Number 416



Jacek Nikiel

Topologies on pseudo-trees and applications

Memoirs

of the American Mathematical Society

Providence · Rhode Island · USA

November 1989 · Volume 82 · Number 416 (first of 3 numbers) · ISSN 0065-9266

Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society
Number 416

Jacek Nikiel

Topologies on pseudo-trees and applications

Published by the AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Providence, Rhode Island, USA

November 1989 · Volume 82 · Number 416 (first of 3 numbers)

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 54F05, 54F20; Secondary 54A10, 54H12.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Nikiel, Jacek, 1958-

Topologies on pseudo-trees and applications/Jacek Nikiel.

p. cm. - (Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, ISSN 0065-9266; no. 416)

"November 1989, volume 82, number 416 (first of 3 numbers)."

Originally presented as part of the author's thesis (doctoral-University of Wrocław. 1984) Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN 0-8218-2479-1

1. Pseudo-trees. 2. Topology. I. Title. II. Series.

QA3.A57 no. 416

[QA322]

510 s-dc20 [515'.73]

89-17764

CIP

Subscriptions and orders for publications of the American Mathematical Society should be addressed to American Mathematical Society, Box 1571, Annex Station, Providence, RI 02901-9930. *All orders must be accompanied by payment.* Other correspondence should be addressed to Box 6248, Providence, RI 02940.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION. The 1989 subscription begins with Number 394 and consists of six mailings, each containing one or more numbers. Subscription prices for 1989 are \$241 list, \$191 institutional member. A late charge of 10% of the subscription price will be imposed on orders received from nonmembers after January 1 of the subscription year. Subscribers outside the United States and India must pay a postage surcharge of \$25; subscribers in India must pay a postage surcharge of \$43. Each number may be ordered separately; please specify number when ordering an individual number. For prices and titles of recently released numbers, see the New Publications sections of the NOTICES of the American Mathematical Society.

BACK NUMBER INFORMATION. For back issues see the AMS Catalogue of Publications.

MEMOIRS of the American Mathematical Society (ISSN 0065-9266) is published bimonthly (each volume consisting usually of more than one number) by the American Mathematical Society at 201 Charles Street, Providence, Rhode Island 02904. Second Class postage paid at Providence, Rhode Island 02940. Postmaster: Send address changes to Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, American Mathematical Society, Box 6248, Providence, RI 02940.

COPYING AND REPRINTING. Individual readers of this publication, and nonprofit libraries acting for them, are permitted to make fair use of the material, such as to copy an article for use in teaching or research. Permission is granted to quote brief passages from this publication in reviews, provided the customary acknowledgment of the source is given.

Republication, systematic copying, or multiple reproduction of any material in this publication (including abstracts) is permitted only under license from the American Mathematical Society. Requests for such permission should be addressed to the Executive Director, American Mathematical Society, P.O. Box 6248, Providence, Rhode Island 02940.

The owner consents to copying beyond that permitted by Sections 107 or 108 of the U.S. Copyright Law, provided that a fee of \$1.00 plus \$.25 per page for each copy be paid directly to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 21 Congress Street, Salem, Massachusetts 01970. When paying this fee please use the code 0065-9266/89 to refer to this publication. This consent does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as copying for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale.

Copyright ©1989, American Mathematical Society. All rights reserved.

Printed in the United States of America.

The paper used in this book is acid-free and falls within the guidelines established to ensure permanence and durability.

ABSTRACT.

A pseudo-tree is a partially ordered set such that the set of all predecessors of any element is linearly ordered. Clearly, each linearly ordered set is a pseudo-tree and pseudo-trees are, in general, much more complicated objects than chains. The aim of this paper is to develop a theory of natural order topologies on pseudo-trees which extends the theories of linearly ordered topological spaces and GO-spaces. Moreover, applications are given for some classes of continua which admit a natural ordering.

To the memory of my Mother

比为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction					•	٠		•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
2.	Preliminaries					٠	•		•	•			•					•	3
3.	Order topologies on p	seudo-	-tre	es		•				•				,.					10
4.	Compactness propertie	s	•			•	•			٠			•	•					27
5.	Connectedness propert	ies; u	niq	uely	ar	cwi	ise	co	nne	ect	ed	l.							
	spaces and dendritic	spaces	5				•			•	•		٠	•	٠,		•	•	41
6.	A class of topologies	on ps	eud	o-tr	ees		٠		•	٠		•					•		54
7.	Universal pseudo-tree	s					•		•	•				•			•		67
8.	Dendrons						•		•	٠			•	•			•	•	78
9.	Dendroids						•		•	•	•		٠	٠	•		٠	•	97
10.	Hereditarily indecomp	osable	со	ntin	ıua	and	i h	уре	rsŢ	ac	es	;							
	of their subcontinua		•				•			•									105
Sub	ject index		٠				•			٠			•				•		109
References											111								

1.INTRODUCTION

The main purpose of this paper is to build up a theory of natural topologies on pseudo-trees, i.e., partially ordered sets which fulfil the following acyclicity condition: for each point the set of all its predecessors is linearly ordered. The developed theory appears to be a natural extension of the theories of linearly ordered topological spaces and GO-spaces (see Remark 6.12). It contains a part of the research due to T.B.Muenzenberger, R.E.Smithson and L.E.Ward (see Remark 5.16). The obtained results are used to solve problems of J. van Mill, B.J.Pearson and J.J.Charatonik.

Chapter 2 contains all the preliminary definitions and facts. The theory of topologies on pseudo-trees is developed in Chapters 3-7. It turns out that, dealing with pseudo-trees, there are two immediate ways to generalize the construction of the natural topology of a linearly ordered set. They lead to the interval topology T_J and order topology T_S . Unfortunately, T_J and T_S do not behave well (even in the simplest cases they need not be Hausdorff, etc.). However, all the pathologies are omitted when one concernes the topology of a type T_S' which makes pseudo-trees being monotonically normal, and compact pseudo-trees being regular supercompact. We show that the restriction to pseudo-trees in the class of all partially ordered sets is essential (Example 3.3). We do not state the corollaries for trees (which are the most important class of pseudo-trees), this can be easily done by the reader, however, see also Remark 6.17.

Chapters 8-10 are of a different nature than the predeceding part of the paper. They deal with three classes of uniquely arcwise connected

Received by the editors November 20, 1987 and, in revised form March 20, 1989.

This paper constitutes a part of the author's doctoral dissertation written in 1984 at the University of Wrocław under a direction of Professor Janusz J. Charatonik.

continua (dendrons, dendroids and hyperspaces of all subcontinua of hereditarily indecomposable continua). Since each uniquely arcwise connected space admits a natural acyclic order structure, we are able to apply topological properties of pseudo-trees to get some information on continua considered in the last three chapters.

Now, recall a general question of M.A.Maurice (see [53, p. 289]): which theorems known for linearly ordered topological spaces can be proved for topological spaces whose topology is less directly tied to linear orderings?

2. PRELIMINARIES

We include a subject index (which contains also all abbreviations) at the end of the paper. If a confusion is possible we will equip our abbreviations with additional subscripts (for example we will sometimes write $r_{\chi}(x)$, $r_{\zeta}(x)$, $r_{(\chi,\zeta)}(x)$ instead of r(x); etc.).

We will often write iff to mean "if and only if".

A. Set theory

An ordinal number is identified with the set of all its predecessors. A cardinal number is the first ordinal of a given cardinality. Nonnegative integers are treated as ordinals. Recall that 0 is a limit ordinal. By ω and ω_1 we will denote the first infinite and the first uncountable ordinals, respectively. If α is a cardinal number, then α^+ denotes the first cardinal greater than α . |A| denotes the cardinality of a set A.

By R we denote the set of real numbers. If we write $a \le b$, for some $a,b \in R$, then we always mean the standard ordering of reals. If $a,b \in R$, then [a,b] denotes the interval with end-points a,b; $[a,b[=[a,b]-\{b\}, etc.]$

Let A be a family of subsets of a set X. We will say that A is binary [58, p. 7] provided for each subfamily B \subset A such that \cap B = Ø there are U,V \in B such that U \cap V = Ø. Moreover, we will say that A is cross-free [61, p. 60] if for any U,V \in A either U \subset V, or V \subset U, or U \cap V = Ø, or U \cup V = X. By v.A (resp. \wedge .A) we will denote the family of all unions (resp. intersections) of finitely many members of A. Observe that v. \wedge .A = \wedge .v.A is the least family of subsets of X which contains A and is closed with respect to taking finite unions and intersections.

B. Ordered sets

We will denote partially ordered sets by (X, \leq) . "Isomorphism" will always mean "isomorphism of partially ordered sets". We will write $\neg x < y$ to mean that x is not less than y, and $x \perp y$ to mean that $\neg x \leq y$ and $\neg x \geq y$.

Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set, $A, B, Y \subset X$, $B \neq \emptyset$.

 (Y, \leq) will always denote the set Y together with its partial ordering induced from (X, \leq) . Moreover, we will write:

 $A \leq B$ if $a \leq b$ for any $a \in A$, $b \in B$; and

A < B if a < b for any $a \in A$, $b \in B$.

We also will use the following conventions: $\emptyset < B$; $\emptyset < x$; and $\neg x < \emptyset$, for each $x \in X$. Let: $M(A) = \{x \in X : A \le x\}$, $m(A) = \{x \in X : A < x\}$, $L(B) = \{x \in X : x \le B\}$ and $L(B) = \{x \in X : x < B\}$. We will always write M(x) instead of $M(\{x\})$, etc.

By $\inf(Y)$ (resp. $\sup(Y)$) we will denote the greatest (resp. the least) element c of (X, \leq) such that $c \leq Y$ (resp. $c \geq Y$), provided such an element c exists. In particular, $\sup(\emptyset)$ denotes the least element of (X, \leq) .

We say that Y is a <u>chain</u> of (X, \leq) provided (Y, \leq) is a linearly ordered set. Maximal chains (with respect to the inclusion) are called <u>branches</u>. We say that Y is an <u>anti-chain</u> of (X, \leq) if $x \perp y$ for any $x, y \in Y$, $x \neq y$.

 (X, \leq) is said to be a <u>semi-lattice</u> provided inf($\{x,y\}$) exists in (X, \leq) for any $x,y \in X$. If inf(C) exists for each nonempty $C \subset X$, then we say that (X, \leq) is a <u>complete</u> <u>semi-lattice</u>.

B1. Linearly ordered sets

We will often write "chain" instead of "linearly ordered set".

Let (X, \leq) be a chain and $Y \subset X$. We say that:

- (X, \leq) contains a jump if there are $x, y \in X$ such that L(x) = 1(y);
- (X, \leq) contains <u>a</u> gap if there exist nonempty $A, B \subset X$ such that
- A \langle B, A \cup B = X, A has no greatest element and B has no least element;

a set Y is <u>dense</u> in (X, \le) provided, for any $x, y \in X$, if x < y, then there exists a point $t \in Y$ such that $x \le t \le y$.

B2. Pseudo-trees

We say that a partially ordered set (X,\leq) is a <u>pseudo-tree</u> [46, Definition 6, p. 83] if the following <u>acyclicity condition</u> holds:

if $x,y,z \in X$, x < z and y < z, then

either x = y, or x < y, or x > y.

Saying equivalently, (X, \leq) is a pseudo-tree <u>iff</u> L(x) is a chain in (X, \leq) , for each $x \in X$. Clearly, each linearly ordered set is a pseudo-tree.

Let (X, \leq) be a pseudo-tree and $Y \subset X$. We say that:

Y is a <u>semi-branch</u> of (X, \le) if Y is a chain of (X, \le) and $L(y) \subset Y$, for each $y \in Y$ (hence Ø is a semi-branch);

Y is <u>convex</u> in (X,\leq) provided $M(L(x) \cap L(y)) \cap (L(x) \cup L(y)) \subset Y$, for any $x,y \in Y$ (see also Remark 5.14, below).

Let D be a semi-branch of (X,≤) and set

 $A_D = \{A : A \text{ is a maximal family of branches of } (X, \leq) \text{ such that}$ $C \cap C' = D \text{ for any } C, C' \in A, C \neq C'\}.$

Note that |A| = |A'| for any $A, A' \in A_D$. Hence we can define a cardinal number r'(D) as |A|, for any $A \in A_D$. We define also r(D) as follows: r(D) = r'(D) provided either $D = \emptyset$ or $D = \{d\}$ for some $d \in X$, and r(D) = r'(D) + 1 otherwise (see also remarks in the begining of Chapter 8). If $x \in X$ we will often write r(x) instead of r(L(x)).

Let (X, \leq) and (Y, \leq') be pseudo-trees, (J, \leq'') a chain, and $f\colon X\to Y$ and $j\colon X\to J$ be functions. We will say that:

f: $(X, \le) \to (Y, \le')$ is <u>semi-convex</u> if f(L(x)) = L(f(x)) for each $x \in X$;

f: $(X, \leq) \rightarrow (Y, \leq')$ is <u>convex</u> provided f is semi-convex and $f^{-1}(B)$ is convex in (X, \leq) for each convex subset B of (Y, \leq') ;

- j embeds (X, \le) into $(J, \le")$ if f(x) < f(y) for any $x, y \in X$ such that x < y (see also [24, p. 15]);
- j $(J,\leq'')-\underline{folds}$ (X,\leq) provided j is a semi-convex map which embeds (X,\leq) into (J,\leq'') ;

Let (X, \leq) be a pseudo-tree, α an ordinal number and

 $Q = (X_{\beta} : \beta < \alpha)$ a (transfinite) sequence of subsets of X. We will say that Q is a <u>description</u> of (X, \leq) if the following conditions hold:

- (i) if either $\beta=0$ or $\beta=\gamma+1$, then $X_{\beta}=\bigcup P_{\beta}$, where P_{β} is a maximal family of pairwise disjoint branches of a sub-pseudo-tree (X $\bigcup \{X_{\delta}:\delta < \beta\}$, \leq);
- (ii) if $\beta \neq 0$ and β is a limit ordinal, then x belongs to X_{β} $\underline{iff} \quad x \notin U \ \{X_{\gamma} : \gamma < \beta\} \quad \text{and} \quad l(x) \in U \ \{X_{\gamma} : \gamma < \beta\}; \text{ and}$ (iii) $X = U \ \{X_{\beta} : \beta < \alpha\}.$

Clearly, each pseudo-tree admits many descriptions.

B³. Trees

A pseudo-tree (X, \leq) is said to be a <u>tree</u> if a chain $(L(x), \leq)$ is a well-ordered set, for each $x \in X$. The definitions of a length of a tree, an Aronszajn tree and a Souslin tree can be found for example in [46] and [24]. Recall only that a tree (X, \leq) is said to be <u>Q-embeddable</u> (resp. <u>R-embeddable</u>) provided that there exists a function which embeds (X, \leq) into the set of all rational numbers (resp. real numbers). Recall also that <u>Q-embeddable</u> trees do exist (see for example [46], it is easy to check that the Aronszajn tree constructed there in the proof of Theorem 2 on p. 330-332 is <u>Q-embeddable</u>).

C. Topology

Topological spaces will be often denoted by (X,T), where X is a set and T a family of all open subsets of X. However, we will often use the following convention: if (X,T) is a topological space and $Y \subset X$, then (Y,T) denotes the topological space Y whose topology is induced from (X,T). This will never lead to a confusion.

We will say that a topological space X is:

supercompact if X admits a subbasis S for closed sets such that S is a
binary family (clearly, each supercompact space is compact - but not
conversely - see for example [58]);

regular supercompact [58, p. 43-44] provided X admits a closed subbasis S such that S is a binary family and $v.\wedge.S$ consists of closed domains (i.e., cl(int(U)) = U for each $U \in S$);

monotonically normal [33, p. 481-482] if X is a T_1 -space and there exists an operator H which assignes to each pair (p,C), where $C \subset X$ is closed and $p \in X - C$, an open set $H(p,C) \subset X$ such that: (1) $p \in H(p,C) \subset X - C$; (2) if $D \subset X$ is closed and $p \notin C \supset D$, then $H(p,C) \subset H(p,D)$; and (3) if $p,q \in X$ and $p \neq q$, then $H(p,Q) \cap H(q,Q) = \emptyset$;

rim-finite (resp. rim-compact) if each point of X has an arbitrarily
small open neighbourhood with the finite (resp. compact) boundary;

semi-locally connected provided each point of X admits an arbitrarily
small open neighbourhood the complement of which has finitely many
components;

zero-dimensional if X admits a basis consisting of closed-open sets;

- a continuum if X is a compact connected Hausdorff space;
- a <u>Suslinian</u> <u>curve</u> provided X is a continuum such that each family of pairwise disjoint nondegenerate subcontinua of X is countable.

A closure, an interior and a boundary of a set A will be denoted by cl(A), int(A) and bd(A), respectively. We will also use standard symbols Ls, Li and Lim to denote topological limits of a sequence of sets.

If X is a metric space, d a distance function on X, A \subset X and ε is a positive real number, then diam(A) denotes the diameter of A, and B(A, ε) = {x \in X : d(A,x) < ε } denotes the open ball of the radius ε and with the center A.

By C(X) we will denote the <u>hyperspace of all subcontinua</u> of a continuum X. C(X) will always be equipped with the Vietoris topology - see e.g. [72].

