

科技资料

# Semigroup Theory & Evolution Equations

# semigroup theory and evolution equations

the second international conference

Edited by

Philippe Clément

Ben de Pagter

*Delft University of Technology  
Delft, The Netherlands*

Enzo Mitidieri

*University of Udine  
Udine, Italy*

**Marcel Dekker, Inc.**

**New York • Basel • Hong Kong**

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

**Semigroup theory and evolution equations: the second international conference/edited by Philippe Clément, Ben de Pagter, Enzo Mitidieri.**

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-8247-8545-2 (alk. paper)

1. Semigroups-- Congresses. 2. Evolution equations-- Congresses.

I. Clément, Philippe.

II. Pagter, Ben de.

III. Mitidieri, Enzo.

QA171.S524 1991

512'.2--dc20

91-3662

CIP

This book is printed on acid-free paper.

Copyright © 1991 by MARCEL DEKKER, INC. All Rights Reserved

Neither this book nor any part may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, microfilming, and recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

MARCEL DEKKER, INC.

270 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10016

Current printing (last digit):

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

## Preface

The Second International Conference on Trends in Semigroup Theory and Evolution Equations was held September 25 to 29, 1989, at the Department of Technical Mathematics and Informatics of the Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands. The topics treated in this conference included recent developments in semigroup theory (e.g., positive, dual, integrated), and nonlinear evolution equations (e.g., maximal regularity, Navier-Stokes equations, Thomas-Fermi equations), control theory, and boundary value problems. In comparison with the previous conference in Trieste (1987), more emphasis was given to nonlinear aspects of the subjects.

On behalf of the Organizing Committee (C. J. van Duijn, C. A. Timmermans, and the editors), we express our thanks to the Scientific Committee (H. Amann, M. G. Crandall, G. Da Prato, O. Diekmann, and W. von Wahl) for their advice.

The organization of this conference was made possible by the financial support of:

- Faculty of Technical Mathematics and Informatics, TU Delft
- Vertrouwenscommissie
- Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen
- The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research
- Delft Geotechnics
- Institut de Calcul Mathématique
- IBM Nederland N.V.
- Océ Nederlandse Verkoopmaatschappij B.V.
- Rabobank
- Rank Xerox

In addition, the Organizing Committee gratefully acknowledges the support of the dean, Professor D. Wolbers, and the Manager, Ir. H. van Iperen, of the Faculty of Technical Mathematics and Informatics, TU Delft.

Special thanks are due to Tini Nienhuis. Her assistance was essential in the organization of the conference and the preparation of the Proceedings.

Finally, we thank the contributors, the referees, Marcel Dekker, Inc., especially Ms. Maria Allegra, for their cooperation during the preparation of this volume.

Philippe Clément  
Enzo Mitidieri  
Ben de Pagter

## Contributors

**PAOLO ACQUISTAPACE** Department of Methods of Mathematical Modeling in Applied Science, University of Rome "La Sapienza," Rome, Italy

**FELIX ALI MEHMETI** Technische Hochschule Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany

**W. ARENDT** Department of Mathematics, University of Franche-Comté, Besançon, France

**ALDO BELLENI-MORANTE** Department of Civil Engineering, School of Engineering, Florence, Italy

**PH. BENILAN** Faculty of Sciences, University of Franche-Comté, Besançon, France

**REINHARD BÜRGER** Institute for Mathematics, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

**JAN A. VAN CASTEREN** Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Antwerp (UIA), Wilrijk/Antwerp, Belgium

**THIERRY COULHON** Department of Analysis, University of Paris VI, Paris, France

**M. G. CRANDALL** Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Barbara, California

**O. DIEKMANN** Centre for Mathematics and Computer Science, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, and Institute for Theoretical Biology, Leiden, The Netherlands

