


FERTILIZATION AND EMBRYONIC DEVELOPMENT *IN VITRO*

Edited by
LUIGI MASTROIANNI, Jr.
and
JOHN D. BIGGERS



FERTILIZATION AND EMBRYONIC DEVELOPMENT *IN VITRO*

Edited by

Luigi Mastroianni, Jr.

*University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania*

and

John D. Biggers

*Harvard Medical School
Boston, Massachusetts*

PLENUM PRESS • NEW YORK AND LONDON

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Main entry under title:

Fertilization and embryonic development in vitro.

Bibliography: p.

Includes index.

1. Fertilization in vitro. 2. Embryology, Experimental. I. Mastroianni, Luigi. II. Biggers, John D., 1923- . [DNLM: I. Fertilization in vitro. 2. Embryo transfer. 3. Embryo. 4. Embryo, Non-mammalian. WQ 205 F411]

QP273.F47

599.03'3

81-13829

ISBN 0-306-40783-3

AACR2

© 1981 Plenum Press, New York

A Division of Plenum Publishing Corporation

233 Spring Street, New York, N.Y. 10013

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording, or otherwise, without written permission from the publisher

Printed in the United States of America

FERTILIZATION
AND EMBRYONIC
DEVELOPMENT
IN VITRO

CONTRIBUTORS

D. H. BARRON Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611

BARRY D. BAVISTER Wisconsin Regional Primate Research Center, Madison, Wisconsin 53706

FULLER W. BAZER Department of Animal Science, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611

DALE JOHN BENOS Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Laboratory of Human Reproduction and Reproductive Biology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115

JOHN D. BIGGERS Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Laboratory of Human Reproduction and Reproductive Biology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115

BENJAMIN G. BRACKETT Department of Clinical Studies—New Bolton Center, University of Pennsylvania School of Veterinary Medicine, Kennett Square, Pennsylvania 19348, and Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

LUDEMAN A. ENG Department of Anatomy, University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, Virginia 22908

CHARLES J. EPSTEIN Departments of Pediatrics and of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California, San Francisco, California 94143

MITCHELL S. GOLBUS Departments of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences and of Pediatrics, University of California Medical Center, San Francisco, California 94143

M. H. GOLDSTEIN Department of Physiology, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611

GARY D. HODGEN Pregnancy Research Branch, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20205

OLIVIER KREITMANN Pregnancy Research Branch, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20205

ALINA C. LOPO Department of Anatomy, University of California Medical Center, San Francisco, California 94143

LUIGI MASTROIANNI, Jr. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

WILBERT E. NIXON Pregnancy Research Branch, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20205

GENE OLIPHANT Department of Anatomy, University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, Virginia 22908

G. E. SEIDEL, Jr. Animal Reproduction Laboratory, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523

BENNETT M. SHAPIRO Department of Biochemistry, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195

VICTOR D. VACQUIER Marine Biology Research Division, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093

DON P. WOLF Division of Reproductive Biology, Departments of Obstetrics and Gynecology, and Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

R. YANAGIMACHI Department of Anatomy and Reproductive Biology, University of Hawaii School of Medicine, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822

CONTENTS

PROLOGUE 1
John D. Biggers

Chapter 1
**COLLECTION OF GAMETES IN LABORATORY ANIMALS
AND PREPARATION OF SPERM FOR *IN VITRO*
FERTILIZATION**
Gene Oliphant and Ludeman A. Eng

Collection of Ova for <i>in Vitro</i> Fertilization	11
Methods Presently Used for Sperm Collection	12
Differences in Sperm as a Function of Collection Method	14
Preparation of Ejaculated Sperm for Use in <i>in Vitro</i> Fertilization	15
Methods Used to Induce Capacitation of Ejaculated Sperm	20
References	23

Chapter 2
**OVUM COLLECTION AND INDUCED LUTEAL
DYSFUNCTION IN PRIMATES**
Olivier Kreitmann, Wilbert E. Nixon, and Gary D. Hodgen

Ovum Collection	28
Evaluation of Corpus Luteum Function	31
Induced Folliculogenesis	34
Discussion and Conclusions	36
References	37

