

ROBOTICS AND FLEXIBLE MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGIES

Assessment, Impacts and Forecast

Robert U. Ayres and Steven M. Miller

ROBOTICS AND FLEXIBLE MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGIES

Assessment, Impacts and Forecast

Robert U. Ayres and Steven M. Miller

Department of Engineering and Public Policy
Carnegie-Mellon University
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

James Just, Keith King, Michael Osheroff

George Berke, Peter Spidaliere, Tran Ngoc

DHR, Incorporated
McLean, Virginia



NOYES PUBLICATIONS

Park Ridge, New Jersey, U.S.A.

Copyright © 1985 by Noyes Publications
Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 85 15312
ISBN 0 8155 1043-8
Printed in the United States

Published in the United States of America by
Noyes Publications
Mill Road, Park Ridge, New Jersey 07656

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Ayres, Robert U
Robotics and flexible manufacturing technologies

Bibliography v 2, p

Includes index

Contents pt. 1. Carnegie Mellon assessment

pt 2 DHR assessment

1. Robotics. 2 Flexible manufacturing systems.

3 Technology assessment. I. Miller, Steven M.

II. Title.

TJ211 A96 1985 670.42 85 15312

ISBN 0-8155-1043-8

Foreword

A critical assessment of current robotics and flexible manufacturing technologies, their impacts on the industrial base, and a forecast of future functional capabilities and emerging applications areas are presented in this book

Nearly three times as many robots were installed in industry in 1984 as in 1979, and the prospects for the future can only increase, probably by orders of magnitude. This valuable up-to-date and critical assessment indicates that robotics and flexible manufacturing technologies will be a major driving force in the industrial world

Part I of the book reviews the role of tools, machines and controls in our society, the extensions of capabilities of commercially available robots, integration of sensory information processing with robotic devices, and the integration of robots, machine tools, parts handling and transport devices and computers into flexible manufacturing systems (FMSs). Analyses are given on changes in unit costs and production labor requirements which will accompany the more widespread use of industrial robots and FMSs.

Part II reviews key worldwide R&D activities and discusses the principal thrusts and trends in robotics development. It provides a technological forecast addressing future directions of robotics producers and end-users.

The information in this book is from

An Exploratory Assessment of Second Generation Robotics and Sensor-Based Systems prepared by Robert U. Ayres and Steven M. Miller of the Department of Engineering and Public Policy, Carnegie-Mellon University for the National Science Foundation, September 1984

Robotic Technology An Assessment and Forecast prepared by James Just, Keith King, Michael Osheroff, George Berke, Peter Spidaliere and Tran Ngoc of DHR, Incorporated for the Aerospace Industrial Modernization Office of the Air Force Systems Command, July 1984

The table of contents is organized in such a way as to serve as a subject index and provides easy access to the information contained in the book

Advanced composition and production methods developed by Noyes Publications are employed to bring this durably bound book to you in a minimum of time. Special techniques are used to close the gap between "manuscript" and "completed book." In order to keep the price of the book to a reasonable level, it has been partially reproduced by photo-offset directly from the original reports and the cost saving passed on to the reader. Due to this method of publishing, certain portions of the book may be less legible than desired.

NOTICE

The materials in this book were prepared as accounts of work sponsored by the National Science Foundation and the Air Force Systems Command. Publication does not signify that the contents necessarily reflect the views and policies of the contracting agencies or the publisher, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