**GIOVANNI DORE** Department of Mathematics, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

**H. EMAMIRAD** Department of Mathematics, University of Poitiers, Poitiers, France

- JOACHIM ESCHER Mathematical Institute, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
- ALBERTO FRIGERIO Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences, University of Udine, Udine, Italy
- JEROME A. GOLDSTEIN Department of Mathematics, Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana
- GUNTHER GREINER Mathematical Institute, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
- GABRIELE GRILLO Department of Mathematics and Information Science, University of Udine, Udine, Italy
- PIERRE GRISVARD I.M.S.P., Mathematics Laboratory, University of Nice, Nice, France
- DAVIDE GUIDETTI Department of Mathematics, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy
- M. GYLLENBERG Institute for Applied Mathematics, Lulea University of Technology, Lulea, Sweden
- B. HEIMSOETH Mathematics Department, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany
- MATTHIAS HIEBER Mathematics Institute, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
- M. JAZAR Department of Mathematics, University of Poitiers, Poitiers, France
- KLAUS G. KUHN Mathematics Institute, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
- H. LANGE Mathematics Department, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany
- I. LASIECKA Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia
- RALPH deLAUBENFELS Department of Mathematics, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio
- G. LAURO Department of Applied Mathematics, School of Engineering, Florence, Italy
- CHIN-YUAN LIN Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas
- STIG-OLOF LONDEN Institute of Mathematics, Helsinki University of Technology, Espoo, Finland
- GÜNTHER LUMER Mathematics Institute, University of Mons, Mons, Belgium
- ALESSANDRA LUNARDI Department of Mathematics, University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy
- ISAO MIYADERA Department of Mathematics, School of Education, Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan

J. M. A. M. VAN NEERVEN Centre for Mathematics and Computer Science, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

SERGE NICAISE Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, University of Sciences and Technology of Lille, Villeneuve d'Ascq , France

ENRICO OBRECHT Department of Mathematics, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

BEN DE PAGTER Department of Mathematics, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands

JAN PRÜSS Department of Mathematics, Paderborn University of Technology, Paderborn, Germany

W. M. RUESS Department of Mathematics, University of Essen, Essen, Germany

W. SCHAPPACHER Institute for Mathematics, University of Graz, Graz, Austria

L. SMITS Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Antwerp (UIA), Antwerp/Wilrijk, Belgium

NAOKI TANAKA Department of Mathematics, Kochi University, Kochi, Japan

BRUNELLO TERRENI Department of Mathematics, "F. Enriques," University of Milan, Milan, Italy

H. R. THIEME Department of Mathematics, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona

R. TRIGGIANI Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia

MARLENE GABRIELE ULMET Mathematics Institute, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

ALBERTO VENNI Department of Mathematics, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

S. M. VERDUYN LUNEL Department of Information Sciences, Free University, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

PAOLA VERNOLE Department of Mathematics, University of Rome, "La Sapienza," Rome, Italy

P. WEIDEMAIER Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Bayreuth, Bayreuth, Germany



## Contents

Preface	iii
Contributors	ix
On a Family of Generators of Analytic Semigroups <i>Paolo Acquistapace</i>	1
Some Realizations of Interaction Problems <i>Felix Ali Mehmeti and Serge Nicaise</i>	15
Sobolev Imbeddings and Integrated Semigroups <i>W. Arendt</i>	29
Completely Accretive Operators <i>Ph. Benilan and M. G. Crandall</i>	41
Unbounded One-Parameter Semigroups, Fréchet Spaces, and Applications <i>Reinhard Bürger</i>	77
Dimensions of Continuous and Discrete Semigroups on the $L^p$ -Spaces <i>Thierry Coulhon</i>	93
A New Short Proof of an Old Folk Theorem in Functional Differential Equations <i>O. Diekmann and S. M. Verduyn Lunel</i>	101
Perturbation Theory for Dual Semigroups V. Variation of Constants Formulas <i>O. Diekmann, M. Gyllenberg, and H. R. Thieme</i>	107
Maximal Regularity for Abstract Differential Equations in Higher Order Interpolation Spaces <i>Giovanni Dore and Alberto Venni</i>	125

