F. L. Bauer J. B. Dennis G. Goos C. C. Gotlieb R. M. Graham M. Griffiths H. J. Helms B. Morton P. C. Poole D. Tsichritzis W. M. Waite

Software Engineering

An Advanced Course

Edited by F.L. Bauer

7966465

F. L. Bauer J. B. Dennis G. Goos C. C. Gotlieb
R. M. Graham M. Griffiths H. J. Helms B. Morton G. Jord
P. C. Poole D. Tsichritzis W. M. Waite Mainchen
TU München

Arcisstraße 21 8000 Minchen 2/BRD

Software Engineering

Originally published in the series Lecture Notes in Computer Science Vol. 30 Singer-Verlag Berlin Haldelberg New York

An Advanced Course

Reprint of the First Edition 1975

Edited by F.L. Bauer



E7966465

AMS Subject Classifications (1970): 68A05 CR Rubject Classifications (1974): 4.

(SEN 0-387-08364-2 Springer-Verlag Nov-ISBN (1-540-02364-2 Springer-Verlag Berlin



This work is subject to depyright. All rights are reserved, whicher the whill be or part in a tensifies concerned, specifically those of translation, reportudity requese of tillistrat bread-eather my reduction by photocopying machine or similar means, and storag data banks.

Under 5.5% of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other train principles, a fee is payable to the publisher, the amount of the fact to be defermined by agricultable to our high the quicklets.

Springer-Verlag

Springer-Verlag

Signated in Germany

Signated in Germany

Signated and binding: Beltz Offsetdrock, Heminitrad Bradellog Bradellog Beltz Offsetdrock, Heminitrad Bradellog Bradellog Beltz Offsetdrock, Heminitrad Bradellog Bradello

Editor delice 0.00 alone 0.81 alone 0.81.

Prof. Dr.F. L. Bauer and H. C. H. addition M. M. Mathematisches Institution M. W. alone 0.90 9.

TU München

Arcisstraße 21

8000 München 2/BRD

Originally published in the series
Lecture Notes in Computer Science Vol. 30
Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York
First Edition 1973
Reprint of the First Edition 1975

Edited by F.L. Bauer

AMS Subject Classifications (1970): 68 A 05 CR Subject Classifications (1974): 4.

ISBN 0-387-08364-2 Springer-Verlag New York · Heidelberg · Berlin ISBN 0-540-08364-2 Springer-Verlag Berlin · Heidelberg · New York

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically those of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, broadcasting, reproduction by photocopying machine or similar means, and storage in data banks.

Under § 54 of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other than private use, a fee is payable to the publisher, the amount of the fee to be determined by agreement with the publisher.

© by Springer-Verlag Berlin · Heidelberg 1977 Printed in Germany Printing and binding: Beltz Offsetdruck, Hemsbach/Bergstr. 2145/3140-543210

7966465

Contents

PREFACE F.L. Bauer 2 CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION K.W. Morton WHAT THE SOFTWARE ENGINEER FOR THE COMPUTER USER 4 1. Introduction 4 2. Program Duplication 5 3. User Images 8 4. Application Program Suites 10 5. Conclusion 11 6. References 11 Dennis THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF SOFTWARE SYSTEMS 12 1. Introduction 12 2. Terminology 13 2.1. Computer Systems 13 2.2. Software Systems 15 2.3. Hierarchy 15 2.4. System and Application Software 17 3. Description of Software Systems 19 4. Function, Correctness, Performance 19 and Reliability 4.1. Function 20 4.2. Correctness 22 4.3. Performance 23 4.4. Reliability 24 5. Software Projects 25 6. Acknowledgement 27 7. References

