Modern Practice of Gas Chromatography

FOURTH EDITION





Edited by
ROBERT L. GROB
EUGENE F. BARRY

MODERN PRACTICE OF GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY

FOURTH EDITION

Edited by

Robert L. Grob, Ph.D.

Professor Emeritus, Analytical Chemistry, Villanova University

Eugene F. Barry, Ph.D.

Professor of Chemistry, University of Massachusetts Lowell

WILEY-INTERSCIENCE

A JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC. PUBLICATION

Published by John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey.
Published simultaneously in Canada.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Modern practice of gas chromatography.—4th ed. / edited by Robert L. Grob, Eugene F. Barry.
p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 0-471-22983-0 (acid-free paper)
1. Gas chromatography. I. Grob, Robert Lee. II. Barry, Eugene F.

QD79.C45M63 2004
543'.85—dc22

2003062033

Printed in the United States of America.

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

MODERN PRACTICE OF GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY

To

Our
Wives and Families

What is written without effort is in general read without pleasure

—Samuel Johnson (1709–1784)
Johnsonian Miscellanies
Vol. ii, p. 309

CONTRIBUTORS

Juan G. Alvarez, Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Beth Israel Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; Centro de Infertilidad Masculina Androgen, Hospital San Rafael, La Coruña, Spain

Lisa J. Baird, Department of Chemistry, The State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York

Eugene F. Barry, Chemistry Department, University of Massachusetts Lowell, Lowell, Massachusetts

Reginald J. Bartram, Alltech Associates, Inc., State College, Pennsylvania

Thomas A. Brettell, New Jersey State Police Forensic Science Laboratory, Hamilton, New Jersey

Gary W. Caldwell, Johnson and Johnson Pharmaceutical Research and Development, L.L.C., Spring House, Pennsylvania

Luis A. Colón, Department of Chemistry, The State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York

Mark E. Craig, ExxonMobil Chemical Company, Baytown, Texas

Cecil R. Dybowski, Chemistry Department, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware

Robert L. Grob, Professor Emeritus of Analytical Chemistry, Villanova University, Villanova, Pennsylvania

John V. Hinshaw, Serveron Corporation, Hillsboro, Oregon

Mary A. Kaiser, E. I. DuPont de Nemours & Company, Central Research & Development, Wilmington, Delaware

Richard E. Lester, Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Academy, Quantico, Virginia

John A. Masucci, Johnson and Johnson Pharmaceutical Research and Development, L.L.C., Spring House, Pennsylvania

Richard D. Sacks, Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan

Gregory C. Slack, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Rouses Point, New York

Edward F. Smith, ExxonMobil Chemical Company, Baytown, Texas

Nicholas H. Snow, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Seton Hall University, South Orange, New Jersey

John L. Snyder, Lancaster Laboratories, Inc., Lancaster, Pennsylvania

Clifford C. Walters, ExxonMobil Research & Engineering Company, Clinton, New Jersey

PREFACE

The fourth edition of *Modern Practice of Gas Chromatography* represents a number of changes from the first three editions. First, a number of new contributing authors have been involved. These authors were chosen because of their expertise and active participation in the various areas related to gas chromatography (GC). Second, the contents of the various chapters have been changed so as to be all-inclusive. For example, a discussion of the necessary instrumentation has been included in chapters covering such topics as columns, detectors, fast gas chromatography, and sample preparation. Third, separate chapters are dedicated to gas chromatography/mass spectrometry, sample preparation, fast gas chromatography, optimization and computer assistance, and QA/QC validation of gas chromatographic methods. Another change has been the elimination of several chapters because of their adequate coverage in other texts. The editors are satisfied that this new edition represents an all-inclusive text that may be used for university courses as well as short courses.

No book will please everyone. Each person has certain ideas concerning what should be covered and how much detail should be given to each topic. Coverage of the theory and basics of GC is what we consider necessary to the beginner for this technique and the nomenclature is that most recently recommended by the IUPAC Commission. The techniques and instrumentation section is greatly detailed, and the application chapters cover topics that would be of interest to most people utilizing the gas chromatographic technique.

