

Theoretical Systems Ecology

ADVANCES AND CASE STUDIES

Efraim Halfon

Basin Investigation and Modeling Section
National Water Research Institute
Canada Centre for Inland Waters
Burlington, Ontario, Canada



ACADEMIC PRESS

New York San Francisco London 1979

A Subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers

COPYRIGHT © 1979, BY ACADEMIC PRESS, INC.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

NO PART OF THIS PUBLICATION MAY BE REPRODUCED OR
TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC
OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPY, RECORDING, OR ANY
INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, WITHOUT
PERMISSION IN WRITING FROM THE PUBLISHER.

ACADEMIC PRESS, INC.
111 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10003

United Kingdom Edition published by
ACADEMIC PRESS, INC. (LONDON) LTD.
24/28 Oval Road, London NW1 7DX

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data
Main entry under title:

Theoretical systems ecology.

Includes bibliographies.

I. Ecology--Mathematical models. I. Halfon,
Efraim. II. Title.

QH541.15.MdT43 574.5'01'84 78-27014

ISBN 0-12-318750-8

PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

79 80 81 82 83 84 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

List of Contributors

Numbers in parentheses indicate the pages on which the authors' contributions begin.

- M. B. Beck** (259), International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Schloss Laxenburg, 2361 Laxenburg, Austria
- John W. Brewer** (401), Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of California, Davis, California 95616
- William G. Cale, Jr.** (55), Environmental Sciences Program, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas 75080
- C. Cobelli** (237), Laboratorio per Ricerche di Dinamica dei Sistemi e di Elettronica Biomedica, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, C.P. 1075, 35100 Padova, Italy
- Michael Conrad** (131), Department of Computer and Communication Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104
- John T. Finn*** (183), Department of Zoology, Institute of Ecology, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602
- B. S. Goh** (385, 467), Mathematics Department, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, W.A. 6009, Australia
- Efraim Halfon** (1), Basin Investigation and Modeling Section, National Water Research Institute, Canada Centre for Inland Waters, Burlington, Ontario, Canada L7R 4A6
- John Harte** (453), Energy and Environment Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720
- Aleksej G. Ivakhnenko** (325), Vladimirskaia 51/53 k. 14, Kiev-3, 252003 U.S.S.R.
- Clark Jeffries** (489), General Delivery, La Ronge, Saskatchewan, Canada S0J 1L0
- George J. Klir** (291), Department of Systems Science, School of Advanced Technology, State University of New York at Binghamton, Binghamton, New York 13901
- Georgij I. Krotov** (325), Vladimirskaia 51/53 k. 14, Kiev-3, 252003 U.S.S.R.
- A. Lepschy** (237), Istituto di Elettrotecnica e di Elettronica, Universita di Padova, 35100 Padova, Italy
- Dennis P. Lettenmaier** (79), Department of Civil Engineering and College of Fisheries, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195
- Orie L. Loucks** (355), The Institute of Ecology, Holcomb Research Institute, Butler University, Indianapolis, Indiana 46208
- Patrick L. Odell** (55), Environmental Sciences Program, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas 75080
- P. Jussi Orava** (213), Systems Theory Laboratory, Department of Electrical Engineering, Helsinki University of Technology, SF-02150 Otaniemi, Finland

*Present address: Ecosystems Center, Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, Massachusetts 02543.

- Bernard C. Patten** (183), Department of Zoology, Institute of Ecology, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602
- Jeffrey E. Richey** (79), College of Fisheries, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195
- G. Romanin-Jacur** (237), Laboratorio per Ricerche di Dinamica dei Sistemi e di Elettronica Biomedica, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, C.P. 1075, 35100 Padova, Italy
- D. D. Šiljak** (151), Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of Santa Clara, Santa Clara, California 95953
- Madan G. Singh** (419), Laboratoire d'Automatique et d'Analyse des Systemes du CNRS 7, 31400 Toulouse, France
- Robert E. Ulanowicz** (107), Center for Environmental and Estuarine Studies, University of Maryland, Solomons, Maryland 20688
- Vladimir N. Visotsky** (325), Vladimirskaia 51/53 k. 14, Kiev-3, 252003 U.S.S.R.
- Vicki Watson** (355), Department of Botany and Institute for Environmental Studies, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706
- Jackson R. Webster** (119), Department of Biology, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061
- Bernard P. Zeigler** (17), Department of Applied Mathematics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