27

CHAPTER 2: DESCRIPTIONAL TOOLS

	G.	Goos H	IERARCHIES	10A - 3 29
		1 4 84 0	. Introduction reuse	
		1	. Hierarchical Ordering as a Design Strategy	29
		1.1	. Levels of Abstraction	CHAPTER 36
		0.0 4 2.2	. The Order of Design Decisions	38
			. Hierarchical Ordering and Languages	41
			. Abstract Machines and the Production Process	41
		2.2	. Hierarchies of Languages	42
		3 3 3 1 1 3	. Protection by Hierarchical Ordering	44
		4	. References Balanca B	46
			6. References	
	G.		ANGUAGE CHARACTERISTICS rogramming Languages as a Tool in riting System Software	47
		0	. Introduction	47
		1	. The Influence of Language Properties on Software Creation	47
		1.1	. Language Constructs as Models for Program Behavior	48
		1.2	. Influence on Programming Style and Program Documentation	49
		1.3	. Machine Independence and Portability	, 51
		-1.4	. Portability Versus Efficiency	52
		1.5	. Limitations of Programming Languages	53
		2	 Requirements for Structured Programs and Program Modularity 	ning 54
		2.1	. Modularity	54
ŝ		2.2	. Hierarchies, Nesting and Scope Rules	56
		2.3	. Concurrent Processes	58
		3	. Data Structures in System Programmin	ng 59
		3.1	. Simple Values	61
			. Records	62
		3.3	. Storage-Allocation for Records	64
		4	. System-Dependent Language Features and Portability	66
		5	. Some open Problems	67
		6	. References	69

		[존영] 이렇다 기타 이고 [[로까게 다이 마음이	4. 0.1
M. Griffiths	L O S U	W LEVEL LANGUAGES MMARY OF A DISCUSSION SESSION	7 (
	1.	Introduction	7 (
	2.	Justification	7 (
	3.	Features	7 1
	4.	Machine Dependence	72
	5.	Efficiency	73
	6.	Style and Education	73
	7.	Conclusion	7.4
	8.	Acknowledgement	7.4
	9.	References	74
M. Griffiths	RE	LATIONSHIP BETWEEN DEFINITION D IMPLEMENTATION OF A LANGUAGE	76
	1.	Introduction	77
	1.1.	Requirements of Different People	77
		Design of Language for good Program	
4		Design for Testing	82
	2.	Language Definition	83
	2.1.	Syntax	83
	2.2.	Static Semantics	85
	2.3.	Dynamic Semantics	85
	2.4.	Example taken from ALGOL 60	85
		Syntax	86
	2.4.2.	Static Semantics	88
	2.4.3.	Dynamic Semantics	92
	2.4.4.	Comments on the Example	95
	3.	From Definition to Implementation	96
		Semantic Functions	96
	3.2.	Implementation Languages	98
	3.3.	Execution Model	98
	3.4.	Final Comments on Implementation	99
		A Look at some Definitions	100
45	4.1.	ALGOL 68	100
		Vienna Definitions	102
		Extensible Languages	105
		Conclusion	106
	6.	Acknowledgements	107
		References	108
- 1		First Transference (Fi	200

J.B. Dennis	CONCURRENCY IN SOFTWARE SYSTEMS	111
	1. Introduction 3V3 WO sastified	111
SES 310W 7p	2. Petri Nets 10 TARMITO	112 115
e ?	3. Systems 1. Determinacy	119
	5. Interconnected Systems 6. Interprocess Communication	121 125
7.1	7. References	127
CHAPTER 3: TECHNIQUES	4. Machine Dependence .	128
J.B. Dennis	6 Efficiency YTINAJUOM	128
/ A	1. Introduction Concepts	128
1.	1. Definition of Modularity	129
	2. Modularity in Fortran	131
1	.3. Modularity in ALGOL 60	134
ANIFION LANGUAGE 76	40 Substitution NOITALER . Seffither that the Substitution NOI AND IMPLEMENTATION OF A	136
1	.5. References	137
	2. Data Structures in Modular Programming	139
2	.1. Address Space and Modularity	139
2	.2. Representation of Program Modules	140
2	.3. Linguistic Levels for Modular Programming	144
£8 2 3	.1. PL/I 2014M12 . 5 3	145
	.2. ABGOD 68 38 Sistric	146
	.3. EISPaam & eimanyl .5.2	147
	4. "Discussion as grant	149
83 . 2	.4. References was my and a large of the lar	149
ξ β	3. Modularity in Multics	151
≤ ≘	.1. The Model sample	151
∂ Q 3.91	.1. The File System	151
) @	.2. Processes and Address Spaces	152
3.1	.3. Making a Segment known to a Process	154
3.1	.4. Dynamic Linking	157
8.1	.5. Search Rules and the Working Directory	160
5E หตรุรธราชานา 3	.2. Accomplishments	161
201	.3. Unresolved Issues	162
3.3	.1. Treatment of Reference Names	162
3c1 · 3	.4. References Strain S.A.	165
105	4. A Base Linguistic Level for Modular	166
201	Programming	166
	.1. Objects .2. Structure of a Base Language Interprete	
	.3. State Transitions of the Interpreter	170
	.4. Representation of Modular Programs	177
	1.5. Use of the Model	180
.	5. References	182

