LONGMAN

GRAMMAR

of SPOKEN

and WRITTEN

ENGLISH

Douglas Biber Stig Johansson Geoffrey Leech Susan Conrad Edward Finegan



LONGMAN GRAMMAR OF SPOKEN AND WRITTEN ENGLISH

Douglas Biber Stig Johansson Geoffrey Leech Susan Conrad Edward Finegan

FOREWORD BY
Randolph Quirk



Pearson Education Limited
Edinburgh Gate
Harlow
Essex CM20 2JE
England
and Associated Companies throughout the world.

Visit our website: http://www.longman-elt.com/dictionaries

C Pearson Education Limited, 1999

All rights reserved; no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the Publishers.

First published 1999 Second impression 1999

Words that the editors have reason to believe constitute trademarks have been described as such. However, neither the presence nor the absence of such a description should be regarded as affecting the legal status of any trademark.

ISBN 0 582 237254

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data Longman grammar of spoken and written English / Douglas Biber . . . [et al.]; foreword by Randolph Quirk.

p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 0-582-23725-4 (hardcover)
1. English language—Grammar. I. Biber, Douglas.
PE1112.L66 1999
428.2—dc21 99-29033
CIP

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Designed by First Edition, London Set in Minion and Helvetica by Mendip Communications Limited, Frome, Somerset Printed in China

Foreword

Douglas Biber and his numerous colleagues are to be congratulated on a book so replete with interesting and innovative features, not least by way of frequency data. For anyone planning corpus-based grammatical study, of any language on earth, the *LGSWE* will rapidly establish itself as indispensable. And since any such study must inevitably involve collaborative teamwork, some examination of Biber's management skills in organizing this huge enterprise will not come amiss either, given the size and diversity of the American and British corpora analysed and the need to coordinate the activity at centres many thousands of kilometres apart.

The co-authors were lucky in being led by a man of such determination, vision, energy, and fine track record in corpus theory and computational practice. But Biber was lucky in his co-authors, too. There was his Arizona colleague, Susan Conrad, who, in addition to a major contribution to the actual writing, invested much time and energy in the relevant research from the earliest stages of the project. There was also, far away in Scandinavia, the highly experienced grammarian, Stig Johansson, who played a key role in the research, planning, and writing. And Biber was especially lucky in having as his partner in the massive task, both of general design and of implementing detailed insights, a scholar of Geoffrey Leech's stature in the fields of semantics, pragmatics, grammatology, and computational linguistics.

Randolph Quirk University College London March 1999

Abbreviations and symbols

ACAD	academic prose
ACAD AmE	American English
A	adverbial
	circumstance adverbial
A _c	linking adverbial
A_1	stance adverbial
A _s BrE	British English
CGEL	R. Quirk et al., A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language
COEL	conversation
FICT	fiction writing
LDOCE	Longman Dictionary of Contemporary English
LSWE Corpus	Longman Spoken and Written English Corpus
NEWS	news writing
O	object
O_d	direct object
O _i	indirect object
O _p	prepositional object
P	predicative
P_o	object predicative
P_s	subject predicative
S	subject
v.	versus (i.e. contrasted with)
V	verb (phrase)
()	parentheses () enclose an optional part of an example or a formula
<>	an omitted section of a corpus example
<->	the location of ellipsis (in an example)
<sic></sic>	(in an example) confirmation that the example is accurately
	reproduced:
	e.g. Dicken's <sic> famous novel</sic>
< >	an editorial comment on an example: e.g. <unclear></unclear>
[]	brackets [] in an example enclose either:
	(a) a grammatically defined constituent, such as a phrase or a clause,
	or
	(b) a part of an example highlighted for attention. Primary
	highlighting is signalled by bold face and secondary highlighting
	by [].
_	in transcribed speech, a dash at the end of a word signals that it is
	incomplete, i.e. is a word fragment: e.g. thi-, this
_	in transcribed speech, a dash signals a pause
7	unacceptable: e.g. *They needed not leave.
?	marginally acceptable: e.g. ?a most promising pupil of hers
?* †	on the boundary of unacceptability: e.g. ?*excuse me a little
T	truncated example
	•

Preface

Since its planning first began in 1992, this book has gone through a complex process of gestation involving many kinds of collaborative work—computational, editorial, and authorial. The research-based work required for this project has been on a scale probably unmatched in the writing of any previous grammar of the English language. As authors, we ourselves have individually played somewhat varied roles in the research project and the writing of the book. Further, we were aided from the start by the dedicated efforts of several research assistants, and at various points along the way helped by the expertise of academic reviewers and advisers, as well as editors and publishers. In this Preface we briefly explain our own individual roles in the work, and pay tribute to the many friends and associates to whom we owe a great deal for their valued aid and support.