Contents and Subject Index

PART I CARNEGIE-MELLON ASSESSMENT

1. INTRODUCTION	2
2. THE ROBOTICS SOCIETY.	9
<i>Robert U. Ayres</i>	
What Kind of Jobs Will Robots Be Good at?	10
Until the Year 2000.	15
After the Year 2000.	22
The Social Questions	28
3. RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN ROBOTICS AND FLEXIBLE MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS	31
<i>Steven M. Miller</i>	
1 Background on Robotic Manipulators	33
1.1 The Robotic Manipulator	33
1.2 Defining Levels of Robot Technology Based on Internal vs External Sensing	34
1.3 Limitations of Level I Robots	36
2. Extensions in the Capabilities of Insensate Robots	43
2.1 Increased Availability of High Precision Robots for Light Manufacturing	43
2.2 Increased Availability of Computer-Controlled Robots	45
2.2.1 Experimental Improvements in Robotic Arms	46
3. The Integration of Sensory Information Processing with Robotic Devices	47
3.0.1 The Importance of External Sensing in Factories	47
3.1 The Fundamental Problem of Machine Sensing	49
3.2 Increases in the Use of Machine Vision	52

3.2.1 Extent of Machine Vision Use Reported by Elkonix in 1979	52
3.2.2 Commercial Use of Machine Vision Systems Since 1979	53
3.3 Overview of Machine Vision Technology	54
3.4 Machine Vision Applications	61
3.5 The Use of Machine Vision in Sensor-Controlled Manipulation	66
4. Manufacturing Systems	75
4.1 An Overview of Manufacturing Systems	75
4.2 Flexible Manufacturing Systems for Machining	80
4.3 Developments in Flexible Manufacturing Systems	88
4.4 The Economic Importance of Automated Manufacturing for Mid-Volume/Mid-Variety Production	90
I. Examples of the Use of Vision Systems in Industry	92
II. Full Taxonomy of Problem Classes for Part Identification and Location	96
References	101
 4. IMPACTS OF ROBOTIC AND FLEXIBLE MANUFACTURING TECH- NOLOGIES ON MANUFACTURING COSTS AND EMPLOYMENT	107
<i>Steven M. Miller</i>	
1. Metalworking Industries	109
2. The Impacts of Robotic Manipulators	110
2.1 Calculation of Payback Periods Based on Direct Labor Savings	118
2.2 The Price Elasticity Argument	124
3. The Impacts of the Fully Utilized, Flexibly Automated Factory for Batch Production	128
References	142
 5. CUSTOM, BATCH AND MASS PRODUCTION IN THE METAL- WORKING INDUSTRIES	145
<i>Steven M. Miller</i>	
1. Constructing Measures of Output and Unit Cost	146
2. Identification of Custom, Batch and Mass Production Industries	151
3. Rules for Classifying Industries	152
3.1 Classification of Industries in SIC 34: Fabricated Metal Products	157
3.2 Classification of Industries in SIC 35: Machinery, Except Electrical	161
3.3 Classification of Industries in SIC 36: Electrical and Electronic Machinery and Equipment	168
3.4 Classification of Industries in SIC 37: Transportation Equipment	172
3.5 The Pooled 4-Digit SIC Data Set	176
4. The Distribution of Value Added By Mode of Production	181
References	184

6. SUMMARY OF THE INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE COM- PUTER CONFERENCE ON THE AYRES AND MILLER PAPERS . . .	185
Background	185
Participants in the Teleconferencing Review of the Ayres/Miller Paper.	186
Other Criticisms NEC.	188
Robot/FMS Technology	200
Cost-Benefits of Robotics, FMS, etc.	201
Importance of Flexibility in FMS/Robotics Implementation— Mass Production	202
Structure of FMS/Robotics Industry (Oligarchical vs. Competitive) Structure of User Industries.	204
Social Organization for Production (Low Skill, High Control vs. High Skill, Low Control = Centralized vs. Decentralized).	206
Factors Limiting Rate of Technological Change.	210
Skills (Up or Down?)	214
Education vs. Training	218
Locational Impacts	224
Economic Growth vs. Displacement	227
Industrial Policy	237
Forecasting Method.	238
Afterthoughts on Teleconferencing.	242
References	245

