

# Stochastic Nonlinear Systems

**in Physics, Chemistry, and Biology**

**Editors:**

**L. Arnold and R. Lefever**

# Stochastic Nonlinear Systems

in Physics, Chemistry, and Biology

Proceedings of the Workshop

Bielefeld, Fed. Rep. of Germany, October 5-11, 1980

Editors:

L. Arnold and R. Lefever

With 48 Figures

Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York 1981

**Professor Dr. *Ludwig Arnold***

Forschungsschwerpunkt Dynamische Systeme, Universität Bremen,  
D-2800 Bremen 33, Fed. Rep. of Germany

**Professor Dr. *René Lefever***

Service de Chimie Physique II,  
C.P. 231 Campus Plaine, Université Libre de Bruxelles,  
B-1050 Bruxelles, Belgium

**ISBN 3-540-10713-4 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York**  
**ISBN 0-387-10713-4 Springer-Verlag New York Heidelberg Berlin**

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically those of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, broadcasting, reproduction by photocopying machine or similar means, and storage in data banks. Under § 54 of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other than private use, a fee is payable to 'Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort', Munich.

© by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1981  
Printed in Germany

The use of registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Offset printing: Beltz Offsetdruck, Hemsbach. Bookbinding: J. Schäffer OHG, Grünstadt  
2153/3130-543210

# Springer Series in Synergetics

---

Synergetics, an interdisciplinary field of research, is concerned with the cooperation of individual parts of a system that produces macroscopic spatial, temporal or functional structures. It deals with deterministic as well as stochastic processes.

- Volume 1    **Synergetics An Introduction**    2nd Edition  
By H. Haken
  
  - Volume 2    **Synergetics A Workshop**  
Editor: H. Haken
  
  - Volume 3    **Synergetics Far from Equilibrium**  
Editors: A. Pacault and C. Vidal
  
  - Volume 4    **Structural Stability in Physics**  
Editors: W. Güttinger and H. Eikemeier
  
  - Volume 5    **Pattern Formation by Dynamic Systems and  
Pattern Recognition**  
Editor: H. Haken
  
  - Volume 6    **Dynamics of Synergetic Systems**  
Editor: H. Haken
  
  - Volume 7    **Problems of Biological Physics**  
By L. A. Blumenfeld
  
  - Volume 8    **Stochastic Nonlinear Systems  
in Physics, Chemistry, and Biology**  
Editors: L. Arnold and R. Lefever
-

## Preface

This book contains the invited papers of the interdisciplinary workshop on "Stochastic Nonlinear Systems in Physics, Chemistry and Biology" held at the Center for Interdisciplinary Research (ZIF), University of Bielefeld, West Germany, October 5-11, 1980.

The workshop brought some 25 physicists, chemists, and biologists - who deal with stochastic phenomena - and about an equal number of mathematicians - who are experts in the theory of stochastic processes - together.

The Scientific Committee consisted of L. Arnold (Bremen), A. Dress (Bielefeld), W. Horsthemke (Brussels), T. Kurtz (Madison), R. Lefever (Brussels), G. Nicolis (Brussels), and V. Wihstutz (Bremen).

The main topics of the workshop were the transition from deterministic to stochastic behavior, external noise and noise induced transitions, internal fluctuations, phase transitions, and irreversible thermodynamics, and on the mathematical side, approximation of stochastic processes, qualitative theory of stochastic systems, and space-time processes.

The workshop was sponsored by ZIF, Bielefeld, and by the Universities of Bremen and Brussels. We would like to thank the staff of ZIF and H. Crauel and M. Ehrhardt (Bremen) for the perfect organization and their assistance. In addition, our thanks go to Professor H. Haken for having these Proceedings included in the Series in Synergetics.