As for our contributions as authors, it should be explained that, although each author took on individual responsibility for the initial drafting of specific chapters, the chapter drafts subsequently went through a number of stages of redrafting and editing. The result is that the volume as it stands is the joint responsibility of all authors. For the record, however, we identify here the author or authors principally associated with each chapter: Chapter 1: DB; Chapters 2, 3, and 4: SJ; Chapters 5 and 6: DB; Chapter 7: EF (first draft), DB, and SC; Chapters 8 and 9: DB; Chapter 10: SC; Chapter 11: SJ; Chapters 12 and 13: DB; Chapter 14: EF (first draft), GL; Appendix: DB. In later stages, GL and DB assumed primary editorial responsibility for the whole book, while DB worked with Meg Davies on the conceptual index and with Jenia Walter and Victoria Clark on the lexical index.

The initial three or four years of the project were largely taken up with planning and research. Authorial/editorial meetings took place at Cambridge, London, Feusisberg (Switzerland), Flagstaff (Arizona), and Lancaster. DB, as lead author, took on the principal role of organizing and directing the corpus investigations at Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, on which most of the quantitative information in this grammar is based. At the same time, other authors had access to the LSWE Corpus at their own universities, and were able to undertake their own corpus-based research at their home site. Although the authors were widely separated in geographical terms, they kept in close and detailed contact throughout the project by electronic mail and other means. The work of the international team was thus well balanced and integrated in terms of the spread of effort between North America and Europe.

One curious minor dilemma which the team faced, in trying to produce a book giving equal weight to American and British English, was in the choice of spelling standard: should we adopt British or American spelling conventions? Either choice would appear to contravene the ideal of an objectively international view of the English language. In the end we resorted to a chapter-by-chapter solution to this dilemma: each chapter was printed in accordance with the spelling conventions adopted by its main author or authors.

At Northern Arizona University, in addition to the growing contribution of Susan Conrad (who began as a research assistant but became a co-author during the course of the book's composition), very important contributions to the research project were made by Marie Helt and Erika Konrad, who became key members of the Arizona project team. In addition, Marie's PhD research on discourse markers provided a significant intellectual input to Chapter 14. Other contributions were made by Susan Carkin, Sarah

Rilling, Jennifer Rey, and Jena Burges. Also at NAU, Jenia Walter and Victoria Clark helped with the compilation of the lexical index.

At an early stage in the preparation of the book, the authors benefited from the comments of distinguished academics who reviewed the plan, and read one or two 'trial' chapters: Florent Aarts, Paul Bruthiaux, Paul J. Hopper, Yoshihiko Ikegami, Graeme Kennedy, Christian Mair, Keith Mitchell, Randolph Quirk (Lord Quirk), Jan Svartvik, and Michael Stubbs. We also received valuable comments from Bengt Altenberg and Gunnel Tottie, who read draft versions of individual chapters. (Bengt's online *ICAME* Bibliography also provided us with an extremely useful starting point for our own Bibliography.) At a later stage, pre-final draft chapters were presented to the Longman Linglex Advisory Committee, where again a strong impetus to improve the book's content and presentation was provided by valuable and (often) trenchant critiques from a group of leading British linguists, under the chairmanship of Lord Quirk: Rod Bolitho, Gillian Brown, David Crystal, Philip Scholfield, Katie Wales, John Wells, and Yorick Wilks. Alan Tonkyn offered useful advice and information on C-units (Chapter 14).

Our indebtedness to Lord Quirk goes further: we acknowledge our debt to A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language, by Randolph Quirk, Sidney Greenbaum, Geoffrey Leech, and Jan Svartvik (Longman, 1985), as a previous large-scale grammar of English from which we have taken inspiration for a project of similar scope. From CGEL we have also borrowed, with few exceptions, the grammatical framework of concepts and terminology which has provided the present book with its descriptive apparatus. While advances in corpus technology have allowed us to go beyond CGEL in important ways—particularly in the exemplification and quantitative investigation of grammar across different language varieties, spoken and written—CGEL's attention to detail and comprehensive coverage is something to which this grammar does not attempt to aspire. In many ways, the two grammars complement rather than compete with each other.

To one member of the Linglex advisory committee we owe a special debt—Philip Scholfield, who, when the book was being assembled for publication, went through it with a fine-tooth comb in his capacity as editor for style and presentation. This reference to 'style and presentation' does not adequately represent Philip's contribution, which led to much redrafting in the interests of consistency of style, terminology, layout, and level of detail. We also owe a special debt to Meg Davies for assuming primary responsibility for the conceptual index and compiling the index under very strict time constraints.

