# WASTEWATER RESOURCE MANUAL



Edward Norum Editor

# WASTEWATER \*\* RESOURÇE MANUAL



Edward Norum Editor

Published By
Sprinkler Irrigation Association
13975 Connecticut Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20906
Telephone: 301/871-8188

© 1975 by Sprinkler Irrigation Association
Library of Congress Catalog Number: 75-7586

lst Printing: April, 1975 2nd Printing: September, 1975



### EDITORIAL CONTRIBUTORS TO THE WASTEWATER RESOURCE MANUAL

### Original Material

- Anderson, Walter D., Executive Director, Sprinkler Irrigation Association, Silver Spring, Maryland
- Beck, William, Project Engineer, Irrigation Design Associates, Royal Oak, Michigan
- Beville, Bishop C., P.E., Sanitary Engineer, USDA-SCS, Gainesville, Florida
- Boke, Richard L., Marketing Consultant, Richmond, Virginia
- Bryan, Frank L., Foodborne Disease Activity, Health Agencies Branch, Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia
- DuByne, Frank T., Manager, Services and Utilities, Campbell Soup Company, Napoleon, Ohio
- Harrison, Dalton S., P.E., Agricultural Engineer, Department of Agricultural Engineering, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida
- Holmgren, George, Soil Scientist, Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Lincoln, Nebraska
- Knezek, Bernard D., Associate Professor, Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan
- Lane, Lawrence, Owner, Lane Engineering Specialties, Lafayette, Indiana
- Norum, Edward, Chief Product Engineer, Irrigation, Lockwood Corporation, Gering, Nebraska
- Peterson, Mark, Extension Agricultural Engineer, Agricultural Engineering Department, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri
- Sanks, R. L., Professor, Department of Civil Engineering and Engineering Mechanics, College of Engineering, Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana
- Schmid. Roger W., C.P.G., Ground-Water Consultant, Bismarck, North Dakota
- Smith, J. H., Soil Scientist, Snake River Conservation Research Center, Kimberly, Idaho
- Sorber, Charles.A., U. S. Army Medical Bioengineering Research & Development Laboratory, Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland
- Spiegel, Zane, Consultant, Environmental Science and Engineering, Santa Fe, New Mexico
- Stevens, R. Michael, Center for the Study of Federalism, Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
- Wallace, Alfred W., P.E., Department of Civil Engineering, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho
  SIA/WRM

### Reprinted Material

- Cox, William E., Research Associate, Virginia Water Resources Research Center, Virginia Polytechnic Institute, Blacksburg, Virginia
- Crites, Ronald W., Metcalf and Eddy, Inc., Palo Alto, California
- Dean, R. B., Chief, Ultimate Disposal Research Program, AWTRL, National Environmental Research Center, EPA, Cincinnati, Ohio
- Dotson, G. K., Research Soil Scientist, Ultimate Disposal Research Program, Advanced Waste Treatment Research Laboratory, National Environmental Research Center, EPA, Cincinnati, Ohio
- Dunbar, John O., Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana
- Graham, David B., Graham Farms
- Hinsley, T. D., Scientific Advisor, Civil Functions, Office of the Undersecretary of the Army, Washington, D. C. and Professor, University of Illinois, Champaign, Illinois
- Kardos, Louis T., Environmental Scientist, Institute for Research on Land and Water Resources, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania
- Johnson, Gordon V., Assistant Professor, Department of Soils, Water and Engineering, Arizona State University, Tucson, Arizona
- Levi, Donald R., University of Columbia, Missouri
- Overcash, Michael, Assistant Professor, Biological and Agricultural Engineering Department, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina
- Phillips, Roger, Chick Sales, Inc., Siler City, North Carolina
- Pound, Charles E. Pound, Metcalf and Eddy, Inc., Palo Alto, California
- Smith, J. E., Sanitary Engineer, Ultimate Disposal Research Program, AWTRL, National Environmental Research Center, EPA, Cincinnati, Ohio
- Sopper, William E., Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania
- Walker, William R., Director, Virginia Water Resources Research Center, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia

# INTRODUCTION

# SPRINKLER IRRIGATION ASSOCIATION

13975 Connecticut Avenue, Suite 310 • Silver Spring, Maryland 20906 • Telephone (301) 871-8188



An effort has been made in the development of the Wastewater Resource Manual to provide a concise body of technical information of special value to designers of systems for the spraying of wastewaters and sludges. The outline was structured to cover both the salient legal and technical areas of concern. Since the strength of the Sprinkler Irrigation Association lies in the breadth of actual project experience represented by the membership, the bulk of the material presented reflects this experience. General project design data is presented and a number of actual projects are described. In addition, the Appendix contains a wealth of technical reference material.

