-70	R. C. Unterberger, Jr., B. P. Butz, N. F. Palumbo, Temple Univ.; D. G. Miller,
	Boeing Helicopters, Inc
1293-47	Configuration in mechanical engineering
12/3-11	W. Dilger, European Business School (FRG); N. Laudwein, A. Brinkop, Fraunhofer-
	Institut für Informations-und Datenverarbeitung (FRG)
SESSION 7A	IMAGE ANALYSIS
12 <b>93-4</b> 8	Symmetry detection of 2-D figures
	G. Hu, Central Michigan Univ
	(continued)

1293-49	New method for edge detection and localization	
	J. Lee, Y. Wang, Univ. of California/Santa Barbara; P. Liang, Technical Univ. of	
1202 50	Nova Scotia (Canada).	524
1293-50	General methodology for achieving high accuracy in image comparison with application to the analysis of two-dimensional electrophoretic gels	
	M. M. Skolnick, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute.	536
1293-51	Pattern recognition by template polynomials	
	P. Bhattacharya, K. Qian, Univ. of Nebraska/Lincoln.	548
SESSION 7B	NATURAL LANGUAGES	
1293-52	Inferring attribute values from spatial and temporal representations	,
	K. Dutta, W. A. Perkins, Lockheed Artificial Intelligence Ctr.	558
1293-53	Temporal consistency checking of natural language specifications	
	P. D. Anger, R. V. Rodriguez, Univ. of West Florida; F. O. Hadlock, Tennesse	
;} 1202.€4	Technological Univ.	572
1293-54	Subcategorization in register vector grammar	=04
1293-55	G. D. Blank, C. J. Owens, Lehigh Univ	581
1293-35	for the Hubble Space Telescope	
	P. J. Clapis, W. S. Byers, Hughes Danbury Optical Systems	590
	,,,, <u>-</u>	550
SESSION 8	PLENARY SESSION II	•
1293-56	Truck backer-upper: an example of self-learning in neural networks	
÷	D. Nguyen, B. Widrow, Stanford Univ.	596
	Part Two	
SESSION 9A	MANUFACTURING AND ROBOTIC SYSTEMS	
1293-57	Group technology in multichamber and in-situ processing	
	S. Chen, Univ. of North Carolina/Charlotte	604
1293-59	Planning movements of redundant robots using an analogic approach	
	G. Vercelli, P. Morasso, R. Zaccaria, Univ. of Genoa (Italy).	610
1293 <b>-6</b> 0	HAP: a hybrid system for reasoning about actions and plans in robotics	
	A. Camurri, M. Frixione, Univ. of Genoa (Italy); S. Gaglio, Univ. of Palermo (Italy);	
	R. Zaccaria, Univ. of Genoa (Italy)	619
SESSION 9B	MACHINE LEARNING	
1293-61	Approach to elemental task learning	
	P. F. Belmans, Oak Ridge National Lab.	634
1293-62	Syntactic learning by induction from examples and experiments	
	P. T. Reed, R. L. Cannon, Univ. of South Carolina; G. Biswas, Vanderbilt Univ.;	
	J. C. Bezdek, Univ. of West Florida; C. G. Kendall, Univ. of South Carolina.	645
1293-63	Learning to recognize reusable software by induction	
1202 (4	J. C. Esteva, Eastern Michigan Univ.; R. G. Reynolds, Wayne State Univ.	654
1293-64	Comparative review of knowledge engineering and inductive learning using data in a medical domain	
	N. J. Pizzi, D. Jackson, National Research Council of Canada (Canada).	671