Bremen and Brussels  
December 1980

*L. Arnold and R. Lefever*

# Contents

## Part I. Introduction: From Deterministic to Stochastic Behavior

On the Foundations of Kinetic Theory By B. Misra and I. Prigogine (With 1 Figure) .....	2
Transition Phenomena in Nonlinear Systems. By H. Haken (With 1 Figure) .....	12

## Part II. Approximation of Stochastic Processes

Approximation of Discontinuous Processes by Continuous Processes By T.G. Kurtz .....	22
On the Asymptotic Behavior of Motions in Random Flows By G. Papanicolaou and O. Pironeau .....	36

## Part III. Description of Internal Fluctuations

Some Aspects of Fluctuation Theory in Nonequilibrium Systems. By G. Nicolis ..	44
Aspects of Classical and Quantum Theory of Stochastic Bistable Systems By C.W. Gardiner .....	53
Kinetics of Phase Separation. By K. Binder (With 9 Figures) .....	62
Chemical Instabilities and Broken Symmetry: The Hard Mode Case By D. Walgraef, G. Dewel, and P. Borckmans .....	72

## Part IV. Long-Term Behavior of Stochastic Systems

Asymptotic Behavior of Several Dimensional Diffusions. By R.N. Bhattacharya ..	86
Qualitative Theory of Stochastic Nonlinear Systems By L. Arnold (With 3 Figures) .....	100

## Part V. External Fluctuations and Noise Induced Transitions

Noise Induced Transitions. By W. Horsthemke (With 2 Figures) .....	116
Noise Induced Transitions in Biological Systems By R. Lefever (With 7 Figures) .....	127
Multiplicative Ornstein Uhlenbeck Noise in Nonequilibrium Phenomena By M. San Miguel and J.M. Sancho (With 1 Figure) .....	137

## Part VI. Stochastic Behavior in Model Systems

Weak Turbulence in Deterministic Systems. By J.-P. Eckmann.....	152
---	-----

Stochastic Problems in Population Genetics: Applications of Itô's Stochastic Integrals. By T. Maruyama (With 4 Figures) .....	154
Poisson Processes in Biology. By H.C. Tuckwell .....	162
 <i><u>Part VII. Space-Time Processes and Stochastic Partial Differential Equations</u></i>	
Linear Stochastic Evolution Equation Models for Chemical Reactions By L. Arnold, R. Curtain and P. Kotelenetz .....	174
Stochastic Measure Processes. By D.A. Dawson .....	185
 <i><u>Part VIII. Phase Transitions and Irreversible Thermodynamics</u></i>	
Stochastic Methods in Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamics By R. Graham (With 2 Figures) .....	202
Fluctuation Dynamics Near Chemical Instabilities By S. Grossmann (With 10 Figures) .....	213
Transition Phenomena in Nonlinear Optics. By F.T. Arecchi (With 5 Figures) ..	222
 <i><u>Part IX. Markov Processes and Time Reversibility</u></i>	
Time-Reversibility in Dynamical Systems. By J.C. Willems .....	232
 List of Participants .....	 235
Index of Contributors .....	237

## Part I

### **Introduction: From Deterministic to Stochastic Behavior**



# On the Foundations of Kinetic Theory

B. Misra and I. Prigogine

Chimie-Physique II, C.P. 231, Université Libre de Bruxelles,  
B-1050 Bruxelles, Belgium

## Abstract

We discuss the problem of deriving an *exact* Markovian master equation from dynamics *without* resorting to approximation schemes such as the weak coupling limit, Boltzmann-Grad limit, etc. Mathematically, it is the problem of the existence of a suitable positivity preserving operator  $\Lambda$  such that the unitary group  $U_t$  induced from dynamics satisfies the intertwining relation

