ANALYTIC SYNTAX

OTTO JESPERSEN

ANALYTIC SYNTAX

BY

OTTO JESPERSEN

LONDON
GEORGE ALLEN & UNWIN LTD

By the same author

LANGUAGE: ITS NATURE, DEVELOPMENT AND ORIGIN

Fourth Impression

HOW TO TEACH A FOREIGN LANGUAGE Fifth Impression

CHAPTERS ON ENGLISH

AN INTERNATIONAL LANGUAGE

NOVIAL LEXIKE (INTERNATIONAL DICTIONARY)

A MODERN ENGLISH GRAMMAR ON HISTORICAL PRINCIPLES

Part I: Sounds and Spellings
" II: Syntax. First volume
" III: Syntax. Second volume
" IV: Syntax. Third volume

ESSENTIALS OF ENGLISH GRAMMAR Third Impression

THE PHILOSOPHY OF GRAMMAR Third Impression

LINGUISTICA ·

A SYSTEM OF GRAMMAR

ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO ANALYTIC SYNTAX

- P. 15, I. 7 from bottom after unit. add Cf. p. 102.
- P. 17, l. 7 from bottom read G. Die sterne, die begehrt man nicht.
- P. 18, I. 2 from bottom add G. German.
- P. 20, 1. 11 from bottom read ein vetter von mir.
- P. 27, 1. 20 read A red-hot iron 2(2-2) 1; a blue-green dress 2(3-2) 1.
- P. 29, I. 12 primary read secondary.
- P. 35, l. 13 read Er nimmt teil an dem gespräch.
- P. 39, 1, 7 read forstanden.
- P. 39, 1, 2 from bottom add Cf. 12.5.
- P. 45, 1.9 from bottom read Die sterne, die begehrt man nicht.
- P. 47, 1, 5 add Cf. 23.5, p. 81, addition.
- P. 53, l. 21 read Ces dispositions prises.
- P. 68, 1. 5 add L. memoria nostri tua X 2(02) 2(S2).
- P. 81, 1.6 add
- He speaks as he did yesterday SV 3(3° SV 3).
- Tom is as big as John, F. Louis est aussi grand que Jean. G. Max ist ebenso gross wie Hans SVP 3(32 3°S2).
- I hate him just as much as he me S V O 3(543 3° S2 O2).
- P. 82, l. 15 socoro read socorro.
- P. 102, 1. 10 from bottom An exact read A more explicit.
- P. 104, l. 12 from bottom read they do not, however, constitute "parts of speech", but like V are included on account of their syntactic value.
- P. 104, l. 1 from bottom are certainly read may be considered.
- P. 107, 1. 13 read For it is, indeed, curious that, etc.
- P. 110, Casc. Reference should be given to Linguistica, p. 322 ff. (= System of Grammar, p. 23 ff.).
- P. 111, l. 17 read nebenordnung.
- P. 127, 1. 9 read Black-blue dress 2(3-2) 1.
- P. 128, l. 14 from bottom read F. une partie du vin, un grand nombre de nos amis.

ABBREVIATIONS OF BOOK-TITLES

My own Books.

GS = Growth and Structure of the English Language (Leipzig 1939).

Lang. = Language, its Nature, Development and Origin (London 1922).

Lingst. = Linguistica (Copenhagen 1933).

Mank. = Mankind, Nation and Individual (Oslo 1925).

MEG = Modern English Grammar (I-IV Heidelberg, V Copenhagen 1909—1940).

PhilGr = The Philosophy of Grammar (London 1924).

Other Works.

Bally LV = Bally, Le Langage et la Vic (see 1,3).

Diez Gr = Fr. Diez, Grammatik der romanischen sprachen (Bonn 1876).

EStn = Englische Studien (Leipzig 1877 ff.).

ESts = English Studies (Amsterdam 1919 ff.).

Frey Fautes = H. Frey, La Grammaire des Fautes (Paris 1929).

