

8664080

PROCEEDINGS
Volume 4

4th International Symposium on

Coal Slurry Combustion

May 10-12, 1982



SESSION VII
EQUIPMENT

WEDNESDAY, MAY 12, 1982

CHAIRPERSON: E.T. McHALE

4th INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON COAL SLURRY COMBUSTION

Hyatt Orlando, Orlando, Florida, May 10-12, 1982

TABLE OF CONTENTS

for

VOLUMES I, II, III & IV

VOLUME I

SESSION I - INTERNATIONAL OVERVIEWS

Monday, May 10, 1982

Chairperson: D. Bienstock

OVERVIEW OF BRAZILIAN COAL AND CHARCOAL-OIL MIXTURE PROGRAM
Jorge Paulo Moro

CANADIAN INITIATIVES IN COAL-LIQUID MIXTURE COMBUSTION AND
DEVELOPMENT
H. Whaley

AN OVERVIEW ON CHINA'S COAL SLURRY TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH WORK
State Energy Commission of the Peoples Republic of China

OVERVIEW OF FRENCH COM PROGRAM
A. Ladurelli



INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION IN COAL/LIQUID MIXTURES
N.S. Jennings

OVERVIEW OF THE ITALIAN PROGRAM ON COAL SLURRY COMBUSTION
Assoreni

OVERVIEW OF THE R&D STATUS ON CLM IN JAPAN
Y. Nakabayashi

RESEARCH PROJECT ON COAL-OIL MIXTURE IN SPAIN
S.G. Navarro

OVERVIEW OF SWEDEN'S PROGRAM ON COAL-LIQUID MIXTURES
K.G. Borgne



E8664080

原书模糊

(Continued)
SESSION I - INTERNATIONAL OVERVIEWS

OVERVIEW OF UNITED KINGDOM PROGRAM ON COAL SLURRY COMBUSTION
P. Gould

THE U.S. COAL-WATER MIXTURE COMBUSTION PROGRAM
C.B. Foster

VOLUME I

SESSION II - DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

Monday, May 10, 1982

Chairperson: R.C. Kurtzrock

SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS AT LIFE-SIZE TEST PLANT OF COM
R. Shirato, T. Kojima, Y. Hoshino, S. Iwashita, T. Sootome,
T. Niwa, Y. Nakahashi, and M. Katsuta

RECENT TEST RESULT AT TAKEHARA NO. 1 UNIT, DEMONSTRATION
TEST

Y. Nakabayashi, N. Suzuki, T. Shinohara, M. Nishizawa, and
I. Koyama

CONVERSION OF THE 120 MW PAUL L. BARTOW PLANT UNIT 1 TO COM
M.E. Higgins

BLANZY THE FIRST COAL-OIL FRENCH INSTALLATION
J. Dreuilhe

THE COMBUSTION OF COAL IN OIL DISPERSION - DIRECT COMPARISON
WITH FIRING OF RESIDUAL FUEL OIL IN A 120 MWe UTILITY BOILER
R. Conolly, K.J. Matthews, P. Gadbury, and M.T. Jacques

COAL AND OIL MIXTURE INJECTION INTO BLAST FURNACE
S. Yabe, I. Kurashige, H. Shimizu, T. Iba, and M. Kojima

COAL OIL SLURRY COMBUSTION PROGRAM FOR A BLAST FURNACE
S.G. Jansto

UTILIZATION OF A COAL-IN-OIL MIXTURE (COM) AS A REPLACEMENT
FOR NO. 6 FUEL OIL AT A REPUBLIC STEEL BLAST FURNACE
R.T. Ivey, and B. Schiller

(Continued)
SESSION II - DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

THE TEST OF COM IN A 100 t/hr. POWER STATION BOILER IN
ANSHAN IRON & STEEL CO.