$$\Lambda U_t = W_t^* \Lambda, \quad t \geq 0$$

with the contraction semigroup  $W_t$  of a strongly irreversible stochastic Markov process. Two cases are of special interest: i)  $\Lambda = P$  is a projection operator, ii)  $\Lambda$  has a densely defined inverse. Our recent work, which we summarize here, shows that the class of (classical) dynamical systems for which a suitable projection operator satisfying the above intertwining relation exists is identical with the class of  $K$  flows or  $K$  systems. As a corollary of our consideration it follows that the function  $\int \hat{\rho}_t \ln \hat{\rho}_t d\mu$  with  $\hat{\rho}_t$  denoting the coarse-grained distribution with respect to a  $K$  partition obtained from  $\rho_t \equiv U_t \rho$  is a Boltzmann-type  $H$  function for  $K$  flows. This is not in contradiction with the time-reversal (velocity-inversion) symmetry of dynamical evolution as the suitably constructed projection operator or the  $\Lambda$  transformation are dynamics dependent and break the time reversal.

## 1. Introduction

The study of the possible connections that may exist between deterministic dynamics and probabilistic processes is of obvious importance for the foundation of nonequilibrium statistical mechanics. As it is well known, stochastic Markov processes provide suitable models to represent irreversible evolution admitting a Liapounov functional or  $H$  function. The important question, thus, is how the passage from deterministic dynamics to probabilistic Markov processes is to be achieved.

Our work described below shows that in the presence of suitable instability of motion, described by the condition of  $K$  flow, the dynamical evolution indeed

becomes *similar* in a well-defined sense to the stochastic evolution of a Markov process.

Let us recall that the procedure for obtaining a Markovian master equation from dynamics usually starts with an initial "contraction of description" or coarse graining brought about by a projection operator  $P$ . The operation of "coarse graining" alone, however, generally leads to the so-called generalized master equation which is non-Markovian in character [1]. To obtain a Markovian evolution equation one needs to consider a special asymptotic limit (e.g., the weak coupling limit etc.). Thus, even when this program succeeds, the resulting master equation is only an approximation. To lay a more satisfactory foundation of nonequilibrium statistical mechanics it seems desirable to investigate the possibility of establishing *exact* Markovian master equations whose validity does not depend on special approximation schemes. This paper summarizes our recent work in this direction [2-6]. We shall discuss the problem for classical dynamical systems. Our main result is that the class of dynamical systems for which an *exact* Markovian master equation follows from a suitable projection operation alone is not empty, but is precisely the class of so-called K flow. The condition of K flow is thus seen to play the same role in the foundation of nonequilibrium statistical mechanics as that of ergodicity in the foundation of equilibrium statistical mechanics.

Let us, however, mention that just as the method of replacing time average by ensemble average can be justified for a special class of functions on phase space even if the system as a whole is not ergodic, one may be able to derive a *exact* Markovian master equation for special subclass of initial distributions even if the system is not a K flow. But it is only for K flows that one can derive an *exact* master equation through a projection operator for all initial distribution functions in  $L^2_\mu \cap L^1_\mu$ .

## 2. Formulation of the Problem

Consider an abstract dynamical system  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T_t)$ . Here  $\Gamma$  denotes the phase space of  $T_t$  the system equipped with a  $\sigma$  algebra of measurable subsets,  $T_t$  a group of measurable transformations mapping  $\Gamma$  onto itself and preserving the measure  $\mu$ . For example,  $\Gamma$  could be the energy surface of a classical dynamical system,  $T_t$  the group of dynamical evolution and  $\mu$  the invariant measure whose existence is assured by Liouville's theorem. For convenience we shall assume the measure  $\mu$  to be normalized:  $\mu(\Gamma) = 1$ . As is well known, the evolution  $\rho \rightarrow \rho_t$  of density functions under the given deterministic dynamics is described by the unitary group  $U_t$  induced by  $T_t$

$$\rho_t(\omega) = (V_t \rho)(\omega) = (T_{-t} \omega) \quad .$$

The generator  $L$  of the unitary group  $U_t$  is called the Liouvillian operator of the system:  $U_t = \exp(-itL)$ . It is given by Poisson bracket with the Hamiltonian  $H$ :

$$L\rho = i[H, \rho]_{P.B.}$$

for Hamiltonian evolution.