Finally we take the opportunity of this Preface to pay tribute to members of the Longman staff and editorial team who have steered this project from its inception to the final stages of publication. Sheila Dallas, although she came late to the project, played a crucial role in seeing the book from final draft stage through several proofs into print: she dedicated herself to the success of the project, working beyond the call of duty to get chapters edited to strict deadlines. Adam Gadsby, the publisher in charge of this book project from beginning to end, was a dependable and supportive colleague, motivating us through thick and thin in the progress of the book. He not only kept us on track organizationally, but also contributed a great deal to the conceptual development of the grammar. Last but far from least, we express our gratitude to Della Summers, the Director of Longman Dictionaries, as prime mover of this project who, at a time when the idea was as strange to us as to the rest of the world, inspired us to plan a truly corpus-based grammar, and persuaded us to turn that vision into a reality.

Symbols and notational conventions

1 Reference to corpus examples

By far the majority of examples and text extracts given in this book are of authentic discourse taken from the texts and transcriptions in our Corpus (see sections 1.1, 1.4 of Chapter 1). These examples are marked as coming from one of the four main subdivisions of the corpus:

(conv)	conversation transcription
(FICT)	fiction text
(NEWS)	newspaper text
(ACAD)	academic text

Some examples are truncated to save space. These are marked with an icon (†) occurring after one of the above abbreviations:

```
(CONV†), (FICT†), (NEWS†), (ACAD†) truncated examples
```

Truncated examples, showing an incomplete sentence or conversational turn, are used only when the omitted parts are judged to have no bearing on the grammatical point being illustrated, and where overly long examples might distract rather than help the reader. For example:

- a Every atom has a dense nucleus. (ACAD†)
- b Every atom **has** a dense nucleus that contains practically all of the mass of the atom. (ACAD)

Example a is a truncated version of the complete sentence in example b. Note that even with truncation, dispensable material is almost always omitted from the beginning or end of an example, not from the middle. In this sense, virtually every example quoted is a continuous 'slice of linguistic reality'. Occasional cases of medial omission are marked by the insertion of <...> at the point where the omission occurs: an example can be seen in Text sample 1, 1.2.1.

```
<...> signals a part of an example where words have been omitted
```

Other abbreviations used to label examples (and also used in running text) are:

AmE	American English
BrE	British English

These abbreviations are also used more generally, in referring to American and British varieties of English, as represented in the American and British parts of our Corpus.

2 Reference to invented examples

In a few cases, invented examples which are not from the Corpus are used to show a contrast between two variant sentences, one of which is authentic and the other reconstructed to act as a comparison. These invented examples are signalled negatively, by the fact that they are not followed by a bracketed label such as (CONV). The following warning symbols are sometimes attached to invented examples:

* [preceding] an example which is unacceptable in English
? [preceding] an example which is marginally acceptable
?* [preceding] an example which is on the boundary of unacceptability

e.g.: *They needed not have.

?a most promising pupil of hers

?*excuse me a little

3 Symbols and conventions used within examples

bold face marks the main item highlighted for attention in an example.

[] marks the main item highlighted for attention in an example.

1. (where needed) a second element highlighted for attention.

2. the boundaries of grammatical units (phrases, clauses, etc.).

e.g.: 1. Watch out! [Here] comes Amanda! (CONV)

2. I hope [[you][can talk][to me][about it]] (CONV†)

<-> marks a point in the text where an *ellipsis* occurs (see 3.7)

1. occasionally marks *ellipted words* in examples (given in *italics*)

2. otherwise marks a comment relating to an example, e.g.

<sic> (in an example) confirms that the example is accurately reproduced, in spite of appearances.

e.g. Dicken's <sic> famous novel

4 Symbols and conventions used in transcriptions of speech

A: \ Where spoken examples contain contributions from different speakers,

B: these are indicated by different capital letters (A =first speaker, B =second speaker, etc.)

1. A dash at the end of a word signals that it is incomplete.

2. Where the dash is surrounded by spaces, it indicates a *pause*.

e.g. 1. thi-, this

2. What is the name - or?

{ } In Chapter 14, { } are used to mark the beginning and end of *overlap* between speakers (i.e. simultaneous speech)

/ Also in Chapter 14, / is used to indicate the location of speaker *overlap*, where the exact beginning and end of the overlap is unimportant for the purpose of the example.

Other punctuation in the transcriptions (. , ? !) follows conventional orthographic practice, and has no fixed prosodic or linguistic significance.

