# Lecture Notes in Mathematics

Edited by A. Dold, B. Eckmann and F. Takens

Subseries: Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, Rio de Janeiro
Adviser: C. Camacho

1430

W. Bruns A. Simis (Eds.)

# Commutative Algebra

Proceedings, Salvador 1988

Springer-Verlag

# Lecture Notes in Mathematics

Edited by A. Dold, B. Eckmann and F. Takens

Subseries: Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, Rio de Janeiro
Adviser: C. Camacho

1430

W. Bruns A. Simis (Eds.)

# Commutative Algebra

Proceedings of a Workshop held in Salvador, Brazil, Aug. 8–17, 1988

Springer-Verlag
Berlin Heidelberg New York London
Paris Tokyo Hong Kong Barcelona

**Editors**

Winfried Bruns
Universität Osnabrück – Standort Vechta, Fachbereich Mathematik
Driverstraße 22, 2848 Vechta, FRG

Aron Simis
Universidade Federal da Bahia, Departamento de Matemática
Av. Ademar de Barros, Campus de Ondina
40210 Salvador, Bahia, Brazil

Mathematics Subject Classification (1980): 13-04, 13-06, 13C05, 13E13, 13C15, 13D25, 13E15, 13F15, 13H10, 13H15

ISBN 3-540-52745-1 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York
ISBN 0-387-52745-1 Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg



Printing and binding: Druckhaus Beltz, Hemsbach/Bergstr.
2146/3140-543210 – Printed on acid-free paper

# Lecture Notes in Mathematics

For information about Vols. 1–1201 please contact your bookseller or Springer-Verlag

Vol. 1202: A. Dür, Möbius Functions, Incidence Algebras and Power Series Representations. XI, 134 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1203: Stochastic Processes and Their Applications. Proceedings, 1985. Edited by K. Itô and T. Hida. VI, 222 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1204: Séminaire de Probabilités XX, 1984/85. Proceedings. Edité par J. Azéma et M. Yor. V, 639 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1205: B.Z. Moroz, Analytic Arithmetic in Algebraic Number Fields. VII, 177 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1206: Probability and Analysis, Varenna (Como) 1985. Seminar. Edited by G. Letta and M. Pratelli. VIII, 280 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1207: P.H. Bérard, Spectral Geometry: Direct and Inverse Problems. With an Appendix by G. Besson. XIII, 272 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1208: S. Kaijser, J.W. Pelletier, Interpolation Functors and Duality. IV, 167 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1209: Differential Geometry, Peñíscola 1985. Proceedings. Edited by A.M. Naveira, A. Ferrández and F. Mascaró. VIII, 306 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1210: Probability Measures on Groups VIII. Proceedings, 1985. Edited by H. Heyer. X, 386 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1211: M.B. Sevryuk, Reversible Systems. V, 319 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1212: Stochastic Spatial Processes. Proceedings, 1984. Edited by P. Tautu. VIII, 311 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1213: L.G. Lewis, Jr., J.P. May, M. Steinberger, Equivariant Stable Homotopy Theory. IX, 538 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1214: Global Analysis – Studies and Applications II. Edited by Yu.G. Borisovich and Yu.E. Gliklikh. V, 275 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1215: Lectures in Probability and Statistics. Edited by G. del Pino and R. Rebolledo. V, 491 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1216: J. Kogan, Bifurcation of Extremals in Optimal Control. VIII, 106 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1217: Transformation Groups. Proceedings, 1985. Edited by S. Jackowski and K. Pawalowski. X, 396 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1218: Schrödinger Operators, Aarhus 1985. Seminar. Edited by E. Balslev. V, 222 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1219: R. Weissauer, Stabile Modulformen und Eisensteinreihen. III, 147 Seiten. 1986.