On the other hand, stochastic Markov processes on the state space  $\Gamma$ , preserving  $\mu$ , are associated with contraction semigroups of  $L^2_\mu$ . In fact, let  $P(t, \omega, \Delta)$  denote the probability of transition from the point  $\omega \in \Gamma$  to the region  $\Delta$  in time  $t$ . Then the operators  $W_t$  defined by

$$(W_t f)(\omega) = \int f(\omega') P(t, \omega, d\omega')$$

form a contraction semigroup for  $t \geq 0$ . Moreover,  $W_t$  has the following properties:

- i)  $W_t$  preserves positivity (i.e.,  $f \geq 0$  implies  $W_t f \geq 0$  for  $t \geq 0$ ),
- ii)  $W_t \cdot 1 = 1$ .

The evolution of the distribution functions  $\beta$  under the Markov process is described now by the adjoint semigroup  $W_t^*$  which also preserves positivity since  $W_t$  does:  $\beta_0 + \beta_t = W_t^* \beta_0$ . Since the measure  $\mu$  is an invariant measure for the process (or equivalently the *microcanonical distribution function* 1 is the equilibrium state of the process) we also have

$$\text{iii) } W_t^* \cdot 1 = 1.$$

Every Markov process on  $\Gamma$  with stationary measure  $\mu$  is thus associated with a contraction semigroup satisfying the conditions i-iii). Conversely, every contraction semigroup  $W_t$  on  $\Gamma$  satisfying the above conditions comes from a stochastic Markov process, the transition probabilities  $P(t, \omega, \Delta)$  being given by

$$P(t, \omega, \Delta) = (W_t \varphi_\Delta)(\omega).$$

Here  $\varphi_\Delta$  denotes the characteristic (or indicator) function of the set  $\Delta$ .

In the following we are interested in a special class of Markov processes whose semigroups  $W_t$  satisfy (in addition to conditions i-iii)) the condition:

iv)  $\|W_t^* \rho - 1\|^2$  decreases strictly monotonically to 0 as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ; for all states  $\rho \neq 1$  (i.e., for all nonnegative distribution functions with  $\int \rho d\mu = 1$ ). This condition expresses the requirement that any initial distribution  $\rho$  tends strictly monotonically in time to the equilibrium distribution 1. For such processes the functional

$$\int_\Gamma \beta_t \log \beta_t d\mu, \quad \beta_t = W_t^* \beta_0$$

and indeed any other convex functional of  $\beta_t$  is an H function. Such Markov processes thus provide the best possible model of irreversible evolution obeying the law of monotonic increase of entropy. Semigroups satisfying the conditions i-iv) will be called *strongly irreversible Markov semigroups*.

The problem before us is to determine the class of dynamical systems for which one can construct a bounded operator  $\Lambda$  having the following properties

i)  $\Lambda$  preserve positivity,

ii)  $\Lambda 1 = 1$

iii)  $\int_{\Gamma} \Lambda \rho \, d\mu = \int_{\Gamma} \rho \, d\mu$

iv) The dynamical group  $U_t = \exp(-itL)$  satisfies the intertwining relation:  $\Lambda U_t = W_t^* \Lambda$  (for  $t \geq 0$ ) with a strongly irreversible Markov semigroup  $W_t$ .

We shall consider two cases:

First,  $\Lambda$  has a densely defined inverse  $\Lambda^{-1}$ . In this case  $\Lambda$  may be interpreted as defining a "change of representation" of dynamics  $\rho_t = U_t \rho_0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rho_t = \beta_t$ . Condition iv) then means that the evolution of transformed states obeys the master equation of the Markov process  $W_t$ . For dynamical systems admitting an invertible operator  $\Lambda$  satisfying conditions i-iv), the dynamical group  $U_t$  is similar to a strongly irreversible Markov semigroup  $\Lambda U_t \Lambda^{-1} = W_t^*$  for  $t \geq 0$ .

