

Ronald A. Doney

Fluctuation Theory for Lévy Processes

897

Ecole d'Été de Probabilités
de Saint-Flour XXXV – 2005

Editor: Jean Picard



Ronald A. Doney

Fluctuation Theory for Lévy Processes

Ecole d'Eté de Probabilités
de Saint-Flour XXXV - 2005

Editor: Jean Picard

 Springer

Author

Ronald A. Doney

School of Mathematics
University of Manchester
PO Box 88, Sackville Street
Manchester M60 1QD
United Kingdom
e-mail: rad@ma.man.ac.uk

Editor

Jean Picard

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Appliquées
UMR CNRS 6620
Université Blaise Pascal (Clermont-Ferrand)
63177 Aubière Cedex
France
e-mail: jean.picard@math.univ-bpclermont.fr

Cover: Blaise Pascal (1623-1662)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2007921692

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 60G51, 60G10, 60G17, 60J55, 60J75

ISSN print edition: 0075-8434

ISSN electronic edition: 1617-9692

ISSN Ecole d'Été de Probabilités de St. Flour, print edition: 0721-5363

ISBN-10 3-540-48510-4 Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York

ISBN-13 978-3-540-48510-0 Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York

DOI 10.1007/978-3-540-48511-7

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

Springer is a part of Springer Science+Business Media
springer.com

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2007

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Typesetting: by the author and SPi using a Springer L^AT_EX package

Cover design: *design & production* GmbH, Heidelberg

Printed on acid-free paper SPIN: 11917915 VA41/3100/SPi 5 4 3 2 1 0

Lecture Notes in Mathematics

Edited by J.-M. Morel, F. Takens and B. Teissier

Editorial Policy

for the publication of monographs

1. Lecture Notes aim to report new developments in all areas of mathematics and their applications – quickly, informally and at a high level. Mathematical texts analysing new developments in modelling and numerical simulation are welcome.

Monograph manuscripts should be reasonably self-contained and rounded off. Thus they may, and often will, present not only results of the author but also related work by other people. They may be based on specialised lecture courses. Furthermore, the manuscripts should provide sufficient motivation, examples and applications. This clearly distinguishes Lecture Notes from journal articles or technical reports which normally are very concise. Articles intended for a journal but too long to be accepted by most journals, usually do not have this „lecture notes“ character. For similar reasons it is unusual for doctoral theses to be accepted for the Lecture Notes series, though habilitation theses may be appropriate.

2. Manuscripts should be submitted (preferably in duplicate) either to Springer’s mathematics editorial in Heidelberg, or to one of the series editors (with a copy to Springer). In general, manuscripts will be sent out to 2 external referees for evaluation. If a decision cannot yet be reached on the basis of the first 2 reports, further referees may be contacted: The author will be informed of this. A final decision to publish can be made only on the basis of the complete manuscript, however a refereeing process leading to a preliminary decision can be based on a pre-final or incomplete manuscript. The strict minimum amount of material that will be considered should include a detailed outline describing the planned contents of each chapter, a bibliography and several sample chapters.

Authors should be aware that incomplete or insufficiently close to final manuscripts almost always result in longer refereeing times and nevertheless unclear referees’ recommendations, making further refereeing of a final draft necessary.

Authors should also be aware that parallel submission of their manuscript to another publisher while under consideration for LNM will in general lead to immediate rejection.

3. Manuscripts should in general be submitted in English. Final manuscripts should contain at least 100 pages of mathematical text and should always include
 - a table of contents;
 - an informative introduction, with adequate motivation and perhaps some historical remarks: it should be accessible to a reader not intimately familiar with the topic treated;
 - a subject index: as a rule this is genuinely helpful for the reader.

For evaluation purposes, manuscripts may be submitted in print or electronic form (print form is still preferred by most referees), in the latter case preferably as pdf- or zipped ps-files. Lecture Notes volumes are, as a rule, printed digitally from the authors’ files. To ensure best results, authors are asked to use the LaTeX2e style files available from Springer’s web-server at:

<ftp://ftp.springer.de/pub/tex/latex/mathgl/mono/> (for monographs) and

<ftp://ftp.springer.de/pub/tex/latex/mathgl/mult/> (for summer schools/tutorials).

Additional technical instructions, if necessary, are available on request from lnm@springer-sbm.com.