Grammont Phon. = M. Grammont, Traité de Phonétique (Paris 1933).

Havers HES = W. Havers, Handbuch der erklärenden Syntax (Heidelberg 1931).

Meillet LH = A. Meillet, Linguistique Historique et Linguistique Générale (Paris 1921).

MSL = Mémoires de la Société de Linguistique (Paris).

PBB = Beitrage zur Geschichte der deutschen Sprache (Halle 1874 ff.).

Prague = Travaux du Cercle Linguistique de Prague (Prague 1929 ff.).

Vendryes L = J. Vendryes, Le Langage (Paris 1921).

CONTENTS

PART I

SYMBOLS AND EXAMPLES	Page
PREFACE	9
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	13.
CHAPTER 2. SYMBOLS	16
3.1. Ordinary Adjuncts.—3.2. Secondary or Tertiary.—3.3. Genitival Adjuncts.—3.4. Prepositional Phrases or Adverbs.—3.5. Equipollent.—3.6. Irregular Junction.—3.7. Implied Predicatives.—3.8. Unclassifiable.—3.9. Secondaries that have become Primaries.	19
CHAPTER 4. APPOSITION I	23
CHAPTER 5. QUANTIFIERS	25
CHAPTER 6. COMPOUNDS. 6.1. The Ordinary Type.—6.2. Equipollent Compounds.—6.3. Genitival Compounds.—6.4. Prepositions and Adverbs.—6.5. Adjuncts with Compounds.—6.6. Adjunct + Substantive Compounds.—6.7. Blus-cycl.—6.8. Dissolved Compound.—6.9. Isolated Eirst Part.	26
CHAPTER 7. INDEPENDENT NEXUS	30
CHAPTER 8. VERBAL SENTENCES CONTINUED	33

Contents

CHAPTER 9. PREDICATIVE 9.1. Ordinary.—9.2. Predicative of Result.—9.3. Adverbs and Pre-	38
positional Groups.—9.4. Subjunct-Predicatives.—9.5. Predicative after a Particle (Preposition).—9.6. Predicatives without a Verb.—9.7. O/P.—9.8. No Predicative.	
CHAPTER 10. TERTIARIES, ETC	· 41
CHAPTER 11. RECIPIENT 11.1. R.—11.2. Dative of Various Languages.—11.3. Continued.—11.4. Final Examples.	43
CHAPTER 12. EXTRAPOSITION AND APPOSITION	45
CHAPTER 13. VARIOUS KINDS OF SENTENCES	48
CHAPTER 14. DEPENDENT NEXUS (NOMINAL)	52
CHAPTER 15. DEPENDENT INFINITIVAL NEXUS	53
CHAPTER 16. SPLIT SUBJECT OR OBJECT	55
CHAPTER 17. INFINITIVE	58
CHAPTER 18. INFINITIVE CONTINUED	62
CHAPTER 19. GERUND	65
CHAPTER 20. NEXUS-SUBSTANTIVES	67

Contents

CHAPTER 21. AGENT-SUBSTANTIVES AND PARTICIPLES 21.1. Agent Substantives.—21.2. Participles, etc.—21.3. Apposition.—21.4. Adjectives, etc.—21.5. Y in Compounds.	69
CHAPTER 22. CLAUSES AS PRIMARIES	72
CHAPTER 23. RELATIVE CLAUSES AS SECONDARIES	75
CHAPTER 24. CLAUSES AS TERTIARIES	78
CHAPTER 25. PARENTHETIC CLAUSES	82
CHAPTER 26. AMORPHOUS SENTENCES	89
CHAPTER 27. COMPLICATED SPECIMENS	92
PART II	
COMMENTS	•
CHAPTER 28. GENERAL	97
CHAPTER 29. FORM—FUNCTION—NOTION	105
CHAPTER 30. CASE	110
CHAPTER 31. RANK	119

Contents

CHAPTER 32. QUANTIFIERS	127
CHAPTER 33. NEXUS	130
CHAPTER 34. SUBJECT	135
CHAPTER 35. SUBJECT AND PREDICATIVE	142
CHAPTER 36. OBJECT	147
CHAPTER 37. PASSIVE	154
CHAPTER 38, REGIMEN. RECIPIENT 38.1. Regimen.—38.2. Recipient.	155
CHAPTER 39. VERBIDS	157
CHAPTER 40. CLAUSES	161
CHAPTER 41. CONCLUSION	162

PREFACE

This book may be considered the crowning effort of many years' occupation with grammatical problems and thus forms a kind of supplement to my "Philosophy of Grammar" (abbreviated PhilGr) and "The System of Grammar". I sincerely hope that fellow-students will not let themselves be deterred by the look of my seemingly abstruse formulas, but will study them closely enough to realize their value in making it possible to gain a deeper insight into grammatical constructions in general. My system forces one to consider many things and enables one to express them succinctly; let me mention only two examples: the difference between usually and unusually in 10.2, and that between the infinitives after promise and allow 17.2. It should also prove useful in comparing the grammatical structure of languages not considered in this volume.

I want to thank some friends who have assisted me in various ways. Dr. F. Ohrt has aided me with what I say about Finnish—a language which I studied with Vilh. Thomsen more than thirty years ago, but have since then nearly completely forgotten. Mr. F. Y. Thompson, M.A., has kindly read parts of my book and has suggested some improvements in my English style. The greatest debt of gratitude, however, I owe to some young Danish linguists, to whom I have shown my system, or parts of it, at various stages of its completion, Poul Christophersen, Niels Haislund, Louis L. Hammerich, Aage Hansen. Not only has their kind criticism saved me from some pitfalls into which I might otherwise have fallen, but on some points they have even suggested things which I have been glad to embody in my system. To Niels Haislund I owe special thanks for the care with which throughout the whole of my work he has transcribed my notes, checked my analyses and finally read the proofs-not always an easy task. Finally, I am greatly obliged to the Directors of the Carlsberg Foundation for their support of my scientific work.

And now, with apology for some inconsistencies which I have not been able to avoid, I may say with one of my quotations:

Libro completo, saltat auctor pede laeto.

and the control of the second ျပည့္သည့္သည့္ မေတြသည္။ လူတိုင္းသည္။ မေတြသည္။ ကို ရွိေတြကို မေတြသည့္ လုပ္သက္သည္သည့္သည့္ မြန္မာကို လည္းတည္အတိုင္း မေတြသည့္အေတြကို မေတြသည့္အေတြကို မေတြသည့္အေတ ကို ရွိေတြကို လူရွိနည္း ကို လုပ္သက္သည့္သည့္ မေတြကို မေတြကို မေတြကို မေတြကို မေတြကို မေတြကို မေတြကို မေတြကို မေ The red All Community of the Community o THE STATE OF THE S wasing a growth of The world they are the same of and the second of the second o Consider the property of the property of the property of the property of . Grand Carlotter (1980) And State (1980) And Antique of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control to Digital was proper to the extension about their which a common ment of all all all a line with a factorial The war will be the state of th to making the production of the second of th Control of the Control ·通讯商品的 100 年11 日本 ့ ကြင်းသည်။ ရှိသည်။ ကျွန်းသည် ရှိချားသည် သည် မြိမိမြို့ စိပ်ပေးသည်။ ကြောင်းကြောင့် နေးကျွင်းသည်နေသည် သည်သည်များ သည်မြောက်နေ ကြွည်းသည်မှာ and the second contraction of the second con ីក្រុម ខែ ខ្លួននេះ ទៅជា ១៤០ ជនី ២០៥ឆ្នាំ ១០**៧**នោះមេសា បន្ទេចទំន័យ។ in the second of The first of the second of the second with horeston , but in soils from the their second The control of the state of the first term will be the control of the state of the the contract of the state of th والمرابع اللهواء والأراز والأنبي والمرابع والمرابع والمرابع والمرابع والمرابع والمرابع والمرابع والمرابع والمرا anger of the region with a property and services and where you was not being a specific way his too be an applying ျဖစ် မှုနေ သနေသည်။ ရုံရာ ကိုရာ ကိုသည်မှု ကြားကြာ ပုန်ရုံးရုံးများသည်။ ကိုသည်ရှိ မြော်မှာပေ The special for the new property painting the second and a satisficial of the state of the first of the state กราย และ เกี๋ยงคนาม เกาะสน้ำ กระทำ การเล้า และ และ และ เน้าอยู่ 1.5% เกาะ เกาะ และ ซึ่ง And Wallet Hart

Designed the graduate for the property of the

ា បានស្ថាននៅអង្គិក ប្រកួននិយាល

the winner that the second of the second of

SYMBOLS AND EXAMPLES

Language-makers, that is ordinary speakers, are not very accurate thinkers. But neither are they devoid of a certain natural logic.

Phil. of Gr. 81.

FIFAT

SYMBOLE AND EXAMPLE

เกียง ซึ่งและ การแบบ เกราะ การตามสำ กระบุสำ พบาร์กระการ การ ขาย เกราะหลองดู และ การ ซึ่ง อิเพราะ พระบุล พ.ศ. สหรับ หนึ่ง พบาร สมาชิก เกราะ การตามสำหรับ เกราะ

CHAPTER 1.

Introduction.

An attempt is made in the present volume at devising a system of succinct and in part self-interpreting syntactic formulas. By means of letters, chiefly initials of ordinary grammatical terms, numerals, and a few more or less arbitrary signs it has been made possible to denote all the most important interrelations of words and parts of words in connected speech, even some which are hardly touched upon in ordinary grammars.

It will be seen that what is aimed at is to some extent similar to the well-known symbols used in chemistry, C standing for Carbon and H_2O for water (two atoms of hydrogen to one of oxygen), etc. In phonetics my own Antalphabetic (formerly called Analphabetic) system provides analogous means for symbolizing the formation of speech-sounds, in which Greek letters stand for the active organs of speech (lips, tip of the tongue, etc.), numerals for the degree and form of the opening, if any (0 = closure, 1, 2, 3, etc.) for gradually more open sounds), small Latin letters for the place of articulation, so that, for instance, the vowel in E. full is analyzed as $\alpha 3^b$ β_a , $\gamma 4^j$ $\delta 0$ $\delta 1$.

The symbols here introduced also to some extent resemble the wonderful system of symbols which during the last few centuries has contributed so much to make mathematics (and in some degree logic) exact and more easy to manage than was possible with the unwieldy word-descriptions used formerly. My system aims at providing linguists with some of the same advantages; but it cannot pretend to the same degree of universality as either the chemical or mathematical symbols. This is precluded simply because of the fact, which it is no use shirking, that language is everywhere socially

See Lehrbuch der Phonetik (B. G. Teubner, Leipzig) and Essentials of Phonetics (to be published by G. Allen & Unwin, London).

conditioned: even those things which to a superficial observer would seem to be absolutely indispensable in any language used to express human thought are not found everywhere in the same way. The more the so-called primitive or "exotic" languages are studied, the more we have come to realize that much of what we are accustomed from our schooldays and from the best-known languages to consider inherent in all human language is totally foreign to other types of language, which nevertheless are wonderful instruments to express the ideas and feelings of the peoples brought up in them. My system was at first devised with special regard to the grammatical structure of English and still preserves traces of this, more particularly through the disproportionate number of English examples, though I have afterwards tried to apply it to some other languages. Now it is my hope that others will find it useful, possibly with some alterations and extensions, even to analyze languages with whose structure I am not at all familiar.