Note that the demanded invertibility of  $\Lambda$  assures that the passage  $\rho \rightarrow \Lambda \rho = \beta$  involves no "loss of information". Dynamical systems admitting such a  $\Lambda$  may, hence, be said to be *intrinsically random*.

The other case we consider is:  $\Lambda$  is a projection operator  $P$ . Such projection operators [i.e., projections  $P$  satisfying conditions i-iii), with  $\Lambda$  replaced by  $P$ ] correspond to operations of "coarse graining". The existence of such a projection  $P$  satisfying the intertwining relation iv) thus implies an *exact* Markovian master equation for the system which does not depend on special approximation schemes, but results solely from the projection operator  $P$ .

As described below, it is a remarkable fact that there is a rather general class of dynamical systems for which an exact master equation holds in this sense.

### 3. Dynamical Systems Admitting Exact Markovian Master Equations

A K flow is, by definition [9], an abstract dynamical system  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T_t)$  for which there exists a distinguished (measurable) partition  $\xi_0$  of the phase space into disjoint cells having the following properties

i)  $\xi_t = T_t \xi_0 \geq \xi_s$  if  $t \geq s$ .

Here  $T_t \xi_0 = \xi_t$  is the partition into which the original partition  $\xi_0$  is transformed in time under the dynamical evolution. The notation  $\xi_t \geq \xi_s$  signifies that the partition  $\xi_t$  is "finer" than  $\xi_s$  (i.e., every cell of  $\xi_t$  is entirely contained in one of the cells of  $\xi_s$ ).

ii) The (least fine) partition  $\bigvee_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_t$  which is finer than each  $\xi_t$ ,  $-\infty < t < +\infty$  is the partition of the phase space into distinct phase points.

iii) The (finest) partition  $\bigcap_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_t$  which is less fine than every  $\xi_t$  -  $-\infty < t < +\infty$  is a trivial partition consisting of a cell of measure 1.

A partition  $\xi_0$  with the above-stated properties is called a K partition. Many systems of physical interest have been recently found to be K flows, for instance, the motion of hard spheres within a finite box [10], the geodesic flow on space of constant negative curvature [11], the Lorentz gas, and infinite ideal gas and hard rod systems, etc. Generally, a K partition consists of an uncountable number of cells, each of null  $\mu$  measure. The notion of coarse graining with respect to a K partition cannot, therefore, be defined directly as the operation of taking averages over the cells of the partition. The appropriate extension of the usual concept of coarse graining is provided by the projection operator  $P_0$  of  $L^2_\mu$  onto the subspace  $L^2[a(\xi_0), \mu]$ . Here  $a(\xi_0)$  denotes the  $\sigma$  subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}$  consisting of only those measurable subsets of  $\Gamma$  that are unions of cells in  $\xi_0$  and  $L^2[a(\xi_0), \mu]$  is the subspace of all  $f \in L^2_\mu$  that are measurable with respect to  $a(\xi_0)$ . In fact, it is clear that for any nonnegative density function  $\rho$  the function  $P_0\rho$  has the following characteristic properties of coarse graining distribution with respect to the partition

- i)  $P_0\rho = \rho \geq 0$ ,
- ii)  $\int_{\Gamma} \rho \, d\mu = \int_{\Gamma} P_0\rho \, d\mu$ ,
- iii)  $\rho$  being measurable with respect to  $a(\xi_0)$ , can only assume constant values on individual cells of  $\xi_0$ ,
- iv)  $\int_{\Delta} \rho \, d\mu = \int_{\Delta} P_0\rho \, d\mu$   
for any measurable  $\Delta_0$  that is a union of cells in  $\xi_0$ .

The (self-adjoint) projection operation  $P_0$  of coarse graining with respect to a partition  $\xi_0$  obviously preserves positivity and maps the constant function (micro-canonical ensemble) onto itself. It is interesting that the converse is also true. For standard measure spaces  $(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  with  $\mu(\Gamma) = 1$  every self-adjoint projection operation  $P$  of  $L^2_\mu$  that preserves positivity and maps the constant function onto itself is the projection operator onto  $L^2[a(\xi), \mu]$  for some measurable partition  $\xi$  of  $\Gamma$ . The operations of coarse graining may thus be identified with (self-adjoint) projection operators  $P$  satisfying

- i)  $f \geq 0 \Rightarrow Pf \geq 0$  and
- ii)  $P1 = 1$ .

The following theorem tells that the condition of K flow is both necessary and sufficient for the existence of an operation of coarse graining that converts the dynamical evolution into that of a strongly irreversible stochastic Markov process.

#### 4. Theorem 1

Suppose a dynamical system with induced unitary group  $U_t$  of dynamical evolution admits a positivity preserving projection  $P$  mapping the unit function 1 to itself such that

$$i) \quad PU_t \rho = PU_t \rho' \quad \text{for all } t \Rightarrow \rho = \rho', \quad \text{and}$$

$$ii) \quad PU_t = W_t^* P \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

where  $W_t$  is a *strongly irreversible Markov semigroup* (see Sect.2 for definition).

Then the dynamical system is necessarily a K flow. Conversely, every K flow admits a positivity preserving projection (namely, the projection onto  $L^2[a(\xi_0), \mu]$  with  $\xi_0$  denoting a K partition) satisfying the conditions i) and ii) above.

*Remark:* Condition i) means that the coarse graining under consideration is sufficiently fine so that a knowledge of the coarse grained distribution  $PU_t \rho$  during the entire history, both past and future, of the system's evolution is equivalent to a knowledge of the original distribution.

We shall not stop here for a proof of the theorem which may be found elsewhere, but let us mention an important corollary of this result.

#### 5. Corollary 2.

For K flows the (negative) entropy functional

$$\Omega(\rho_t) = \int \beta_t \log \beta_t \, d\mu, \quad \beta_t = P_0 U_t \rho$$

with  $P_0$  denoting the coarse graining projection operation with respect to a K partition, is a monotonically decreasing function (H function) of  $t$  which attains the fine-grained value  $\int \rho \ln \rho \, d\mu$  at  $t \rightarrow -\infty$  and the equilibrium value (0 due to our normalization of  $\mu$ ) at  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .

This result follows immediately from theorem 1 because  $\beta_t = P_0 U_t \rho = W_t^* P_0 \rho = W_t^* \rho_0$  where  $W_t$  is the semigroup of a *strongly irreversible Markov process*. It is well-known that for such processes the functional  $\Omega$ , and indeed any convex functional of  $\beta_t$ , is an H function. Let us mention that this result has recently been obtained by Penrose and Goldstein by an independent argument [12].

Finally, let us mention that for K flow one cannot only construct a coarse graining operation that leads from the deterministic and reversible evolution  $U_t$  to that of a strongly irreversible stochastic process, but one can also construct an *invertible* and positivity preserving transformation  $\Lambda$  such that  $\Lambda U_t \Lambda^{-1}$  is a strongly irreversible Markov semigroup for  $t \geq 0$ . In other terms, the unitary group  $U_t$  induced from every K flow is nonunitarily equivalent, through a positivity preserving similarity  $\Lambda$ , to the contraction semigroup of a stochastic Markov process.

A detailed discussion of this construction is described in our previous publications [4,5]. Let us only mention that the operator  $\Lambda$  establishing nonunitary equivalence between  $U_t$  and a stochastic Markov process  $W_t^*$  may be constructed as a *suitable* function of operator time  $T$  [3]

$$\Lambda = h(T) + P_{-\infty}$$

$$T = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \lambda dF_{\lambda}, \quad F_{\lambda} = U_{\lambda} P_0 U_{\lambda}^* - P_{-\infty}.$$

Here  $P_0$  denotes the projection of coarse graining with respect to the  $K$  partition  $\xi_0$  and  $P_{-\infty}$ , the projection to the equilibrium ensemble. With  $\Lambda$  constructed as above, the functional

$$\int_{\Gamma} \tilde{\rho}_t \log \tilde{\rho}_t d\mu, \quad \tilde{\rho}_t \equiv \Lambda U_t \rho$$

is again an  $H$  function.