The looseleaf format of the Manual is appropriate since it reflects the dynamic nature of the subject matter. The Manual will periodically be expanded with new technical information, descriptions of projects and additional sections.

Land treatment is a viable alternative to conventional treatment and should be seriously considered. It is in harmony with the letter and spirit of Public Law 92-500. It is an idea whose time has come. We hope the Manual makes a major contribution toward establishing the Sprinkler Irrigation Association as a significant source of practical information in the area of land treatment of wastewaters.

Edward M. Norum, Editor

Wastewater Resource Manual



# WASTEWATER RESOURCES COMMITTEE Paul B. Bohley, Chairman The Gorman-Rupp Company, Mansfield, Ohio

# EDUCATION AND INFORMATION SECTION Edward Norum, Chairman Lockwood Corporation, Gering, Nebraska

John Chapman
Paul Halloran
John H. Marsh
Edward M. Norum
Mark Peterson
G. Morgan Powell
Richard Thomas

Valmont Industries, Inc. Dixie Irrigation Co. Engineering Enterprises Lockwood Corporation University of Missouri CH2M Hill U.S. Env. Protection Agency Valley, Neb.
Kankakee, Ill.
Norman, Ok.
Gering, Neb.
Columbia, Mo.
Denver, Colo.
Ada, Ok.

# PUBLICITY SECTION C. Robert Near, Chairman Hastings Irrigation Pipe Co. Hastings, Neb.

David M. Barclay Bishop C. Beville, P.E. Ray Fayhee Joseph B. Halldorson Bart Nelson Robert Snoozy Lewis W. Barton Co. USDA-SCS John Fayhee & Sons, Inc. U. S. Forest Service Nelson Irrigation Corp. Lindsay Mfg. Co. Haddonfield, N. J. Gainesville, Fla. Prairie City, Ill. Missoula, Mont. Walla Walla, Wash. Lindsay, Neb.

# LIAISON SECTION Lawrence C. Lane, Chairman Lane Engineering Specialties Lafayette, Indiana

Charles V. Bremerman Joseph H. Geers Ralph L. Jones Tom J. Lyndon, Jr. Robert M. Morgan Gordon T. Woods Irrigation Services
Johns-Manville Sales Corp.
Lockwood Corporation
Perfection Sprinkler Co.
Melnor Industries
Gordon T. Woods Co.

Rockville, Md.
Denver, Colo.
Gering, Neb.
Ann Arbor, Mich.
Covina, Calif.
Terra Bella, Calif.

# PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES COORDINATING SECTION

Morton W. Bittinger, Chairman M. W. Bittinger & Assoc., Inc. Ft. Collins, Colorado

John Burch
J. Robert Krebs
James M. Maas
Zane Spiegel
David R. Storm
David C. Tedrow

Pierce Corporation
Multi-Flo, Inc.
D.ofA., US Army Eng. Dist. Chicago
Consultant
Spray Waste, Inc.
Metcalf & Eddy, Inc.

Eugene, Ore.
Dayton, Ohio
Chicago, Ill.
Santa Fe, New Mex.
Davis, Calif.
Palo Alto, Calif.

TECHNICAL ASPECTS

FEDERAL LAWS



### WASTEWATER RESOURCE MANUAL

## INDEX

SECTION		PAGE
	CONTRIBUTORS TO THE MANUAL	v
	INTRODUCTION	vii
	SIA WASTEWATER RESOURCES COMMITTEE MEMBERS	íх
1	LEGAL-TECHNICAL ASPECTS	
1A	LEGAL	
1A1	Federal Laws and Activities	
	Federal Programs and Lesislation Governing the Land Treatment of Wastewater	1A1/1
	Land Treatment and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act	1A1/11
	A Review of Public and Private Livestock Waste Regulations	1A1/17
1A2	State and Regional Laws	
	Missouri	1A2/3
	Oklahoma	1A2/7
1A4	Public Relations and Participation	
	Wastewater Irrigation: Its Legal Impact	1A4/1
	Public Relations Aspects of Agricultural Waste Management	1A4/9
	Public Acceptance - Educational and Informational Needs	1A4/17
1B	TECHNICAL	
1B1	Wastewater Characteristics - Sanitary	
	Characteristics of Municipal Effluents	181/1
	The Properties of Sludges	. 1B1/21
1B5	Hydrogeologic Site Considerations	
	Hydrogeologic Site Considerations	1B5/1