Continued on inside back-cover

Lecture Notes in Mathematics

1897

Editors:

J.-M. Morel, Cachan
F. Takens, Groningen
B. Teissier, Paris

Subseries:

Ecole d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour

Foreword

The Saint-Flour Probability Summer School was founded in 1971. It is supported by CNRS, the “Ministère de la Recherche”, and the “Université Blaise Pascal”.

Three series of lectures were given at the 35th School (July 6–23, 2005) by the Professors Doney, Evans and Villani. These courses will be published separately, and this volume contains the course of Professor Doney. We cordially thank the author for the stimulating lectures he gave at the school, and for the redaction of these notes.

53 participants have attended this school. 36 of them have given a short lecture. The lists of participants and of short lectures are enclosed at the end of the volume.

Here are the references of Springer volumes which have been published prior to this one. All numbers refer to the *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* series, except S-50 which refers to volume 50 of the *Lecture Notes in Statistics* series.

1971: vol 307	1980: vol 929	1990: vol 1527	1998: vol 1738
1973: vol 390	1981: vol 976	1991: vol 1541	1999: vol 1781
1974: vol 480	1982: vol 1097	1992: vol 1581	2000: vol 1816
1975: vol 539	1983: vol 1117	1993: vol 1608	2001: vol 1837 & 1851
1976: vol 598	1984: vol 1180	1994: vol 1648	2002: vol 1840 & 1875
1977: vol 678	1985/86/87: vol 1362 & S-50	1995: vol 1690	2003: vol 1869 & 1896
1978: vol 774	1988: vol 1427	1996: vol 1665	2004: vol 1878 & 1879
1979: vol 876	1989: vol 1464	1997: vol 1717	2005: vol 1897

Further details can be found on the summer school web site
<http://math.univ-bpclermont.fr/stflour/>

Jean Picard
Clermont-Ferrand, April 2006

Contents

1	Introduction to Lévy Processes	1
1.1	Notation	1
1.2	Poisson Point Processes	3
1.3	The Lévy–Itô Decomposition	5
1.4	Lévy Processes as Markov Processes	7
2	Subordinators	9
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Basics	9
2.3	The Renewal Measure	10
2.4	Passage Across a Level	13
2.5	Arc-Sine Laws for Subordinators	15
2.6	Rates of Growth	16
2.7	Killed Subordinators	17
3	Local Times and Excursions	19
3.1	Introduction	19
3.2	Local Time of a Markov Process	19
3.3	The Regular, Instantaneous Case	20
3.4	The Excursion Process	22
3.5	The Case of Holding and Irregular Points	23
4	Ladder Processes and the Wiener–Hopf Factorisation	25
4.1	Introduction	25
4.2	The Random Walk Case	25
4.3	The Reflected and Ladder Processes	27
4.4	Applications	30
4.5	A Stochastic Bound	35

5	Further Wiener–Hopf Developments	41
5.1	Introduction	41
5.2	Extensions of a Result due to Baxter	41
5.3	Les Équations Amicales of Vigon	43
5.4	A First Passage Quintuple Identity	49
6	Creeping and Related Questions	51
6.1	Introduction	51
6.2	Notation and Preliminary Results	52
6.3	The Mean Ladder Height Problem	53
6.4	Creeping	56
6.5	Limit Points of the Supremum Process	59
6.6	Regularity of the Half-Line	61
6.7	Summary: Four Integral Tests	64
7	Spitzer’s Condition	65
7.1	Introduction	65
7.2	Proofs	65
7.2.1	The Case $\rho = 0, 1$	66
7.2.2	A First Proof for the Case $0 < \rho < 1$	66
7.2.3	A Second Proof for the Case $0 < \rho < 1$	68
7.3	Further Results	69
7.4	Tailpiece	80
8	Lévy Processes Conditioned to Stay Positive	81
8.1	Introduction	81
8.2	Notation and Preliminaries	81
8.3	Definition and Path Decomposition	83
8.4	The Convergence Result	86
8.5	Pathwise Constructions of (X, \mathbb{P}^\dagger)	89
8.5.1	Tanaka’s Construction	89
8.5.2	Bertoin’s Construction	91
9	Spectrally Negative Lévy Processes	95
9.1	Introduction	95
9.2	Basics	95
9.3	The Random Walk Case	99
9.4	The Scale Function	100
9.5	Further Developments	104
9.6	Exit Problems for the Reflected Process	109
9.7	Addendum	112

10 Small-Time Behaviour 115

 10.1 Introduction 115

 10.2 Notation and Preliminary Results 115

 10.3 Convergence in Probability 117

 10.4 Almost Sure Results 126

 10.5 Summary of Asymptotic Results 131

 10.5.1 Laws of Large Numbers 131

 10.5.2 Central Limit Theorems 131

 10.5.3 Exit from a Symmetric Interval 132

References 133

Index 139

List of Participants 141

List of Short Lectures 145

Introduction to Lévy Processes

Lévy processes, i.e. processes in continuous time with stationary and independent increments, are named after Paul Lévy: he made the connection with infinitely divisible distributions (Lévy–Khinchine formula) and described their structure (Lévy–Itô decomposition).