5 Grammatical symbols

() signals optional elements (in formulae and occasionally in examples)

Elements of clause structure are represented by the following symbols:

Α	adverbial
A _c	circumstance adverbial
A _l	linking adverbial
A _s	stance adverbial
О	object
O_d	direct object
O_{i}	indirect object
Op	prepositional object
P ·	predicative
Po	object predicative
P_s	subject predicative
S	subject

Clause structures are represented by a combination of the above symbols; e.g.:

verb, verb phrase (V is also sometimes used for verb as a word class)

```
SV subject + verb
SVA subject + verb + adverbial
SVO<sub>i</sub>O<sub>d</sub> subject + verb + indirect object + direct object
SVP<sub>s</sub> subject + verb + subject predicative
```

Phrase types:

V

NP	noun phrase (see 2.7.1)
VP	verb phrase (see 2.7.2)

6 Other abbreviations or symbols

CGEL	R. Quirk et al., A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language
LDOCE	Longman Dictionary of Contemporary English
LSWE	Longman Spoken and Written English (Corpus)

Contents summary

	Foreword	V.
	Abbreviations and symbols	vi
	Preface	vii
	Contents in detail	xiii
	Symbols and notational conventions	xxvi
	SECTION A Introductory	1
1	Introduction: a corpus-based approach to English grammar	3
1.1	Introduction	4
1.2	Structure and use in English grammar	6
1.3	Varieties of English	15
1.4	Representation of varieties in the LSWE Corpus	24
1.5	Description of the register categories in the LSWE Corpus	29
1.6	Grammatical analysis of the LSWE Corpus	35
1.7	Quantitative findings in the grammar	38
1.8	Functional interpretation of quantitative findings	41
1.9	Overview of the grammar	44
1.10	Potential users and uses of the LGSWE	45
	SECTION B Basic grammar: description and distribution	47
2	Word and phrase grammar	49
2.1	The nature of grammatical units	50
2.2	Words and their characteristics	51
2.3	Survey of lexical words	62
2.4	Survey of function words	69
2.5	Survey of inserts	93
2.6	Phrases and their characteristics	94
2.7	Types of phrase	96
2.8	Embedding of phrases	113
2.9	Coordination of phrases	113
2.10	Simple v. complex phrases	117
3	Clause grammar	119
3.1	Clause v. non-clausal material	120
3.2	Major clause elements	122
3.3	Clause links	134
3.4	Peripheral elements	136
3.5	Major clause patterns	141
3.6	Variations on clause patterns	152 156
3.7	Ellipsis	158
3.8	Negation	180
3.9	Subject-verb concord	192
	Types of dependent clauses Finite dependent clauses	193
	Non-finite clauses	198
	Major types of independent clauses	202
	Unembedded dependent clauses	223
	Non-clausal material	224

x CONTENTS SUMMARY

	SECTION C Key word classes and their phrases	227
4	Nouns, pronouns, and the simple noun phrase	229
4.1	Overview of nominals in discourse	230
4.2	The basic structure of noun-headed phrases	240
4.3	Types of nouns	241
4.4	Determiners	258
4.5	Number	284
4.6	Case	292
4.7	Gender	311
4.8	Noun formation	318
4.9	The role of pronouns in discourse	327
	Personal pronouns	328 340
	Possessive pronouns Reflexive pronouns	340
	Reciprocal pronouns	346
	Demonstrative pronouns	347
	Indefinite pronouns	351
	Other pronouns	355
5	Verbs	357
5.1	Major verb functions and classes	358
5.2	Single-word lexical verbs	360
5.3	Multi-word lexical verbs	403
5.4	Main and auxiliary functions of primary verbs	428
5.5	Copular verbs	435
6	Variation in the verb phrase: tense, aspect, voice, and modality	451
6.1	Structure and meaning distinctions in the verb phrase	452
6.2	Tense	453
6.3	Aspect	460
6.4	Active and passive voice	475
6.5	Complex combinations of aspect and voice	482
6.6	Modals and semi-modals	483
6.7	Combinations of modal verbs with marked aspect or voice	497
6.8	Sequences of modals and semi-modals	501
7	Adjectives and adverbs	503
7.1	Overview	504
7.2	Defining characteristics of adjectives	505
7.3	Semantic grouping of adjectives	508
7.4	Attributive adjectives	510
7.5	Predicative adjectives	515
7.6	Adjectives in other syntactic roles	518
7.7	Comparative and superlative degree	521
7.8	Comparative clauses and other degree complements	526
7.9	Formation of adjectives	530
	Adjectives in combination	536
	Overview of adverbs	538
	The form of adverbs	539
	Syntactic roles of adverbs	544
	Semantic categories of adverbs	552
7.15	Discourse choices for degree adverbs as modifiers	564
t Dec to Experts v Berri		571
8	Complex noun phrases	573
8.1	Overview	574
8.2	Structural types of premodification	588
8.3	Meaning relations expressed by noun + noun sequences	589