P.C.	Poole		in the second se	
W.M.	Waite	. P.O	RTABILITY AND ADAPTABILITY	183
717		1.	Introduction	184
		1.1.	The Basic Principles	185
		1.2.	What we can expect to achieve	185
048 - 1		2.	Portability Through High Level Language Coding	187
111		2.1.	The Need for Extensions	187
× (2		2.2.	Extension by Embedding	188
578 . 128		3.	Portability through Abstract Machine Modelling	192
		3.1.	Background	193
3.2		3.2.	Relating the Model to Existing Computer	s 196
3.4			Relating the Model to the Problem	203
· · · ·			Realization of Abstract Machine Models	205
			Translator Characteristics	205
		4.2.	Obtaining the Translator	209
		-	A Case Study of some early Abstract Machines	211
		5.1.	Machine and Language Design	211
			Porting and Adapting	222
			Review and Evaluation	233
			Low Level Languages for Abstract Machin	
		6.1.	The Basic Hardware Model	234
3 8 8			A Framework for Low Level Languages	239
		6.3.	An Example of a Low Level Language	250
		7.	A Hierarchy of Abstract Machines	262
			Need for the Hierarchy	262
		7.2.	A Standard Base for the Hierarchy	267
		7.3.	A Case Study	272
16.2			References	275
P.C.	Poole	DEB	UGGING AND TESTING	278
Hespital III			Introduction	278
1.0		2.	Planning for the Testing and	
č'.			Debugging Phases	281
			Documentation	282
	3.6		Debugging Code	284
			Generation of Debugging Code	287
			Modularity	289
			Parameterisation	292
8.			Testing and Debugging Techniques	294
	Nei-	3.1.	Classical Debugging Techniques	295

VIII

	3.2. Online Debugging	. 2.	301
181	PORTANTA AND ADAPTABLETY		310
184	The advance of the second		317
185	4. References selqioning olsas ent		
80.	Tsichritzisaina RELIABILITY on toll 8.1		319
187	Software specification of Reliable		319
187	1.1. Introduction was and		319
188	1.2. Influence of the Language		320
	anshop was 1.3. Asemantic Checking		322
261	1.4. Programming Style	×	323
193	1.5. Influence of Protection		325
0.0	astra of setting 1.6. Program Correctness 5.8		325
203	3.3. Relational Problem		326
	siebom onideal. 6.2. Formal Proofscies .		327
do a	1.7. Design for Reliability		328
249	1.8. Reliability during the Life Cycle		329
211	transit inan e-of the Software A 3		
115	1.9. Summary and Conclusions 2. Protection		330
222	2. Protection 2.1. Introduction		332 332
-233	2.1. Introduction 2.2. Domains and Objects		333
9	2.2. Domains and Objects 2.3. Protection Walls and Monitors		335
234	2.4. Identity Cards and Capabilities		336
239	2.4. Identity tards and tapabilities		338
	2.6. Describing the Protection Status		340
262	so who is a proof of a figure of A A control of the second		
	2.7. Implementation		342
7.8	Abrasa 2.8. A Capability Based File System		344
2.5	2.8.1. Introduction and A. A. A.		344
518	2.8.2. Capability Format		345
278	2.8.3. Packing Capabilities 91009	'n,	346
7.8	2.8.4. Kernel System Facilities		348
	2.8.5. Passing Capabilities		349
181	2.8.6. Outline of the File System		351
282	2.8.7. Facilities of the File System		351
284	2.8.8. Organization of the File System		354
37	3. Security		357
289	3.1. Introduction SuboM . 4.8		357
252	3.2. Information System Approach		359
794	3.2.1. Integrity of Personnel		359
562	3.2.2. Authentication of Users Identity		360