The book is divided into two parts. In Part I (Chapters 1—27) is given, besides an enumeration of the symbols employed, a series of examples without much comment (except towards the end) according to a principle which I quote at second hand:

Nur das beispiel führt zum licht; Vieles reden tut es nicht.

Part II (Chapters 28ff.) contains Comments, discussing at some length the chief debatable points and forming in some respects a supplement to and correction of the author's "Philosophy of Grammar".

Something must be said here of the character of the examples given in the following chapters. Some are taken from my reading, some from standard grammars, but not a few are made-up sentences, which I have endeavoured to make idiomatic and terse without much regard to variety in real contents. In spite of all the pains bestowed upon them it may be feared that they have not avoided a certain monotony and even now and then approach that kind of sentences which I have often ridiculed when found in books for teaching foreign languages. My excuse is that this book is not written with a pedagogical purpose in view, but presupposes a previous knowledge of the language from which the example is taken, as well as a theoretical interest in grammar as such. The important thing, therefore, was to find short unambiguous sentences suitable to illustrate the employment and utility of formulas in a multiplicity of grammatical constructions.

Let me add that the elaboration of the present system has opened my eyes to the real character of many things even in the languages I was most familiar with: the complexity of human language and thought is clearly brought before one when one tries to get behind the more or less accidental linguistic forms in order to penetrate to their notional kernel. Much that we are apt to take for granted in everyday speech and consider as simple or unavoidable discloses itself on being translated into symbols as a rather involved logical process, a fact that is shown, for instance, by the number of parentheses necessary in some of the examples.

Many of the sentences I wanted to analyze have been far from easy, and I do not claim to have always found the best solution of the difficulties. Still I thought it better to give my own attempts for what they are worth than to shirk the task. As a matter of fact I have pretty often hesitated and changed the transcription of the same sentences over and over again, and consequently cannot expect that my readers will always agree with my final decision.¹

It has also been rather a difficult task to find the best order in which to present the material of this book.² In some cases it has been found inevitable in early chapters to use symbols the purport of which, though mentioned in Ch. 2, cannot be fully appreciated till a subsequent chapter; but on the whole it is hoped that this will cause no serious inconvenience to the readers, who after all cannot be treated as mere tiros.

The idea is to follow the sentence or word-combination that is to be analyzed word for word. Such combinations, however, as the man, a man, has taken, will take, is taking, etc. (generally also to take), even can take, are reckoned as one unit.

Sometimes the analysis has not been carried out to the bitter end, as when my mind has been written 1 for simplicity's sake instead of 1(1°1). Thus also with some passives, when e.g. F. était fermé is written Vb or V P(Yb) without distinction.

It might, for instance, have been better to collect in one place everything concerning Apposition instead of distributing it among several chapters.

CHAPTER 2.

Symbols.

2. 1. Capitals.

S Subject.

V Verb (finite).

O Object (direct).

O Object (indirect).

E.g. He gave her a ring S V O O.

P Predicative: he is angry S V P.

W Composite verbal expression: She waits on us S W O.

I Infinitive.

G Gerund.

X Nexus-substantive, e.g. work, kindness.

Y Agent-substantive or participle, e.g. admirer, admiring, admired.

R Recipient: P. Cela m'est désagréable S R V P.

Z Whole sentence.

If there are in the same sentence two or more subjects, etc., the second (third) may be numbered by a small $_2$ ($_3$) below. E.g. I consider this a lie S V O(S_2 P).

 S_x (read cross, not the letter) Reciprocity. E.g. They hate one another $S \ V \ O(S_x)$.

2, 2, Small Letters.

p Preposition.

pp Composite preposition, e.g. on account of.

s "Lesser" subject: It is a pleasure to see you s V P S(IO).

o "Lesser" object.

o "Lesser" indirect object.

v "Lesser" verb (separated from the main verb): Will he come v S V.

The reason for these symbols is given in Ch. 28.4.

The following small letters are placed above as indices:
Active, e.g. S⁴ what is subject in an active sentence.

b Passive.

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.