SESSION 10A	INTELLIGENT ROBOTIC SYSTEMS
1293-65	Issues in building intelligent grasping systems
	P. K. Allen, Columbia Univ 682
1293-66	Design and implementation of an autonomous spill-cleaning robotic system
	C. Chen, M. M. Trivedi, C. R. Bidlack, Univ. of Tennessee/Knoxville
1293-67	Automated optical surfacing
	R. A. Jones, Litton Itek Optical Systems
1293-69	Micromanipulators for automatic wafer probing
	R. V. Dantu, Concordia Univ. (Canada); N. J. Dimopoulos, Univ. of Victoria (Canada);
	R. V. Patel, A. J. Al-Khalili, Concordia Univ. (Canada)711
SESSION 10B	PLANNING AND SCHEDULING
1293-70	Expert bidder for a pilot's monthly schedule
	K. J. Morris, Delta Air Lines; J. K. Navlakha, Florida International Univ
1293-71	Solving scheduling problem in operations management using a temporal
	constraint reasoning system
	A. C. Meng, M. Sullivan, Texas Instruments Inc
1293-72	Expert systems in engineering applications: growing pains
	M. S. Ahluwalia, Fluor Daniel, Inc
1293-73	Dynamic scheduling of actions in a plan-guided aircraft
	D. Strömberg, G. Pettersson, National Defense Research Establishment (Sweden)
1293-74	Knowledge-based newspaper pagination
	J. Ylä-Jääski, H. Ahonen, Technical Research Ctr. of Finland (Finland)
SESSION 11A	ROBOT VISION AND SENSING
1293-75	Computer vision hardware system for automating rough mills of furniture plants
	R. W. Conners, C. T. Ng, T. H. Drayer, J. G. Tront, D. E. Kline, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State Univ.; C. J. Gatchell, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station
1293-76	Tactile sensory analysis for robotic applications
	H. Gadagkar, M. M. Trivedi, Univ. of Tennessee/Knoxville
1293-77	Autonomous robot using infrared thermal camera to discriminate objects
	in outdoor scene
	C. L. Caillas, Carnegie Mellon Univ
1293-78	Fusion of gross satellite sensing and laser measurements by skyline map
	matching for autonomous unmanned vehicle navigation
•	C. N. Shen, L. Page, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
SESSION 11B	AI IN AEROSPACE SYSTEMS
1293-80	Oral task-oriented dialogue for air-traffic controller training
	A. Matrouf, J. Gauvain, F. Neel, J. J. Mariani, LIMSI/CNRS (France)
1293-81	Experimental optimization of the neuronlike network applied for the processing of moving images
	R. R. Gawronski, M. L. Littlefield, Univ. of West Florida
1293-83	Expert system for performance analysis
	R. N. Crane, R. F. Hill, Interstate Electronics Corp.; E. P. Andert, Jr., Conceptual
•	Software Systems, Inc
1293-84	SHARP: automated monitoring of spacecraft health and status
	D. J. Atkinson, M. L. James, R. G. Martin, Jet Propulsion Lab
	(continued)

SESSION 12A	AI: ARCHITECTURES AND ALGORITHMS
1293-85	Parallelism in backward-chained expert systems: experimental results
	L. O. Hall, Univ. of South Florida
1293-86	KIM200: a tagged-RISC architecture for gallium arsenide implementation
	J. Heudin, C. Metivier, SODIMA SA (France)
1293-87	Solution of integer programs for power electronics
	R. D. Horn, J. D. Birdwell, Univ. of Tennessee/Knoxville
1293-88	Identification of nuclear power plant states using the polynomial discriminant method
	I. E. Alguíndigue, Univ. of Tennessee/Knoxville; R. E. Uhrig, Univ. of Tennessee/ Knoxville and Oak Ridge National Lab
SESSION 12B	EXPERT SYSTEMS III
1293-91	Expert system for geologic mapping with imaging spectrometers
1275-71	F. A. Kruse, O. Seznec, P. M. Krotkov, Univ. of Colorado/Boulder
1293-92	Expert system to aid the oceanographic image analyst
1233-32	M. Lybanon, R. S. Romalewski, Naval Oceanographic and Atmospheric Research Lab
1293-93	Expert system to aid in UV lidar fluorescence interpretation
	Y. P. Yee, R. Gonzalez, U.S. Army Atmospheric Sciences Lab.; R. B. Loveland,
	New Mexico State Univ
SESSION 13	PLENARY SESSION III
1293-94	Highly interactive computing environments: the next wave
	E. Soloway, Univ. of Michigan
SESSION 14A	SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS
1293-95	Chronological equipment diagnosis using evidence integration
	N. H. Chang, C. J. Spanos, Univ. of California/Berkeley
1293-96	Application of machine learning techniques to semiconductor manufacturing
	K. B. Irani, J. Cheng, U. M. Fayyad, Z. Qian, Univ. of Michigan
1293-97	Diagnosing furnace problems with an expert system
1202.00	E. Dash, Harris Semiconductor
1293-98	QUASI: a qualitative analysis of surface and interface program for
	microelectronic materials processing  S. Chen, Univ. of North Carolina/Charlotte
1293-99	
1273-77	Yield enhancement through identifying design sensitivities  B. B. Chu, Univ. of North Carolina/Charlotte; M. D. Kellam, Microelectronics Ctr. of
	North Carolina
	70T
SESSION 14B	DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEMS
1293-100	Multiple fault diagnosis using multiple context spaces
	G. Lee, G. Biswas, Vanderbilt Univ
1293-101	The Diagnosis Kernel
	S. Fleischmann, Forschungszentrum für Informatik (FRG); U. Rembold, Technische
	Expertensysteme und Robotik (FRG)
1293-102	Object-oriented fault-tree models applied to system diagnosis
	D. L. Iverson, F. A. Patterson-Hine, NASA/Ames Research Ctr