Chapter 3**ANALYSIS OF CULTURE MEDIA FOR *IN VITRO* FERTILIZATION AND CRITERIA FOR SUCCESS****Barry D. Bavister**

Culture Media	42
Criteria for Success	51
Conclusions	56
References	58

Chapter 4***IN VITRO* CULTURE OF THE ZYGOTE AND EMBRYO****Benjamin G. Brackett**

General Observations on Fertilization and Early Development <i>in Vitro</i>	63
Metabolic Requirements for Embryonic Development <i>in Vitro</i>	66
Complex Media and Biological Fluids for Embryo Culture	69
Conclusions	71
References	73

Chapter 5**MECHANISMS OF FERTILIZATION IN MAMMALS****R. Yanagimachi**

Epididymal Maturation and Capacitation of Spermatozoa	82
Acrosome and Acrosome Reaction	88
Hyperactivation of Spermatozoa	109
Interaction of Spermatozoa with the Cumulus Oophorus	112
Interaction of Spermatozoa with the Zona Pellucida	118
Sperm-Egg Fusion	131
Decondensation of the Sperm Nucleus and Development of Sperm and Egg Pronuclei	147
Conclusions	152
References	155

Chapter 6**THE MAMMALIAN EGG'S BLOCK TO POLYSPERMY****Don P. Wolf**

Zona Reaction	185
Zona Reaction Mechanisms	188

Egg Plasma Membrane Block	189
Block to Polyspermy Mechanisms	192
Conclusions	194
References	194

Chapter 7

GAMETE INTERACTION IN THE SEA URCHIN A Model for Understanding the Molecular Details of Animal Fertilization

Alina C. Lopo and Victor D. Vacquier

Fertilization in the Sea Urchin	201
The Plasma Membrane of Sea Urchin Sperm	203
Sperm-Specific Surface Antigenicity Common to Seven Animal Phyla	213
Isolation of Acrosome Granules and Identification of Bindin as the Major Component Involved in Sperm Adhesion	217
Identification of a Bindin Receptor Glycoprotein from the Egg Vitelline Layer	220
Conclusions	225
References	226

Chapter 8

AWAKENING OF THE INVERTEBRATE EGG AT FERTILIZATION

Bennett M. Shapiro

Sperm-Egg Fusion and the Rapid Block to Polyspermy	233
Insertion and Localization of Sperm Components in the Egg	236
The Cortical Reaction and Extracellular Peroxidative Reactions	241
Activation of Egg Metabolism	251
Conclusions	252
References	253

Chapter 9

CHROMOSOME ABERRATIONS AND MAMMALIAN REPRODUCTION

Mitchell S. Golbus

The Newborn	258
Postimplantation Embryos	258

Preimplantation Embryos	263
Germ Cells	266
Conclusions	269
References	269

Chapter 10

THE EFFECTS OF CHROMOSOMAL ANEUPLOIDY ON EARLY DEVELOPMENT

Experimental Approaches

Charles J. Epstein

Products of Aneuploid Mouse Embryos	274
The Consequences of Monosomy	276
Identical Twin Embryos	278
Conclusions	280
References	281

Chapter 11

BLASTOCYST FLUID FORMATION

Dale John Benos and John D. Biggers

Na^+/K^+ ATPase and Blastocyst Fluid Accumulation	285
Oxygen Consumption and Active Transport	289
Developmental Aspects of Solute Transport in Blastocysts	291
Conclusions	295
References	296

Chapter 12

WATER AND ELECTROLYTE TRANSPORT BY PIG CHORIOALLANTOIS

Fuller W. Bazer, M. H. Goldstein, and D. H. Barron

Porcine Allantoic Fluid Volume and Composition	300
Effect of Lactogenic Hormones on Transport Properties of the Porcine Chorioallantoic Membrane	305
Effect of Bromocryptine on Allantoic Fluid Volume and Electrolyte Composition at Day 30 of Gestation	314
Discussion and Conclusions	316
References	318

Chapter 13

**CRITICAL REVIEW OF EMBRYO TRANSFER
PROCEDURES WITH CATTLE**

G. E. Seidel, Jr.

Normalcy of Superovulated Ova	324
A Note on Experimental Design	326
Morphological Evaluation of Embryos	327
Morphological Normalcy of Superovulated Ova with Time	329
<i>In Vitro</i> Culture of Bovine Embryos	331
Stage of the Estrous Cycle to Initiate Superovulation	333
Regimens for Inseminating Superovulated Cows	335
Side of Transfer	335
Stage of Embryonic Development and Surgical Transfer	337
Donor-Recipient Estrous Cycle Synchrony	339
Factors Affecting Pregnancy Rates after Nonsurgical Transfer	343
Summary and Conclusions	347
References	348

EPILOGUE	355
-----------------------	------------

Luigi Mastroianni, Jr.