The editors thank the contributing authors for their cooperation and professionalism, thus making this fourth edition a reality. A special thanks to Dr. Nicholas H. Snow, of Seton Hall University for his contributions over and above the professional level. Most importantly, the editors thank their wives Marjorie and Dee for their interest, encouragement, and cooperation during these many months of preparation. Dr. Grob especially wishes to thank his son, G. Duane Grob for all his assistance and encouragement in the computer aspects of putting this book together.

ROBERT L. GROB

Malvern, Pennsylvania
2004

EUGENE F. BARRY

Nashua, New Hampshire
2004

CONTENTS

PART III APPLICATIONS

APPENDIXES

CHAPTER ONE

Introduction

ROBERT L. GROB

Professor Emeritus of Analytical Chemistry, Villanova University, Villanova, Pennsylvania

1.1 HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF CHROMATOGRAPHY

Many publications have discussed or detailed the history and development of chromatography (1–3). Rather than duplicate these writings, we present in Table 1.1 a chronological listing of events that we feel are the most relevant in the development of the present state of the field. Since the various types of chromatography (liquid, gas, paper, thin-layer, ion exchange, supercritical fluid, and electrophoresis) have many features in common, they must all be considered in development of the field. Although the topic of this text, gas chromatography (GC), probably has been the most widely investigated since the early 1970s, results of these studies have had a significant impact on the other types of chromatography, especially modern (high-performance) liquid chromatography (HPLC).

There will, of course, be those who believe that the list of names and events presented in Table 1.1 is incomplete. We simply wish to show a development of an ever-expanding field and to point out some of the important events that were responsible for the expansion. To attempt an account of contemporary leaders of the field could only result in disagreement with some workers, astonishment by others, and a very long listing that would be cumbersome to correlate.

Modern Practice of Gas Chromatography, Fourth Edition. Edited by Robert L. Grob and Eugene F. Barry
ISBN 0-471-22983-0

TABLE 1.1 Development of the Field of Chromatography

Year (Reference)	Scientist(s)	Comments
1834 (4) 1834 (5)	Runge, F. F.	Used unglazed paper and/or pieces of cloth for spot testing dye mixtures and plant extracts
1850 (6)	Runge, F. F.	Separated salt solutions on paper
1868 (7)	Goppelsroeder, F.	Introduced paper strip (capillary analysis) analysis of dyes, hydrocarbons, milk, beer, colloids, drinking and mineral waters, plant and animal pigments
1878 (8)	Schönbein, C.	Developed paper strip analysis of liquid solutions
1897–1903 (9–11)	Day, D. T.	Developed ascending flow of crude petroleum samples through column packed with finely pulverized fuller's earth
1906–1907 (12–14)	Twsett, M.	Separated chloroplast pigment on $CaCO_3$ solid phase and petroleum ether liquid phase
1931 (15)	Kuhn, R. et al.	Introduced liquid–solid chromatography for separating egg yolk xanthophylls
1940 (16)	Tiselius, A.	Earned Nobel Prize in 1948; developed adsorption analyses and electrophoresis
1940 (17)	Wilson, J. N.	Wrote first theoretical paper on chromatography; assumed complete equilibration and linear sorption isotherms; qualitatively defined diffusion, rate of adsorption, and isotherm nonlinearity
1941 (18)	Tiselius, A.	Developed liquid chromatography and pointed out frontal analysis, elution analysis, and displacement development
1941 (19)	Martin, A. J. P., and Synge, R. L. M.	Presented first model that could describe column efficiency; developed liquid–liquid chromatography; received Nobel Prize in 1952
1944 (20)	Consden, R., Gordon, A. H., and Martin, A. J. P.	Developed paper chromatography