Vol. 1220: Séminaire d'Algèbre Paul Dubreil et Marie-Paule Malliavin. Proceedings, 1985. Edité par M.-P. Malliavin. IV, 200 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1221: Probability and Banach Spaces. Proceedings, 1985. Edited by J. Bastero and M. San Miguel. XI, 222 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1222: A. Katok, J.-M. Strelcyn, with the collaboration of F. Ledrappier and F. Przytycki, Invariant Manifolds, Entropy and Billiards; Smooth Maps with Singularities. VIII, 283 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1223: Differential Equations in Banach Spaces. Proceedings, 1985. Edited by A. Favini and E. Obrecht. VIII, 299 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1224: Nonlinear Diffusion Problems, Montecatini Terme 1985. Seminar. Edited by A. Fasano and M. Primicerio. VIII, 188 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1225: Inverse Problems, Montecatini Terme 1986. Seminar. Edited by G. Talenti. VIII, 204 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1226: A. Buium, Differential Function Fields and Moduli of Algebraic Varieties. IX, 146 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1227: H. Helson, The Spectral Theorem. VI, 104 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1228: Multigrid Methods II. Proceedings, 1985. Edited by W. Hackbusch and U. Trottenberg. VI, 336 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1229: O. Bratteli, Derivations, Dissipations and Group Actions on C*-algebras. IV, 277 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1230: Numerical Analysis. Proceedings, 1984. Edited by J.-P. Hennart. X, 234 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1231: E.-U. Gekeler, Drinfeld Modular Curves. XIV, 107 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1232: P.C. Schuur, Asymptotic Analysis of Soliton Problems. VIII, 180 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1233: Stability Problems for Stochastic Models. Proceedings, 1985. Edited by V.V. Kalashnikov, B. Penkov and V.M. Zolotarev. VI, 223 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1234: Combinatoire énumérative. Proceedings, 1985. Edité par G. Labelle et P. Leroux. XIV, 387 pages. 1986.

Vol. 1235: Séminaire de Théorie du Potentiel, Paris, No. 8. Directeurs: M. Brelot, G. Choquet et J. Deny. Rédacteurs: F. Hirsch et G. Mokobodzki. III, 209 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1236: Stochastic Partial Differential Equations and Applications. Proceedings, 1985. Edited by G. Da Prato and L. Tubaro. V, 257 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1237: Rational Approximation and its Applications in Mathematics and Physics. Proceedings, 1985. Edited by J. Gilewicz, M. Pindor and W. Siemaszko. XII, 350 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1238: M. Holz, K.-P. Podewski and K. Steffens, Injective Choice Functions. VI, 183 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1239: P. Vojta, Diophantine Approximations and Value Distribution Theory. X, 132 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1240: Number Theory, New York 1984–85. Seminar. Edited by D.V. Chudnovsky, G.V. Chudnovsky, H. Cohn and M.B. Nathanson. V, 324 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1241: L. Gårding, Singularities in Linear Wave Propagation. III, 125 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1242: Functional Analysis II, with Contributions by J. Hoffmann-Jørgensen et al. Edited by S. Kurepa, H. Kraljević and D. Butković. VII, 432 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1243: Non Commutative Harmonic Analysis and Lie Groups. Proceedings, 1985. Edited by J. Carmona, P. Delorme and M. Vergne. V, 309 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1244: W. Müller, Manifolds with Cusps of Rank One. XI, 158 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1245: S. Rallis, L-Functions and the Oscillator Representation. XVI, 239 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1246: Hodge Theory. Proceedings, 1985. Edited by E. Cattani, F. Guillén, A. Kaplan and F. Puerta. VII, 175 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1247: Séminaire de Probabilités XXI. Proceedings. Edité par J. Azéma, P.A. Meyer et M. Yor. IV, 579 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1248: Nonlinear Semigroups, Partial Differential Equations and Attractors. Proceedings, 1985. Edited by T.L. Gill and W.W. Zachary. IX, 185 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1249: I. van den Berg, Nonstandard Asymptotic Analysis. IX, 187 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1250: Stochastic Processes – Mathematics and Physics II. Proceedings 1985. Edited by S. Albeverio, Ph. Blanchard and L. Streit. VI, 359 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1251: Differential Geometric Methods in Mathematical Physics. Proceedings, 1985. Edited by P.L. García and A. Pérez-Rendón. VII, 300 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1252: T. Kaise, Représentations de Weil et $GL_2$ Algèbres de division et $GL_n$. VII, 203 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1253: J. Fischer, An Approach to the Selberg Trace Formula via the Selberg Zeta-Function. III, 184 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1254: S. Gelbart, I. Piatetski-Shapiro, S. Rallis. Explicit Constructions of Automorphic L-Functions. VI, 152 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1255: Differential Geometry and Differential Equations. Proceedings, 1985. Edited by C. Gu, M. Berger and R.L. Bryant. XII, 243 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1256: Pseudo-Differential Operators. Proceedings, 1986. Edited by H.O. Cordes, B. Gramsch and H. Widom. X, 479 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1257: X. Wang, On the C*-Algebras of Foliations in the Plane. V, 165 pages. 1987.

Vol. 1258: J. Weidmann, Spectral Theory of Ordinary Differential Operators. VI, 303 pages. 1987.

continued on page 161

# Introduction

This volume contains the proceedings of the Workshop in Commutative Algebra, held at Salvador (Brazil) on August 8–17, 1988. A few invited papers were included which were not presented in the Workshop. They are, nevertheless, very much in the spirit of the discussions held in the meeting.

The topics in the Workshop ranged from special algebras (Rees, symmetric, symbolic, Hodge) through linkage and residual intersections to free resolutions and Gröbner bases. Beside these, topics from other subjects were presented such as the theory of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules, the Tate-Shafarevich group of elliptic curves, the number of rational points on an elliptic curve, the modular representations of the Galois group and the Hilbert scheme of elliptic quartics. Their contents were not included in the present volume because the respective speakers felt that the subject had been or was to be published somewhere else. Other, more informal, lectures were presented at the meeting that are not included here either for similar reasons.

The meeting took place at the Federal University of Bahia, under partial support of CNPq and FINEP to whom we express our gratitude. For the practical success of the Workshop we owe an immense debt to Aron Simis' wife, Lu Miranda, whose efficient organization was responsible for letting the impression that all was smooth.

To all participants our final thanks.

WINFRIED BRUNS    ARON SIMIS

# List of Participants

J. F. Andrade (Salvador, BRAZIL)
V. Bayer (Vitória, BRAZIL)
P. Brumatti (Campinas, BRAZIL)
W. Bruns (Vechta, WEST GERMANY)
A. J. Engler (Campinas, BRAZIL)
M. Fléxor (Paris, FRANCE)
F. Q. Gouvêa (São Paulo, BRAZIL)
J. Herzog (Essen, WEST GERMANY)
Y. Lequain (Rio de Janeiro, BRAZIL)
E. Marcos (São Paulo, BRAZIL)
M. Miller (Columbia, S.C., USA)
L. Robbiano (Genova, ITALY)
A. Simis (Salvador, BRAZIL)
B. Ulrich (East Lansing, USA)
I. Vainsencher (Recife, BRAZIL)
W. V. Vasconcelos (New Brunswick, USA)
L. Washington (Washington, USA)

# Contents

# Straightening Laws on Modules and Their Symmetric Algebras

WINFRIED BRUNS*

Several modules $M$ over algebras with straightening law $A$ have a structure which is similar to the structure of $A$ itself: $M$ has a system of generators endowed with a natural partial order, a standard basis over the ring $B$ of coefficients, and the multiplication $A \times M \to A$ satisfies a "straightening law". We call them *modules with straightening law*, briefly MSLs.

In section 1 we recall the notion of an algebra with straightening law together with those examples which will be important in the sequel. Section 2 contains the basic results on MSLs, whereas section 3 is devoted to examples: (i) powers of certain ideals and residue class rings with respect to them, (ii) "generic" modules defined by generic, alternating or symmetric matrices of indeterminates, (iii) certain modules related to differentials and derivations of determinantal rings. The essential homological invariant of a module is its depth. We discuss how to compute the depth of an MSL in section 4. The main tool are filtrations related to the MSL structure.

