

ASPEN PUBLISHERS

GOLDBERG
SEBOK
ZIPURSKY

TORT LAW
Responsibilities
and Redress

*Second
Edition*



Wolters Kluwer
Law & Business

ASPEN PUBLISHERS

TORT LAW

Responsibilities and Redress

Second Edition

John C. P. Goldberg

*Professor of Law
Vanderbilt University*

Anthony J. Sebok

*Professor of Law
Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law*

Benjamin C. Zipursky

*Professor of Law
Fordham University*



Wolters Kluwer

Law & Business

AUSTIN BOSTON CHICAGO NEW YORK THE NETHERLANDS

© 2008 Aspen Publishers. All Rights Reserved.

<http://lawschool.aspenpublishers.com>

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Requests for permission to make copies of any part of this publication should be mailed to:

Aspen Publishers
Attn: Permissions Department
76 Ninth Avenue, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10011-5201

To contact Customer Care, e-mail customer.care@aspenpublishers.com, call 1-800-234-1660, fax 1-800-901-9075, or mail correspondence to:

Aspen Publishers
Attn: Order Department
PO Box 990
Frederick, MD 21705

Printed in the United States of America.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0

ISBN 978-0-7355-6560-9

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Goldberg, John C., 1961-

Tort law : responsibilities and redress / John C.P. Goldberg, Anthony J. Sebok, Benjamin C. Zipursky. — 2nd ed.
p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-7355-6560-9

1. Torts — United States. 2. Torts — United States — Cases. I. Sebok, Anthony James, 1963- II. Zipursky, Benjamin Charles, 1960- III. Title.

KF1250.G65 2008
346.7303 — dc22

2008003412

About Wolters Kluwer Law & Business

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business is a leading provider of research information and workflow solutions in key specialty areas. The strengths of the individual brands of Aspen Publishers, CCH, Kluwer Law International and Loislaw are aligned within Wolters Kluwer Law & Business to provide comprehensive, in-depth solutions and expert-authored content for the legal, professional and education markets.

CCH was founded in 1913 and has served more than four generations of business professionals and their clients. The CCH products in the Wolters Kluwer Law & Business group are highly regarded electronic and print resources for legal, securities, antitrust and trade regulation, government contracting, banking, pension, payroll, employment and labor, and healthcare reimbursement and compliance professionals.

Aspen Publishers is a leading information provider for attorneys, business professionals and law students. Written by preeminent authorities, Aspen products offer analytical and practical information in a range of specialty practice areas from securities law and intellectual property to mergers and acquisitions and pension/benefits. Aspen's trusted legal education resources provide professors and students with high-quality, up-to-date and effective resources for successful instruction and study in all areas of the law.

Kluwer Law International supplies the global business community with comprehensive English-language international legal information. Legal practitioners, corporate counsel and business executives around the world rely on the Kluwer Law International journals, loose-leafs, books and electronic products for authoritative information in many areas of international legal practice.

Loislaw is a premier provider of digitized legal content to small law firm practitioners of various specializations. Loislaw provides attorneys with the ability to quickly and efficiently find the necessary legal information they need, when and where they need it, by facilitating access to primary law as well as state-specific law, records, forms and treatises.

Wolters Kluwer Law & Business, a unit of Wolters Kluwer, is headquartered in New York and Riverwoods, Illinois. Wolters Kluwer is a leading multinational publisher and information services company.

To Julie
—J.C.P.G.

To Alissa
—A.J.S.

To Antonia
—B.C.Z.

PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION

Much has happened in the world of torts since this book was first published early in 2004. In keeping with the general trend since about 1980, a lot of the action has involved efforts to limit the reach of tort law. Several state legislatures, including those of Georgia, Mississippi, Oklahoma, South Carolina, and West Virginia, joined the now sizable majority that have limited or eliminated joint and several liability for indivisible injuries. Congress failed to pass the mammoth Fairness in Asbestos Injury Resolution (FAIR) Act of 2006, but continued its practice of sporadically intervening to protect certain industries, including gun manufacturers via the 2005 “Protection of Lawful Commerce in Arms Act.” Comparable efforts to block “obesity suits” against purveyors of fast food failed in the Senate, although some state legislatures have adopted such laws. The national legislature’s efforts to stave off personal injury litigation arising out of 9/11 by capping liability and creating a victim compensation fund appear to have been largely successful. To be sure, the scheme of payouts ultimately devised by special master Kenneth Feinberg has generated considerable commentary and criticism. Still, 97 percent of those eligible for relief from the fund applied for compensation. Suits brought by those who did not apply are about to go to trial. For its part — as mentioned in the notes following *Geier* (Chapter 13) — the Roberts Court is about to resolve several potentially important suits concerning the extent to which federal statutes and regulations preempt state tort law. *Philip Morris USA v. Williams*, 127 S. Ct. 1057 (2007) (excerpted in Chapter 13) continued the Court’s recent practice of articulating somewhat cryptic due process limits on punitive damage awards. Although talk of a medical malpractice “crisis” seems to be subsiding, activists and scholars are now busily arguing over whether malpractice insurance rates have responded to cyclical market forces or instead to pro-defendant tort reforms.