Preface

After many years of development, systems ecology is having a large impact upon all aspects of environmental research. The system approach with its body of concepts and techniques has broadened the ecologists' perspectives and has attracted students into ecology from other disciplines. Their common ground is systems science and ecological theory. Their goals have been the establishment of a sound theoretical basis and the development of mathematical models. Unfortunately, there has been a lack of communication between theoreticians, and modelers and field ecologists. Modelers have dealt almost exclusively with difference and differential equations to model ecosystems and to produce simulations, computer solutions of the equations, that could be used for forecasting. They approached the problems of model development, simplification, identification, and analysis on an *ad hoc* basis. Theoreticians worked on a theory of modeling, but their concepts seldom were used in complex modeling exercises. Perhaps the language of system theory was too mathematical for many ecologists to understand and apply.

The purpose of this book is to try to bridge this gap. It is to present to theoretical systems ecologists and other theoreticians in systems science recent advances in the field. Since the language of system theory is mathematics, many chapters are mathematically sophisticated. It is also a purpose of the book to present to scientists, who do not have the background to follow mathematical concepts, some aspects of systems ecology with which they are not familiar in a way they can understand and apply. The examples at the end of each chapter have this function. They show how theory can be used successfully and fruitfully to improve the development and analysis of models.

Notation has been kept uniform as much as possible, given the difference in topics included in the book. References have been spelled out to allow easy access to information complementary to that presented here. To demonstrate that a set of data contains information that can be extracted with system techniques and used at different stages of model

construction and usage, three authors (Beck, Ivakhnenko, and Singh), have used data from the river Cam in England. To show how systems ecology has evolved in places other than North America, authors from nine countries contributed to this effort.

Three classes of problems are analyzed in the book: (1) Selection of components comprising the system model. The first two sections deal with theory of modeling, formalisms, classes, and properties of models. (2) Definition of the relationships and interactions between the system variables. The section on Identification deals with the problem of extracting information from data for the purpose of deriving the model structure. (3) Model analysis. Several sections cover this aspect. To represent current trends, several chapters on stability and control theory are included.

This book was conceived in the stimulating research environment of the Canada Centre for Inland Waters, and I am grateful to Floyd C. Elder and Theodore J. Simons who provided a sheltered climate for unencumbered research. I am grateful to Lawrence R. Pomeroy, Bernard C. Patten, and Rolf E. Bargmann, who directed me and helped to bring out and develop my true research interests. I have come to appreciate the theoretical aspects of systems ecology during the several years I spent with the systems ecology group at the University of Georgia. Together with Bernard C. Patten, Jack B. Waide, Jack R. Webster, and William G. Cale provided me with guidance in the difficult art of ecological modeling.

I thank all the authors for writing, and rewriting the chapters until the referees and myself were satisfied. It was a pleasure to act as their editor. Many persons contributed their ideas, talent, and time. Most of the following also acted as referees: L. J. Bledsoe, W. G. Cale, M. Conrad, J. J. Duffy, J. T. Finn, B. S. Goh, J. Harte, A. G. Ivakhnenko, C. Jeffries, D. P. Lettenmaier, S. H. Levine, M. McLean, J. Orava, B. C. Patten, D. Sahal, D. Siljak, R. V. Thomann, R. E. Ulanowicz, V. Watson, J. R. Webster, and B. P. Zeigler. Ms. J. Fleet, Ms. V. Hamilton, and Ms. N. Snelling helped with the typing and other clerical tasks.

My wife Silvia gracefully put up with all the pressures during the organization of the book.

Efraim Halfon

Contents

List of Contributors	xiii
Preface	xv

Preview: Theory in Ecosystem Analysis

Efraim Halfon

1. Introduction	1
2. Aggregation and Organization	3
3. Model Structures, Formalisms, and Theory of Modeling	4
4. System Identification	6
5. Model Analysis, Control Theory, and Stability	8
6. Outlook	11
References	12

Part I Aggregation and Organization

Chapter 1 Multilevel Multiformalism Modeling: An Ecosystem Example

Bernard P. Zeigler

1. Introduction	18
2. The Ecosystem: Questions of Interest and Models	18
3. Organization of Questions and Models	20
4. Experimental Frames	21
5. Constructed Models	29
6. Organization of Models	40
7. Applicability of Frames to Models	43
8. Summary	44

9. Discussion	45
Appendix: Some Results on Estimated Parameters and Model Cross Comparison	49
References	54

Chapter 2 Concerning Aggregation in Ecosystem Modeling

William G. Cale, Jr. and Patrick L. Odell

1. Introduction	55
2. Modeling: General	59
3. Modeling: Specific	61
4. The Aggregation Model	63
5. Concluding Remarks	69
Appendix	74
References	76

Chapter 3 Use of First-Order Analysis in Estimating Mass Balance Errors and Planning Sampling Activities

Dennis P. Lettenmaier and Jeffrey E. Richey

1. Introduction	80
2. First-Order Analysis	82
3. Results	92
4. Applications to Experimental Design	94
5. Summary	101
Appendix: Notation	102
References	103

Part II Model Structures, Formalisms, and Theory of Modeling

Chapter 4 Prediction, Chaos, and Ecological Perspective

Robert E. Ulanowicz

1. Introduction	107
2. Determinism and Chaos	109
3. Ecological Perspective	113

4. Summary	115
References	116

Chapter 5 Hierarchical Organization of Ecosystems

Jackson R. Webster

1. Introduction	119
2. Definition of Hierarchy	120
3. The Hierarchical Organization of Nature	122
4. Hierarchical Levels of Ecological Interest	125
5. Application of Hierarchy Theory to Ecosystems	126
References	128

Chapter 6 Hierarchical Adaptability Theory and Its Cross-Correlation with Dynamical Ecological Models

Michael Conrad

1. Introduction	132
2. Review of Adaptability Theory	133
3. Hierarchical Adaptability Theory	137
4. Cross-Correlation with Dynamical Ecological Models	143
5. Conclusion	148
References	149

Chapter 7 Structure and Stability of Model Ecosystems

D. D. Šiljak

1. Introduction	151
2. System Structure	154
3. Partitions and Condensations	160
4. Vulnerability of Structure	164
5. Vulnerability of Stability	169
6. Conclusion	179
References	179

Chapter 8 Systems Approach to Continental Shelf Ecosystems

Bernard C. Patten and John T. Finn

1. Introduction	184
2. Causal Theory of Environment	185
3. Causal Analysis of Ecosystems	192
4. Flow Analysis of the Ross Sea Pelagic Ecosystem	199
5. Summary	209
References	210

Chapter 9 A Framework for Dynamical System Models: Cause-Effect Relationships and State Representations

P. Jussi Orava

1. Introduction	214
2. Input-Output System	215
3. Causality	224
4. State	227
5. Discussion of Ecological Examples	231
References	232

Part III System Identification

Chapter 10 Structural Identifiability of Linear Compartmental Models

C. Cobelli, A. Lepschy, and G. Romanin-Jacur

1. Introduction	237
2. Linear Time-Invariant Compartmental Models	239
3. The Problem of Structural Identifiability	241
4. Structural Properties Related to Identifiability	243
5. The Analysis of Structural Identifiability	246
6. Examples and Conclusions	251
References	257

Chapter 11 Model Structure Identification from Experimental Data

M. B. Beck

1. Introduction	260
2. System Identification: A Brief Review	261
3. Model Structure Identification: Black Box Models	270
4. Model Structure Identification: Internally Descriptive Models	273
5. Conclusions	287
References	287

Chapter 12 Computer-Aided Systems Modeling

George J. Klir

1. Introduction	291
2. Relevant Concepts	295
3. Systems Modeling	302
4. Examples of Systems Modeling in Ecology	312
5. Conclusions	320
References	322

Chapter 13 Identification of the Mathematical Model of a Complex System by the Self-Organization Method

*Aleksej G. Ivakhnenko, Georgij I. Krotov,
and Vladimir N. Visotsky*

1. Introduction	326
2. Present State of the Theory of Computer-Aided Self-Organization of Mathematical Models	326
3. Computer-Aided Self-Organization of Models	328
4. Discovery of Laws with the Aid of GMDH	336
5. Application of GMDH to Environmental Problems	339
6. Conclusions	350
References	352

Part IV Model Analysis, Control Theory, and Stability

Chapter 14 An Analysis of Turnover Times in a Lake Ecosystem and Some Implications for System Properties

Vicki Watson and Orie L. Loucks

1. Introduction	356
2. Methods	361
3. Results	368
4. Discussion	374
5. Summary and Conclusions	380
References	381

Chapter 15 The Usefulness of Optimal Control Theory to Ecological Problems

B. S. Goh

1. Introduction	385
2. Discrete-Time Optimal Control	387
3. Continuous-Time Optimal Control	394
4. Conclusions	398
References	398

Chapter 16 Toward Optimal Impulsive Control of Agroecosystems

John W. Brewer

1. Introduction	401
2. Optimal Single-Impulse Control of S -Shaped Growth	403
3. Numerical Experiments	412
4. Comments on the Optimal Impulse Control of J -Shaped Growth	414
5. Concluding Remarks	416
References	416

Chapter 17 Hierarchical Methods in River Pollution Control

Madan G. Singh

1. Introduction	420
2. Problem Formulation	421
3. The Three-Level Method of Tamura	426
4. The Time Delay Algorithm of Tamura	430
5. The Interaction Prediction Approach	434
6. River Pollution Control	437
7. Hierarchical Feedback Control for Linear Quadratic Problems	444
8. Extension to the Servomechanism Case	448
9. Conclusions	450
References	451

Chapter 18 Ecosystem Stability and the Distribution of Community Matrix Eigenvalues

John Harte

1. Introduction	453
2. A Practical Measure of Stability	455
3. Analysis of the Stability Measure	456
4. Discussion	462
Appendix	463
References	465

Chapter 19 Robust Stability Concepts for Ecosystems Models

B. S. Goh

1. Introduction	467
2. Global and Finite Stability	469
3. Nonvulnerability	478
4. Sector Stability	482
5. Conclusion	486
References	486

Chapter 20 Stability of Holistic Ecosystem Models*Clark Jeffries*

1. Introduction	489
2. Holistic Ecosystem Modeling	494
3. Discussion	499
4. Conclusion	501
Appendix	502
References	503

Index	505
-------	-----

PREVIEW: THEORY IN ECOSYSTEM ANALYSIS

Efraim Halfon

1. Introduction	1
2. Aggregation and Organization	3
3. Model Structures, Formalisms, and Theory of Modeling	4
4. System Identification	6
5. Model Analysis, Control Theory, and Stability	8
6. Outlook	11
References	12

1. INTRODUCTION

A system may be defined as a set of elements standing in an interrelation among themselves and with the environment. It is generally agreed that a "system" is a model of general nature, that is, a conceptual analog of certain rather universal traits of observed entities. In other words, system-theoretical arguments pertain to, and have predictive value, inasmuch as general structures are concerned (von Bertalanffy, 1972). As researchers in other disciplines have done before, ecologists have turned to the system approach (e.g., Van Dyne, 1969; Patten, 1971; Odum, 1971).

The system approach is based on the evidence that certain system properties do not depend on the specific nature of the individual system, that is, they are valid for systems of different nature as far as the traditional classification of science (physical, biological, social) is concerned (Klir, 1972). Therefore, sophisticated procedures developed for the analysis of complex systems, mainly electrical, can now be applied to ecological

systems where analytical methodology is far less advanced. When quantitative formalisms such as algebraic or differential equations are used, the similarity of different systems becomes a subject of interest. A model is a conceptualization of the real system; they are similar. Usually a model cannot be considered a unique representation of the real system. Indeed an infinite number of models may be conceptualized. When a model is built, the similarity relation must be understood and quantified.

Compartmental analysis is a phenomenological and macroscopic approach for modeling physicochemical process. A compartment (or state variable, object, element, etc., according to the different terminologies common to systems theory) is a basic unit of functional interest. It may be a species of algae in a lake, all plankton, or the whole lake itself, depending on the study goals. The choice of the compartment may be arbitrary, but any decision made at the early stages of model development will influence all of the other results. This choice of the compartment defines the relation between models and systems. This is the *aggregation problem*.

The second main topic of interest in model development is the *system identification problem*; the study of relations among compartments. The formulation of a correct model structure is as important as the solution to the aggregation problem. Most of the volume (13 out of 20 chapters) is dedicated to these problems of model development. It is my belief that a solid theoretical foundation is important if we want to continue to progress in the systems analysis of ecosystems. The concept of similarity is crucial to any form of general systems theory and thus crucial to the understanding of a theory of modeling of all systems and, particularly in this instance, of ecological systems.

This volume contains four major parts and their sequence follows the usual course of thinking in system science.

Part I discusses some fundamental system problems and focuses on the aggregation problem and its relation to sampling activities. Here some theoretical foundations are laid. Problems related to model development are analyzed.

Part II includes information on modeling approaches and philosophy. The emphasis here is on model structure and includes formalisms, classes, and model properties. Three main topics in this part are hierarchical models, structure properties, and the relation of causality to model structures.

Part III introduces methodologies and computer techniques of system identification. These methods, however, cannot be separated from the modeling philosophies of their originators: Klir and Ivakhnenko present their inductive approaches to general systems theory and their identification methods reflect their respective beliefs.

Part IV contains studies on model analysis, and the focus is on structural properties, such as stability, flow analysis, and general systems properties. The other topic of interest in this part is the applicability of control theory to ecological models. Goh presents the basics in Chapter 15, followed by more sophisticated applications (Chapters 16 and 17).

2. AGGREGATION AND ORGANIZATION

"A model which must be capable of accounting for all the input-output behavior of a real system and be valid in all allowable experimental frames can never be fully known" (Zeigler, 1976). This model, which Zeigler calls the *base* model, would be very complex and require such great computational resources that it would be almost impossible to simulate. For ecosystems, the base model can never be fully known because of the complexity of the system and the impossibility of observing all possible states. However, given an experimental frame of current interest, a modeler is likely to find it possible to construct a relatively simple model that will be valid in that frame. This is a *lumped* model. It is the experimenter's image of the real system with components lumped together and interactions simplified (Zeigler, 1976).

Modeling an ecosystem requires knowledge of the real system, obtained with experiments, and its abstraction within a mathematical framework. Systems methods can be used effectively in the latter phase of model development. Indeed, when coupled with experimental work, system-theoretic concepts can help in the development of an ecologically realistic mathematical model. The *state space approach* is the most widely used in modern systems analysis because it allows description of both observable and unobservable variables. The models are memoryless and nonanticipatory and the state of the system is predicted using information on the present state and inputs to the system.

How do we choose the state variables and what kind of errors originate when we develop a homomorphic model? The problem is that ecosystem models have been developed *a priori* as aggregations without regard to the consequences of that aggregation. According to Zeigler (Chapter 1), the organization of simulation models is accomplished by considering several elements and their relationships. These elements are a collection of experimental frames, the real system, and the domain of possible models. The experimental frames specify the restrictions on experimental access to the real system. The models are assumed to be transition systems which are specifiable at various levels of structure and behavior and within short-hand conventions (e.g., sequential machines,