8.11 8.12 8.13	Noun phrases with multiple premodifiers Restrictive v. non-restrictive postmodifiers Major structural types of postmodification Postmodification by finite relative clause Postmodification by non-finite clause Postmodification by prepositional phrase Postmodification by appositive noun phrase Noun phrases with multiple postmodifiers Noun complement clauses v. nominal postmodifiers Structural types of noun complement clause Head nouns taking noun complement clauses	596 602 604 608 630 634 646 644 645
9	The form and function of complement clauses	657
9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 9.6 9.7	Overview That-clauses Wh-clauses Infinitive clauses Ing-clauses Ellipsis and pro-form substitution in post-predicate complement clauses Choice of complement clause type	658 660 683 739 751 753
10	Adverbials	761
	Overview	762
	Circumstance adverbials Stance adverbials	776 853
	Linking adverbials	875
	SECTION E Grammar in a wider perspective	893
11	Word order and related syntactic choices	89:
11.1	Overview	896
	Word order	898
	The passive Existential there	935 943
	Dislocation	950
	Clefting	958
		96:
	Syntactic choices in conversation v. academic prose	90.
11.7 12	The grammatical marking of stance	96
11.7 12 12.1	The grammatical marking of stance Overview	96 !
11.7 12 12.1 12.2	The grammatical marking of stance Overview Major grammatical devices used to express stance	96 : 96: 96:
11.7 12 12.1 12.2 12.3	The grammatical marking of stance Overview	96 !
11.7 12 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4	The grammatical marking of stance Overview Major grammatical devices used to express stance Major semantic distinctions conveyed by stance markers	96 : 96: 96: 97:
11.7 12 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4	The grammatical marking of stance Overview Major grammatical devices used to express stance Major semantic distinctions conveyed by stance markers Attribution of stance to the speaker or writer	96 : 96: 96: 97:
11.7 12 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 13	The grammatical marking of stance Overview Major grammatical devices used to express stance Major semantic distinctions conveyed by stance markers Attribution of stance to the speaker or writer Register differences in the marking of stance Lexical expressions in speech and writing Overview	96: 96: 96: 97: 97: 97: 98: 98:
11.7 12 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 13 13.1 13.2	The grammatical marking of stance Overview Major grammatical devices used to express stance Major semantic distinctions conveyed by stance markers Attribution of stance to the speaker or writer Register differences in the marking of stance Lexical expressions in speech and writing Overview Lexical bundles	96: 96: 96: 97: 97: 97: 98: 98: 99:
11.7 12 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 13.1 13.2 13.3	The grammatical marking of stance Overview Major grammatical devices used to express stance Major semantic distinctions conveyed by stance markers Attribution of stance to the speaker or writer Register differences in the marking of stance Lexical expressions in speech and writing Overview	96: 96: 96: 97: 97: 97: 98: 98:
11.7 12 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 13 13.1 13.2 13.3 13.4	The grammatical marking of stance Overview Major grammatical devices used to express stance Major semantic distinctions conveyed by stance markers Attribution of stance to the speaker or writer Register differences in the marking of stance Lexical expressions in speech and writing Overview Lexical bundles Idiomatic phrases	96: 96: 97: 97: 97: 98: 98: 99:
11.7 12 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 13 13.1 13.2 13.3 13.4	The grammatical marking of stance Overview Major grammatical devices used to express stance Major semantic distinctions conveyed by stance markers Attribution of stance to the speaker or writer Register differences in the marking of stance Lexical expressions in speech and writing Overview Lexical bundles Idiomatic phrases Free combinations of verb + particle	96: 96: 97: 97: 97: 98: 98: 99: 102:
11.7 12 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 13 13.1 13.2 13.3 13.4 13.5 14	The grammatical marking of stance Overview Major grammatical devices used to express stance Major semantic distinctions conveyed by stance markers Attribution of stance to the speaker or writer Register differences in the marking of stance Lexical expressions in speech and writing Overview Lexical bundles Idiomatic phrases Free combinations of verb + particle Coordinated binomial phrases The grammar of conversation Introduction	96: 96: 97: 97: 98: 98: 99: 102: 103:
11.7 12 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 13 13.1 13.2 13.3 13.4 13.5 14 14.1	The grammatical marking of stance Overview Major grammatical devices used to express stance Major semantic distinctions conveyed by stance markers Attribution of stance to the speaker or writer Register differences in the marking of stance Lexical expressions in speech and writing Overview Lexical bundles Idiomatic phrases Free combinations of verb + particle Coordinated binomial phrases The grammar of conversation	96: 96: 97: 97: 98: 98: 99: 102: 103:

xii CONTENTS SUMMARY

Appendix	1128
Endnotes	1133
	1139
Bibliography	1148
Lexical index	1174
Conceptual index	11/4