401	3.2.3. Protection of Data Off Line and in Transmission	36 o
		361
LOP	3.3. Data Depend nce and Data Transformations	
468		362
403		
403		363
504		363
50 V		364
101		371
		374
D.	Tsichritzis PROJECT MANAGEMENT	374
	3. Use of models in Feriormicsion Prediction 1. Introduction 3.1. Problems in Lend Modele	374
422		376
125	Salah Agasi satasas. Project Phase bank .	378
4		378
1.50	3.2. Survey Phase 10 talk 12	379
438	The second of th	381
443		382
444		383
G.	4.5. An Example Sigulation Code	
a.	S. Integrated reriormance fredoction	385 385
		386
456		387
5.57		38 9
		390
00+	J SQ WALLS I CAL	391
461	5.4. Ands to Propert Management	391
462	2.2. Crossreferencing between Documentation	391
- 61	.c. collises PERFERMANC margory	392
454		393
R.	M. Graham PERFORMANCE PREDICTION	395
467	1. Performance: Definition, Measurement and Limitations	396
074	The set of	396
4,7.1	and the second of the second	397
. 754	1.2.1. Performance as a Function of Input	397
6. 4	1 0 0 War I should read house the	398
474	1.2.3. Steady State, Transient, and overload	400
	6.4. Analysis of Gatput of Ferducine Maniscre	

.s. 3. Frotention of End Off . See .we

	1.3.	Limitations of Performance	401
	1.3.1.	Inherent Limitations	401
	1.3.2.	Economic Limitations	402
	1.4.	Summary	403
535	2.	System Modeling	403
8.11	2.1.	Types of Models	404
369	2.1.1.	Analytical Models	405
15	2.1.2.	Directed Graph Models	407
	2.1.3.	Simulation Models 19342 garages as a second	412
	2.2.	Problems in Modeling	416
	3.	Use of models in Performance Prediction	418
	3.1.	Problems in using Models	418
	3.2.	Prediction using an Analytical Model	422
0 2	3.3.	Prediction using a Directed Graph Model	427
	4.	Simulation	437
	4.1.	Major Methods	437
	4.2.	Specification of Job Properties	439
	4.3.	Data Collection	443
	4.4.	Simulation Languages	444
	4.5.	An Example Simulation Model	452
	5.	Integrated Performance Prediction, Design, and Implementation	455
385 383	5.1.	The Problems with Non-Integrated Prediction	456
62:	5.2.	Single Language Approach	457
	5.3.	Interaction with the Designer- Implementer	460
	5.4.	Aids to Project Management	461
	6.	References	462
C.C.	Gotlieb PE	RFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	464
3.83		Introduction	464
	,	Figures of Merit	464
		Kernels, Benchmarks and Synthetic Programs	467
37.1	4.	Data Collection and Analysis	470
		Hardware Monitors	471
		One Computer Monitoring Another	472
		Monitor Logic	472
200		Examples of Currently Available Hardware Monitors	
301	5.4.	Analysis of Output of Hardware Monitors	

	6.	Software Monitors	478
	6.1.	Monitoring form Job-Accounting Data	478
	6.2.	Packaged Software Monitors	480
	6.3.	Special Monitor and Trace Programs	481
	6.4.	Estimating Monitor Statistics from the Observations	486
	7.	References	488
C.C. Gotlieb	PR	ICING MECHANISMS	492
	1.	The Rationale of Pricing	492
	2.	Determining Factors	493
	3.	Costs	493
	4.	The Factory Model	495
	5.	Pricing a Service	495
	6.	Software Requirements	497
	7.	Examples for Pricing Mechanisms	498
8.4	7.1.	Rate Schedule for the University of Toronto, 1 Jan 1972	498
	7.2.	Disk Pack Rental (Off-Line)	500
	7.3.	Disk Pack Storage	500
6 A 31	7.4.	Disk to Tape Backup	500
1 - 0	7.5.	Tape Rental	500
	7.6.	Tape Storage	500
	7.7.	Tape Cleaning and Testing	500
¥ g	7.8.	Negotiated Contract Services	500
	7.9.	Calcomp Plotting	501
	7.10.	Card Processing	501
	8.	References	502
H.J. Helms	EVA	LUATION IN THE COMPUTING CENTER ENVIRON	MENT
	1.	Introduction	503
	2.	The User and his Needs	505
	3.	Software and the Computing Center	510
	4.	Installation and Maintenance of a Piece of Software	517
	5.	Conclusion	52o
	6.	References	521
APPENDIX			
F.L. Bauer	SOF	TWARE ENGINEERING	522
	1.	What is it?	523
	1.1.	The Common Complaint	523