Yet the story of tort law in the past few years has not been completely one-sided. Large-scale products liability litigation continues. Most notably, thousands of products liability suits were filed alleging that the pain reliever Vioxx caused patients to suffer heart failure and strokes. A tentative settlement involving payouts of nearly \$5 billion was recently announced. The settlement is significant as much for its form as its substance: It consists not of agreements between the defendant and individual Vioxx claimants but rather of a contract between the defendant and the key plaintiffs’ law firms involved, whereby the latter promise to recommend the settlement to each of their clients. Among the numerous suits against insurers for losses related

to the destruction wrought by Hurricane Katrina are many tort claims for bad faith denials of coverage. Claims against churches and other institutions for turning a blind eye to sexual abuse by employees have generated millions of dollars of liability. In less visible ways, state courts have expanded the scope of tort liability, often in the context of holding “background” or “remote” actors liable for injuries caused most immediately by another wrongdoer. *Coombes v. Florio*, 450 Mass. 182 (2007), a just-issued decision, holds that a treating physician who fails to warn his patient that prescribed medication can cause drowsiness is subject to liability for the death of a bystander who was killed when the driver fell asleep at the wheel. Meanwhile, in *Ferdon v. Wisconsin Patients Compensation Fund*, 701 N.W.2d 440 (2005), the Wisconsin Supreme Court, joining several other state high courts, went so far as to strike down on state constitutional grounds a medical malpractice reform statute that included caps on non-economic damages. In *Sosa v. Alvarez-Machain*, 542 U.S. 692 (2004), decided just after the first edition of this book was published, the Supreme Court perhaps unexpectedly declined an invitation simply to cut off litigation under the Alien Tort Claims Act, instead recognizing the validity in principle of some suits by foreign nationals seeking to hold actors accountable for gross human rights violations. And, after years of dormancy, market share liability met with the approval of courts in Wisconsin and California, and the Southern District of New York.

Our aim in revising the book for this edition has been to introduce incremental rather than sweeping changes. The book’s basic structure remains intact, although in various places we have replaced or added new cases and notes to reflect developments of the sort described above, as well the immensely valuable feedback we have received from users of the book. Chapter 9, which covers battery, assault, and false imprisonment, contains the most significant changes, which have been introduced so that the chapter provides better support to professors who begin their classes with intentional torts. Although our judgment is that the changes we have introduced throughout the book constitute improvements, we recognize that some may prefer things the way they were. *For this reason, we have made available on the book’s website electronic files containing all the main cases that were removed from the First Edition:* www.aspenlawschool.com/goldberg2. A table summarizing the major changes between the two editions can be found at the front of the Teacher’s Manual for this edition.

We wish first to thank the many law professors and students who generously offered thoughtful advice on how to improve the book. Thanks are also due (again) to Mike Gregory, Carol McGeehan, Rick Mixter, George Serafin, and the other members of the Aspen team for their unflagging support of this project and its authors, as well as Barbara Roth and Troy Froebe for carefully steering us through the revision process. Steven Berne- man provided valuable research assistance. Our work on the book has been generously supported by Brooklyn Law School, Benjamin N. Cardozo

School of Law, Fordham University School of Law, Harvard Law School, and
Vanderbilt Law School.

John C. P. Goldberg
Anthony J. Sebok
Benjamin C. Zipursky

Nashville, New York
February 2008

PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION

This book has been written to help a new generation of law students learn an area of law—Torts—that is at once ancient and contemporary, rule-governed and flexible, well-established and controversial.

American tort law traces back to the law of medieval England, a time and place in which government efforts to secure citizens' security from injury were relatively modest. Today, tort law—itself a complex institution—exists within a vastly more complex regulatory state that devotes substantial effort to promoting safety and to providing for citizens' welfare. We hope to give students a sense of where tort law has come from, and of the roles it plays, and might play, in our modern system of government.

As an evolving body of doctrine shaped in courtrooms around the country, tort law simultaneously empowers and limits individuals in their ability to invoke the legal system, and likewise empowers and limits legal decision-makers such as judges and juries faced with the task of deciding whether to hold one person liable for another's injuries. We aim to help students appreciate both the constraining and the power-conferring aspects of tort law.