Applications of Spectral Distributions to Some Cauchy Problems in $L^p(R^n)$ <i>H. Emamirad and M. Jazar</i>	143
Some Global Existence and Blow-up Results for Semilinear Parabolic Systems with Nonlinear Boundary Conditions <i>Joachim Escher</i>	153
Time-Dependent Schrödinger Operators and Simulated Annealing <i>Alberto Frigerio and Gabriele Grillo</i>	165
Parabolic Problems with Strong Degeneracy at the Spatial Boundary <i>Jerome A. Goldstein and Chin-Yuan Lin</i>	181
Linear and Semilinear Boundary Conditions: The Analytic Case <i>Gunther Greiner and Klaus G. Kuhn</i>	193
Exact Controllability of the Wave Equation in Presence of Corners and Cracks <i>Pierre Grisvard</i>	213
Abstract Linear Parabolic Problems with Nonhomogeneous Boundary Conditions <i>Davide Guidetti</i>	227
Nonlocal Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations <i>B. Heimsoeth and H. Lange</i>	243
An Operator-Theoretical Approach to Dirac's Equation on $L^p$ -Spaces <i>Matthias Hieber</i>	259
Exact Controllability and Uniform Stabilization of Kirchhoff Plates with Boundary Control Only on $\Delta w _{\Sigma}$ and Homogeneous Boundary Displacement <i>I. Lasiecka and R. Triggiani</i>	267
C-Existence Families <i>Ralph deLaubenfels</i>	295
On Some Spectral Properties of the Streaming Operator with Mollified Boundary Conditions <i>G. Lauro and Aldo Belleni-Morante</i>	311
On a Nonlinear Hyperbolic Integrodifferential Equation with Singular Kernel <i>Stig-Olof Londen</i>	325
Generalized Evolution Operators and (Generalized) $C$ -Semigroups <i>Günter Lumer</i>	337
Examples and Results Concerning the Behavior of Generalized Solutions, Integrated Semigroups, and Dissipative Evolution Problems <i>Günter Lumer</i>	347
Some Regularity Results for Linear Variational Second-Order Parabolic Equations <i>Alessandra Lunardi</i>	357
Generalization of the Hille-Yosida Theorem <i>Isao Miyadera and Naoki Tanaka</i>	371

Certain Semigroups on Banach Function Spaces and Their Adjoints <i>J. M. A. M. van Neerven and Ben de Pagter</i>	383
Phase Space for an $n$ th Order Differential Equation in Banach Space <i>Enrico Obrecht</i>	391
Quasilinear Parabolic Volterra Equations in Spaces of Integrable Functions <i>Jan Prüß</i>	401
Almost Periodicity Properties of Solutions to the Nonlinear Cauchy Problem in Banach Spaces <i>W. M. Ruess</i>	421
Asymptotic Behavior of Some Perturbed $C_0$ -Semigroups <i>W. Schappacher</i>	441
Semigroups Defined by Additive Processes <i>L. Smits and J. A. van Casteren</i>	463
Uniformly Bounded Solutions of Quasilinear Parabolic Systems <i>Brunello Terreni</i>	483
Semigroups Generated by First-Order Differential Operators on $N$ -Dimensional Domains <i>Marlene Gabriele Ulmet</i>	499
A Nonhomogeneous Dirichlet Problem for a Delay Differential Equation <i>Paola Vernole</i>	511
Local Existence for a Parabolic Problem with Fully Nonlinear Boundary Condition Arising in Nonlinear Heat Conduction: An $L_p$ -Approach <i>P. Weidemaier</i>	519
Index	523

# On a Family of Generators of Analytic Semigroups

PAOLO ACQUISTAPACE Department of Methods of Mathematical Modeling in Applied Science, University of Rome "La Sapienza," Rome, Italy