The last section contains a natural strengthening of the MSL axioms which under certain circumstances leads to a straightening law on the symmetric algebra. The main examples of such modules are the "generic" modules defined by generic and alternating matrices.

The notion of an MSL was introduced by the author in [Br.3] and discussed extensively during the workshop. The main differences of this survey to [Br.3] are the more detailed study of examples and the treatment of the depth of MSLs which is almost entirely missing in [Br.3]

## 1. Algebras with Straightening Laws

An algebra with straightening law is defined over a ring $B$ of coefficients. In order to avoid problems of secondary importance in the following sections we will assume throughout that $B$ is a noetherian ring.

**Definition.** Let $A$ be a $B$-algebra and $\Pi \subset A$ a finite subset with partial order $\leq$. $A$ is an *algebra with straightening law on* $\Pi$ (*over* $B$) if the following conditions are satisfied:
(ASL-0) $A = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} A_i$ is a graded $B$-algebra such that $A_0 = B$, $\Pi$ consists of homogeneous elements of positive degree and generates $A$ as a $B$-algebra.
(ASL-1) The products $\xi_1 \cdots \xi_m$, $m \geq 0$, $\xi_1 \leq \cdots \leq \xi_m$ are a free basis of $A$ as a $B$-module. They are called *standard monomials.*
(ASL-2) (*Straightening law*) For all incomparable $\xi, \upsilon \in \Pi$ the product $\xi\upsilon$ has a representation

$$\xi\upsilon = \sum a_\mu \mu, \qquad a_\mu \in B, a_\mu \neq 0, \quad \mu \ \text{ standard monomial,}$$

*Partially supported by DFG and GMD

satisfying the following condition: every $\mu$ contains a factor $\zeta \in \Pi$ such that $\zeta \le \xi$, $\zeta \le \upsilon$. (It is of course allowed that $\xi\upsilon = 0$, the sum $\sum a_\mu \mu$ being empty.)

The theory of ASLs has been developed in [Ei] and [DEP.2]; the treatment in [BV.1] also satisfies our needs. In [Ei] and [BV.1] $B$-algebras satisfying the axioms above are called graded ASLs, whereas in [DEP.2] they figure as graded ordinal Hodge algebras.

In terms of generators and relations an ASL is defined by its poset and the straightening law:

**(1.1) Proposition.** *Let $A$ be an ASL on $\Pi$. Then the kernel of the natural epimorphism*

$$B[T_\pi : \pi \in \Pi] \longrightarrow A, \qquad T_\pi \longrightarrow \pi,$$

*is generated by the relations required in* (ASL-2), *i.e. the elements*

$$T_\xi T_\upsilon - \sum a_\mu T_\mu, \qquad T_\mu = T_{\xi_1} \cdots T_{\xi_m} \quad \text{if} \quad \mu = \xi_1 \cdots \xi_m.$$

See [DEP.2, 1.1] or [BV.1, (4.2)].

**(1.2) Proposition.** *Let $A$ be an ASL on $\Pi$, and $\Psi \subset \Pi$ an ideal, i.e. $\psi \in \Psi$, $\phi \le \psi$ implies $\phi \in \Psi$. Then the ideal $A\Psi$ is generated as a $B$-module by all the standard monomials containing a factor $\psi \in \Psi$, and $A/A\Psi$ is an ASL on $\Pi \setminus \Psi$ ($\Pi \setminus \Psi$ being embedded into $A/A\Psi$ in a natural way.)*

This is obvious, but nevertheless extremely important. First several proofs by induction on $|\Pi|$, say, can be based on (1.2), secondly the ASL structure of many important examples is established this way.