## 6. Concluding Remarks

Let us emphasize that the possibility of obtaining an exact master equation from dynamics as discussed here comes from the existence of suitable *dynamics-dependent* operator  $\Lambda$  or  $P_0$  that explicitly break the time-reversal (or velocity-inversion) symmetry. The necessity of considering such dynamics-dependent transformations for the passage from dynamics to irreversible evolution have been discussed in a previous publication [2]. This should be distinguished from the usual procedure of obtaining a master equation via a generalized master equation, where one starts with a projection that does not depend on dynamics and does not break the time-reversal symmetry. In fact, as is well known, it is the approximation schemes (such as weak coupling limit) that breaks the time-reversal symmetry in the conventional approach.

To see explicitly the noninvariance of the projection  $P_0$  under velocity inversion let us consider the operation of velocity inversion which, by definition, has the following properties

- i)  $V$  preserves positivity,
- ii)  $V^2 = I$ ,
- iii)  $VU_t = U_{-t}V$ .

Now,  $P_0$  being the projection with respect to the  $K$  partition  $\xi_0$ , it is clear that  $U_t P_0 U_t^* = P_t$  is the projection with respect to  $T_t \xi_0$ . The defining properties of  $\xi_0$  (see Sect.2) translate into the following properties of  $P_t$

- i)  $P_t \geq P_s$  if  $t \geq s$ ,

- ii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t = I$ , and  
 iii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} P_t = P_{-\infty}$

the projection onto the equilibrium ensemble. Suppose now that  $P_0$  is invariant under  $V$ ,  $VP_0V = P_0$ . This would then imply that

$$P_t = U_t P_0 U_t^* = U_t V P_0 V U_t^* = V U_{-t} P_0 U_{-t}^* = V P_{-t} V.$$

Since  $P_t \geq P_0$  for  $t \geq 0$  it follows that

$$P_{-t} = V P_t V \geq V P_0 V = P_0 \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Thus we have

$$P_t = U_t P_0 U_t^* \geq P_0 \quad \text{for all real } t$$

which is possible only if  $P_0 = U_t P_0^{-1} U_t^*$  or  $P_0$  commutes with  $U_t$ . This contradicts, however, the properties that  $P_t \rightarrow I$ ,  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $P_t \rightarrow P_{-\infty}$ ,  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ .

A variant of this argument shows also that no projection operator  $P$  (whether coming from a  $K$  partition or not) which commutes with velocity inversion  $V$  can yield an exact master equation, i.e., satisfy the relation  $PU_t = W_t^* P$  (for  $t \geq 0$ ) with a strongly irreversible Markov semigroup  $W_t$ .

Similar considerations show that the symmetry of velocity inversion is also broken by invertible transformation  $\Lambda$ . It fact if  $V\Lambda V = \Lambda$  it would follow that together with  $\Lambda U_t \Lambda^{-1}$  (for  $t \geq 0$ ) the operation  $V \Lambda U_t \Lambda^{-1} V = \Lambda U_{-t} \Lambda^{-1}$  also preserves positivity. However,  $\Lambda U_{-t} \Lambda^{-1}$  is the inverse of  $\Lambda U_t \Lambda^{-1}$  and they both can preserve positivity if and only if  $\Lambda U_t \Lambda^{-1}$  is unitary [7]. This, however, contradicts the established fact [4,5] that, with  $\Lambda$  constructed as described in a previous section,  $\Lambda U_t \Lambda^{-1}$  is a strongly irreversible Markov semigroup and hence nonunitary.