C1. Topologies on partially ordered sets

Let X be a set, T a topology on X and \leq a partial ordering on X. We will say that \leq is a <u>continuous ordering</u> of (X,T) if the set $\{(x,y) \in X \times X : x \leq y\}$ is closed in the space $(X,T) \times (X,T)$.

Let (X, \leq) be a chain. The family $S = \{L(x) : x \in X\} \cup \{M(x) : x \in X\} \text{ is a subbasis for closed sets of }$ the <u>order topology</u> T on X. The space (X, \leq, T) is called a <u>linearly</u>

ordered topological space (LOTS). The basic information on linearly ordered topological spaces can be found in [27] and [53]. Recall only that (X,T) is a connected space iff (X,\leq) has no jumps and gaps, and (X,T) is compact iff (X,\leq) has no gaps and contains the least and greatest elements.

Let T' be a topology on the chain (X, \le) . We will say that (X, \le, T') is a <u>generalized ordered space</u> (<u>GO-space</u>; see e.g. [52], [53]) provided $T \subset T'$ and there exists a basis S' for the space (X, T') such that S' consists of sets of the form either $m(x) \cap l(y)$, or $M(x) \cap l(y)$, $x, y \in X$.

C2. Arcs, arcwise connected spaces

We say that a topological space (X,T) is an <u>arc</u> provided it is a continuum with exactly two non-cut points. Then there exists a linear ordering \leq on X such that (X,\leq,T) is a LOTS; moreover, if (X,\leq) is a chain, T' denotes the order topology of (X,\leq) and the space (X,T') is compact connected, then (X,T') is an arc [35,Theorem 2-27, p. 54].

We say that a topological space X is <u>uniquely arcwise connected</u> if, for any $x,y \in X$, $x \neq y$, there exists exactly one arc in X the end-points of which are x,y. This arc will be denoted by [x,y]. If, for any distinct points $x,y \in X$, the arc [x,y] is separable, then X is called an I-connected space.

A uniquely arcwise connected space X is said to be a <u>nested space</u> provided, for each family A of arcs of X such that A is linearly ordered by inclusion, the set $\bigcup A$ is contained in some arc.

C3. Dendritic spaces, dendrons

We will say that a topological space X is a <u>dendritic space</u> provided X is connected and for any $x,y \in X$, $x \neq y$, there exists $z \in X$ such that x and y lie in distinct components of $X - \{z\}$. If X is a dendritic space, $x \in X$ and U is a component of $X - \{x\}$, then U is an open set and $bd(U) = \{x\}$, [83, Theorem 4, p. 296]. Hence each dendritic space is Hausdorff. Recall that there exist dendritic spaces which are either not locally connected or not arcwise connected (see Example 5.15 (ii)).

If X is a dendritic space and x,y are distinct points of X, then an interval [x,y] of X with end-points x,y is defined to be the set $\{x,y\} \cup \{z \in X : x,y \text{ lies in distinct components of } X - \{z\}\}$. The introduced notation will never lead to a confusion because if X is a uniquely arcwise connected dendritic space, $x,y \in X$, $x \neq y$, then the arc of X with end-points x,y is the same as the interval [x,y], [71, Theorem 3, p. 109].

Compact dendritic spaces are called <u>dendrons</u>. Recall that each <u>dendrite</u> (i.e., a metrizable, acyclic and locally connected continuum) is a dendron, and each metrizable dendron is a dendrite. Moreover, there exists a universal dendrite in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 , [45, Example (v), p. 300].

3. ORDER TOPOLOGIES ON PSEUDO-TREES

Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and set $S_1 = \{M(x) : x \in X\}$, $S_2 = \{X - m(x) : x \in X\}$ and $S_{\leq} = S_1 \cup S_2$. Let T_{\leq} denote the topology on X for which S_{\leq} is a closed subbasis.

- 3.1. PROPOSITION. If (X, \leq) is a partially ordered set then T $_{\leq}$ is a T $_{1}$ -topology on X.
- 3.2. PROPOSITION. If C is a branch of a pseudo-tree (X, \leq) , then the topology of C induced from the space (X, T_{\leq}) is precisely the usual order topology of C.

Proof. Indeed, if $x \notin C$, then $M(x) \cap C = \emptyset$ and $C \subset X - m(x)$.