Contents in detail

SECT	TION A Introductory	1		TION B Basic grammar: ription and distribution	47
1	Introduction: A corpus- based approach to English grammar	3	2	Word and phrase grammar	
1.1	Introduction	4	2.1	The nature of grammatical units	50
1.1.1	Major goals of the LGSWE	5	2.2	Words and their characteristics	51
1.2	Structure and use in English	25	2.2.1	Word types and word tokens	51
	grammar	6	2.2.1		51
1.2.1	Register distribution	8		2.2.1.2 TTR across the registers	53
1.2.2	Lexico-grammatical patterns	13	2.2.2	Orthographic words, grammatical	
1.2.3	Grammatical/discourse factors	14	222	words, and lexemes	54
1.3	Varieties of English	15	2.2.3	The three major word classes 2.2.3.1 Lexical words	55 55
1.3.1	Registers of English	15		2.2.3.2 Function words	55
1.3.2	Dialect distinctions	17		2.2.3.3 Inserts	56
1.3.3	Standard and non-standard English 1.3.3.1 Variation within standard	18	2.2.4	Closed systems v. open classes	56
	English	18	2.2.5	The structure of words: morphology	57
	1.3.3.2 Variation within non-standard			2.2.5.1 Inflection	57
	English	20		2.2.5.2 Derivation 2.2.5.3 Compounding	57 58
1.3.4	The relative importance of register			2.2.5.4 Multi-word lexical units	58
	and dialect differences	20	2.2.6	Core v. peripheral members of word	-
1.4	Representation of varieties in			classes	59
	the LSWE Corpus	24	2.2.7	Multiple class membership	60
1.4.1	Register distinctions in the LSWE	~ .	2.2.8	Use of lexical words, function words, and inserts	<i>C</i> 1
1.4.2	Corpus Dialect distinctions in the LSWE	24	2.2.9	Lexical density	61 62
1.4.2	Corpus	26			
1.4.3	Size of the LSWE Corpus	26	2.3 2.3.1	Survey of lexical words Nouns	62
1.4.4	Representativeness and accuracy of		2.3.1	Lexical verbs	63
	the LSWE Corpus	27	2.3.3	Adjectives	64
1.5	Description of the register		2.3.4	Adverbs	64
	categories in the LSWE Corpus	29	2.3.5	Lexical word classes	65
1.5.1	Conversation	29	2.3.6	Borderline cases of lexical word class	
1.5.2	Fiction	29	20000	membership	66
1.5.3	News Asadomis prose	31 32	2.4	Survey of function words	69
1.5.4 1.5.5	Academic prose Supplementary registers	33	2.4.1	Determiners	69
		-	2.4.2	Pronouns 2.4.2.1 Overlap of pronoun,	70
1.6	Grammatical analysis of the LSWE Corpus	35		determiner, and adverb classes	71
	•	33		2.4.2.2 Other pro-forms	72
1.7	Quantitative findings in the		2.4.3	Primary auxiliaries	72
	grammar	38	2.4.4	Modal auxiliaries	73
1.8	Functional interpretation of		2.4.5	Prepositions	74
	quantitative findings	41		2.4.5.1 Free v. bound prepositions 2.4.5.2 Complex prepositions	75
1.8.1	Function as the performance of tasks	41		2.4.5.3 Overlap between prepositions	, -
1.8.2	Function as a reflection of processing	43		and other word classes	76
1.8.3	constraints Function as social or situational	43	2.4.6	Adverbial particles	78
	indexing	43]	2.4.6.1 Adverbial particles v. adverbs	78
1.8.4	Other explanatory considerations	44		2.4.6.2 Adverbial particles v. prepositions	78
1.9	Overview of the grammar	44	2.4.7	Coordinators	79
1.10	Potential users and uses of the			2.4.7.1 Correlative coordinators	80
	LGSWE	45		2.4.7.2 Coordinators v. other word classes	80