Finally, let us briefly show that the classical objection (such as those of Zermelo and Loschmidt) against the derivation of Boltzmann's  $H$  theorem does not apply to the  $H$  functions  $\Omega(\rho_t)$  considered by us

$$\Omega(\rho_t) = \int \rho_t \log \rho_t \, d\mu$$

with

$$\rho_t = P_0 \rho_t \quad \text{or} \quad \Lambda \rho_t, \quad \rho_t = U_t \rho.$$

Zermelo's objection, which is based on Poincare's recurrence theorem, obviously does not apply as there can be no recurrence theorem for regular density functions  $\rho$ . Let us emphasize in this connection that both  $P_0$  and  $\Lambda$  cannot be defined to act on phase points or singular distributions, but must necessarily be defined as only acting on regular density functions.

Loschmidt's objection which is based on symmetry of dynamical evolution under velocity inversion is deeper. Indedd, computer calculation of Boltzmann's  $H$  quantity



$$H_B = \int f(v) \log f(v) dv ,$$

where  $f(v)$  is the one-particle velocity distribution, has been made for a system of hard disks. If one starts with an initial distribution based on lattice sites and with isotropic velocity distribution, then one finds that  $H_B$  does indeed decrease with time  $t$ . However, if all velocities are inverted at time  $t_0$ , it is found that  $H_B$  behaves antithermodynamically (i.e., increases rather than decreases) in the interval  $[t_0, 2t_0]$ . This clearly demonstrates that Boltzmann's H theorem cannot be valid for all initial density functions. In particular, it cannot be valid both before and after velocity inversion.

In contrast the H function  $\Omega(\rho_t)$  is a monotonically decreasing function of  $t$  for all initial density  $\rho$  as long as the system remains isolated. Velocity inversion at time  $t_0$ , may cause a discontinuous jump in  $\Omega(\rho_t)$  due to entropy (or information) flow from the external apparatus implementing the velocity inversion; but  $\Omega(\rho_t)$  again continues to decrease as soon as the operation of velocity inversion is completed and the system is again isolated from the outside. At no stage is there any antithermodynamic behavior. In any cycle in which the system returns to its initial state  $\rho_0$  the net entropy flow  $dS_e$  from the outside to the system is negative in agreement with the thermodynamic principle that the entropy production  $dS_i = -dS_e$  inside the system is positive. Let us illustrate this explicitly in the case that the initial state is symmetric under velocity inversion  $V\rho = \rho$ , and one takes  $\beta_t = P_0 U_t \rho$  in defining  $\Omega(\rho_t)$ .

Now, using the notation  $\Omega(t) \equiv \Omega(\rho_t)$

$$\Omega(0) = \int (P_0 \rho) \ln (P_0 \rho) d\mu$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(t_{0-}) &= \int_{\Gamma} (P_0 U_{t_0} \rho) \ln (P_0 U_{t_0} \rho) d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} (U_t^* P_0 U_t \rho) \ln (U_t^* P_0 U_t \rho) d\mu \\ &= \int (P_{-t_0} \rho) \ln (P_{-t_0} \rho) d\mu \leq \Omega(0) , \quad t_0 \geq 0 . \end{aligned}$$

(Here the first equality follows because the expression  $\int \rho \ln \rho d\mu$  is invariant under dynamical evolution  $U_t$ , and the inequality follows because  $P_{-t_0}$  is a coarse graining operation with respect to a coarser partition than that of  $P_0$ ).

If velocities are inversed at  $t_0$  the H function  $\Omega(t_{0+})$  immediately after this operation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(t_{0+}) &= \int_{\Gamma} (P_0 V U_{t_0} \rho) \ln (P_0 V U_{t_0} \rho) d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} (P_0 U_{t_0}^* V \rho) \ln (P_0 U_{t_0}^* V \rho) d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} (P_{t_0} V \rho) \ln (P_{t_0} V \rho) d\mu = \int (P_{t_0} \rho) \ln (P_{t_0} \rho) d\mu . \end{aligned}$$