TABLE 1.1 ***(Continued)***

Year (Reference)	Scientist(s)	Comments
1946 (21)	Claesson, S.	Developed liquid–solid chromatography with frontal and displacement development analysis; coworker A. Tiselius
1949 (22)	Martin, A. J. P.	Contributed to relationship between retention and thermodynamic equilibrium constant
1951 (23)	Cremer, E.	Introduced gas–solid chromatography
1952 (24)	Phillips, C. S. G.	Developed liquid–liquid chromatography by frontal technique
1952 (25)	James, A. T., and Martin, A. J. P.	Introduced gas–liquid chromatography
1955 (26)	Glueckauf, E.	Derived first comprehensive equation for the relationship between HEPT and particle size, particle diffusion, and film diffusion ion exchange
1956 (27)	van Deemter, J. J., et al.	Developed rate theory by simplifying work of Lapidus and Ammundson to Gaussian distribution function
1957 (28)	Golay, M.	Reported the development of open tubular columns
1965 (29)	Giddings, J. C.	Reviewed and extended early theories of chromatography

1.2 DEFINITIONS AND NOMENCLATURE

The definitions given in this section are a combination of those used widely and those recommended by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) (30). The recommended IUPAC symbol appears in parentheses if it differs from the widely used symbol.

Adjusted Retention Time t'_R. The solute total elution time minus the retention time for an unretained peak (holdup time):

$$t'_R = t_R - t_M$$

Adjusted Retention Volume V'_R. The solute total elution volume minus the retention volume for an unretained peak (holdup volume):

$$V'_R = V_R - V_M$$

Adsorbent. An active granular solid used as the column packing or a wall coating in gas–solid chromatography that retains sample components by adsorptive forces.

Adsorption Chromatography. This term is synonymous with gas–solid chromatography.

Adsorption Column. A column used in gas–solid chromatography, consisting of an active granular solid and a metal or glass column.

Air Peak. The air peak results from a sample component nonretained by the column. This peak can be used to measure the time necessary for the carrier gas to travel from the point of injection to the detector.

Absolute Temperature K. The temperature stated in terms of the Kelvin scale:

$$\mathrm{K} = {}^{\circ}\mathrm{C} + 273.15^{\circ}$$

$$0^{\circ}\mathrm{C} = 273.15\ \mathrm{K}$$

Analysis Time t_{ne}. The minimum time required for a separation:

$$t_{ne} = 16R_s^2 \frac{H}{\bar{u}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}\right)^2 \frac{(1+k)^3}{k^2}$$

Area Normalization (Raw Area Normalization). The peak areas of each peak are summed; each peak area is then expressed as a percentage of the total:

$$A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4 = \Sigma A; \qquad \%A_1 = \frac{A_1}{\Sigma A}, \text{ etc.}$$

Area Normalization with Response Factor (ANRF). The area percentages are corrected for the detector characteristics by determining response factors. This requires preparation and analysis of standard mixtures.

Attenuator. An electrical component made up of a series of resistances that is used to reduce the input voltage to the recorder by a particular ratio.

Band. Synonymous with zone. This is the volume occupied by the sample component during passage and separation through the column.

Band Area. Synonymous with the peak area A: the area of peak on the chromatogram.

Baseline. The portion of a detector record resulting from only eluant or carrier gas emerging from the column.

Bed Volume. Synonymous with the volume of a packed column.

Bonded Phase. A stationary phase that is covalently bonded to the support particles or to the inside wall of the column tubing. The phase may be immobilized only by in situ polymerization (crosslinking) after coating.

Capacity Factor $k(D_m)$. See *Mass distribution ratio.* (In GSC, $V_A > V_L$; thus smaller β values and k values occur.) This is a measure of the ability of the column to retain a sample component:

$$k = \frac{t_R - t_M}{t_M}$$

Capillary Column. Synonymous with open tubular column (OTC). This column has small-diameter tubing (0.25–1.0 mm i.d.) in which the inner walls are used to support the stationary phase (liquid or solid).

Carrier Gas. Synonymous with mobile or moving phase. This is the phase that transports the sample through the column.

