e to one another, and tolerant, and not resort to 'rhetoric' is J ire discussion of Ens' is a marvellous, with ANSWERING TO THE do-ar nge pi LANGUAGE Essays on Modern Writers C. K. STEAD New Zeak ed su. ish poetry. 'Louvres' is a marvellous, witty, tropical-Oz poem, as if nce of growing up in some place like Brisbane. Poems don't e ing to be written by Englishmen. It is 'Kowhai Gold' 1985 s

ANSWERING TO THE LANGUAGE

Distinguished ovenst and poet G. R. Stead is also widely ad high for his litted by Orthogon particularly he dlassic fludy, Am New Institution. Here he bring logicity 44 essays of a Wide range of literary topics, from Yeats and Katherine Manifield (on both) of when he is a recognized authority) to Both of when he is a recognized authority) to Both of when he is a recognized authority) to Both of when he is a recognized authority) to Both of when he is a recognized authority) to Both of when he is a recognized authority) to Both of when he is a recognized authority to Both of when he is a recognized a

Poetry
Whether the Will is Free
Crossing the Bar
Quesada
Walking Westward
Geographies
Poems of a Decade
Paris
Between

Fiction
Smith's Dream
Five for the Symbol
All Visitors Ashore
The Death of the Body
Sister Hollywood

Criticism

The New Poetic
In the Glass Case
Pound, Yeats, Eliot, & the Modernist Movement

Edited

New Zealand Short Stories Second Series Measure for Measure: a Casebook Letters & Journals of Katherine Mansfield Collected Stories of Maurice Duggan

ANSWERING TO THE LANGUAGE

Essays on Modern Writers

C. K. STEAD

AUCKLAND UNIVERSITY PRESS

First published 1989 Auckland University Press University of Auckland Private Bag, Auckland

©C. K. Stead 1989

ISBN 1 86940 038 0

This book is copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study, research, criticism, or review, as permitted under the Copyright Act, no part may be reproduced by any process without the prior permission of Auckland University Press.

Typeset by Sabagraphics Ltd Printed by SRM Production Services

Publication is assisted by the New Zealand Literary Fund of the Queen Elizabeth II Arts Council.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My thanks are due to the editors who asked me to write for (in the U.K.) the London Magazine, the London Review of Books, the New Statesman, PN Review, and the Times Literary Supplement; (in Australia) AUMLLA, the Sydney Morning Herald, and Scripsi; (in Canada) Ariel, and the Yeats/Eliot Review; (in Germany) Akzente; (in France) Libération; (in New Zealand) the Press, the Dominion, Islands, Landfall, the NZ Listener, Metro, and Rambling Jack. Thanks also to publishers who commissioned work reprinted here — the Athlone Press, Croom Helm, and Vanderbilt University Press; and to my hosts at the Yeats Summer School, Sligo, the Princess Grace Irish Library, Monte Carlo, the University of Liège, the University of Victoria, B.C., Harvard University, New York University, and the University of California at Los Angeles. A special acknowledgement goes to my editors at Auckland University Press, first Dennis McEldowney and now Elizabeth Caffin.

C.K.S.

CONTENTS

Introduction: At the Graves of Yeats				
ONE: POETRY				
Poetry (1988)	17			
Stendhal's Mirror and Yeats's Looking Glass: A				
Reconsideration of The Tower (1986)	29			
Yeats the European (1987)	47			
Pound (1981)	57			
Eliot, Arnold, and the English Poetic Tradition (1977)	63			
Eliot: Under the Influence (1986)	81			
Auden's 'Spain' (1968)	84			
A. D. Hope: Australia's Senior Poet (1980)	94			
Second Wind: Allen Curnow's Continuum (1989)	98			
What Became of Modernism? (1980)	107			
The Swarm of Human Speech? Robert Duncan and Denise				
Levertov (1983)	113			
James K. Baxter: the Poet as Patrician Pariah (1983)				
Les Murray: Authentic Oz (1988)	123			
At Home with the Poets: The Penguin Book of				
New Zealand Verse (1985)	133			
TWO: FICTION				
Katherine Mansfield and T. S. Eliot: A Double Centenary (1988)	149			
Katherine Mansfield's Life (1987)	162			

Katherine Mansfield's Letters (1987)			
Katherine Mansfield's Friend (1985)	169 172		
Frank Sargeson: the Realist and the Sprite (1985)	175		
Bookered:			
1. Keri Hulme's the bone people (1985)	178		
2. Peter Carey's Oscar and Lucinda (1988)	183		
Witi Ihimaera: Old Wounds and Ancient Evils (1986)	189		
The Survival of Zoe (1985)	196		
Christina Stead: My Great Australian Aunt (1986)	199		
Patrick White: Australia's Ungrand Old Man (1981)	205		
Eight Contemporary Australian Fiction Writers:			
Hal Porter (1982)	211		
Dal Stivens (1983)	213		
David Malouf (1982)	215		
Thomas Shapcott (1982)	216		
Elizabeth Jolley (1983)	218		
Robert Drewe (1983)	220		
Fay Zwicky (1983)	221		
Tony Maniaty (1984)	223		
Four British Novelists			
Penelope Fitzgerald and Michael Schmidt (1986)	225		
Anita Brookner and A. S. Byatt (1986)	230		
Françoise, hélas! (1985)	234		
A New New Zealand Fiction? (1986)	236		
THREE: ARGUING WITH THE ZEITGEIST			
Teaching English (1982)	245		
Auckland Diary (1966)	254		
London Diary (1984)	258		
Rapallo Diary (1984)	262		
Stockholm Diary (1987)	265		
Ludwig and Jack: A Short Essay on Style and Content (1986)	272		
Postscript: The New Victorians (1989)	276		
References	288		
Index	294		