1293-103	Hybrid expert system: neural-network methodology for nuclear plant monitoring and diagnostics
	L. Tsoukalas, J. Reyes-Jimenez, Univ. of Tennessee/Knoxville
	ADDITIONAL PAPERS
1293-36	Minimal models and real-world models
	V. Sridhar, M. Narasimha Murty, G. Krishna, Indian Institute of Science (India)
1293-42	Robot path planning algorithm using fuzzy goal programming
	S. U. Mohandas, A. M. Mogre; R. W. McLaren, Univ. of Missouri/Columbia
1293-82	Automated decision making in advanced airborne early warning systems: analysis, design, and simulation
	C. Y. Huang, M. D. Lodaya, Grumman Corp
	Author Index

Volume 1293

### **SESSION 1A**

# Computer Vision

Chair
Richard W. Conners
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

#### Object detection using scale-space

V. Topkar, B. Kjell and A. Sood

George Mason University Fairfax, VA 22030

#### **ABSTRACT**

Scale-space representation is a topic of active research in computer vision. Most of the work so far has concentrated on image reconstruction from the scale-space representation. In this paper we discuss the use of scale-space representation for object detection. We have proposed a model based approach and developed an algorithm to implement it. Channel integration is the heart of the algorithm and there are a number of unresolved issues in it. Object detection is possible only if the objects of interest are different from the noise and clutter in certain features. We have used two different images, one with good signal to noise ratio and the other with poor signal to noise ratio In the first image the distinguishing feature of the object is its signal strength and in the second image it is its size. Accordingly we have studied two approaches to the channel integration: (i) based on the contrast value and (ii) based on edge focusing and splitting. The results of both approaches are presented and discussed.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Object detection refers to the process of separating the objects (image contents of interest) in an image from the background (image contents of no interest). For example, in an aerial image of a town, one may be interested in finding houses. Hence the house becomes an object and the rest becomes the background. If one is interested in locating trees then the tree becomes an object and the rest (including the houses) becomes the background. Thus what is an object and what is background is a matter of definition dependent upon the application. However, to successfully separate the objects from the background, there must be some distinguishing features between the two. An object detection system typically extracts these distinguishing features as a first step. However features can not be extracted directly from the gray level image. Rather the image is first reduced by using techniques such as edge detection. Edge detection is important because the success of extracting features depends directly or indirectly on the performance of an edge detector.

Gradient based edge detectors are common. Typically the gradient of an image is computed at all pixels, and pixels having high values (above some threshold) of gradient are classified as edges. All real images are corrupted by noise and clutter. Noise is generally characterized as additive white Gaussian. Clutter is caused by the background elements and can be characterized as colored noise. In this paper we use the term noise to mean both the noise and clutter. Since the input noise is increased by differentiation, the image is first smoothed before computing the gradient. The Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG) operator is one such example in which the image is smoothed by a Gaussian filter and the peaks in the gradients are detected by detecting the zero crossings (z.c.s) of the second derivative of the image. The standard deviation of the Gaussian ( $\sigma$ ) is called the scale of this operator which is an important parameter because it controls the amount of smoothing and hence the bandwidth of the operator. There are two major problems in using this operator: (i) If the scale of the operator is too large, neighboring edges interfere with each other causing delocalization,(ii) If the scale is too small, the noise is not filtered sufficiently giving rise to a large number of false alarms. Hence, selecting a proper scale for a given image is crucial for good performance. This, however, is almost impossible in practice because of insufficient a priori knowledge and because the proper scale value may be different for different parts of the same image.

The multiscale or the scale-space approach tries to overcome this drawback by using LoG filters of more than one scale and combining their outputs. This approach was proposed by Marr and Hildreth and has been of considerable interest since then. The basic idea behind the scale-space approach can be summarized as follows: The image is passed through a bank of LoG filters (Fig. 2) each tuned to a different scale. The output of each filter is a set of zero crossing (z.c.) locations which are then plotted as a function of the scale value. This representation is called the scale-space representation and contains (for sufficiently large number of scale values) all the information about the input images.

The scale-space representation is then analyzed to detect edges or other features of interest.