7. FRONT WHEEL DRIVE TECHNOLOGY WITH REAR WHEEL DRIVE MANAGEMENT or FROM FLEXIBLE MANUFACTURING TO FLEXIBLE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS.	249
<i>Gerald H.B. Ross</i>	
Introduction.	250
Dilemmas of Mass Production	251
From Flexible Manufacturing to Flexible Management.	258
A Prescription for Research.	261

PART II DHR ASSESSMENT

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.	264
---------------------------------	------------

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.	265
Current Technology.	265
Current Functional Capabilities	265
Established and Emerging Robotic Applications	266
Industrial Usage of Robots	268
Recent Developments in Robotics Industry	268
R&D Activities	269
Air Force.	269
Other Federal Agencies.	270
Foreign R&D	271
Summary of R&D Activities	273

Technological Forecast	274
Projected Capabilities	274
Application Forecast	277
Industry Trends	277
Technological Trends	278
1. INTRODUCTION	279
2. CURRENT ROBOTIC TECHNOLOGY	281
2.1 U.S. Robotics Industry—An Update	281
2.2 Current Capabilities of a Generic Robot	286
Mechanical	286
Sensing	292
Control	294
Internal Integration	296
External Integration	299
2.3 State-of-Practice by Application	299
Fundamental Applications	300
Welding	300
Material Handling	301
Inspection	301
Assembly	302
Painting/Coating	303
Composite Applications	304
2.4 Robotic Usage by Industry	305
Automotive	305
Foundry	306
Non-Metals Light Manufacturing	307
Electrical/Electronics	307
Heavy Equipment Manufacturing	308
Aerospace	309
2.5 A Composite Picture of Current Robotic Technology	310
3 R&D ACTIVITIES IN ROBOTICS	314
3.1 U.S. Activities	314
3.1.1 Air Force	314
3.1.2 Other DOD	316
Navy	316
Army	319
DARPA	323
3.1.3 Non-DOD Federal	323
NASA	323
NBS	327
NSF	329
3.1.4 Industry Wide R&D Directions	330
Mechanical	336
Control	336
Sensing	336

System Performance	337
Applications	337
3.2 Foreign Activities	337
3.2.1 Japan	337
Funding Structure	338
Research Directions	338
Specific Research Topics	339
3.2.2 Western Europe	339
United Kingdom	339
France	344
West Germany	346
Other Western European Countries	348
3.2.3 Soviet Bloc	349
Soviet Union	350
Bulgaria	352
Czechoslovakia	353
East Germany	353
Hungary	353
Poland	354
Yugoslavia	354
3.3 Trends in Robotics R&D	355
4. A TECHNOLOGICAL FORECAST OF ROBOTICS	358
4.1 Methodology	358
4.2 Capability Projection	359
4.2.1 Mechanical	359
4.2.2 Control	364
4.2.3 Sensing	366
4.2.4 Integration	370
4.3 Application Projections	371
Low Growth Applications	372
High Growth Applications	374
Blue Sky Applications	380
Nuclear Plant Maintenance	380
Housekeeping	381
Construction Labor	381
Maintenance by Expert Systems	382
Hazardous Environment Rescue	382
Orbital Construction	383
4.4 General Trends	385
4.4.1 Trends in Robotic Technology	385
4.4.2 Trends in the Robotics Industry	386
5. CONCLUSION	388
Dynamics of Technological Innovation	388
Evolution of Robotic Application	389

APPENDIX A: CURRENT APPLICATIONS	391
1. Welding	392
2. Material Handling	400
3. Inspection	402
4. Assembly	405
5. Painting/Coating	408
6. Other Applications	411
6.1 Sealing/Bonding	411
6.2 Finishing	413
6.3 Investment Casting	415
6.4 Die Casting	416
6.5 Forging	418
6.6 Plastic Molding	419
APPENDIX B: INDUSTRIAL R&D ACTIVITIES	421
Producers	421
End-Users	423
APPENDIX C: NOT-FOR-PROFIT AND ACADEMIC R&D ACTIVITIES	425
NFP	425
Academic	425
APPENDIX D: BIBLIOGRAPHY	430
1. Proceedings	430
2. General Surveys	430
3. Applications	431
4. Sensing	433
5. Control	434
6. Manipulation	434
7. R&D Activities	435
8. Personal Communications	435
APPENDIX E. LIST OF CONTACTS	437
1. Air Force	437
2. Navy, Army and DARPA	439
3. NSF, NASA, NBS, Department of Commerce	440
4. Industry	441
5. University	442

PART I

CARNEGIE-MELLON ASSESSMENT

The information in Part I is from *An Exploratory Assessment of Second Generation Robotics and Sensor-Based Systems* prepared by Robert U. Ayres and Steven M. Miller of the Department of Engineering and Public Policy, Carnegie-Mellon University for the National Science Foundation, September 1984

1. Introduction

The first systematic assessment of the potential impacts of robots on employment and productivity was the report, "Technology Assessment: The Impact of Robots," submitted to the National Science Foundation by the Eikonix Corporation in 1979. The assessment primarily focused on robots in manufacturing, but gave some attention to household applications and to applications in the nuclear industry. The technology assessment was a useful examination of what was then known about the technology, what could be surmised about its future, and the implications for society, for industry, and for the government.

The Eikonix report stated that robot capabilities were, and would remain for at least ten to fifteen years, much too limited for the needs of most manufacturers; and that as a result, diffusion of the technology would be slow and gradual. The assessment concluded that "it is highly unlikely that diffusion of robotics will be rapid... The technical constraints against a vast change in the scope of robotic capabilities is one reason for the slow diffusion rate... there is just no evidence to suggest that the impacts will be anything but very minor, at least up to the turn of the century."¹

NSF has sponsored this new assessment for three reasons. First, progress in the development of robotics technology has accelerated since 1979, particularly in the areas of sensory information processing. Second, the earlier study did not give much attention to parallel and concurrent developments in manufacturing technology such as flexible manufacturing systems and design for automated fabrication and assembly. Third, the U.S. now has con-

¹ page 231.

siderably more experience with robotic use and impacts. There are nearly three times as many robots installed in U.S. industry in 1984 as in 1979.

This updated assessment is comprised of two major components. The first is a set of four papers by the principal investigators, Robert Ayres and Steven Miller. The first paper by Ayres is an overview concerned mainly with articulating a set of broad issues. The second paper, by Miller, is a survey of recent developments in flexible manufacturing technology and the specific role of robotics and sensory information processing. The third and fourth papers were adapted from Miller's recent (1983) Ph.D. thesis. They provide detailed background information and analysis of the coming impacts of robotics in the metalworking industries specifically.

The second major component is the "proceedings" of a computer conference held to review and expand upon the ideas in the four Ayres and Miller papers. The computer conference was organized by the Center for Social and Economic Issues of the Industrial Technology Institute, Ann Arbor, Michigan. Over a two month period, 18 researchers in the U.S. and one in Sweden discussed a variety of issues related to the social and economic impacts of flexible automation via the computer conference network. Abstracts of the four papers, and an outline of the proceedings of the computer conference follow.

The first paper "The Robotics Society" discusses the role of tools, machines and controls in human society, and the conditions under which humans as machine controllers can expect to be replaced in the future by computers linked to sensors. It concludes that, during the coming two or three decades, most "operative" jobs on the factory floor could well be eliminated. On the other hand, relatively few non-factory jobs will be taken over by robots.

A critical benefit of this new form of computer-integrated automation is that it will greatly increase the flexibility of large scale manufacturing and shift the basis for product competition away from price and towards quality and performance.² Potential socio-economic implications for the present generation of industrial workers, the industrial unions, and the specialized manufacturing communities, cannot be dismissed lightly. These impacts deserve further study in detail together with possible education and other responses from the public sector.³

In the longer run, the paper argues that specialized robots will find many other uses, but that a general purpose "Humanoid" robot is most unlikely to be developed. The reason is, briefly, that a generalized "human" appearance and capability could only be achieved by sacrificing the special skills that would give robots advantages in particular applications. Moreover, even without special skills, it is most unlikely that humans could design a truly general purpose machine that could closely approach the human level of physical performance, sensitivity and intelligence simultaneously across the whole range. Hence, the impact of robotics on human society in the next century will be mostly of an indirect kind; viz. through productivity and employment. The robotic society of the 21st century will in no way resemble the traditional science-fiction view. Robots are and will remain machines that extend human

² See Ayres, Robert U., *The Next Industrial Revolution: Reviving Industry Through Innovation*, Ballinger Publishing Co., Cambridge, MA, 1984.

³ See Ayres, Robert U. and Steven M. Miller, *Robotics: Applications and Social Implications*, Ballinger Publishing Co., MA, 1983. Also Ayres and Miller, *Robotics and the Conservation of Human Resources, Technology and Society*, Vol. 4(3), winter, 1982, pages 181-97 and Ayres and Miller, *Robotic Realities: Near-Term Prospects and Problems*, *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Sciences*, Vol. 470, November, 1983, pages 28-55.

capabilities. They will eventually serve people directly in some capacities, as they now serve other machines. In the long run, the major social benefit may be that it will no longer be necessary for a class of human workers to operate, load, unload, and watch over production machines, thus--in effect-- being paced by and "serving" the machines.

The second paper, "Recent Developments in Robotics and Flexible Manufacturing Systems," reviews some of the recent developments in robotics and flexible manufacturing technology. Attention is focused on three broad classes of developments:

1. Extensions of the capabilities of commercially available robots.
2. Integration of sensory information processing with robotic devices, and
3. Integration of robots, machine tools, parts handling and transport devices, parts feeders and assembly machines, "smart sensors" and computers into flexible manufacturing systems.

Topics covered that relate to the first class of development include increases in the number of robot models designed for precision placement and increases in the use of computer controlled robots. Topics that relate to the second class include capabilities and limitations of machine vision systems for controlling robot positioning and manipulation in parts acquisition, tool handling, and assembly. Topics relating to the third class include:

- The integration of multiple FMS for machining in one plant
- The use of FMS in manufacturing processes other than machining
- The addition of artificial intelligence and sensor-based systems to FMS

In the third paper, "Impacts of Robotic and Flexible Manufacturing Technologies on Manufacturing Costs and Employment," the issues considered include the extent to which unit costs and production labor requirements might

be reduced if there is more widespread use for industrial robots and flexible manufacturing systems. These issues are analyzed from two different perspectives. The technological focus of the first perspective is narrowly confined to the use of robotic manipulators. It is assumed that robotic manipulators will be "retrofitted" into existing production facilities without making major changes in the organization of production within the factory, other than modifying individual work stations so that robots can replace one (or perhaps several) operators. The critical variable in this perspective is an estimate of the percent of the production worker jobs that will be replaced by robots. Reductions in unit cost are calculated by assuming that a given percentage of labor costs is reduced. The question of whether decreases in production labor requirements could be offset by an increase in demand stimulated by a reduction in price is also discussed.

The focus of the second perspective is much broader than the first, and is concerned with the impacts of integrating robots with other types of computer assisted manufacturing (CAM) technologies into flexible manufacturing systems. It is assumed that a factory using general purpose machines to produce specialized products in batches can be reorganized and integrated so the machines are fully utilized and used more efficiently. One critical variable in this perspective is an estimate of the potential increase in output that could be realized if all of the time in a year available for production were utilized. The other critical variable is an estimate of the unit cost and of the labor requirements in a fully utilized batch production plant. Based on an analysis of a cross section of metalworking industries, a relationship is specified between the level of output and the level of unit cost. Reductions in unit cost for a given increase in output are derived from this relationship. Reductions in unit labor requirements are calculated in a similar manner.