## 0. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\{A(t), t \in [0, T]\}$  be a family of generators of analytic semigroups in a complex Hilbert space  $H$ , and suppose that both  $\{A(t)\}$  and  $\{A(t)^{\bullet}\}$  fulfil the assumptions of (Acquistapace and Terreni, 1987) in a somewhat strengthened form, i.e. assume that:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{for each } t \in [0, T], A(t): D_{A(t)} \subseteq H \rightarrow H \text{ is a closed linear} \\ \text{operator; in addition there exist } \vartheta \in ]\pi/2, \pi[ \text{ and } M > 0 \text{ such} \\ \text{that } \rho(A(t)) \supseteq \overline{S(\vartheta)}, \text{ where } S(\vartheta) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg z| < \vartheta\}, \text{ and} \\ \|\lambda - A(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}^{-1} \leq M[1 + |\lambda|]^{-1} \quad \forall \lambda \in \overline{S(\vartheta)}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]; \end{array} \right\} \quad (0.1)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{there exist } N > 0 \text{ and } \alpha, \rho \in ]0, 1[ \text{ with } \alpha + \rho > 1, \text{ such that} \\ \|A(t)[\lambda - A(t)]^{-1}[A(t)^{-1} - A(s)^{-1}]\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq N|t - s|^{\alpha}[1 + |\lambda|]^{-\rho} \\ \forall \lambda \in \overline{S(\vartheta)}, \quad \forall t, s \in [0, T]; \end{array} \right\} \quad (0.2)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{the operators } \{A(t)^{\bullet}, t \in [0, T]\} \text{ satisfy (0.1) and (0.2)} \\ \text{with the same constants } \vartheta, M, N, \alpha, \rho. \end{array} \right\} \quad (0.3)$$

REMARK 0.1 By (0.1), the domains  $D_{A(t)}$  are necessarily dense in  $H$ , so that  $A(t)^{\bullet}$  is well defined (and densely defined too).  $\square$

Denote by  $\Sigma(H)$  the set of self-adjoint bounded linear operators.

on  $H$ :  $\Sigma(H)$  is a Banach space with the  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  norm. Consider for each  $t \in [0, T]$  the operator

$$\Lambda(t)P = A(t)^*P + PA(t), \quad P \in \Sigma(H), \quad (0.4)$$

whose precise definition will be given in Section 1. It is known (see Sections 6.1, 6.2 in (Da Prato, 1973)) that for each  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $\Lambda(t)$  generates an analytic semigroup in  $\Sigma(H)$ , and in addition  $\Lambda(t)$  preserves positivity, i.e. if  $P \in D_{\Lambda(t)}$  and  $P \geq 0$ , then  $\Lambda(t)P \geq 0$ .

Our goal is to show that under the above assumptions the family  $\{\Lambda(t), t \in [0, T]\}$  fulfils the assumptions of (Acquistapace and Terreni, 1987), or, more precisely, satisfies (0.1) and (0.2), with  $\rho$  replaced by any smaller number, in the Banach space  $\Sigma(H)$ .

As an application of this result, we are able to show existence of classical solutions for an abstract non-autonomous Riccati equation arising in the study of the Linear Quadratic Regulator Problem for parabolic systems with boundary control. Due to lack of space, this application will appear in a forthcoming paper (Acquistapace and Terreni, in preparation).

REMARK 0.2 We may replace (0.2) by the slightly weaker condition

$$\|A(t)[\lambda - A(t)]^{-1}[A(t)^{-1} - A(s)^{-1}]\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq N \sum_{i=1}^k |t-s|^{\alpha_i} [1+|\lambda|]^{-\rho_i} \\ \forall \lambda \in \overline{S(\emptyset)}, \quad \forall t, s \in [0, T],$$

where  $\alpha_i, \rho_i \in [0, 1]$  and  $\alpha_i + \rho_i > 1$  for  $i=1, \dots, k$ ; what is crucial here is that  $\rho_i > 0$ , and this requirement makes such assumption stronger than that of (Acquistapace and Terreni, 1987), where on the contrary the  $\rho_i$ 's are allowed to be possibly 0.