I believe that their study is of particular interest today for the following reasons

- They form a subclass of general Markov processes which is large enough to include many familiar processes such as Brownian motion, the Poisson process, Stable processes, etc, but small enough that a particular member can be specified by a few quantities (the *characteristics* of a Lévy process).
- In a sense, they stand in the same relation to Brownian motion as general random walks do to the simple symmetric random walk, and their study draws on techniques from both these areas.
- Their *sample path behaviour* poses a variety of difficult and fascinating questions, some of which are not relevant for Brownian motion.
- They form a flexible class of models, which have been applied to the study of storage processes, insurance risk, queues, turbulence, laser cooling, ... and of course finance, where the feature that they include examples having “heavy tails” is particularly important.

This course will cover only a part of the theory of Lévy processes, and will not discuss applications. Even within the area of fluctuation theory, there are many recent interesting developments that I won’t have time to discuss.

Almost all the material in Chapters 1–4 can be found in Bertoin [12]. For related background material, see Bingham [19], Satô [90], and Satô [91].

1.1 Notation

We will use the canonical notation, and denote by $X = (X_t, t \geq 0)$ the co-ordinate process, i.e. $X_t = X_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$, where $\omega \in \Omega$, the space of real-valued cadlag paths, augmented by a cemetery point ϑ , and endowed with

the Skorohod topology. The Borel σ -field of Ω will be denoted by \mathcal{F} and the lifetime by $\zeta = \zeta(\omega) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \omega(t) = \vartheta\}$.

Definition 1. Let \mathbb{P} be a probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) with $\mathbb{P}(\zeta = \infty) = 1$. We say that X is a (real-valued) Lévy process for $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ if for every $t \geq s \geq 0$, the increment $X_{t+s} - X_t$ is independent of $(X_u, 0 \leq u \leq t)$ and has the same distribution as X_s .

Note that this forces $\mathbb{P}(X_0 = 0) = 1$; we will later write \mathbb{P}_x for the measure corresponding to $(x + X_t, t \geq 0)$ under \mathbb{P} .

(Incidentally the name Lévy process has only been the accepted terminology for approximately 20 years; prior to that the name “process with stationary and independent increments” was generally used.)

From the decomposition

$$X_1 = X_{\frac{1}{n}} + \left(X_{\frac{2}{n}} - X_{\frac{1}{n}}\right) + \cdots + \left(X_{\frac{n}{n}} - X_{\frac{n-1}{n}}\right)$$

it is apparent that X_1 has an *infinitely divisible* distribution under \mathbb{P} . The form of a general infinitely divisible distribution is given by the well-known Lévy–Khintchine formula, and from it we deduce easily the following result.

Theorem 1. Let X be a Lévy process on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$; then

$$\mathbb{E}(\exp i\lambda X_t) = e^{-t\Psi(\lambda)}, \quad t \geq 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

where, for some real γ, σ and measure Π on $\mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ which satisfies

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{x^2 \wedge 1\} \Pi(dx) < \infty, \tag{1.1.1}$$

$$\Psi(\lambda) = -i\gamma\lambda + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\lambda^2 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{1 - e^{i\lambda x} + i\lambda x \mathbf{1}_{(|x|<1)}\} \Pi(dx). \tag{1.1.2}$$

Ψ is called the **Lévy exponent** of X , and we will call the quantities γ the linear coefficient, σ the Brownian coefficient, and Π the Lévy measure of X : together they constitute the **characteristics** of X . There is an existence theorem: given real γ , any $\sigma \geq 0$ and measure Π satisfying (1.1.1) there is a measure under which X is a Lévy process with characteristics γ, σ and Π . There is also a uniqueness result, as any alteration in one or more of the characteristics results in a Lévy process with a different distribution.