Chromatogram. A plot of the detector response (which uses effluent concentration or another quantity used to measure the sample component) versus effluent volume or time.

Chromatograph (Verb). A transitive verb meaning to separate sample components by chromatography.

Chromatograph (Noun). The specific instrument employed to carry out a chromatographic separation.

Chromatography. A physical method of separation of sample components in which these components distribute themselves between two phases, one stationary and the other mobile. The stationary phase may be a solid or a liquid supported on a solid.

Column. A metal, plastic, or glass tube packed or internally coated with the column material through which the sample components and mobile phase (carrier-gas) flow and in which the chromatographic separation takes place.

Column Bleed. The loss of liquid phase that coats the support or walls within the column.

Column Efficiency N. See *Theoretical plate number.*

Column Material. The material in the column used to effect the separation. An adsorbent is used in adsorption chromatography; in partition chromatography, the material is a stationary phase distributed over an inert support or coated on the inner walls of the column.

Column Oven. A thermostatted section of the chromatographic system containing the column, the temperature of which can be varied over a wide range.

Column Volume V_c. The total volume of column that contains the stationary phase. [The IUPAC recommends the column dimensions be given as the inner diameter (i.d.) and the height or length L of the column occupied by the stationary phase under the specific chromatographic conditions.] Dimensions should be given in meters, millimeters, feet, or centimeters.

Component. A compound in the sample mixture.

Concentration Distribution Ratio D_c. The ratio of the analytical concentration of a component in the stationary phase to its analytical concentration in the mobile phase:

$$D_c = \frac{\text{Amount component/mL stationary phase}}{\text{Amount component/mL mobile phase}} = \frac{C_S}{C_M}$$

Corrected Retention Time t_R^0. The total retention time corrected for pressure gradient across the column:

$$t_R^0 = j t_R$$

Corrected Retention Volume V_R^0. The total retention volume corrected for the pressure gradient across the column:

$$V_R^0 = jV_R$$

Cross-Sectional Area of Column. The cross-sectional area of the empty tube:

$$A_c = r_c^2\pi = \frac{d_c^2}{4}\pi$$

Dead Time t_M. See *Holdup time.*

Dead Volume V_M. See *Holdup volume.* This is the volume between the injection point and the detection point, minus the column volume V_c. This is the volume needed to transport an unretained component through the column.

Derivatization. Components with active groups such as hydroxyl, amine, carboxyl, and olefin can be identified by a combination of chemical reactions and GC. For example, the sample can be shaken with bromine water and then chromatographed. Peaks due to olefinic compounds will have disappeared. Similarly, potassium borohydride reacts with carbonyl compounds to form the corresponding alcohols. Comparison of before and after chromatograms will show that one or more peaks have vanished whereas others have appeared somewhere else on the chromatogram. Compounds are often derivatized to make them more volatile or less polar (e.g., by silylation, acetylation, methylation) and consequently suitable for analysis by GC.

Detection. A process by which a chromatographic band is recognized.

Detector. A device that signals the presence of a component eluted from a chromatographic column.

Detector Linearity. The concentration range over which the detector response is linear. Over its linear range the response factor of a detector (peak area units per weight of sample) is constant. The linear range is characteristic of the detector.

Detector Minimum Detectable Level (MDL). The sample level, usually given in weight units, at which the signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio is 2.

Detector Response. The detector signal produced by the sample. It varies with the nature of the sample.

Detector Selectivity. A selective detector responds only to certain types of compound [FID, NPD, ECD, PID, etc. (see acronym definitions in Appendix B)]. The thermal conductivity detector is universal in response.

Detector Sensitivity. Detector sensitivity is the slope of the detector response for a number of sample sizes. A detector may be sensitive to either flow or mass.

Detector Volume. The volume of carrier gas (mobile phase) required to fill the detector at the operating temperature.

Differential Detector. This detector responds to the instantaneous difference in composition between the column effluent and the carrier gas (mobile phase).