Tort has been a part of American law since the nation's founding. Today, however, it is at a crossroads: Lawyers, politicians, and academics disagree sharply about its continued utility and viability. We seek to enable students to see why tort law is basic to our legal system, but also why it has become a source of controversy.

In pursuing these pedagogic goals, we have been guided by five themes:

1. As its title suggests, this book is organized around the general theme of responsibilities and redress. Tort law, in our view, has two fundamental features. First, it articulates and imposes on members of society a set of legal obligations—i.e., *responsibilities*—to avoid injuring others. Second, it empowers persons to bring suit to establish that they have been injured by another's failure to heed this sort of obligation—i.e., to pursue and obtain *redress*. Tort is a core part of the first-year curriculum for these reasons: It examines the law's imposition of basic obligations not to injure others, as well as the law's recognition of the right of aggrieved persons to seek redress through the courts for violations of those obligations.

2. We have edited the cases in this book lightly, in a conscious effort to allow readers to experience the “thick” contexts out of which tort law emerges. Put simply, we aim to allow students to read the facts of each case for themselves. We also try to let the judges speak for themselves through their opinions. Our hope is that this approach will help beginning law students

appreciate the degree to which judgments about legal responsibilities are sensitive to facts, and to see that common-law principles are not extracted from some “heaven of legal concepts,” but instead derive from ordinary experience. Further, we hope that, by presenting cases edited in this way, we will aid students in developing the capacity to read carefully, an essential tool for good lawyering.

3. The cases and the notes in this book aim to demonstrate to students how the substance of a body of law like torts is heavily influenced by rules of procedure, by the institutions that have been created to handle tort litigation, and by other bodies of law that address some of the same conduct and issues addressed by tort law. Thus, throughout the book, we point out ways in which the demands of trial and appellate processes shape tort doctrine. In various places, we also explore the role played by legislatures in developing, or responding to developments in, tort doctrine. Another of the book’s aspirations is to ensure that students appreciate that tort is but one part of the law, and that it can only be adequately understood in relation to other areas of law, including civil procedure, contracts, property, employment law, anti-discrimination law, and constitutional law.

4. Apart from retaining “classic” tort opinions that all law students are expected to know, we have sought as much as possible to use contemporary cases presenting situations that students will be able to recognize. We hope that, by employing these sorts of cases to illuminate the basic concepts of tort law, we will make the subject less archaic and mysterious to novice lawyers, while also helping them to begin to think for themselves about the various choices that courts and lawmakers must make as they carry tort law forward into the future. We also believe that the use of relatively recent cases will help students perceive the relevance of the subject and the significance of the issues that are currently in play in the law of tort.

5. This book adopts a perspective on law that we hope is refreshing. It is, of course, vital that first-year law students come to appreciate that “the law” is not a rule book—that there is play in its joints and deep tensions in its soul. Yet it is equally important that students not be left with the skeptical lesson that law is nothing more than what a particular judge or jury says it is. Thus, in these materials, we strive to help students grasp how the key concepts of tort—concepts such as “reasonable care,” “causation,” and “intent”—structure and organize legal analysis even as they point it in new directions. A good lawyer, we hope to demonstrate, is one who appreciates both the limits and the flexibility of tort doctrine; one who has a sense of how to make innovative and progressive arguments from within the law. For these reasons, our book has a number of distinctive features. Particularly in its early chapters, it contains a good deal of expository text, in part to help students overcome the steep learning curve encountered in the first weeks of law school. It also contains a number of opinions from intermediate appellate courts, in part because these courts tend to approach cases as presenting problems in the application of law, rather than occasions to rework it. The book also includes some “easy” cases. These opinions can help students avoid basic confusions by

providing clear examples of certain torts, or certain concepts. Lastly, the notes following the principal cases strive to be explanatory rather than Delphic. If our own engagement with this subject has taught us anything, it is that tort law, even when presented in a relatively straightforward way, is more than rich enough to captivate students and professors alike.

John C. P. Goldberg
Anthony J. Sebok
Benjamin C. Zipursky

Nashville, Brooklyn, Manhattan
April 2004

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We owe more debts than we can acknowledge. Thanks to the numerous faculty members of Brooklyn, Fordham, NYU, Vanderbilt, and many other law schools who gave of their time to respond to our queries and to correct our mistakes. Equal thanks to our students for bearing with us as we field-tested the manuscript, and for helping us to improve it. The final product was also aided immeasurably by the careful and insightful comments provided by several anonymous reviewers.