## 1. THE OPERATOR $\Lambda(t)$ FOR FIXED $t$ .

A precise definition of the operator (0.4), for fixed  $t \in [0, T]$ , can be given in the following way (compare with (Da Prato, 1973)). Fix  $P \in \Sigma(H)$  and consider the sesquilinear form defined on  $D_{A(t)} \times D_{A(t)}$  by:

$$\Phi_P(t; x, y) := (A(t)x, Py)_H + (Px, A(t)y)_H, \quad x, y \in D_{A(t)}. \quad (1.1)$$

We set

$$D_{\Lambda(t)} := \{P \in \Sigma(H) : \exists c(t;P) > 0 \text{ such that} \\ |\Phi_P(t; x, y)| \leq c(t;P) \|x\|_H \|y\|_H \quad \forall x, y \in D_{\Lambda(t)}\}. \quad (1.2)$$

If  $P \in D_{\Lambda(t)}$ , then  $\Phi_P(t; \cdot, \cdot)$  has a unique extension  $\hat{\Phi}_P(t; \cdot, \cdot)$  to  $H \times H$  such that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \hat{\Phi}_P(t; x, y) &= \Phi_P(t; x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in D_{\Lambda(t)}, \\ |\hat{\Phi}_P(t; x, y)| &\leq c(t;P) \|x\|_H \|y\|_H \quad \forall x, y \in H; \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.3)$$

hence by Riesz' Representation Theorem there exists an operator  $Q_P(t) \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  such that

$$\hat{\Phi}_P(t; x, y) = (Q_P(t)x, y)_H \quad \forall x, y \in H. \quad (1.4)$$

Now we define

$$\Lambda(t)P := Q_P(t) \quad \forall P \in D_{\Lambda(t)}, \quad (1.5)$$

i.e.

$$(\Lambda(t)Px, y)_H = \hat{\Phi}_P(t; x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in H. \quad (1.6)$$

We remark that if  $P \in D_{\Lambda(t)}$  and  $x \in D_{\Lambda(t)}$  then in particular

$$\begin{aligned} |(Px, \Lambda(t)y)_H| &= |\hat{\Phi}_P(t; x, y) - (\Lambda(t)x, Py)_H| \leq \\ &\leq [c(t;P) \|x\|_H + \|\Lambda(t)x\|_H] \|y\|_H; \end{aligned}$$

this means  $Px \in D_{\Lambda(t)}^*$  and

$$\Lambda(t)Px = \Lambda(t)^*Px + P\Lambda(t)x \quad \forall x \in D_{\Lambda(t)}, \quad \forall P \in D_{\Lambda(t)}, \quad (1.7)$$

i.e. (0.4) holds when evaluated at any  $x \in D_{\Lambda(t)}$ . In particular, by (1.4), (1.3), (1.1) and (1.7) it follows easily that

$$(Q_P(t)x, y)_H = (x, Q_P(t)y)_H \quad \forall x, y \in D_{\Lambda(t)},$$

and therefore  $\Lambda(t)P \equiv Q_P(t) \in \Sigma(H)$  for each  $P \in D_{\Lambda(t)}$ .

The operator  $\Lambda(t)$  generates the semigroup  $\{e^{\xi \Lambda(t)}, \xi \geq 0\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Sigma(H))$ , defined by

$$e^{\xi \Lambda(t)} P := e^{\xi \Lambda(t)^*} P e^{\xi \Lambda(t)}, \quad P \in \Sigma(H); \quad (1.8)$$

indeed, we have:

PROPOSITION 1.1 Denote by 1 the identity operator on  $\Sigma(H)$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad D_{\Lambda(t)} &= \{P \in \Sigma(H) : \exists \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{e^{\xi \Lambda(t)} - 1}{\xi} P x, y \right)_H = (\Lambda(t) P x, y)_H \quad \forall x, y \in H\}; \\ (ii) \quad \overline{D_{\Lambda(t)}} &= \{P \in \Sigma(H) : \exists \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \| (e^{\xi \Lambda(t)} - 1) P \|_{\Sigma(H)} = 0\}; \\ (iii) \quad \{P \in D_{\Lambda(t)} : \Lambda(t) P \in \overline{D_{\Lambda(t)}}\} &= \\ &= \{P \in D_{\Lambda(t)} : \exists \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{e^{\xi \Lambda(t)} - 1}{\xi} P - \Lambda(t) P \right\|_{\Sigma(H)} = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (i) By (1.8) and (0.1)-(0.3) it follows that

$$\|e^{\xi \Lambda(t)}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\Sigma(H))} \leq c(\vartheta, M) \quad \forall \xi > 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T];$$

hence the argument of Chapter 9, Remark 1.5 of (Kato, 1966) shows that if  $P, Q \in \Sigma(H)$  and

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{e^{\xi \Lambda(t)} - 1}{\xi} P x, y \right)_H = (Q x, y)_H \quad \forall x, y \in H,$$

then  $P \in D_{\Lambda(t)}$  and  $\Lambda(t)P = Q$ . Suppose conversely that  $P \in D_{\Lambda(t)}$ : then by (1.7) it is easy to get for each  $x \in D_{\Lambda(t)}$  and  $y \in H$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{e^{\xi \Lambda(t)} - 1}{\xi} P x, y \right)_H &= \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \left( (e^{\xi \Lambda(t)^*} - 1)_H P [\xi^{-1} (e^{\xi \Lambda(t)} - 1)_H] x + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \xi^{-1} (e^{\xi \Lambda(t)^*} - 1)_H P x + P [\xi^{-1} (e^{\xi \Lambda(t)} - 1)_H] x, y \right)_H = (\Lambda(t) P x, y)_H; \end{aligned}$$

hence by (1.6) we get the result since  $D_{\Lambda(t)}$  is dense in  $H$ .

(ii)-(iii) See Proposition 1.2(i)-(iii) of (Sinestrari, 1985).  $\square$

EXAMPLE 1.2  $D_{\Lambda(t)}$  is not dense in  $\Sigma(H)$  in general (unless, of course, the  $\Lambda(t)$ 's are bounded. Indeed, set  $H := L^2(0, \pi)$ , and  $\Lambda(t) \equiv A := d^2/dx^2$ , with  $D_A := W^{2,2}(0, \pi) \cap W_0^{1,2}(0, \pi)$ ; then we have

$$e^{\xi A} f = e^{\xi A^*} f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-n^2 \xi) f_n e_n \quad \forall \xi > 0, \quad \forall f \in H,$$

where  $e_n(x) := (2/\pi)^{1/2} \sin(nx)$ ,  $f_n := (f, e_n)_H$ . Now if  $D_A$  were dense in  $\Sigma(H)$ , then we should have, choosing  $P := 1_H$ :

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \|(e^{\xi A} - 1_{\Sigma(H)}) 1_H\|_{\Sigma(H)} = 0,$$

i.e. for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there should exist  $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\sup \left\{ \|(e^{2\xi A} - 1_H) f\|_H : \|f\|_H = 1 \right\} < \varepsilon \quad \forall \xi \in ]0, \delta_\varepsilon[;$$

hence by taking  $f := e_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , we would get

$$\|(e^{2\xi A} - 1_H) e_n\|_H \leq 1 - \exp(-2n^2\xi) < \varepsilon \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+, \forall \xi \in ]0, \delta_\varepsilon[;$$

which is impossible.  $\square$

REMARK 1.3 Despite of Example 1.2, we obviously have

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \|(e^{\xi A(t)} - 1_{\Sigma(H)}) P_x\|_H = 0 \quad \forall P \in \Sigma(H), \forall x \in H, \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad \square \quad (1.9)$$