478	1.2. The ArimoM enactions.	524
478	1.3. The Paradox of Non-Hardware Engineering	52 4
480	1.4. The Role of Education	525
181	2. Software Design and Production is an	
	Industrial Engineering Field	528
de F	2.1. Large Projects	528
884	2.2. Division into Managable Parts	52 9
185	2.3. Division into Distinct Stages of Development	530
164	2.4. Computerized Surveillance	531
.07	2.5. Management	532
0.72	3. The Role of Structured Programming	532
495	3.1. A Hierarchy of Conceptual Layers	532
	3.2. Communication between Layers	534
565	3.3. Software Engineering Aspects	537
	3.4. Flexibility: Portability and Adaptability	538
493	3.5. Some existing Examples	539
500	3.6. The Trade-Offs	541
003	4. Concluding Remarks	541
00ã	Acknowledgements	543
	References again.	543
00%	7. S Taps Storage	343
000	7.7. Pape Gienning and Lesting	
.05	7.8. Negotiated Contrast Services	
198	1.5. Callery Plotters	
	7 10. Said Processing	
308	8. Feferences	
DWENT	3. Helps EVALUATION IN THE CUMPUTING CHRIER ENVIRON	
503	1. Introduction	
603	2. The User and his Needs	
510	s. Software and the Computing lenter	
- 2 - 5	4. Installation and Maintena is of a	
517	sance) of to see sid	
520	a. Conclusion	
183	6. Esferences	
		M3de
45.8	L. Baver SOFTWARE ENGINEERING	7

. Wat is it?

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

it is not necessary to start with a definition of SeagueOebecahana. the present book, a consolidated effort of a group of expert, care-: ily prepired (nazaM_w.appindmaD) har aringed as Uh. Dec. 71/13h. 72. xdo presented at a EEC spor(904pg/fgsAy)se in Sel20004Drch 72. illustrates the C.C.Gotlieb (Toronto) R.M.Graham (Berkeley, Cal.) has been used in a PM. Griffiths 975 (Grenoble) wend , 8881 bas for al provocative way, in ornegenhadesonstratemrinethet. Home ning was wrong in software. The citua-B. Morton as breading to England be gridains and tion has considerable of the december the food of consideration concern about the problems of so (อังกิจิจา) รู้เราที่ที่รู้เราที่ใช้ เลา เกียร์ the manufacture ers, to which the (poroscate brunds mainey admensed, claim that they are dy obey the principles of software engineering, whatever this may mear. Soon 'software engighting will will advertigate advertigation and a second a second and a second a second and a second a second and a second a But although the problems are indeed much better understood, the material is still not concentrated and systematized. The repuring of the NATE Science Committee sponsored conferences of Garmisch and Rome are The Advanced Course took place February 219 4 March 3, 1972, 12192 5 organized by the Mathematical institute of the Technical some enimases University of Munich and the Leibniz Computing Center of the last danks the Bavarian Academy of Sciences and to paranel in cooperation with the European Communities, sponsored by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Federal Republic of Germany. is essential to point out where the ideas of software ergineering should intipence Comp. or Science and should ponetrate in its curricula-Thus we will try to find out as much as possible whether a tepic of

in this respect, my major concern was that foday one still finds it extraorily difficult, is many people to ditume, to digest the material at hand so that it sould be a ed in a course. Therefore, we envisaged tourstation of the lecture notes despite their somewhat tentative man

software engineering is something you can rention as a kind of a theme

to your students in an academic environment.

PREFACE

It is not necessary to start with a definition of Software Engineering: the present book, a consolidated effort of a group of experts, carefully prepared in a two-week seminar in Garmisch, Dec. 71/Jan. 72, and presented at a EEC sponsored course in Febr.-March 72, illustrates the use of the term.

In 1967 and 1968, the word 'Software Engineering' has been used in a provocative way, in order to demonstrate that something was wrong in the existing design, production and servicing of software. The situation has considerably changed since then; many people show concern about the problems of software engineering and some of the manufacturers, to which the provocation was mainly addressed, claim that they already obey the principles of software engineering, whatever this may mean. Soon 'software engineering' will turn up in the advertisements. But although the problems are indeed much better understood, the material is still not concentrated and systematized. The reports of the NATO Science Committee sponsored conferences of Garmisch and Rome are a useful collection of material, but not much more. In order to have teaching material available, more has to be done. This book brings a first step in this direction.