XIV CONTENTS IN DETAIL

	2.4.7.3 Simple coordinators: distribution 2.4.7.4 Sentence/turn-initial	81	2.9.2	Coordination tags 2.9.2.1 Distribution of coordination tags	115 116
	coordinators	83	2.10	Simple v. complex phrases	117
	2.4.7.5 Correlative coordinators: distribution	85		and the control of the speciment of	
2.4.8	Subordinators 2.4.8.1 Complex subordinators 2.4.8.2 Correlative subordinators	85 85 86	3	Clause grammar	119
	2.4.8.3 Overlap between subordinators and other word classes	86	3.1	Clause v. non-clausal material	120
2.4.9	Wh-words	87	3.1.1	Use of clauses v. non-clausal material in text samples	120
2.4.10	Existential there The negator not	88 88	3.2	Major clause elements	122
2.4.12	The infinitive marker to	89	3.2.1	Subject (S)	123
2.4.13	Numerals	89		3.2.1.1 Semantic roles of subjects	123
	2.4.13.1 Cardinals 2.4.13.2 Ordinals	89 90		3.2.1.2 Dummy subjects 3.2.1.3 Subjects in non-finite clauses	125 125
	2.4.13.3 Numerals: distribution	90	3.2.2	Verb phrase (V)	125
2.4.14	Major function word classes:		3.2.3	Subject predicative (P _s)	126
	distribution	91	3.2.4	Direct object (O _d) 3.2.4.1 Semantic roles of direct	126
2.5	Survey of inserts	93		objects	127
2.6	Phrases and their	0.4		3.2.4.2 Dummy objects	128
261	characteristics	94 94	3.2.5	Indirect object (O _i)	128 129
2.6.1 2.6.2	Constituency Form v. syntactic role of phrases	95	3.2.6 3.2.7	Prepositional object (O _p) Object predicative (P _o)	130
2.6.3	Phrases in text samples	95	3.2.8	Adverbials (A)	130
2.7	Types of phrase	96		3.2.8.1 Circumstance adverbials (A _c)	131
2.7.1	Noun phrases	97		3.2.8.2 Stance adverbials (A _s) 3.2.8.3 Linking adverbials (A _l)	131 133
	2.7.1.1 The syntactic roles of noun phrases	98	3.2.9	The operator	133
	2.7.1.2 Discontinuous noun phrases	99	3.3	Clause links	134
2.7.2	Verb phrases	99	3.4	Peripheral elements	136
	2.7.2.1 The syntactic role of verb phrases	100	3.4.1	Detached predicatives and related	
	2.7.2.2 Discontinuous verb phrases	100	242	forms	136
	2.7.2.3 Auxiliary-only verb phrases	101	3.4.2 3.4.3	Parentheticals Prefaces	137 138
2.7.3	Adjective phrases	101	3.4.4	Tags	139
	2.7.3.1 The syntactic roles of adjective phrases	101	3.4.5	Discourse markers	140
	2.7.3.2 Discontinuous adjective		3.4.6	Vocatives	140
274	phrases	102 102	3.5	Major clause patterns	141 141
2.7.4	Adverb phrases 2.7.4.1 The syntactic roles of adverb	102	3.5.1 3.5.2	Subject—verb phrase Subject—verb phrase—obligatory	141
	phrases	102		adverbial	143
2.7.5	Prepositional phrases	103	3.5.3	Subject—verb phrase—subject predicative	145
	2.7.5.1 Extended prepositional phrases	104	Į	3.5.3.1 The characterizing pattern	145
	2.7.5.2 The syntactic roles of	200000 00		3.5.3.2 The identifying pattern	146
	prepositional phrases	104 105	3.5.4	Subject—verb phrase—direct object	147
	2.7.5.3 Stranded prepositions 2.7.5.4 Stranded prepositions in	105	3.5.5	Subject—verb phrase—prepositional object	149
	independent wh-questions	105	3.5.6	Subject—verb phrase—indirect	
2.7.6	Genitive phrases	108	2.5	object—direct object	150
2.7.7	Numeral phrases 2.7.7.1 Complex numbers	109 109	3.5.7	Subject—verb phrase—direct object—prepositional object	150
	2.7.7.2 Types of numerical		3.5.8	Subject—verb phrase—direct	,,,,
	expressions	109		object—object predicative	151
	2.7.7.3 Approximate numbers 2.7.7.4 Approximating numeral	111	3.5.9	Subject—verb phrase—direct object—obligatory adverbial	151
	expressions	112	3.5.10		152
2.8	Embedding of phrases	113	3.6	Variations on clause patterns	152
2.9	Coordination of phrases	113	3.6.1	Order variations	152
2.9.1	Phrasal v. clausal coordination	115	l	3.6.1.1 Inversion	152