INTRODUCTION: AT THE GRAVES OF YEATS

In August 1986, I went as one of the lecturers to the Yeats Summer School in Sligo, Ireland. Every morning at breakfast in the Silver Swan Hotel I sat looking down at the shallow fast-flowing river that rushes over rocks, between stone walls, under stone bridges, from Lake Innisfree through Sligo town and on past the quays to the Atlantic. More than twenty years after publishing a chapter in *The New Poetic* on Yeats I was in the Yeats countryside for the first time. On a hot Sunday I set out to walk from Sligo to the Yeats grave in Drumcliff Churchyard. It might have been four miles each way — I'm not sure, but it seemed a long walk in the heat. Just before reaching the churchyard I found a little isolated store where I bought a can of cold drink and a small bar of chocolate.

The grave as I recall it was not far from the church door. There was a line of tall trees, beyond which, across fields, you could see Ben Bulben.

Under bare Ben Bulben's head In Drumcliff Churchyard Yeats is laid.

Standing there I had a moment of intense well-being which at first I mistook for something literary. Then I recognized it as my body's grateful response to chocolate and chilled lemonade. I thought how differently I would have felt if, as a young and ardent admirer, I had stood there; and I remembered the feelings I had had in the 1950s standing looking at the bare white cross on a Welsh hillside which at that time was all that marked the grave of Dylan Thomas.

There is loss in this change — this aging; but I'm sure there is gain as well. Once the power of Yeats's poetry was its own justification, and it evoked a corresponding reverence. Now, incantation is not enough. In some of the essays in this collection I make demands of the poems

that might have seemed needless or impertinent twenty-five years ago. To some readers they will seem needless or impertinent now. I don't think there is a way of determining that the critic then or the critic now is 'right'. Though they are the same person, and in agreement that Yeats is a great poet, they are also two different critics making different demands. Criticism is never right or wrong but only more or less persuasive. We don't say Dr Johnson is one of the major critics in English because we consider him to be right (any fool can be that), but because he articulated one possible critical point of view in a way that makes us understand it and feel the presence of the man who held to it. Academic criticism often fails because it does not carry with it — in fact works to exclude — the personality of the critic. Who is responsible for these bodiless opinions? Even if we agree we may be bored.

So the man who wrote the essays, lectures, and reviews collected here is and is not the critic who wrote a many-times-reprinted piece on 'Easter 1916'. He is prepared, even in his fifties, to walk miles to visit the grave of a great poet. But when he looks down on that epitaph carved at the poet's 'command',

Cast a cold eye On life, on death. Horseman, pass by!

he thinks, enjoying his lemonade, What horseman? Why did Yeats not write 'Motorist, pass by!'? Because, of course, such an injection of reality would have interfered with the rhetoric of the occasion. Yeats was the kind of poet who could not leave even his obsequies to others.

But circumstances intervened. Yeats died in 1939, not in Ireland but in Roquebrune on the French Mediterranean coast. Less than a year after my Drumcliff visit I was standing at his 'other' grave - or rather, before the stone in Roquebrune cemetery commemorating his first interment, which lasted six years until the ending of the Second World War made it possible for his bones to be brought home on an Irish warship and his instructions for burial carried out to the letter. With me were his son and daughter, now in their sixties, and two dozen of the most eminent authorities on his life and work. We had been invited by the Princess Grace Memorial Library in Monte Carlo to hold a seminar on his poetry; and on a Sunday at the end of it we made our visit. Somewhere in everyone's mind there must have been the widely discussed story that a mistake was made at the time of the disinterment, and that it was not the coffin of Yeats that was removed from Roquebrune to Drumcliff. In fact our seminar had received assurances from the local mayor that his records convinced him no such mistake could have been made.

However well- or ill-grounded, the story, like many such, is unprovable and unkillable. Yeats, possessor of a wicked Irish sense of humour, would

have enjoyed it (and I once heard that his brother Jack, the painter, was its source); but it seems to me it mocks the deliberateness, the artifice, the solemnity, of Yeats's own well-laid plans for his burial, and of that epitaph with its 'Horseman, pass by!'