SECTION		PAGE
<b>1B6</b>	Soil Processes: Physical, Chemical, Biological	
	The Chemistry of Effluent Disposal on Land	186/1
	The Effect of Applying Municipal Effluent on Soils, Plants and Surface and Sub-Surface Water Quality	186/11
1B7	Botanical Components	
	Crop Selection and Management Alternatives - Perennials	1B7/1
1B9	Hazards: Health and Nuisance	
	Health Hazards from Land Application of Wastes	189/1
	Bacterial Aerosols Created by Spray Irrigation of Wastewater	189/31
1B10	Conventional Treatment Processes	
	Sewage Flow	1B10/1
1B11	Hydraulics - System Design	
	A Primer on Irrigation Engineering	1811/1
1B12	Current Technology - Liquids	
	Water Renovation for Unrestricted Re-Use	1812/1
	Waste Disposal System Concepts	1B12/11
1B13	Current Technology - Sludge	
	Manure Handling Systems	1B13/1
	Some Constraints of Spreading Sewage Sludge on Cropland	1B13/17
<b>、2</b>	PROJECT DESIGN	
2A	BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
2A1	Geology and Soils	
	Geology and Soils	2A1/1
2A2	Hydrology	
	Hydrology	2A2/1

SECTIO	ис	PAGE
2B	DESIGN AND ENGINEERING	
2B2	Piping and Transfer	
	Piping Systems	2B2/1
2B3	Distribution Equipment	
	Systems for Handling Liquid Manure and Sludge Slurries	2B3/1
2B5	Management and Operations	
	Industrial Waste Experiences	2B5/1
2B6	Economic Evaluations	
	Research to Comply Economically with Environmental Guidelines	286/1
2C	TYPICAL SYSTEMS	
2C1	Municipal and Domestic - Sanitary	
	Systems for Application of Municipal Sewage Effluent	2C1/1
	Irrigating Recreational Turfgrass with Sewage Effluent	2C1/13
2C2	Industrial	
	Processing of Potato Wastes	2C2/1
	Meat Packing and Tannery Wastewaters	2C2/11
	Processing of Food and Vegetables	2C2/17
	Meat Packing Plant	2C2/19
	Sprinkler Irrigation of Potato Processing Waste Water for Treatment and Disposal on Land	2C2/21
	Milk Processing	2C2/31
2C3	Agricultural	
	Irrigation Disposal System Examples	2C3/1
	Land Application of Chick Hatchery Wastes	2C3/23



### FEDERAL PROGRAMS AND LEGISLATION GOVERNING THE LAND TREATMENT OF WASTEWATER

Richard L. Boke
Marketing Consultant
Richmond, Virginia

From the first water pollution control act of 1889 to Public Law 92-500 enacted October 18, 1972, is a long step in time but more important for the efficient use of the Nation's water resources a long step in the philosophy of resource use. The Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, among other things, prohibited the dumping or discharge of refuse into navigable waters. Even 'this limited initial move to control water pollution was for 70 years more attended to by neglect than by enforcement.

Public Law 92-500, on the other hand, not only calls for a complete cleanup of the Nation's waters by 1985 but as one means to this end establishes increased Federal financial assistance for the construction of waste treatment works and sets up the policies for management planning processes and research and demonstration that hopefully will achieve its goals. Public Law 92-500 is today quite appropriately the Bible of Federal Water Pollution Control and those agencies, both State and Federal, that have an interest in or responsibility for water pollution control. Also, it is the major instrument through which states, municipalities, and other public institutions will achieve the necessary means for obtaining financial assistance for planning and constructing waste treatment works.

For the members of the Sprinkler Irrigation Association, Public Law 92-500, for the first time, provides the demand for innovative planning, research, and authority, as well as funds that could result in large-scale utilization of sewage effluent through "land treatment" using various forms of irrigation techniques and equipment. In other words, 92-500 should play an important part in expanding the market for sprinkler and other irrigation equipment in the handling of sewage effluents.

For the people of the United States, in its policy statements and in its implementing provisions as applied to research, planning, and financial aid, and approval of grants for publicly-owned sewage treatment plants, PL 92-500 promises, at least, a more intelligent and constructive use of our so-called "waste waters." For it should be noted here that our waste waters are not waste waters as such but simply another segment of our diminishing water resources. Used properly they can grow crops, timber, and other needed products. As such, they are not wastes but resources in the wrong places.

PL 92-500 recognizes this concept but whether this legislative concept will be carried out by the many people and agencies, both State and Federal, responsible for planning and finally authorizing grants for the treatment works is still an open question which we will touch on later in this chapter.

However, although PL 92-500 of 1972 is appropriately the Bible of present-day water pollution control action, it seems wise in considering its provisions

and tes use to point out a few of the major trends that have marked the growth and changes of water pollution control legislation since 1899.