- 3.3. EXAMPLE. The assumption that (X, \leq) is a pseudo-tree is essential in Proposition 3.2. In fact, let $X = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ and let $(x,y) \leq (u,v)$ provided either x = 0 and y = -1, or y = 0 and y = 1, or y = 0 and $y \leq v$. Observe that (X,T_{\leq}) is a Hausdorff space. Let $C = \{(x,y) \in X : x \leq 0\}$. Then C is a branch of (X,\leq) and C equipped with the topology induced from (X,T_{\leq}) is homeomorphic to $\{0,1\}$ und $\{2\}$.
- 3.4. THEOREM. Let (X, \leq) be a pseudo-tree and x,y be distinct points of X. Then there are no disjoint open neighbourhoods of x and y in (X,T_{\leq}) iff at least one of the following conditions hold:
- (a) $1(x) = 1(y) \neq \emptyset$ and $\sup(1(x))$ does not exist;
- (b) l(x) = L(y) (resp. l(y) = L(x)) and there a branch C of (X, \le) such that $y \in C$ (resp. $x \in C$) and $y = \inf(C \cap m(y))$ (resp. $x = \inf(C \cap m(x))$);
- (c) x,y are minimal elements of (X, \leq) and there exists a branch C without a least element;
- (d) there exist distinct points $x_1, x_2, \ldots \in X$ such that $1(x) = 1(y) = 1(x_1) = \ldots$;

(e) there exist distinct points $x_1, x_2, \ldots \in X$ such that either $L(y) = 1(x) = 1(x_1) = \ldots$ or $L(x) = 1(y) = 1(x_1) = \ldots$.

Proof. Suppose that U,V are disjoint open subsets of (X,T) such that $x \in U$, $y \in V$. We may assume that $U = m(a) \cap \bigcap \{X - M(a_i) : 1 \le i \le m\}$ and $V = m(b) \cap \bigcap \{X - M(b_i) : 1 \le i \le n\}$, for some $a, a_1, \ldots, a_m, b, b_1, \ldots, b_n \in X$ such that a < x, $a < a_i$, either $x < a_i$ or $x \perp a_i$, $a_i \perp a_j$ for $i \ne j$, b < y, $b < b_i$, either $y < b_i$ or $y \perp b_i$, $b_i \perp b_i$ for $i \ne j$.

If (a) holds, then $a,b \in l(x) = l(y)$ and there is $c \in X$ such that a < c < x and b < c < y. Hence $c \in U \cap V$ — a contradiction. Suppose that (b) holds. Let $w = \min\{z : z \in C \cap m(y) \text{ and either } z = a_i \text{ or } z = b_i, \text{ for some } i\}$. Since $\inf(C \cap m(y)) = y$, the set $W = \{z : y < z < w\}$ is nonempty. Observe that $W \subset U \cap V$. If (c) holds, then $\emptyset \neq l(t) \subset U \cap V$, where $t = \min\{z : z \in C \text{ and either } z = a_i \text{ or } z = b_i, \text{ for some } i\}$. Suppose that either (d) or (e) holds. Note that $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots\} \subset m(a) \cap m(b)$. Moreover, $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots\} \subset X - M(z)$ if $z \notin \{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$, and $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots\} - \{x_n\} \subset X - M(z)$ if $z = x_n$. Hence infinitely many points of $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$ are contained in $U \cap V$, a contradiction.

Now, assume that x,y have no disjoint neighbourhoods in (X,T_{\leq}) .

Case 1: x > y. Hence there is no $z \in X$ such that x > z > y, i.e., 1(x) = L(y). Suppose that (b) and (e) do not hold. Let $A = \{z : z = \inf(C \cap m(y)) \text{ for some branch } C \text{ of } (X, \leq) \text{ such that } y \in C\}$. Hence $y \notin A$ and $m(y) = U \{M(z) : z \in A\}$. Moreover, A is finite - because $A = \{z \in X : 1(x) = 1(z)\}$. Set U = m(y) and $V = \bigcap \{X - M(z) : z \in A\}$. Then U, V are disjoint neighbourhoods of x, y, respectively; a contradiction.

Case 2: x x y. Hence there is no $z \in X$ such that either $l(x) \cap l(y) < z < x$ or $l(x) \cap l(y) < z < y$, i.e., l(x) = l(y). Suppose that (a)-(d) do not hold. Since (a) does not hold, either $l(x) = \emptyset$ or l(x) = L(z) for some $z \in X$. Since (d) does not hold, the set $B = \{t : l(x) = l(t)\}$ is finite. Moreover, if $l(x) = \emptyset$ then $X = U\{M(t) : t \in B\}$, and if l(x) = L(z) then $m(z) = U\{M(t) : t \in B\}$