**(1.3) Examples.** (a) Let $X$ be an $m \times n$ matrix of indeterminates over $B$, and $\mathrm{I}_{r+1}(X)$ denote the ideal generated by the $r+1$-minors (i.e. the determinants of the $r+1 \times r+1$ submatrices) of $X$. For the investigation of the ideals $\mathrm{I}_{r+1}(X)$ and the residue class rings $A = B[X]/\mathrm{I}_{r+1}(X)$ one makes $B[X]$ an ASL on the set $\Delta(X)$ of all minors of $X$. Denote by $[a_1, \dots, a_t | b_1, \dots, b_t]$ the minor with row indices $a_1, \dots, a_t$ and column indices $b_1, \dots, b_t$. The partial order on $\Delta(X)$ is given by

$$[a_1, \dots, a_u | b_1, \dots, b_u] \le [c_1, \dots, c_v | d_1, \dots, d_v] \iff u \ge v \quad \text{and} \quad a_i \le c_i,\ b_i \le d_i,\ i = 1, \dots, v.$$

Then $B[X]$ is an ASL on $\Delta(X)$; cf. [BV.1], Section 4 for a complete proof. Obviously $\mathrm{I}_{r+1}(X)$ is generated by an ideal in the poset $\Delta(X)$, so $A$ is an ASL on the poset $\Delta_r(X)$ consisting of all the $i$-minors, $i \le r$.

(b) Another example needed below is given by "pfaffian" rings. Let $X_{ij}$, $1 \le i < j \le n$, be a family of indeterminates over $B$, $X_{ji} = -X_{ij}$, $X_{ii} = 0$. The pfaffian of the alternating matrix $(X_{i_u i_v} \colon 1 \le u, v \le t)$, $t$ even, is denoted by $[i_1, \dots, i_t]$. The polynomial ring $B[X]$ is an ASL on the set $\Phi(X)$ of the pfaffians $[i_1, \dots, i_t]$, $i_1 < \dots < i_t$, $t \le n$. The pfaffians are partially ordered in the same way as the minors in (b). The residue class ring $A = B[X]/\operatorname{Pf}_{r+2}(X)$, $\operatorname{Pf}_{r+2}(X)$ being generated by the $(r+2)$-pfaffians, inherits its ASL structure from $B[X]$ according to (1.2). The poset underlying $A$ is denoted $\Phi_r(X)$. Note that the rings $A$ are Gorenstein rings over a Gorenstein $B$—in fact factorial over a factorial $B$, cf. [Av.1], [KL].

(c) A non-example: If $X$ is a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix of indeterminates, then $B[X]$ can *not* be made an ASL on $\Delta(X)$ in a natural way. Nevertheless there is a standard monomial theory for this ring based on the concept of a *doset*, cf. [DEP.2]. Many results which can be derived from this theory were originally proved by Kutz [Ku] using the method of principal radical systems. —

For an element $\xi \in \Pi$ we define its rank by

$$\operatorname{rk} \xi = k \quad \iff \quad \begin{array}{l}\text{there is a chain } \xi = \xi_k > \xi_{k-1} > \cdots > \xi_1,\ \xi_i \in \Pi, \\ \text{and no such chain of greater length exists.}\end{array}$$

For a subset $\Omega \subset \Pi$ let

$$\operatorname{rk} \Omega = \max\{\operatorname{rk} \xi \colon \xi \in \Omega\}.$$

The preceding definition differs from the one in [Ei] and [DEP.2] which gives a result smaller by 1. In order to reconcile the two definitions the reader should imagine an element $-\infty$ added to $\Pi$, vaguely representing $0 \in A$.

**(1.4) Proposition.** *Let $A$ be an ASL on $\Pi$. Then*

$$\dim A = \dim B + \operatorname{rk} \Pi \quad \textit{and} \quad \operatorname{ht} A\Pi = \operatorname{rk} \Pi.$$

Here of course $\dim A$ denotes the Krull dimension of $A$ and $\operatorname{ht} A\Pi$ the height of the ideal $A\Pi$. A quick proof of (1.4) may be found in [BV.1, (5.10)].