Our intention in the planning of this course was to cover as much as we can at the moment of all the aspects of the theme, and to contribute further to the systematization of the field. We do not actually debate whether there is a need for software engineering. Instead, we think it is essential to point out where the ideas of software engineering should influence Computer Science and should penetrate in its curricula. Thus we will try to find out as much as possible whether a topic of software engineering is something you can mention as a kind of a theme to your students in an academic environment.

In this respect, my major concern was that today one still finds it extremely difficult, as many people told to me, to digest the material at hand so that it could be used in a course. Therefore, we envisaged publication of the lecture notes despite their somewhat tentative nature.

In selecting the participants we took some effort to assure that whatever they may learn here is spread out, in particular is propagated in

It is not quite accidental that efforts on 'Software Engineering' have been carried on to a large extent outside the United States. The poverty of the computer situation in Europe, at least on the continent, which is in sharp contrast to the affluent US computer community, leads to the demand for the most economical solution. But the roots of the software misery go deeper. It comes from the fact that people are forced to live with machines that they do not want. They have not constructed them, they simply receive them and have to make the best out of it. Sometimes, with the chance of buying a new machine, there is some hope that the situation will improve, but for simple market consideration, the manufacturer does everything he can do to make the customer stay with the product, and this usually ends all hopes for improvements. Thus, software engineering, for the time being, is partly a defense stratagem. But I hope that some day this situation will turn around. I hope one day software engineering considerations will dictate how machines are to be built and then to be used. Thus, what we have to work for is also preparing the ground for our future life. On the other hand, failure in mastering the software crisis may lead to strangulation of scientific users that depend on the computer today, in particular in 'Big Science', and may thus do harm also to science and economy in a rich nation.

While commuter science has flourished in the 196%, with the estar-In the preparation of the Advanced Course, I enjoyed the advice and help of colleagues and friends. I owe thanks to the co-director, Prof. L. Bolliet, and to the lecturers for their encouraging support. In particular, I am obliged to the German representative in the subgroup for education in informatics of group PREST of the EEC. Dr. R. Gnatz, for his help; in this connection the moral support from Mr.J.Desfosses (EEC) and the financial support from the Ministry of Education and Science of the Federal Republic of Germany should be gratefully acknowledged. The Conference Staff will forgive me for not mentioning all of them, my thanks to them go by the name of Mn. Hans Kuss of the Mathematics Institute of the Technical University Munich, who also was the responsible redactor of this publication. It is so for the sum enterior resident

tibe sparing, but when we fit down at a compart to write an illnoi

it is software engine wind which docemping they was it is to Friedrich L.Bauer

WHAT THE SOFTWARE ENGINEER CAN DO FOR THE COMPUTER USER

Prof. Dr. K. W. Morton
Culham Laboratory, Abingdon, Berkshire
Great Britain

ner from the fact that beard and

1. INTRODUCTION

There can be little doubt that there is at present an air of disillusion in the computer community. Computers are not living up to their potential and, in particular, the promises of the so-called third generation systems have been largely unfulfilled. As a result users have become more conservative and critical and are less ready to invest in new equipment. The reason does not lie with the computer hardware which continues to show a remarkable capacity to advance by orders of magnitude. But how often do we find software becoming ten times more reliable, ten times cheaper, ten times more efficient? It is more likely that it is ten times more complex both to maintain and to use and these more desirable qualities have been sacrificed as the sophistication of concept has outstripped the capacity for practical implementation. In short, software in general shows all the signs of poor and inadequate engineering.

While computer science has flourished in the 1960's with the establishment of journals, degree courses in universities, etc., the software engineering aspects of the subject have struggled for support, what techniques exist have been poorly disseminated and there is very little software in the hands of users which has been built on the best available engineering principles. In fact, many people are still arguing about what is software engineering and how is it related to computer science. As a mathematician, I am struck by the similarity of both the controversy and the actual relationship with that existing between mathematics in general and applied mathematics: in my view, it is not the subject matter itself that forms the important distinction but rather the use made of it and the attitude adopted toward it. Computer science gave us Algol 60: it also gave us the prospect of time sharing. But when we sit down at a console to write an Algol program, it is software engineering which determines how easy it is to