Xu Xiang Yu, Cen Ke-Fa, Shi Guo-Bao, and Wu Ping-Sen

VOLUME II

SESSION III - BENCH SCALE AND PILOT TESTING

Tuesday, May 11, 1982

Chairperson: J. Ravensborg

RHEOLOGY, STABILITY, AND COMBUSTION OF COAL-OIL MIXTURES

S. Meli, N. Passarini, L. Pellegrini, and L. Pistone

BENCH SCALE AND PILOT COMBUSTION TESTS OF COM

A. Feugier, and J.L. Merry

COAL/OIL SLURRY COMBUSTION AND TRIBOLOGY - A CANADIAN
EXPERIENCE L. Bruno, A.S. Deshpande, and H. Whaley

COM TEST PROGRAM - ALMERIA POWER PLANT

J.P. Forte, R.H. Hickman, and R.S. Folgueras

COAL OIL MIXTURE UTILIZATION IN SMALL INDUSTRIAL AND
COMMERCIAL BOILERS

R.L. Savage, W.R. Warfield, S.N. Singh, G.D. Craig, W.E.
Krauss, and J.E. Ross

EXPLORATORY COAL-WATER MIXTURE COMBUSTION STUDIES IN
OIL-DESIGNED BOILERS

Y.S. Pan, G.T. Bellas, R.B. Snedden, D.E. Wieczenski, G.F.
Walbert, and J.I. Joubert

COLLOIL: THE SHELL APPROACH TO STABLE COAL-OIL MIXTURES

E.J. Clayfield, and J. van Klinken

COAL-WATER MIXTURE COMBUSTION STUDIES IN A LABORATORY
CYLINDRICAL COMBUSTOR

G.J. Germane, L.D. Smoot, S.P. Diehl, K.H. Richardson, and
S.N. Peterson

(Continued)
SESSION III - BENCH SCALE AND PILOT TESTING

RHEOLOGY AND COMBUSTION CHARACTERISTICS OF COAL-WATER MIXTURES
M.R. Ghassemzadeh, T.M. Sommer, G.A. Farthing, and S.J. Vecchi

COM RESEARCH AT YANG SHU PU POWER STATION
Li Jin-Shiang, Zhao Xiao, Xu Fang-Jie, Hou En-Hai, and Guan Bi-Sheng

THE COMBUSTION CHARACTERISTICS OF COAL-OIL MIXTURE AND COAL-WATER SLURRY UNDER LOW HEATING RATE
Lin Hao

THE STABILITY AND RHEOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF TYPICAL CHINESE COAL-OIL MIXTURE
Ma Run-Tian

MEASUREMENT OF COAL CONCENTRATION IN COAL-OIL MIXTURE BY DIFFERENCE OF DIELECTRIC PROPERTIES
Han Guang-Ming

VOLUME II

SESSION IV - COMMERCIALIZATION AND ECONOMICS

Tuesday, May 11, 1982

Chairperson: E. Chao

COMMERCIAL PRODUCTION AND USE OF COAL-OIL MIXTURES
E. Knell, G. Short, D. Adams, T. Gresham, and L. Dirickson

COAL-OIL MIXTURE AND COAL-WATER MIXTURE FUELS FOR STEAM GENERATORS
R.W. Borio, and M.J. Hargrove

COALQUID COAL SLURRY FUEL PROGRAM - 1982
G.T. Hawkins, T. Capes, and M. Copple

COAL AND OIL MIXTURES MARKETING PROJECT
L.G. Hill, and J.E. Mogk

(Continued)

SESSION IV - COMMERCIALIZATION AND ECONOMICS

CoAl AND THE JAPANESE MARKET
D.D. Dunlop

POWER PLANT CONVERSIONS TO COAL, COAL-OIL MIXTURES, AND
COAL-WATER SLURRIES
R.C. Furman

AN ASSESSMENT OF PACKAGED BOILERS FOR INDUSTRIAL COAL LIQUID
MIXTURE APPLICATIONS
O.K. Foo, G.A. Malone, and E.M. Jamgochian

REPORT ON THE MASSACHUSETTS PROGRAM FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION
OF COAL-OIL MIXTURE CONVERSION OF MAJOR FUEL BURNING
INSTALLATIONS IN MASSACHUSETTS
L.L. Sutliff, J. Pecararo, and I. Sacks

THE U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY COAL-OIL MIXTURE CONVERSION STUDY
J.F. Thompson, Jr., and A.M. Vesey

CONCEPTUAL DESIGN AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS FOR COAL/WATER
MIXTURE UTILIZATION IN AN OIL-DESIGNED UTILITY BOILER
D.L. deLesdernier, S.A. Johnson, and V.S. Engleman