INDEX	363
--------------------	------------

PROLOGUE

JOHN D. BIGGERS

By 1882 enough was known about the preimplantation developmental stages of mammals that a laboratory course was offered to students at the University of Cambridge in which they recovered and examined these stages from rabbits. The course was part of a larger embryology class given by the brilliant young biologist Francis Maitland Balfour. Balfour's research concerned the embryology of the chick, elasmobranchs, and the invertebrate *Peripatus*. In 1879 Walter Heape, at the age of 25, abandoned a business vocation and joined Balfour's laboratory. A distinguished career followed, for Heape was destined to discover the estrous cycle, perform the first transfer of a mammalian embryo from one female to another, describe the uterine changes in primates during the menstrual cycle, and discover nonspontaneous ovulation.

Balfour set Heape to work on the developmental changes in the 5- to 7-day-old rabbit blastocyst (Balfour, 1880). The work was stimulated by different interpretations of development during this period by Van Beneden on the one hand and Kölliker, Rauber, and Lieberkühn independently on the other. Although they did not resolve the problem, Heape became experienced in handling preimplantation mammalian embryos. Tragedy was soon to strike the laboratory, however, for in 1882 Balfour, at the age of 32, lost his life while climbing in the Swiss Alps. At the time of his death Balfour was writing the second edition of his book with Michael Foster, *The Elements of Embryology*. The work was only half done but it was completed by Balfour's main associate and successor Adam Sedgwick, and Walter Heape. The book finally appeared

JOHN D. BIGGERS • Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Laboratory of Human Reproduction and Reproductive Biology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115.

in 1883 (Foster and Balfour, 1883). At the end of the book (p. 460) is a section—which I suspect was written by Heape, for Sedgwick never worked on mammals—that describes the laboratory course. The section dealing with preimplantation development reads:

PRACTICAL DIRECTIONS FOR OBTAINING AND STUDYING
MAMMALIAN EMBRYOS.

XI. Animals and breeding.

For class work the Rabbit is the most convenient animal from which to obtain embryos, it will breed freely in the early spring months of the year and will give ample opportunity for the student to observe the exact time when the female is covered. A number of does should be kept together in a large pen, and two or three bucks in separate small cages also placed within the pen; at the period of heat, the doe should be temporarily placed with the buck and the exact time of copulation noted, the age of the embryo being calculated from that hour.

XII. Examination of segmenting ova.

It will be well to mention here that although a doe may have been satisfactorily covered, embryos are not always obtained from her. A superficial examination of the ovaries will determine whether or no fertilized ova are present. If ova have been recently dehisced from the ovary, the Graafian follicles from which they were discharged will be found to be of a distinctly red colour. In case no such "corpora lutea" as they are called are present further search is useless.

A. To obtain ova from 1 to 60 hours old.

Cut open the abdomen from pubis to sternum, and from the pubis round the thigh of each side, and turn back the flaps of the body wall so formed. Remove the viscera and observe below (dorsal) the single median vagina, from the anterior end of which the uterine horns diverge.

Observe at the anterior end of each uterine horn a small much coiled tube, the oviduct (Fallopian tube) near the anterior end of which a little below the kidney lies the ovary. Cut out the uterus and oviduct together and lay them in a small dissecting dish. Carefully stretch out the oviduct by cutting the tissue which binds it, and separating it from the uterus, taking care to obtain its whole length, lay it upon a glass slide.

With the aid of a lens it is frequently possible to distinguish the ovum or ova, through the wall of the oviduct. In this case cut a transverse slit into the lumen of the duct with a fine pair of scissors a little to one side of an ovum; press with a needle upon the oviduct on the other side of the ovum, which will glide out through the slit, and can be with ease

transported upon the point of a small scalpel, or what is better spear-headed needle. In case the ovum cannot be distinguished in the oviduct by superficial observation, the latter must be slit up with a fine pair of scissors, when it will easily be seen with the aid of an ordinary dissecting lens.

B. Treatment of the ovum.

The ovum may be examined fresh in salt solution, it is however more instructive when preserved and stained in the following manner.

- a. Immerse it in a $1/5$ p.c. solution of osmic acid for 5 or even 10 minutes, transfer it thence to the picro-carmin solution described above (I). After staining the ovum should then be washed in distilled water and placed in a weak solution of glycerine in a watchglass—half glycerine, half water. It should be allowed to remain thus under a bell jar for several days (7 to 14 or longer) in a warm room until the water has evaporated. By this means shrinkage and distortion are avoided, the glycerine becoming very gradually more and more dense. It should be mounted in glycerine in which 1 p.c. formic acid has been mixed to prevent fungoid growths. Care must be taken that there is no pressure upon the ovum this being insured by the insertion of a couple of slips of paper one on each side of the ovum under the cover glass.
- b. Another method of preservation is used, but does not appear to us so successful as the one already described. It consists of an immersion of the ovum for 5 minutes in $1/5$ to $1/2$ p.c. osmic acid, subsequent treatment with Müller's fluid for two or three days, and finally mounting in glycerine.

C. Examination of the ovum.

The most instructive stages to observe are ova of

- a. 18 hours old, when four segmentation spheres will be observed.
- b. 36 hours old, when segmentation is more advanced and the spheres numerous.

The chief points to be noted are:—

1. The number and size of the segmentation spheres; in each of which, when treated as described in B.a., a large deeply stained nucleus will be visible. The spheres themselves are also stained slightly.
2. The presence of one of two polar bodies on the outer side of the segments in ova of not more than 48 hours old: these also are slightly stained.
3. The zona radiata immediately surrounding the segments, and
4. The thick albuminous coat, marked with concentric rings.

D. The fully segmented ovum. 70 hours old.

The fully segmented ovum is found in the uterus at its anterior end close to the place where the oviduct opens into the uterus.

To obtain this stage the uterus must be slit open and examined carefully with a dissecting lens: the ovum will be seen as a somewhat opaque spot on the glistening moist mucous epithelium of the uterus.

It may be treated in the manner described under B.a., but the segments being closely pressed together their outlines are not rendered distinct by this method. A more advantageous mode of treatment is the following: wash the ovum rapidly in distilled water, and place it in a 1 p.c. solution of silver nitrate for about 3 minutes: then expose it to the light in a dish of distilled water until it be tinged a brown colour.

The brown colour is due to the reduction of the silver, which takes place chiefly in the cement substance between the cells and thus defines very exactly their size and shape. The ovum may now be treated with glycerine and mounted as described in B.

The points to be observed are:—

1. The division of the segmentation spheres into the layers—an outer layer of cubical hyaline cells, and an inner of rounded granular cells.
2. The blastopore of van Beneden.
3. The presence of a thin layer of mucous outside the concentrically ringed albuminous coat of the ovum.

XIII. Examination of the blastodermic vesicle, 72–90 hours.

A. *To obtain the embryo see XII.D.*

B. *Prepare the ovum either as in XII.B. or D.
or in picric acid see I.B.1.*

C. *Surface view, or in section see I.B.3.*

Observe:—

1. The great increase in size of the ovum and the reduction in the thickness of the membranes.
2. The flattened layer of outer cells enclosing a cavity.
3. The rounded cells of the inner mass attached as a lens-shaped mass to one side of the vesicle.

Walter Heape resigned his Demonstratorship at Cambridge in 1885 and became Superintendent of the Laboratory at Plymouth that was being constructed by the Marine Biological Association. In 1890 he was awarded a Balfour Studentship—a program founded in honor of his mentor—and, with a grant from the Royal Society of London, traveled to India to work in the Zoological Gardens in Calcutta with the expressed purpose of studying the early development of primates. His mission was doomed, however, for he soon found that it was very difficult to recover preimplantation embryos from

monkeys, and after four months he contracted a disease that forced him to return to England. Nevertheless, he collected sufficient material from two species of monkey, *Macacus rhesus* and *Semnopithecus entellus*, to enable him to describe the uterine changes in the menstrual cycle (Heape, 1894, 1897a). Later he extended this work to women (Heape, 1898).

Early in 1890 Heape, with the assistance of a surgeon, Mr. Samuel Buckley, performed the first transfer of embryos between mothers. He states his reason for the experiment as follows (Heape, 1890):

The experiment . . . was undertaken to determine in the first place what effect, if any, a uterine foster-mother would have upon her foster children, and whether or not the presence and development of foreign ova in the uterus of a mother would affect the offspring of that mother born at the same time.

To test these ideas the following experiment was done:

On the 27th April, 1890, two ova were obtained from an Angora doe rabbit which had been fertilized by an Angora buck thirty-two hours previously; the ova were undergoing segmentation, being divided into four segments. The ova were immediately transferred into the upper end of the fallopian tube of a Belgian hare doe rabbit which had been fertilized three hours before by a buck of the same breed as herself . . .

In due course this Belgian hare doe gave birth to six young—four of these resembled herself and her mate, while two of them were undoubted Angoras. The Angora young were characterized by the possession of long silky hair peculiar to the breed, and were total albinos, like their Angora parents.

The experiment is of further interest since it anticipated the use of genetic markers used in more recent work.

Heape, however, was not entirely successful with this technique, for in subsequent years he had several failures and only repeated it once (Heape, 1897b). Unfortunately Heape gives no details of how the embryos were collected and transferred. If physiological saline was used it is very unlikely it would have the appropriate osmolality since the work was done before the first physiological saline for mammalian tissues was introduced (Locke, 1901).

Between 1933 and 1951 successful embryo transfers were done in other species (Table I). The reasons for the work varied. The studies of Nicholas (1933) on the rat were done for basic embryological research. The transfer of mouse embryos was done in studies of breast cancer in mice. Little transferred fertilized ova from *dba* mice, which suffer from a high incidence of breast cancer into the oviducts of CS7 mice, which have a low incidence of the disease. The *dba* offspring lived many months and none developed tumors. By

Table I
First Reported Transfers of Preimplantation
Embryos between Females in
Different Species

Species	Reference
Rabbit	Heape (1890)
Rat	Nicholas (1933)
Sheep	Warwick <i>et al.</i> (1934) ^a
Goat	Warwick <i>et al.</i> (1934) ^a
Mouse	Little (1935) ^b
Cow	Willett <i>et al.</i> (1951)
Pig	Kvasnickii (1951) ^a

^aQuoted by Austin (1961).

^bQuoted by Bittner and Little (1937).

this time the value of embryo transfer in the livestock industry was recognized for the study of infertility (Willett *et al.*, 1951), for the shortening of the generation interval to accelerate the improvement of livestock by selective breeding (Adams, 1954), and, in the words of McLaren and Michie (1956), "to multiply the genetic contribution to the breed made by outstanding females, just as artificial insemination can be used to propagate the good qualities of outstanding males."

The fundamental understanding that fertilization involves the union of the nuclei of one sperm and one ovum was discovered by the efforts of several investigators using sea urchins, starfish, rabbits, and bats between the years 1875 and 1880 (see Austin, 1961, for a review). Attempts to fertilize rabbit and guinea pig ova *in vitro* were made during this period by Schenk (1878). He incubated follicular oocytes with undiluted epididymal spermatozoa in the presence of a fragment of uterine mucosa. His experiments, unfortunately, were not successful. Many investigators tried to fertilize mammalian eggs *in vitro* over the next 80 years and several claims of success were made. The field became very controversial because of the difficulty of proving that the sperm had entered the ovum. A detailed critical review of the work is given by Austin (1961). Final unequivocal proof of successful *in vitro* fertilization was obtained by Chang (1959) using rabbits and the technique of genetic markers.

Brachet (1912) appears to be the first investigator to have cultured mammalian embryos. This work was done only five years after the introduction of tissue culture techniques by Ross Harrison at Yale. Brachet incubated 5½- and 6½-day-old rabbit blastocysts for 48 hr on a plasma clot. The blastocysts continued development and doubled their size. Major contributions were next made by Lewis and Gregory (1929), also using rabbit embryos. They cultured one- or two-cell embryos on plasma and obtained some