Examples

- The characteristics of standard Brownian motion are $\gamma = 0, \sigma = 1, \Pi \equiv 0$, and $\Psi(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^2}{2}$.
- The characteristics of a compound Poisson process with jump rate c and step distribution F are

$$\gamma = c \int_{\{|x|<1\}} xF(dx), \sigma = 0, \Pi(dx) = cF(dx),$$

and $\Psi(\lambda) = c(1 - \phi(\lambda))$, where $\phi(\theta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda x} dF(x)$.

- The characteristics of a Gamma process are

$$\gamma = c(1 - e^{-1}), \sigma = 0, \Pi(dx) = cx^{-1}e^{-x}\mathbf{1}_{\{x>0\}}dx,$$

and $\Psi(\lambda) = c \log(1 - i\lambda)$.

- The characteristics of a strictly stable process of index $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$ are

$$\gamma \text{ arbitrary, } \sigma = 0, \Pi(dx) = \begin{cases} c_+ x^{-\alpha-1} dx & \text{if } x > 0, \\ c_- |x|^{-\alpha-1} dx & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

If $\alpha \neq 1$, $c_+ \geq 0$ and $c_- \geq 0$ are arbitrary, and

$$\Psi(\lambda) = c|\lambda|^\alpha \{1 - i\beta \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) \tan(\pi\alpha/2)\} - i\gamma\lambda.$$

If $\alpha = 1$, $c_+ = c_- > 0$, and $\Psi(\lambda) = c|\lambda| - i\gamma\lambda$; this is a Cauchy process with drift.

Note that there is a fairly obvious generalisation of Theorem 1 to \mathbb{R}^d , but we will stick, almost exclusively, to the 1-dimensional case.

The first step to getting a probabilistic interpretation of Theorem 1 is to realise that the process of jumps,

$$\Delta = (\Delta_t, t \geq 0) \text{ where } \Delta_t = X_t - X_{t-},$$

is a Poisson point process, but first we need some background material.

1.2 Poisson Point Processes

A random measure ϕ on a Polish space E (this means it is metric-complete and separable) is called a Poisson measure with intensity ν if

1. ν is a σ -finite measure on E ;
2. for every Borel subset B of E with $0 < \nu(B) < \infty$, $\phi(B)$ has a Poisson distribution with parameter $\nu(B)$; in particular $\phi(B)$ has mean $\nu(B)$;
3. for disjoint Borel subsets B_1, \dots, B_n of E , the random variables $\phi(B_1), \dots, \phi(B_n)$ are independent.

In the case that $c := \nu(E) < \infty$, it is clear that we can represent ϕ as a sum of Dirac point masses as follows. Let y_1, y_2, \dots be a sequence of independent and identically distributed E -valued random variables with distribution $c^{-1}\nu$, and N an independent Poisson-distributed random variable with parameter c ; then we can represent ϕ as

$$\phi = \sum_1^N \delta_{y_j},$$

where δ_y denotes the Dirac point mass at $y \in E$. If $\nu(E) = \infty$, there is a decomposition of E into disjoint Borel sets E_1, E_2, \dots , each having $\nu(E_j)$

finite, and we can represent ϕ as the sum of independent Poisson measures ϕ_j having intensities $\nu \mathbf{1}_{E_j}$, each having the above representation, so again ϕ can be represented as the sum of Dirac point masses.

To set up a Poisson point process we consider the product space $E \times [0, \infty)$, the measure $\mu = \nu \times dx$, and a Poisson measure ϕ on $E \times [0, \infty)$ with intensity μ . It is easy to check that a.s. $\phi(E \times \{t\}) = 1$ or 0 for all $t \geq 0$, so we can introduce a process $(e(t), t \geq 0)$ by letting $(e(t), t)$ denote the position of the point mass on $E \times \{t\}$ in the first case, and in the second case put $e(t) = \xi$, where ξ is an additional isolated point. Then we can write

$$\phi = \sum_{t \geq 0} \delta_{(e(t), t)}.$$

The process $e = (e(t), t \geq 0)$ is called a Poisson point process with characteristic measure ν .

The basic properties of a Poisson point process are stated in the next result.

Proposition 1. *Let B be a Borel set with $\nu(B) < \infty$, and define its counting process by*

$$N_t^B = \#\{s \leq t : e(s) \in B\} = \phi(B \times [0, t]), \quad t \geq 0,$$

and its entrance time by

$$T_B = \inf\{t \geq 0 : e(t) \in B\}.$$

Then

- (i) N^B is a Poisson process of parameter $\nu(B)$, which is adapted to the filtration \mathcal{G} of e .
- (ii) T_B is a (\mathcal{G}_t) -stopping time which has an exponential distribution with parameter $\nu(B)$.
- (iii) $e(T_B)$ and T_B are independent, and for any Borel set A

$$\mathbb{P}(e(T_B) \in A) = \frac{\nu(A \cap B)}{\nu(B)}.$$

- (iv) The process e' defined by $e'(t) = \xi$ if $e(t) \in B$ and $e'(t) = e(t)$ otherwise is a Poisson point process with characteristic measure $\nu \mathbf{1}_{B^c}$, and it is independent of $(T_B, e(T_B))$.

The process $(e(t), 0 \leq t \leq T_B)$ is called the process **stopped** at the first point in B ; its law is characterized by Proposition 1.

If we define a deterministic function on $E \times [0, \infty)$ by $H_t(y) = \mathbf{1}_{B \times (t_1, t_2]}(y, t)$ it is clear that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{0 \leq t < \infty} H_t(\hat{e}(t)) \right) = (t_2 - t_1) \nu(B);$$

this is the building block on which the following important result is based.

Proposition 2. (*The compensation formula*) Let $H = (H_t, t \geq 0)$ be a predictable process taking values in the space of nonnegative measurable functions on $E \cup \{\xi\}$ and having $H_t(\xi) \equiv 0$. Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{0 \leq t < \infty} H_t(e(t)) \right) = \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\infty dt \int_E H_t(y) \nu(dy) \right).$$

A second important result is called **the exponential formula**;

Proposition 3. Let f be a complex-valued Borel function on $E \cup \{\xi\}$ with $f(\xi) = 0$ and

$$\int_E |1 - e^{f(y)}| \nu(dy) < \infty.$$

Then for any $t \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} f(e(s)) \right\} \right) = \exp \left\{ -t \int_E (1 - e^{f(y)}) \nu(dy) \right\}.$$

1.3 The Lévy–Itô Decomposition

It is important to get a probabilistic interpretation of the Lévy–Khintchine formula, and this is what this decomposition does. Fundamentally, it describes the way that the measure Π determines the structure of the jumps in the process. Specifically it states that X can be written in the form

$$X_t = \gamma t + \sigma B_t + Y_t,$$

where B is a standard Brownian motion, and Y is a Lévy process which is independent of B , and is “determined by its jumps”, in the following sense. Let $\Delta = \{\Delta_t, t \geq 0\}$ be a Poisson point process on $\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$ with characteristic measure Π , and note that since $\Pi\{x : |x| \geq 1\} < \infty$, then $\sum_{s \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\Delta_s| \geq 1\}} |\Delta_s| < \infty$ a.s. Moreover if we define

$$Y_t^{(2)} = \sum_{s \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\Delta_s| \geq 1\}} \Delta_s, \quad t \geq 0$$

then it is easy to see that, provided $c = \Pi\{x : |x| \geq 1\} > 0$, $(Y_t^{(2)}, t \geq 0)$ is a compound Poisson process with jump rate c , step distribution $F(dx) = c^{-1} \Pi(dx) \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \geq 1\}}$ and, by the exponential formula, Lévy exponent

$$\Psi^{(2)}(\lambda) = \int_{|x| \geq 1} \{1 - e^{i\lambda x}\} \Pi(dx).$$

If

$$I = \int (1 \wedge |x|) \Pi(dx) < \infty, \tag{1.3.1}$$

then, by considering the limit of $\sum_{s \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{\{\varepsilon < |\Delta_s| < 1\}} |\Delta_s|$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we see that

$$\sum_{s \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\Delta_s| < 1\}} |\Delta_s| < \infty \text{ a.s. for each } t < \infty,$$

and in this case we set $Y_t = Y_t^{(1)} + Y_t^{(2)}$, where

$$Y_t^{(1)} = \sum_{s \leq t} \Delta_s \mathbf{1}_{\{|\Delta_s| < 1\}}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

is independent of $Y^{(2)}$. Clearly, in this case Y has bounded variation (on each finite time interval), and it's exponent is

$$\Psi^{(1)}(\lambda) = \int_{|x| < 1} \{1 - e^{i\lambda x}\} \Pi(dx).$$

In this case we can rewrite the Lévy–Khintchine formula as

$$\Psi(\lambda) = -i\delta\lambda + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\lambda^2 + \Psi^{(1)}(\lambda) + \Psi^{(2)}(\lambda),$$

where $\delta = \gamma - \int_{|x| < 1} x\Pi(dx)$ is finite, and the Lévy–Itô decomposition takes the form

$$X_t = \delta t + \sigma B_t + Y_t^{(1)} + Y_t^{(2)}, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{1.3.2}$$

where the processes $B, Y^{(1)}$ and $Y^{(2)}$ are independent. The constant δ is called the **drift coefficient** of X .

However, if $I = \infty$ then a.s. $\sum_{s \leq t} |\Delta_s| = \infty$ for each $t > 0$, and in this case we need to define $Y^{(1)}$ differently: in fact as the a.s. limit as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ of the compensated partial sums,

$$Y_{\varepsilon,t}^{(1)} = \sum_{s \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{\{\varepsilon < |\Delta_s| \leq 1\}} \Delta_s - t \int_{\varepsilon < |x| \leq 1} x\Pi(dx).$$

It is clear that $\{Y_{\varepsilon,t}^{(1)}, t \geq 0\}$ is a Lévy process, in fact a compensated compound Poisson process with exponent

$$\Psi_\varepsilon^{(1)}(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{1 - e^{i\lambda x} + i\lambda x\} \mathbf{1}_{(\varepsilon < |x| < 1)} \Pi(dx),$$

and hence a martingale. The key point, (see e.g. [12] p14), is that the basic assumption that $\int (1 \wedge x^2) \Pi(dx) < \infty$ allows us to use a version of Doob's maximal inequality for martingales to show that the limit as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ exists, has stationary and independent increments, and is a Lévy process with exponent

$$\Psi^{(1)}(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{1 - e^{i\lambda x} + i\lambda x\} \mathbf{1}_{(|x| < 1)} \Pi(dx).$$

In this case the Lévy–Itô decomposition takes the form

$$X_t = \gamma t + \sigma B_t + Y_t^{(1)} + Y_t^{(2)}, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{1.3.3}$$

where again the processes $B, Y^{(1)}$ and $Y^{(2)}$ are independent.

Since $Y^{(2)}$ has unbounded variation we see that X has bounded variation $\iff \sigma = 0$ and $I < \infty$. All the examples we have discussed have bounded variation, except for Brownian motion and stable processes with index $\in (1, 2)$.

To conclude this section, we record some information about the asymptotic behaviour of the Lévy exponent.

Proposition 4. (i) *In all cases we have*

$$\lim_{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Psi(\lambda)}{\lambda^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}.$$

(ii) *If X has bounded variation and drift coefficient δ ,*

$$\lim_{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Psi(\lambda)}{\lambda} = -i\delta.$$

(iii) *X is a compound Poisson process if and only if Ψ is bounded.*

(Note that we reserve the name compound Poisson process for a Lévy process with a finite Lévy measure, no Brownian component and drift coefficient zero.)

1.4 Lévy Processes as Markov Processes

It is clear that any Lévy process has the simple Markov property in the stronger, spatially homogeneous form that, given $X_t = x$, the process $\{X_{t+s}, s \geq 0\}$ is independent of $\{X_u, u < t\}$ and has the law of $\{x + X_s, s \geq 0\}$. In fact

- a similar form of the strong Markov property also holds. In particular this means that the above is valid if the fixed time t is replaced by a *first passage time*

$$T_B = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t \in B\}$$

whenever B is either open or closed.

- It is also the case that the semi-group of X has the Feller property and it turns out that the strong Feller property holds in the important special case that the law of X_t is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure.
- In these, and some other circumstances, the resolvent kernel is absolutely continuous, i.e. there exists a non-negative measurable function $u^{(q)}$ such that

$$U^{(q)}f(x) := \int_0^\infty e^{-qt} P_t f(x) dt = \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(x+y) u^{(q)}(y) dy,$$

where

$$P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}_x(f(X_t)).$$

- The associated potential theory requires no additional hypotheses; in particular if we write $X^* = -X$ for the dual of X we have the following duality relations. Let f and g be non-negative; then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_t f(x) g(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) P_t^* g(x) dx, \quad t > 0,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} U^{(q)}f(x) g(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) U^{*(q)}g(x) dx, \quad t > 0,$$

- The relation between X and X^* via time-reversal is also simple; for each fixed $t > 0$, the reversed process $\{X_{(t-s)-} - X_t, 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ and the dual process $\{X_s^*, 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ have the same law under \mathbb{P} .

In summary; X is a “nice” Markov process, and many of technical problems which appear in the general theory are simplified for Lévy processes.