For excellent research assistance, thanks to Vijay Baliga, Lia Brooks, Will Edmonson, Kristina Hill, James Killelea, Erin McMurray, John Rue, Michael Samalin, Lillith Shilton, Elizabeth TeSelle, and Allen Woods. Renee Cote and Curt Berkowitz provided expert editorial assistance in preparing the manuscript for publication. Jessica Barmack, Melody Davies, Elizabeth Kenny, Carol McGeehan, and Richard Mixer of Aspen were instrumental in launching this project, helping it take shape, and seeing it through to completion. Renee Hawkins generously served double-duty as word processor and editorial advisor. Enid Zafran prepared the Table of Cases and the Index.

Finally, thanks to Brooklyn Law School, Fordham University School of Law, and Vanderbilt University Law School for supporting our research and writing.

The following have granted us permission to reproduce their copyrighted works:

American Law Institute: Sections from the First, Second, and Third Restatements of Torts, and the First Restatement of Agency.

American Lawyer Media, Inc.: Catherine Aman & Gary Young, "Wal-Mart Shifting Litigation Strategy . . .," *National Law Journal*, September 30, 2002.

New York State Unified Court System: Excerpts from Pattern Jury Instruction — Civil 2:278 (2007).

NOTES ON THE TEXT

Omissions from the judicial opinions reproduced herein are marked with ellipses, except for omitted internal citations, which are not marked (unless only part of a citation has been removed). In some opinions, we have added paragraph breaks to improve their comprehensibility. For the same reason, we have also at times deleted headings and subheadings. Numbered footnotes appear as in the original texts. Our own additions to the text of opinions are marked with square brackets and/or asterisked footnotes.

References to scholarly books and articles mentioned in our notes can be found in the *References/Further Reading* section at the end of each chapter.

Appendices B and C, as well as Modules I and II, which are referenced in the text, can be found on the CD that accompanies this hardbound volume.

TORT LAW

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

<i>Table of Contents</i>	xi
<i>Preface to the Second Edition</i>	xix
<i>Preface to the First Edition</i>	xxiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xxvii
<i>Notes on the Text</i>	xxix

PART ONE

OVERVIEW 1

Chapter 1	An Introduction to Torts	3
-----------	--------------------------	---

PART TWO

NEGLIGENCE: LIABILITY FOR PHYSICAL HARMS 45

Chapter 2	The Duty Element	47
Chapter 3	The Breach Element	137
Chapter 4	The Causation Element	217
Chapter 5	Aligning the Elements: Proximate Cause and <i>Palsgraf</i>	267
Chapter 6	Statutory Supplements: Negligence Per Se, Wrongful Death Acts, and Implied Rights of Action	337
Chapter 7	Defenses	389
Chapter 8	Damages and Apportionment	463

PART THREE

BATTERY, ASSAULT, FALSE IMPRISONMENT, AND INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS 547

Chapter 9	Battery, Assault, and False Imprisonment	549
Chapter 10	Infliction of Emotional Distress	647

PART FOUR
LIABILITY WITHOUT FAULT AND
PRODUCTS LIABILITY

757

Chapter 11	Property Torts and Ultrahazardous Activities	759
Chapter 12	Products Liability	843

PART FIVE
TORTS AT THE SUPREME COURT

987

Chapter 13	Torts at the Supreme Court	989
------------	----------------------------	-----

<i>Appendix A: Materials Concerning Walter v.</i>		
<i>Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.</i>		1073
<i>Table of Cases</i>		1119
<i>Index</i>		1127

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Preface to the Second Edition</i>	xix
<i>Preface to the First Edition</i>	xxiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xxvii
<i>Notes on the Text</i>	xxix

PART ONE

OVERVIEW

1

CHAPTER 1	
AN INTRODUCTION TO TORTS	3
I. What Is a Tort?	3
II. An Example of a Tort Suit	4
<i>Walter v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.</i>	5
A. Common Law and Statute	12
B. Responsibilities in Tort	16
C. The Role of Lawyers	18
D. Proceeding Through Court	21
III. Tort Law in Context	31
A. Tort Contrasted with Other Areas of Law	31
B. The Politics of Tort Law	38
C. Some Statistics Concerning the Tort System	40
IV. Using This Book	41
References/Further Reading	41

PART TWO

NEGLIGENCE: LIABILITY FOR PHYSICAL HARMS

45

CHAPTER 2	
THE DUTY ELEMENT	47
I. Negligence: A Brief Overview	47
A. Elements of the Prima Facie Case	47
B. The Injury Element	48
C. Focusing on Physical Harms	50
II. The Duty Element and the General Duty of Reasonable Care	50
A. Easy Cases: The Unqualified Duty to Conduct Oneself with Reasonable Care for the Person and Property of Others	51