	3.6.1.2 Fronting	153	3.9.3	Concord and proximity	189
	3.6.1.3 Postponement	153	3.9.4	Non-standard concord in	
3.6.2	The passive	154	305	conversation	190
3.6.3	Existential there	154 155	3.9.5	Subject-verb concord and pronominal reference	192
3.6.4 3.6.5	Extraposition Clefting	155	2 10	Types of dependent clauses	192
3.6.6	Condensation	155	3.10		
3.7	Ellipsis	156	3.11	Finite dependent clauses	193
3.7.1	Ellipsis in coordinated clauses	156	3.11.1	Nominal clauses	193
3.7.2	Ellipsis in comparative clauses	156	3.11.2	Adverbial clauses	194 195
3.7.3	Ellipsis in question-answer sequences	157	3.11.3 3.11.4	Relative clauses Comparative clauses and other	193
3.7.4	Other types of textual ellipsis	157	3.11.4	degree clauses	199
3.7.5	Omission of function words and		3.11.5	Reporting clauses	196
	situational ellipsis	157	3.11.6	Comment clauses	197
3.8	Negation	158	3.11.7	Other peripheral clauses	197
3.8.1	Overall frequency of negation	159	3.12	Non-finite clauses	198
3.8.2	Not-negation	160	3.12.1	Infinitive clauses	198
	3.8.2.1 The auxiliary do in negative	160	3.12.2	Ing-clauses	199
	clauses with transitive have (got)	160	3.12.3	<i>Ed</i> -clauses	200
	3.8.2.2 The auxiliary do in negative clauses with the semi-modal have to	162	3.12.4	Supplementive clauses	201
	3.8.2.3 The auxiliary do in negative	102	3.12.5	Verbless clauses	201
	clauses with dare and need	163	3.13	Major types of independent	
	3.8.2.4 The auxiliary do in negative			clauses	202
	clauses with ought to and used to	164	3.13.1	Declarative clauses	203
	3.8.2.5 Full form v. operator		3.13.2	Interrogative clauses	203
	contraction v. not-contraction	165		3.13.2.1 Wh-questions	204
	3.8.2.6 Aren't I and ain't	167		3.13.2.2 Yes/no-questions	200
3.8.3	No-negation	168		3.13.2.3 Alternative questions	207
3.8.4	Occurrence of <i>not</i> -negation v. <i>no</i> - negation	169		3.13.2.4 Question tags	208
	3.8.4.1 Variability of not-negation	105		3.13.2.5 Interrogatives in general: distribution	21
	and no-negation	169	ļ	3.13.2.6 Question types: distribution	21
	3.8.4.2 Relative frequency of not-			3.13.2.7 Choice between	
	negation v. no-negation	170		interrogative who and whom	214
	3.8.4.3 Choice of no-negation v.		ĺ	3.13.2.8 Auxiliary do in independent	
	not-negation	171	2000	interrogative clauses	21
205	3.8.4.4 Not-negation collocations	173	3.13.3	Exclamative clauses	219
3.8.5 3.8.6	The scope of negation Assertive and non-assertive forms	175 176	3.13.4	Imperative clauses	21
3.8.7	Multiple negation	177		3.13.4.1 The realization of imperative clauses	21
3.0.7	3.8.7.1 Dependent multiple negation			3.13.4.2 Imperative clauses:	21
	3.8.7.2 Independent multiple	-		distribution	22
	negation	179	3.14	Unembedded dependent	
3.9	Subject-verb concord	180	3.14	clauses	22
3.9.1	Complications with concord patterns	180			
	3.9.1.1 Concord with plural forms		3.15	Non-clausal material	22
	not ending in -s	181	3.15.1 3.15.2	Non-clausal material in writing	22
	3.9.1.2 Concord with singular forms		3.13.2	Non-clausal material in conversation	22
	ending in -s	181			
	3.9.1.3 Concord with coordinated	100	30.350		
	subjects 3.9.1.4 Concord with indefinite	182		FION C Key word classes	
	pronouns and quantifying		and	their phrases	22
	expressions	184	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE		
	3.9.1.5 Concord with existential			·····································	
	there	185	4	Nouns, pronouns, and	
	3.9.1.6 Concord with clausal subjects			the simple noun phrase	22
3.9.2	Notional concord	187	4.1	Overview of nominals in	
	3.9.2.1 Concord with names, titles, and quotations	187		discourse	23
	3.9.2.2 Concord with measure	10/	4.1.1	Use of nominals in discourse	23
	expressions	187	7.1.1	4.1.1.1 Density and types of nominal	
	3.9.2.3 Concord with collective			elements	23
	nouns	188	1		