BUSINESS PLAN FOR JAPAN COM COMPANY, LTD
J. Inoue

COAL-OIL MIXTURE COMBUSTION TECHNOLOGY, TRANSFER AND
ADAPTATION TO DEVELOPING COUNTRIES
E.A. Kimel, and C. Bliss

VOLUME III

SESSION V - COAL SLURRY PREPARATION AND STABILIZATION

Wednesday, May 12, 1982

Chairperson: R. Manfred

COM: THE FRENCH PROGRAM, PREPARATION, STABILIZATION, AND
HANDLING OF COM
D. Bouchez, A. Faure, G. Scherer, L.A. Tranie, and
G. Antonini

(Continued)

SESSION V - COAL SLURRY PREPARATION AND STABILIZATION

AN ACCELERATED METHOD FOR TESTING COM STABILITY

A.M. Al Taweel, H. Farag, and K. Langille

STUDIES ON ADDITIVES FOR CWS

M. Yamamura, S. Watanabe, T. Ukigai, and Y. Kiyonaga

DEVELOPMENT OF ADDITIVES FOR HIGH-CONTENT COAL-WATER SLURRY

A. Naka, S. Honjo, T. Imamura, and Y. Mizuno

THE STABILIZATION OF COAL-WATER SLURRIES

R.W. Sparks, and E.W. Sawyer, Jr.

STABILITY OF COAL WATER SLURRY: Co-AI

D.R. Dinger, D.F. Funk, and J.E. Funk, Sr.

PREPARATION OF A HIGHLY LOADED COAL WATER MIXTURE: Co-AI

J.E. Funk, Jr., D.R. Dinger, and J.E. Funk, Sr.

PRETREATMENT OF COAL FOR COAL WATER SLURRIES

K. Aoki, K. Shinano, and S. Kita

DEASHING OF COAL BY OIL AGGLOMERATION

M. Kurihara, Y. Nakamura, M. Yamamura, Y. Kiyonaga, A. Naka,
and Y. Ogura

PRELIMINARY TEST OF HIGH CONCENTRATION COAL-WATER SLURRY

M. Kamao, N. Sato, N. Suzuki, K. Nagata, and T. Yano

STABILITY AND RHEOLOGY OF THE COAL-OIL-WATER MIXTURES
PREPARED IN A COMPLETE COMBUSTION INTEGRATOR

S.K. Batra, and A. O'Toole

CONTINUOUS PREPARATION EQUIPMENT OF HIGHLY LOADED SLURRY AND
ITS RHEOLOGY

M. Iwako, S. Ando, A. Hayashi, M. Koishi, and H. Takebayashi

(Continued)

SESSION V - COAL SLURRY PREPARATION AND STABILIZATION

COAL/NO. 2 OIL MIXTURE - EFFECT OF ADDITIVES ON STABILITY
AND RHEOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

V.P. Singh

THE CRITICAL SOLIDS CONCENTRATION (CSC) AS A PROPERTY OF
COAL SLURRIES

R.L. Rowell, Y. Wei, and B.J. Marlow

THE STUDY ON THE ADDITIVES OF COM FUEL

Hu Changming, Zeng Yuhua, Qian Liuging, and Zhang Honggen

VOLUME III

SESSION VI - COMBUSTION AND EMISSIONS

Wednesday, May 12, 1982

Chairperson: J. Beér

FURTHER DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF COAL-WATER MIXTURE
TECHNOLOGY

R.S. Scheffe, E.G. Skolnik, N.P. Rossmeissl, H.L. Heaton,
and E.T. McHale

THE HANDLING AND COMBUSTION CHARACTERISTICS OF STABLE
COAL/FUEL OIL DISPERSIONS

D. Wall

COMBUSTION, HEAT TRANSFER, ASH DEPOSITION AND POLLUTION
EMISSION CHARACTERISTICS OF COAL-OIL MIXTURES

J.M. Beér, W.F. Farmayan, C. Gabriel, M. Toqan,
S. Srinivaschar, and L. Monroe

CONTROL OF EMISSIONS FROM COAL-OIL MIXTURE FIRED BOILERS

R.M. Parks, and M.E. Kelly

RESULTS OF COMBUSTION OF COAL-OIL MIXTURE IN A UTILITY
BOILER

S.K. Batra

(Continued)

SESSION VI - COMBUSTION AND EMISSIONS

STUDIES TO EVALUATE THE IMPACT OF CONVERSION FROM FUEL OIL
TO COAL-OIL MIXTURES ON THERMAL PERFORMANCE AND POLLUTANT
EMISSIONS

G.C. England, Y. Kwan, W. Richter, and K. Fujimura

COMBUSTION RATES OF COAL-OIL SLURRIES

R.P. Cossee, and A.B. Hedley

PETROLEUM COKE OIL SLURRIES

R.C. Nunn, W.L. Richardson, and W.M. Bollen

THE FUEL USE ACT AND ELECTRIC UTILITIES - AN UPDATE

S.A. Frank, and L.E. Farrar

HANDLING, COMBUSTION AND EMISSIONS FROM VARIOUS COAL-OIL
DISPERSIONS

J.S. Alabaf

EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF COMBUSTION PROCESS OF SINGLE COM
DROPLET AND COM SPRAY

Chyi-Fwu Kang, Yun-Sien Chen, Xiang Zhao, Chyi-Fioro, and
You-Zhong Ren

EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON COMBUSTION PROPERTY OF COAL-WATER
SLURRY

Ke-Fa Cen, Xin-Yu Cao, Ji-Yu Hong, Ming-Jiang Ni, Shui-Yuan
Kong, and Yun-Sien Chen

VOLUME IV

SESSION VII - EQUIPMENT

Wednesday, May 12, 1982

Chairperson: E.T. McHale

FACTORS AFFECTING PUMP SELECTION FOR TRANSFERRING AND
METERING SLURRY-TYPE FUELS

M. Dillon, W. Donahue, and J.D. Bourke

EROSION IN COAL/LIQUID/MIXTURES HANDLING SYSTEMS

A.M. Al Taweel, G. Murphy, and D. Covill

(Continued)
SESSION VII - EQUIPMENT

TRANSPORT AND HANDLING CHARACTERISTICS OF COAL-WATER MIXTURES
J.M. Ekmann

RECIRCULATION MIXING OF COAL SLURRY STORAGE TANKS
T. Butcher, C. Krishna, and J. Saunders

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF A PULSE JET FABRIC FILTER ON A PACKAGE INDUSTRIAL BOILER FIRING COAL-OIL-WATER MIXTURE
J.P. Dooher, T. Kanabrocki, D. Albert, N. Chuisano, B. Gilmartin, D. Wright, J.H. Brummer, and W.J. Morris

COMBUSTION OF COAL-WATER SLURRY IN PRESSURIZED FLUIDIZED BED COMBINED CYCLE POWER PLANT
H.R. Hoy, A.G. Roberts, and K.K. Pillai

GRINDING OF COAL-OIL SLURRIES WITH THE SZEGO MILL - EFFECTS OF SOLIDS CONCENTRATION
O. Trass, V.R. Koka, G. Papachristodoulou, and E.R. Vasquez

THE COAL-CONTENT MEASURING AND MONITORING EQUIPMENT FOR COM
Sun Yao-qiu, and Yuan Wen-chang

ATOMIZATION CHARACTERISTICS OF COAL-WATER MIXTURES
C.R. Krishna, P. Marnell, and T.A. Butcher

EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SWIRL-TYPE NOZZLE FOR COAL SLURRIES
Ming-Hu Xie, and De-Shou Lu

VOLUME IV

SESSION VIII - COAL SLURRY RHEOLOGY

Wednesday, May 12, 1982
Chairperson: P. Gould

RHEOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF COAL-OIL MIXTURES - EFFECT OF PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION
G. Papachristodoulou, H. Boghossian, and O. Trass

(Continued)
SESSION VIII - COAL SLURRY RHEOLOGY

LABORATORY STUDIES OF THE GRINDING AND RHEOLOGY OF
COAL/WATER SLURRIES
R. Klimpel

RHEOLOGY OF A HIGH SOLIDS COAL-WATER MIXTURE: Co-A1
D.R. Dinger, J.E. Funk, Jr., and J.E. Funk, Sr.

CONTROL PARAMETERS FOR A 75 WT % COAL-WATER SLURRY: Co-A1
J.E. Funk, Jr., D.R. Dinger, and J.E. Funk, Sr.

RHEOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF COAL/WATER AND COAL/OIL/WATER
MIXTURES
A.M. Al Taweel, W. McKee, O. Fadaly, G.D.M. MacKay, and J.
Kwak

THE EFFECT OF COAL PARTICLE AGGREGATION ON THE BEHAVIOR OF A
COM UNDER STATIC AND DYNAMIC CONDITIONS
M. Adams-Viola, G.D. Botsaris, W.G. Filmyer, Y.M. Glazman,
and K. King

IGNITION CHARACTERISTICS OF COAL SLURRIES IN ETHANOL BLENDED
FUEL OIL NO. 6 AND THEIR STABILITY AND RHEOLOGICAL
PROPERTIES
K.C. Adiga, R. Rangneker, B. Moudgil, and D.O. Shah

CHARACTERIZATION OF CHARCOAL-OIL MIXTURES: EVALUATION
OF STABILITY AND RHEOLOGY PROPERTIES
R. Kunert, W.B. Peres, and M.L. Cotrim

RHEOLOGY, STABILITY AND IGNITION CHARACTERISTICS OF COAL
SLURRIES IN FUEL OIL NO. 2 IN PRESENCE OF ETHANOL AND WATER
K.C. Adiga, Y. Pithapurwala, S. Najmy, B. Moudgil, and D.O.
Shah

PUMP STARTUP OF A STABILIZED HIGH SOLIDS COAL-WATER SLURRY:
Co-A1
D.F. Funk, and J.E. Funk, Sr.

INVESTIGATION OF FLOW PROPERTIES AND HEAT TRANSFER PROCESS
OF COAL SLURRY INSIDE PIPE LINE
Ke-fa Cen, Zhen-Fu Yuan, Xin-Yu Cao, Chong-Qing Lu, and
Ji-Yu Hong

Table of Contents

Page No.

1. Abstract.....	1
2. Introduction.....	4
3. Experimental and Analytical Procedures.....	6
4. Results and Discussion.....	8
A. Rheology	
1. Coal/#2 Oil + Ethanol.....	8
2. Coal/#2 Oil + Ethanol in pressence of water	
(a) low coal concentration.....	11
(b) high coal concentration.....	14
3. Effect of Surfactants.....	16
4. Coal/#2 Oil + Denatured Ethanol.....	19
B. Subsidence behavior.....	19
C. Critical Solids Concentration.....	20
D. Ignition and Combustion behavior.....	21
5. Conclusion.....	24
6. References.....	26
7. Tables.....	28
8. Figures.....	35

FACTORS AFFECTING PUMP SELECTION FOR TRANSFERRING AND METERING SLURRY-TYPE FUELS

Michael Dillon, William Donahue, and J. David Bourke
Robbins & Myers, Inc.
Fluids Handling Division
Springfield, Ohio

Introduction

Several factors affect the selection of pumps for use in handling composite or slurry type fuels. While capacity and differential pressure are the primary determinants of pump selection, the rheology and abrasive nature of slurry fuels effectively modify capacity and pressure on an absolute basis. Viscosity is a main determinant of maximum speed, the available NPSH, volumetric efficiency, slip, and power consumption. While knowledge of these factors is important, it is also crucial to realize that a pump and pipe system subjects the rheology of slurry fuels to a set of dynamic variables. In the selection of a pump and pipe system for slurry fuels, data must be considered which are obtained through analysis that considers this dynamism.

Depending on the percentage, size, and condition of solids, pipe size, and many other factors, slurry fuels generally exhibit non-Newtonian, homogeneous characteristics. A variety of data collected by analyzing coal/alcohol and coal/water mixtures shows the diversity of results expected from the wide range of possible variables. Standard equations may be used for friction loss calculations in piping, as long as the user recognizes the marginal value over a relevant range of pipeline velocities caused by process or material variations. Data points from a standard rotational viscometer can be used to extrapolate an approximate "true" viscosity related to the dynamic conditions that affect pump performance, piping friction loss, and equipment life.

Other factors affecting pump selection include abrasion, corrosion, and temperature. These factors can be controlled by proper selection of materials of construction. An attempt to classify the abrasive effect of coal slurries, from varying locales is difficult. Abrasives may look alike, feel alike, and seem to have many similar properties yet have entirely different wear characteristics. Some broad classifications and guidelines are possible, primarily related to pump speed and materials of construction. With most metals, there is an inverse relationship between corrosion resistance and abrasion resistance. The use of elastomer parts solves much of this dilemma. Elastomers, however, can be attacked by various chemicals and swell

or deteriorate in the process. Temperature can cause similar problems. Physical properties stability is the most crucial factor in elastomer selection.

Viscosity

For proper pump selection, the estimation of pump and pipe system pressures or NPSH available usually is difficult when handling abrasive slurries, since they normally fall into the category of non-Newtonian fluids. Full scale tests are usually costly and time-consuming, and in many cases only a small sample of the proposed slurry fuel is available.

The NPSH required by any particular pump model at a given speed is usually provided by data in manufacturers' literature. In a positive displacement pump, until the rotor, piston, lobe, gear, or vane closes behind the fluid and applies positive pressure to it, the pump can only create a void. The amount of fluid to flow into the void will (much like any orifice) depend on the fluid viscosity, differential pressure across the opening, and an entrance loss or K factor that reduces the theoretical flow (due to turbulence, friction, vena contracta, etc.). Assuming a negligible fluid vapor pressure and negligible flooded head or friction losses at the pump suction, the maximum differential pressure the pump could create by opening a void would be approximately 14.7 psi at sea level. Under these specific conditions, as long as the pressure drop between the suction port and the pumping element entrance does not exceed 14.7 psi, fluid will fill the void and the pump flow will be full displacement. If the pressure drop between the suction port and the pump element entrance requires a pressure greater than 14.7 psi, cavitation occurs as the fluid pressure drops below the vapor pressure. A portion of the void is filled with fluid vapor which is condensed in the pump after the positive pressure is applied. The result is a pulsating, noisy, erratic flow and deviation from the straight line "capacity versus speed" curve. Obviously, the more viscous the fluid, the higher the pressure drop (or the lower the flow rate) at which cavitation will occur. Therefore, for a given pump model using known Newtonian fluids of various viscosities at various NPSHs, it is possible for a manufacturer to develop curves which indicate the maximum speed the pump should operate at a given NPSH available. Pump manufacturers generally have performed tests to determine additional pump drive or horsepower requirements for Newtonian viscosities. The only portion of a "horsepower versus differential pressure" curve that changes with the addition of viscosity is a part of the constant friction portion (Figure 1). Pump manufacturers generally make available tables indicating maximum pump speed compatible with viscosities at given NPSH requirements and a horsepower additive table. These data are based on Newtonian liquids and are not applicable to non-Newtonian fluids. The Brookfield rotational viscometer can be used with various spindles and spindle speeds to determine the degree of non-Newtonian properties (Figure 2). Slurries are almost always non-Newtonian and will either increase or decrease their viscosity as they are "worked" by the shearing action of a pump and pipe system. There is usually an inverse relationship between shear rate and

viscosity in slurry rheology although this rate is rarely linear. Most homogeneous slurries tend to be thixotropic--the viscosity decreases as the rate of shear is increased and as the length of time they are sheared increases. In these instances, you would find that even though the velocity in the pipe was the same at two different points, the viscosity of the slurry at one point would be higher at that moment than at a point somewhat farther down the line, because it has been subjected to shearing stresses of flow for a lesser time interval. This time-dependence of slurry viscosity is obviously troublesome when it comes to predicting pipeline specifications, or for that matter laboratory testing. It rules out data obtained through the recirculation of slurries in test loops.

The non-Newtonian nature of the slurry fuels tested in our laboratory is shown in Figure 3. By plotting shear rate against shear stress and entering the data obtained with a Hercules viscometer onto a curve, the non-Newtonian nature of these fuels is evident. The "hysteresis loop" demonstrated by these fuels is true to the nature of thixotropic liquids. Once the material has been subjected to shearing, any additional shearing (even at lowered shear rates) will continue to reduce the viscosity of the material. Plotting a Newtonian liquid would give us a straight line and viscosity would be linearly related to shear rate. It is the time-dependence of thixotropic materials that causes this "looping" effect.

In a practical sense, for pump selection we can ignore this time-dependence on viscosity and treat the materials as a near-Newtonian liquid. Although using the high viscosity derived from a "first pass" rate of shear will oversize our selected pump and pipe system to a small degree, this oversizing is necessary, as explained later, to counteract the effect of abrasion on pump life.

Probably one of the most convenient formulas for the prediction of non-Newtonian flow is the Power Law originally proposed by Ostwald.¹ Where Newton's law for true fluids stated that the shear stress varied directly with shear rate, the Power Law states that for thixotropic, pseudoplastic, or dilatent fluids the shear stress varies directly as the shear rate to some power "n". That power factor is equal to 1 for Newtonian or true fluids, is greater than 1 for dilatent fluids, and less than 1 for pseudoplastic or thixotropic fluids (Figure 4).

Any function raised to a power will produce a straight line on a log-log graph. By plotting viscometer readings (either rotation or capillary or both) on such a curve, one can extrapolate to the nominal shear rate and pick off the apparent viscosity that can be used in the Fanning equation to estimate pipe friction losses. If sufficient material is available for a pump test, this curve can be verified or altered by adding additional data points (Figure 5). These points are gathered by running what is termed a cavitation curve. Using Newtonian fluids of varying viscosities, such as silicone oil, it is simple to develop characteristic curves of point of deviation from the straight line capacity versus speed curve and the shape of the curve for each viscosity at a given NPSH.

When a non-Newtonian fluid is run under the same conditions, it is usually found that it matches none of the Newtonian curves, but it behaves like one viscosity at speed A, another viscosity at speed B, and a third viscosity at speed C (Figure 6). Knowing the average shear rate in the pump at those speeds, we then have additional points to affirm the fluid's adherence to the Power Law, and additional confidence that the pipe friction calculations will effect a practical degree of accuracy.

If the application warrants it and sufficient fluid is available, the fluid analysis may be further verified by checking the pressure drop through one pipe size and calculating the apparent viscosity using the Poiseuille formula. In 1965, Penkala and Escarfail² showed the relevance of rotational viscometer readings to pipe friction losses using the Poiseuille formula. Their data were plotted on a log-log analysis chart because not only were two different types of rotational viscometers used in gathering the data for three different slurries, (60% solids, 51% solids, and 45% solids), but these tests were run through three different pipe sizes (Figure 7). The grouping of the data points tends to confirm the redundancy of tests in more than one pipe size for friction estimations (at least in the shear rate range of most piping systems). The results from three different types of viscosity measurement approximate each other to a degree that would lend confidence to pump selection, particularly in the shear rate range of less than 200 seconds⁻¹.

Similar tests to these were performed on coal slurries in our laboratory using a Brookfield rotating disk viscometer, a Hercules high shear coaxial cylinder viscometer, and pipe data from the test facility outlined in Figure 8. Samples of these data are illustrated in Figure 9. A linear extrapolation of Brookfield data is relevant to predicting actual viscosity up to approximately 1,000 inverse seconds, in as much as an accuracy range of 200 cps can be attained. Since we are dealing with rotary positive displacement pumps, this accuracy is more than adequate. In fact, a confidence band of several hundred centipoise would be adequate for most pump selection calculations.

Worth showing at this time is the velocity profile within a pipe for various values of the factor "n" (Figure 10). For a true fluid where "n" equals 1, the flow pattern for streamline flow is parabolic, with the maximum velocity at the center of the pipe double that of the average velocity. For a dilatent fluid (where "n" is greater than 1) the larger the number, the closer the velocity approaches that of the purely theoretical perfect dilatent where "n" equals infinity. That shape is conical rather than parabolic and the maximum velocity at the center of the pipe is three times the average. This dilatent or rheopectic phenomena ("n" greater than 1) does not normally exist in slurries. On the other hand, the perfect pseudoplast, where "n" = 0, would flow as a solid plug, with no difference in velocity from the pipe walls to the center of the pipe. Even though a perfect pseudoplast could not possibly exist, note that for a pseudoplastic or thixotropic slurry with a power factor "n" equal to .33, there is no appreciable difference in velocity over the inner 1/3 of the pipe, so