While we stood there enjoying the wonderful views of steep rocky slopes, headlands, and blue bays, I was aware also of two lines of big black undertaker ants marching in and out of a crack in one of the tombs which, because of the steep terrain, are cemented above ground. Whether the bones shipped home were those of Yeats or another, they must certainly have been stripped clean long before Ireland received them — bones suitable for emblematic purposes; and that, perhaps, is appropriate. Yeats is the poet who cries out 'Another emblem there!' as a swan flies over. The priority of symbol over fact in much of his work may be the aspect with which I find myself most at odds. I am the first to acknowledge the complexity of what we call 'reality'; but at heart I am, for all the difficulties such a position involves, a realist before all else. With a sort of Johnsonian literalness I feel that 'Horseman, pass by!' is a suitable injunction only in a time and place where there are horsemen. To refuse the reality, or ignore it, seems to me as much an impropriety as these reflections of mine upon the bones of the poet will seem to those who think the presence or absence of actual horsemen a mere detail and not worth quibbling over.

After the visit to Roquebrune cemetery we descended by bus to visit the ruins of the Hôtel Idéal Séjour where Yeats died. Work had begun on converting it — I think to modern apartments. But the shell remained as it must have been in the 1930s; and we walked about in the garden at the back where Anne and Michael Yeats had played as children. Michael (now a Senator of Ireland and I think a Member of the European Parliament) pointed out which trees had grown up, what buildings were new, and how the view down to the bay had changed. And here I began to experience the feelings I might have expected to feel on that walk to Drumcliff. The old hotel seemed like a stage-set for one of Yeats's last plays. I felt the ghostly presence of the old poet, dying there, attended by his wife, and the ghosts of the two children, subjects of poems which seem to bear no relation to their living presences, who on earlier, happier visits had played in this Mediterranean garden. My imagination was engaged, not by the full Yeatsian rhetoric, but by the human drama. But would the reality have so moved me if I had not first been stirred by the rhetoric?

Yeats himself articulates the problem:

The rhetorician would deceive his neighbours. The sentimentalist himself; while art Is but a vision of reality. That 'but', an Irishism for 'only', is something we may grow weary of in Yeats, but there it is cunningly placed. Art is 'only' a vision of reality! Not a picture of it, but a vision. It is reality as seen by one person, the artist.

Science can measure reality. Cameras can photograph it. Only art can arrest it. What we want from literature is both the shock of recognition — to be shown what confirms our experience; and also the shock of difference — to be shown it as someone not ourselves perceived it. If I complain sometimes of the Yeatsian artifice (and Yeats is chosen only to illustrate a critical point), it is because what is different in his vision is sometimes permitted to invade and impose itself on the reality. To put it another way, the conflict between personal and impersonal is sometimes less than equal.

Different genres of literature deal with different areas of reality. If my own interests over the years, both as writer and critic, have extended to include fiction, that I suppose is because what I have called the human drama is more generously served by it. There are some things which only fiction can do — some kinds of representation, especially of simultaneous inner and outer, which the impurity of the genre allows, and which are excluded from poetry. Conversely there are things only possible in poetry; and when I come back to poetry, either as writer or critic, I always recover my sense that it is the senior, because the most demanding, literary art.

All literature is grounded in language and in observation. Imagination, exalted by the Romantic movement, was never a vehicle of escape from reality but rather a mode of entry. It was 'sympathetic'. And under 'observation' must be included not merely close and accurate noting of detail and circumstance but also, in works of any amplitude, an awareness of society at large, of politics, of intellectual cross-currents. From time to time the writer finds himself, as in my third section, 'arguing with the zeitgeist'.

But the writer's first and last responsibility is to the language, word by word, phrase by phrase, sentence by sentence — to the sense, to the sound, to the sound-and-sense in orchestration. The language, because it belongs to us all, seems almost to have a life of its own. Sometimes it may even seem that if we pay it enough attention, serve it diligently enough, everything else will be done for us. The work will discover its own direction and meanings, as if by a kind of natural magic.

Of the languages that lie back along the genetic track before it reached me, three have been shed in favour of the fourth, English. Perhaps some shadows, some faint patterns of the others remain. All I can feel is gratitude for the marvellous, rich, sinuous, linguistic instrument, so widely dispersed and various in its local uses, which it has been my good fortune to grow up with. It is hard to imagine Yeats lamenting that aspect of his country's history that made him a poet in the English language. As a writer in Gaelic he would have been no less talented; but he would have inherited a more limited and limiting tradition, and a language with no general currency. The same is true of writers of Maori descent who know little or nothing of their native language and who write only in English.

It is unfashionable these days to talk about the common reader. We are told by modern literary theorists that no such animal exists — that we all, whether conscious of it or not, have specialist interests when we read, and that we bring to the text particular doctrinaire assumptions. There may be half a truth in that. But as I have argued in my first essay, 'Poetry', if there is a specialist in every common reader there is also a common reader in every specialist, and it is to that person I address myself. For that reason I think there is no important difference in tone, in vocabulary, or in the demands they make, between my academic papers and my reviews for journals. I am not interested in arcane dialogue. I would like, where possible, to be understood.

ONE

POETRY