## 2. MAIN RESULT

By (0.1)-(0.3) and the results of (Acquistapace and Terreni, 1986), (Acquistapace, 1988), (Acquistapace, Flandoli and Terreni, 1990, in press), (Acquistapace and Terreni, 1990) we can construct the evolution operator  $U(t, s)$  associated to  $\{A(t)\}$ , and the following properties hold true:

PROPOSITION 2.1 For  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$  we have:

- (i)  $U(t, s) = U(t, r)U(r, s) \quad \forall r \in [s, t], \quad U(t, t) = 1_H;$
- (ii)  $U(t, s) \in \mathcal{L}(H, D_{A(t)})$  and  $\exists dU(t, s)/dt = A(t)U(t, s);$
- (iii)  $U(t, s)^* \in \mathcal{L}(H, D_{A(s)}^*)$  and  $\exists dU(t, s)^*/ds = -A(s)^*U(t, s)^*;$
- (iv)  $\exists dU(t, s)/ds = -[A(s)^*U(t, s)^*]^*;$
- (v)  $\|U(t, s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} + \|U(t, s)^*\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} + (t-s)\|dU(t, s)/dt\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} +$   
 $+ (t-s)\|dU(t, s)/ds\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq c(\vartheta, M, N, \alpha, \rho, T).$

*Proof.* (i)-(ii) See Theorem 2.3 of (Acquistapace, 1988).

(iii) See (6.11) of (Acquistapace and Terreni, 1990).

(iv) See Theorem 6.4 of (Acquistapace and Terreni, 1990).



(v) See Theorem 2.3 of (Acquistapace, 1988) and Theorem 6.4 of (Acquistapace and Terreni, 1990).  $\square$

Consider now the operator  $E(\cdot, \cdot): \Sigma(H) \rightarrow \Sigma(H)$  defined by

$$E(t, s)P := U(T-s, T-t)^* P U(T-s, T-t), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \quad P \in \Sigma(H). \quad (2.1)$$

A straightforward computation shows that  $E(t, s)$  is strongly continuous in  $\Sigma(H)$ , and in addition if  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} E(t, s) &= E(t, r)E(r, s) \quad \forall r \in [s, t], \quad E(t, t) = 1_{\Sigma(H)}; \\ \frac{d}{dt}E(t, s)P &= \Lambda(T-t)E(t, s)P \quad \forall P \in \Sigma(H), \\ \frac{d}{ds}E(t, s)P &= -E(t, s)\Lambda(T-s)P \quad \forall P \in D_{\Lambda(T-s)}; \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.2)$$

hence  $E(t, s)$  is the (necessarily unique) evolution operator associated to  $\{\Lambda(T-t), t \in [0, T]\}$ . We will show in our main Theorem 2.3 below that the family  $\{\Lambda(T-t)\}$  satisfies (0.1) and (0.2) (with  $\rho$  replaced by any smaller number) in the space  $\Sigma(H)$ . As a consequence of Theorem 2.3, the results of (Acquistapace and Terreni, 1987), (Acquistapace and Terreni, 1986) and (Acquistapace, 1988) immediately imply several regularity properties for the evolution operator  $E(t, s)$ .

REMARK 2.2 Of course, many smoothness properties for  $E(t, s)$  and  $E(t, s)^*$  may also be directly derived by (2.1), using the regularity results for  $U(t, s)$  and  $U(t, s)^*$  proved in (Acquistapace, 1988), (Acquistapace, Flandoli and Terreni, 1990, in press), (Acquistapace and Terreni, 1990). However we believe that Theorem 2.3 has some interest in itself, since it provides a new class of generators of analytic semigroups having a good dependence on  $t$  (i.e. satisfying (0.1) and (0.2)); this class is not the "usual" abstract version of some elliptic operator with time-dependent coefficients and homogeneous boundary conditions, acting on some concrete function space, although its construction in fact starts from an operator of that kind.  $\square$

THEOREM 2.3 Under assumptions (0.1)–(0.3) the operators  $\Lambda(t)$ , defined by (1.2), (1.6), enjoy the following properties: