Criminal Justice

An introduction to crime and the criminal justice system

WILLAN

Peter Joyce

Criminal Justice

An introduction to crime and the criminal justice system

Peter Joyce



Published by

Willan Publishing
Culmott House
Mill Street, Uffculme
Cullompton, Devon
EX15 3AT, UK
Tel: +44(0)1884 840337
Fax: +44(0)1884 840251
e-mail: info@willanpublishing.co.uk
website: www.willanpublishing.co.uk

Publishing simultaneously in the USA and Canada by

Willan Publishing c\o ISBS, 920 NE 58th Ave, Suite 300 Portland, Oregon 97213-3786, USA Tel: +001(0)503 287 3093 Fax: +001(0)503 280 8832 e-mail: info@isbs.com website: www.isbs.com

© Peter Joyce 2006

The rights of Peter Joyce to be identified as the author of this book has been asserted by him in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act of 1988.

All rights reserved; no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without the prior written permission of the Publishers or a licence permitting copying in the UK issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency Ltd, 90 Tottenham Court Road, London W1P 9HE.

Paperback ISBN-13: 978-1-84392-182-0 ISBN-10: 1-84392-182-0

Hardback ISBN-13: 978-1-84392-183-7 ISBN-10: 1-84392-183-9

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Typeset by Pantek Arts Ltd, Maidstone, Kent Project management by Deer Park Productions, Tavistock, Devon Printing and bound by Cromwell Press, Trowbridge, Wiltshire

List of abbreviations

ABC Acceptable Behaviour Contract
ACPO Association of Chief Police Officers
APA Association of Police Authorities
ASBO Anti-Social Behaviour Order

BCS British Crime Survey
BCU Basic Command Unit

BVPI Best Value Performance Indicator

CARATS Counselling, Assessment, Referral, Advice and Throughcare Services

CCRC Criminal Cases Review Commission

CCTV Closed-Circuit Television

CDRP Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnership
CHE Community Home (with Education)

CJA Commission for Judicial Appointments

CPM Community Panel Member
CPS Crown Prosecution Service
CRE Commission for Racial Equality
CSO Community Service Order
CSU Community Safety Unit

DAT Drug Action Team

DCA Department for Constitutional Affairs

DHSS Department of Health and Social Security

DLP Discretionary Lifer Panel

DPP Director of Public Prosecutions
ECP Enhanced Community Punishment

GCHQ Government Communications Headquarters

GIS Geographic Information System

HDC Home Detention Curfew

HMIC Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary
ICCP Intensive Change and Control Programme
IEP Incentives and Earned Privileges Scheme

IP Intensive Probation

IPCC Independent Police Complaints Commission

ISM Intensive Supervision and Monitoring

ISSP Intensive Supervision and Surveillance Programme

IT Intermediate Treatment LAG Legal Action Group LSB Legal Services Board

LSC Legal Services Commission

LSP Local Strategic Partnership

MACC Mutual Aid Coordination Centre

MDT Mandatory Drug Test

MPA Metropolitan Police Authority
MPS Metropolitan Police Service

NACRO National Association for the Care and Resettlement of Offenders

NAFIS National Automated Fingerprint Identification System

NCIS National Criminal Intelligence Service NCRS National Crime Recording Standard

NCS National Crime Squad

NIC National Information Centre NIM National Intelligence Model

NOMS National Offender Management Service

NOMIS National Offender Management Information System

NPIA National Policing Improvement Agency

NPS National Probation Service
NRC National Reporting Centre
OASys Offender Assessment System
OCJR Office for Criminal Justice Reform

OLC Office for Legal Complaints

OSS Office for the Supervision of Solicitors

PAC Public Accounts Committee

PACE Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984

PAT Policy Action Team

PCA Police Complaints Authority
PCB Police Complaints Board

PCSO Police Community Support Officer PDR Performance Development Review

PNC Police National Computer
PND Penalty Notice for Disorder
POA Prison Officers' Association
POP Problem-oriented Policing

PPAF Policing Performance Assessment Framework

PRT Prison Reform Trust

PSIA Private Security Industry Authority PSNI Police Service of Northern Ireland

PSU Police Standards Unit PSU Police Support Unit QC Queen's Counsel

RIC Regional Intelligence Cell

SARA Scanning, Analysis, Response and Assessment

SEARCH Selection Entrance Assessment for Recruiting Constables Holistically

SEU Social Exclusion Unit

SGC Sentencing Guidelines Council
SIA Security Industry Authority
SIS Schengen Information System

SITO Security Industry Training Organisation

SOCA Serious Organised Crime Agency
SOTP Sex Offender Treatment Programme

STOP Straight Thinking on Probation programme

UKADCU UK Anti-Drug Coordination Unit

VOM Victim-Offender Mediation

VORP Victim-Offender Reconciliation Program

YOI Youth Offenders Institution YOP Youth Offender Panel YOT Youth Offending Team

Table of cases

Bebb v. Law Society (1913)

264

This was a decision by the Court of Appeal to uphold the refusal of the Law Society to admit women as solicitors on the grounds they were not 'persons' as defined in the 1843 Solicitors Act. This ruling was set aside in 1919.

Chief Constable of Bedfordshire v. Liversidge [2002]

496

This case was concerned with discriminatory actions taken by one police officer against another. In this case the Court of Appeal ruled that a chief constable was not vicariously liable for issues of this nature.

Enever v. The King [1906]

251

This was an important statement of the autonomy of police officers. Here the court ruled that the origins of discretion are legal, based upon the fact that a constable's authority 'is original and not delegated, and is exercised at his own discretion by virtue of his office, and on no responsibility but his own'.

Ezeh and Connors v. United Kingdom (2002)

384

This case concerned the powers of prison governors to add to a prisoner's sentence for disciplinary reasons. The court ruled that in doing this, governors had to comply with Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights.

Fisher v. Oldham Corporation [1930]

250

This ruling concerned the relationship between a police officer and a Watch Committee. It upheld the concept of constabulary independence by arguing that in executing the office of constable according to the law, the decisions of a police officer could not be overruled by a Watch Committee.

Osman v. The United Kingdom (1998)

287

This was a ruling by the European Court of Human Rights in connection with the state's duty to protect its citizens. The court ruled that Article 2 of the European Convention on Human Rights required the authorities (most importantly the police service) to take preventive operational measures to protect an individual whose life was threatened by the criminal actions of another. This ruling thus constituted an important limitation of constabulary independence.

R. v. Chief Constable of Devon and Cornwall, ex parte Central Electricity Generating Board [1981]

250

This was a ruling regarding constabulary independence. It concerned the refusal of the Devon and Cornwall Police to remove protesters from the site of a potential nuclear power station. Here Lord Denning ruled that while he believed the police should have taken this action he would not order them to

do so as this matter was a policy decision by the chief constable with which he felt the court should not interfere.

R. v. Connor and Mirza (2004)

240

This case concerned the secrecy of jury deliberations. Here the House of Lords ruled that jury deliberations should remain secret after a verdict had been given and that not even an Appeal judge could inquire into jury deliberations.

R v. Metropolitan Police Commissioner ex parte Blackburn (1968) and

R. Metropolitan Police Commissioner ex parte Blackburn (1973)

250

These two cases were concerned with constabulary independence and especially in connection with law enforcement. In the first of these judgements (which related to the failure to prosecute for gaming offences), Lord Denning stated that the responsibility for law enforcement rested on the chief constable who could not be told how to act by ministers or police authorities. In the second (which concerned the enforcement of the 1959 Obscene Publications Act) Lord Denning re-affirmed the principle of constabulary discretion in prosecution matters).

R. v. Police Complaints Board, ex parte Madden (1983)

212

This case arose in connection with police misbehaviour towards a black 17-year-old youth. The Police Complaints Board declined to press for disciplinary charges to be brought against the errant police officers on the grounds that as the DPP had considered prosecuting these officers for a criminal offence it would constitute double jeopardy to latterly insist that a disciplinary charge should be brought using the same evidence. Here the Appeal Court queried this definition of double jeopardy then used by the Police Complaints Board.

R. v. Ribbans, Duggan and Ridley (1994)

484

This case was concerned with the sentencing power of the courts in connection with racially motivated crimes of violence. Here Lord Chief Justice Taylor ruled that although the law did not then contain any specific offence of racial violence, judges could exercise their discretion and award an increased sentence in cases where a racial motive had been proven.

Shaw v. DPP (1962)

309

This was an example of the ability of judges to effectively act as law-makers. Here Lord Simmonds proclaimed the existence of the common law offence of 'conspiracy to corrupt public morals' in the 'Ladies' Directory' case.

Preface

The book provides an account of the operations of the criminal justice system in England and Wales, devoting particular attention to reforms introduced by Conservative and Labour governments after 1979. It anticipates little or no prior knowledge of the subject area, and seeks to provide an introductory text for those commencing their studies in the disciplines of criminology, politics, public sector studies and law for whom crime, law and order and the criminal justice system form important areas of study. For this reason the book includes a considerable amount of factual material which is designed to form the basis of more detailed and evaluative studies at later stages of study. The book will also be of interest to general readers and practitioners in the criminal justice system.

Each chapter contains some questions that are designed both to test the reader's understanding of the subject area and to encourage further investigation, perhaps drawing upon contemporary issues discussed in newspapers and journals. Each chapter also includes a date chart that is designed to highlight the main issues that have been raised and identifies some of the more specialised literature that can be consulted to obtain a more detailed understanding of the subject areas.

Chapters 1 and 2 provide the context for an examination of the criminal justice system, discussing the various explanations which have been put forward to explain why crime occurs, how it can be measured and what might be done to prevent it. Chapter 2 further considers the contemporary importance attached to community safety.

Chapters 3–8 are concerned with the role, functions and working practices of the main agencies that operate within the criminal justice system. Chapter 3 evaluates the methods that have evolved to deliver policing and analyses the reasons for changes that have been made to its structure and organisation. These have created a more centralised service since 1945, and resulted in some police responsibilities being delivered by private bodies. Chapter 4 examines changes to the control and accountability of the police service, analysing the key changes introduced by Conservative governments between 1979 and 1997 and by Labour governments after 1997.

Chapters 5 and 6 provide an account of the operations of the legal system. Chapter 5 discusses the workings of the prosecution system. It investigates the functioning of agencies that include the Crown Prosecution Service and the Criminal Cases Review Commission and the rationale for proposals to reform important aspects of the prosecution service such as trial by jury. Chapter 5 also examines the extent to which gender bias operates in the prosecution process and analyses the importance of discretion to its workings.

Chapter 6 focuses on the role of the judiciary. It examines the structure of the courts and the legal profession, discusses the role performed by judges in the judicial process and considers contemporary issues affecting the appointment of judges.

Chapters 7 and 8 are concerned with the punishment of offenders. Chapter 7 examines the concept of punishment and the diverse aims that punishment may serve. Significant attention is devoted to restorative justice which has been introduced into the juvenile justice system but which has the potential for adoption throughout the criminal justice process. It also evaluates sociological approaches to punishment that seek to explain why societies introduce changes to the methods that they employ. The final section of this chapter considers sentencing policy in contemporary England and Wales.

Chapter 8 focuses on the role of prisons. It examines the purpose of prisons and in particular assesses the problems that such institutions face in seeking to secure the rehabilitation of offenders. The contemporary significance of community-based penalties is also discussed, and the changing role performed by the Probation Service is examined. This chapter also discusses the rationale and implications of merging the prison and probation services into a unified correctional service.

Chapter 9 deals with the topic of juvenile justice, seeking to highlight the tensions inherent in this system between the welfare of juveniles and the punishment of those who offend. This chapter analyses in detail the proposals put forward by the 1997 Labour government to respond to juvenile crime (particularly the introduction of Youth Offending Teams and Youth Offender Panels and the policies which have been put forward to tackle its social causes).

Chapter 10 tackles a key issue affecting the operations of the entire criminal justice system, that of racial discrimination. This chapter concentrates on the background to the reforms put forward by Sir William Macpherson in his 1999 report, and the progress that has subsequently been made to implement them.

This book has explored a number of issues related to contemporary criminal justice policy and the operations of the agencies within the criminal justice process. The final chapter identifies a number of key themes that have been discussed in the book.

The book includes a brief section that is headed 'Keeping up to date'. This contains information on a number of organisations that play an important role in formulating or implementing criminal justice policy. Readers are encouraged to consult these organisations in order to keep abreast of the changes that are constantly being made to the delivery of criminal justice policy.

The idea for this book originated in connection with a course I have taught for some years in Criminal Justice Policy at Manchester Metropolitan University. I would like to record my appreciation to the students who have taken this course and also to my colleagues in the Criminology team with whom I have worked – Sandra Walklate (who now occupies the Eleanor Rathbone Chair of Sociology at Liverpool University), Helen Jones, Dan Ellingworth, Wendy Laverick, Jo Massey, Eileen Berrington, Graham Smyth, Ron Wardale and John Houghton.

I would also like to record my appreciation to my publisher, Brian Willan, for his constant support during the production of this work and also to the two reviewers of the manuscript (Rob Mawby at the University of Central England and the other who was anonymous). Both made detailed and helpful suggestions that have considerably improved the content of the book. All errors and omissions that remain are, of course, my responsibility.

Contents

List of abbreviations Table of cases		1X
		xiii
Preface		
1	The causes of crime and deviancy	1
	Classicism	1
	Positivism	5
	Biological explanations of crime	6
	Psychological explanations for crime	10
	Crime and its social setting	13
	The state and criminality	28
	Conservative criminology	39
	Feminist criminologies	45
	Conclusion	50
	Further reading	50
	Key events	51
	References	52
2	Crime and crime prevention	58
	The measurement of crime	58
	Alternative studies of criminal activity	65
	White-collar, middle-class and corporate crime	68
	Crime prevention	84
	Community safety	93
	Assessment of the principle and practice of community safety	109
	Conclusion	111
	Further reading	111
	Key events	112
	References	113
3	Policing: methods, structure and organisation	119
	Policing by consent	120
	The policing of local communities	123
	The policing of fragmented communities	127
	Reassurance policing	136
	Serious crime, police structure and organisation	141
	The European dimension to policing	152

	The policing of public order	157
	The decline of policing by consent – towards paramilitary policing?	161
	The privatisation of policing	166
	Conclusion	172
	Further reading	173
	Key events	174
	References	175
4	Policing: control and accountability	180
	The 1964 Police Act	180
	The debate concerning police accountability in the 1980s	184
	Central control of the police service	189
	Labour's reforms of policing	202
	The accountability of individual police officers	210
	The police complaints machinery	211
	Conclusion	220
	Further reading	221
	Key events	221
	References	222
5	The prosecution process	226
	Arrest	226
	The prosecution of offences	227
	The judicial process	231
	Trial by jury	235
	Miscarriages of justice	243
	Discretion in the prosecution process	249
	Gender discrimination in the prosecution process Victims of crime	258
	Conclusion	268
	Further reading	273
	Key events	274
	References	274 276
6	The judiciary	280
	Organisation of the courts in England and Wales	280
	The composition of the legal profession	290
	The judiciary and the state	294
	The judiciary and law-making	308
	Human rights and the judiciary	310
	The control and accountability of the judiciary	314
	Conclusion	316
	Further reading	316
	Key events	317
	References	317

7	The aims and rationale of punishment	320
	Punishment	320
	The aims of punishment	321
	Restorative justice	326
	Problems with restorative justice	332
	The rationale of punishment – sociological perspectives	335
	Sentencing trends in England and Wales in the late twentieth century	342
	Conclusion	352
	Further reading	352
	Key events	353
	References	354
8	Prison and its alternatives	358
	The development of prisons in England and Wales	358
	The rehabilitation of prisoners	365
	The maintenance of order within prisons	376
	The accountability of the Prison Service	384
	Alternatives to prison	388
	The Probation Service	395
	Relationship with the Prison Service	402
	Conclusion	408
	Further reading	409
	Key events	409
	References	411
9	Juvenile crime and the state's response	417
	The historical development of the youth justice system	417
	The development of the youth justice system 1969–97	421
	The 1998 Crime and Disorder Act	428
	Assessment of Labour's reforms to the juvenile justice system	444
	'Tough on the causes of crime'	452
	Conclusion	461
	Further reading	462
	Key events	463
	References	465
10	Race and the criminal justice system	469
	The Scarman Report	469
	The persistence of problems affecting police-public relations	476
	The response of other agencies in the criminal justice system	
	to racism before 1999	482
	The Stephen Lawrence murder: a catalyst for change	485
	Responses to the Macpherson Report	491
	The effectiveness of the Macpherson Report	498
	The impediments to reform	508

Conclusion	521
Further reading	522
Key events	522
References	523
11 Conclusion	528
Keeping up to date	541
Key terms in crime and criminal justice policy	
Index	567

1

The causes of crime and deviancy

There is no universally accepted explanation of why people carry out criminal acts, and as a result there are many different theories. This chapter seeks to analyse the main perspectives that have been adopted within criminology to explain the causes of crime and deviant behaviour.

In particular it will:

- discuss the key features associated with classicist criminology and identify the reforms associated with this approach;
- distinguish between classicist and positivist approaches to the study of crime: a more detailed consideration of the theories and theorists associated with positivism will be considered in the following sections dealing with biological, psychological and sociological explanations of crime;
- consider the wide range of biological explanations for crime dating from the findings of Cesare Lombroso in the late nineteenth century to more recent attempts to identify the existence of a criminal gene;
- examine psychological explanations for crime and deviance, particularly focusing on the contributions made by Sigmund Freud and Hans Eysenck;
- evaluate a wide range of sociological theories related to the causes of crime and deviance which seek to locate the causes of crime and deviance in the social environment in which it occurs;
- analyse the approaches associated with theories which place the operations of the state and the power structure underpinning it at the forefront of explanations for behaviour that is depicted as criminal: these approaches include new deviancy, Marxist, left idealist, left realist and critical criminologies;
- discuss conservative and new right opinions concerning the occurrence of crime;
- identify the key contributions made by feminist criminologies to the study of crime and deviance.

Classicism

Classicism developed out of the Enlightenment movement of late eighteenth-century Europe. Its political expression was liberalism that viewed society as a contract voluntarily entered into by those who were party to it rather than being a structure handed down by God. Government emerged as the result of a rational choice by those who subsequently accorded their consent to its operations, and this belief ensured that the rights of the individual were prominent concerns of liberal and classicist thinking. Crime was viewed as an act that infringed the legal code whose rationale was to safeguard the interests of those who were party to the social contract, especially the preservation of their personal safety and privately owned property. In such a contractual society, the equality of all citizens before the law and the presumption of the innocence of a person accused of criminal wrongdoing were viewed as cardinal principles to safeguard individual rights and liberties. The state was entitled to intervene in the lives of its citizens only when this would promote the interests of the majority.

A key exponent of classicist criminology was Cesare Beccaria, who put forward several views concerning crime and how the state should respond to it (Beccaria, 1764). These included the following:

- Crime was an act undertaken by a rational being. Individuals possessed free will
 and the decision to commit crime was viewed as the consequence of a logical thought process in which a person calculated the benefits to be derived
 from a criminal action compared to the personal costs it might involve.
 Classicists assumed that rational beings sought to maximise their pleasure
 and avoid inflicting pain on themselves. Accordingly, they advocated measures that guaranteed that crime would inevitably result in sanctions.
- Crime required a uniform and consistent response. Classicists argued that the
 most appropriate solution to crime was a clearly defined and consistently
 applied legal code and a criminal justice system that was predictable (and
 also swift) in its operations. This would ensure that potential criminals
 were aware of the inevitable personal cost of committing crime. In the
 United Kingdom, uniformity was promoted by giving central government
 an important role in the criminal justice system that it discharged through
 the process of inspection.
- Discretion was to be avoided. The emphasis on a uniform and consistent approach to crime inevitably rejected the exercise of discretion by professionals such as magistrates and judges. Beccaria argued that punishments laid down in law should never be exceeded and that the role of judges was to apply, but never to interpret, the law (or what a judge might subjectively view as the spirit of a law).
- Punishments should fit the crime. The harm which a particular criminal
 action did to society was the classicist yardstick by which they judged the
 appropriateness of punishments. Classicism focused on the act and not
 the person who carried it out, thus intent was deemed irrelevant. It was
 further argued that the degree of punishment to be inflicted on a wrongdoer should be no more than what was required to outweigh any
 advantage which the criminal action might bring.
- Deterrence. The main aim of state intervention against crime was to deter persons from committing wrongdoings rather than to punish them after they had transgressed.

In Britain, Jeremy Bentham was a leading classicist criminologist. The reforms with which he and his followers were identified included the following:

- Reform of the penal code. Classicists were opposed to the contemporary penal code in Britain which provided the death penalty for a very wide range of offences. They sought to adjust penalties to reflect the seriousness of the crime in the belief that the application of the criminal law was frequently disregarded because the penalties it prescribed were seen as unreasonable. The Criminal Law Commissioners (who were appointed in 1833) sought to limit the use of judicial discretion in sentencing: although their Draft Codes were not enacted, Parliament did remove the death penalty from a considerable number of offences in the early decades of the nineteenth century (Thomas, 2003: 52).
- Police reform. This entailed the abolition of the historic 'parish constable' system of policing which had been rendered ineffective by urbanisation following the agricultural and industrial revolutions. Towns were viewed as havens of crime and disorder, and classicists sought to introduce a more efficient and standardised policing system to increase the likelihood that those who broke the law would be apprehended.

These two reforms were underpinned by the principle of general deterrence: the belief that the certainty of arrest and subsequent conviction would enable citizens to make informed decisions not to offend. However, classicists accepted that some human beings failed to make these rational choices, so they sought to bring about the reform of the individual through the use of prisons in which those who committed crime would be encouraged to avoid such actions in the future through the development of rational thought processes. Accordingly, prison reform was also a major interest of classicist criminologists, emphasising the utilitarian belief that punishment was not an end in itself but the means to an end.

Prison reform

Classicists viewed prisons as institutions where convicted prisoners could learn to make rational choices. The way in which this was to be achieved was based upon Bentham's 'pleasure-pain' principle whereby rewards became associated with conformity and sanctions (in the form of severe prison conditions) were linked with rebellion. The harsh environment within prisons was intended to act as machines which would 'grind rogues honest' by encouraging inmates to transform themselves into rational beings who were capable of performing useful tasks in developing capitalist society. Thus work and reflection were key aspects of the prison environment.

Surveillance played an important role in bringing about personal transformation. The possibility that an inmate's every action was being observed by prison guards was designed to bring about a transformation in their attitudes and behaviour. The 'internalisation' of controls affecting their behaviour resulted in the development of self-discipline that would transform them into conforming individuals able to perform a useful role in society upon release.

This approach was compatible with the view subsequently expressed that the power of prisons was the power to exert discipline over inmates in order to secure social conformity through subjugation (Foucault, 1977).