The first of these trends is the increase in breadth of concept from the initial anti-dumping act of 1899 to the series of Federal Water Pollution Acts and continuing amendments to those acts starting, basically, with the Water Pollution Control Act of 1948. This was the first legislation to deal with the broad problem of National water pollution rather than point-to-point pollution by oil or other specific refuse.

But the Act of 1956 went further in calling for "Comprehensive Programs for Water Pollution Control." It enjoined the states and municipalities to join the Federal Government in preparing "comprehensive programs for eliminating or reducing the pollution of interstate waters." The Act states that "due regard shall be given to the improvements which are necessary to conserve such waters for public water supplies, propagation of fish and aquatic life and wildlife, recreational purposes, and agriculture, industrial and other legitimate uses."

In Public Law 91-190 the Congress passed the "National Environmental Policy Act of 1969." Note that by this time the Congress has broadened the language to cover all environmental aspects of water control. In Section 2 the Act states the purposes of this Act are: "To declare a National policy which will encourage productive and enjoyable harmony between man and his environment, to promote efforts which will prevent or eliminate damage to the environment and biosphere and stimulate the health and welfare of man; to enrich the understanding of the ecological systems and natural resources important to the Nation; and to establish a Council on Environmental Quality."

In this Act under Title I on the "Declaration of National Environmental Policy" the Congress sets forth the responsibility of the Federal Government in carrying forth a series of broad policies and in Section 102 "authorizes and directs that, to the fullest extent possible, (1) the policies, regulations, and public laws of the United States shall be interpreted and administered in accordance with the policies set forth in this Act and (2) all agencies of the Federal Government shall "utilize a systematic, interdisciplinary approach which will insure the integrated use of the natural and social sciences and the environmental design arts in planning and decision making which may have an impact on man's environment." And moreover the Congress, in this section, says that the "responsible Federal official shall study, develop, and describe appropriate alternatives to recommend courses of action in any proposal which involves unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources."

Thus the federal offical is called upon to develop alternative courses of action in the use of resources. As we shall see, this is spelled out in detail in PL 92-500 as it regards "waste water" disposal. But it seems important to note that this responsibility of the Federal Official or Agency does not spring up as a new concept or direction in 1972 but is part of important and basic legislative history.

The final act to date in the broadening of a National policy in water pollution control is PL 92-500 known as the "Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972." This Act not only further broadens the scope of water pollution

control programs, but far beyond all others calls for innovative action in effecting these programs.

We shall deal with 92-500 in detail later in this chapter. At this time we need only point out that it is clear from the above that it is the intent of Congress to have the appropriate Federal Agencies working jointly with municipalities and states to carry out a water pollution control program that will not only control pollution, but through the use of the best alternative methods possible make the most efficient use of all of our resources including waste water.

In considering the above record of Congressional broad intent as well as Congressional directives to the Executive Branch of Government we must pose the question whether the latter is meeting that broad intent in actual practice in the planning, approval, and the allocation of funds for publicly-owned sewage treatment works. This question will be covered in more detail later in our further consideration of PL 92-500.

In addition to the immensely broadened concepts developed in the legislative history of water pollution control two other trends allied to this concept are worth noting. These are Federal agency changes in responsibility and authority to carry out the legislative acts and the financing of planning, research, and treatment works by the Federal Government in cooperation with the states.

With regard to agency responsibility, the first Federal responsibility in the initial Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1899 fell to the Army Corps of Engineers in pursuing their other responsibilities on navigable streams. As we have already noted, the history of enforcement under the '99 Act is largely that of neglect.

For the next 60 odd years future legislation tied water pollution control almost entirely to public health starting with the Public Health Act of 1912 until the 1956 Act in Section 1 specifically stated that "the Surgeon General of the United States shall administer this Act through the Public Health Service and under the supervision and direction of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare." The Act then directs the Surgeon General to carry out the goals of the Act; "to develop comprehensive programs for water pollution control; to encourage state activity and interstate cooperation; to promote research; to issue federal grants for state planning of water pollution control programs and to make grants for construction of necessary treatment works. And, finally and importantly, to enforce "measures against pollution of interstate waters."

The Water Quality Act of 1965 specifically places the responsibility for carrying out the Act on the shoulders of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare in Section One but in Section Two creates a new agency within HEW, the Federal Water Control Administration, to carry out the Act. We now have the Act at Cabinet Offices level, and for the first time in our pollution control history, a newly-created office, FWPCA. However, the overall administration of the Act did not remain long in HEW since in May 1966, under the Clean Water Act of 1966, the newly-created agency, FWPCA, was transferred to the Secretary of the Interior to be administered under that Department.

SIA-WRM 1A1/3