## 2. Straightening Laws on Modules

It occurs frequently that a module $M$ over an ASL $A$ has a structure closely related to that of $A$: the generators of $M$ are partially ordered, a distinguished set of "standard elements" forms a $B$-basis of $M$, and the multiplication $A \times M \to A$ satisfies a straightening law similar to the straightening law in $A$ itself. In this section we introduce the notion of a module with straightening law whereas the next section contains a list of examples.

**Definition.** Let $A$ be an ASL over $B$ on $\Pi$. An $A$-module $M$ is called a *module with straightening law* (MSL) on the finite poset $\mathcal{X} \subset M$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
(MSL-1) For every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists an ideal $\mathcal{I}(x) \subset \Pi$ such that the elements

$$\xi_1 \cdots \xi_n x, \qquad x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad \xi_1 \notin \mathcal{I}(x), \quad \xi_1 \le \cdots \le \xi_n, \quad n \ge 0,$$

constitute a $B$-basis of $M$. These elements are called *standard elements*.
(MSL-2) For every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{I}(x)$ one has

$$\xi x \in \sum_{y<x} Ay.$$

It follows immediately by induction on the rank of $x$ that the element $\xi x$ as in (MSL-2) has a standard representation

$$\xi x = \sum_{y<x} \Bigl(\sum b_{\xi x \mu y} \mu\Bigr) y, \qquad b_{\xi x \mu y} \in B,\ b_{\xi x \mu y} \ne 0,$$

in which each $\mu y$ is a standard element.

**(2.1) Remarks.** (a) Suppose $M$ is an MSL, and $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{X}$ an ideal. Then the submodule of $M$ generated by $\mathcal{T}$ is an MSL, too. This fact allows one to prove theorems on MSLs by noetherian induction on the set of ideals of $\mathcal{X}$.

(b) It would have been enough to require that the standard elements are linearly independent. If just (MSL-2) is satisfied then the induction principle in (a) proves that $M$ is generated as a $B$-module by the standard elements. —

The following proposition helps to detect MSLs:

**(2.2) Proposition.** *Let $M, M_1, M_2$ be modules over an ASL $A$, connected by an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M_2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

*Let $M_1$ and $M_2$ be MSLs on $\mathcal{X}_1$ and $\mathcal{X}_2$, and choose a splitting $f$ of the epimorphism $M \to M_2$ over $B$. Then $M$ is an MSL on $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_1 \cup f(\mathcal{X}_2)$ ordered by $x_1 < f(x_2)$ for all $x_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1$, $x_2 \in \mathcal{X}_2$, and the given partial orders on $\mathcal{X}_1$ and the copy $f(\mathcal{X}_2)$ of $\mathcal{X}_2$. Moreover one chooses $\mathcal{I}(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{X}_1$, as in $M_1$ and $\mathcal{I}(f(x)) = \mathcal{I}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}_2$.*

The proof is straightforward and can be left to the reader.

In terms of generators and relations an ASL is defined by its generating poset and its straightening relations, cf. (1.1). This holds similarly for MSLs:

**(2.3) Proposition.** *Let $A$ be an ASL on $\Pi$ over $B$, and $M$ an MSL on $\mathcal{X}$ over $A$. Let $e_x$, $x \in \mathcal{X}$, denote the elements of the canonical basis of the free module $A^{\mathcal{X}}$. Then the kernel $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ of the natural epimorphism*

$$A^{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow M, \qquad e_x \longrightarrow x,$$

*is generated by the relations required for* (MSL-2)*:*

$$\rho_{\xi x} = \xi e_x - \sum_{y<x} a_{\xi x y} e_y, \qquad x \in \mathcal{X},\ \xi \in \mathcal{I}(x).$$

PROOF: We use the induction principle indicated in (2.1), (a). Let $\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ be a maximal element. Then $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{X} \setminus \{\tilde{x}\}$ is an ideal. By induction $A\mathcal{T}$ is defined by the relations $\rho_{\xi x}$, $x \in \mathcal{T}$, $\xi \in \mathcal{I}(x)$. Furthermore (MSL-1) and (MSL-2) imply

(1) $$M/A\mathcal{T} \cong A/A\mathcal{I}(\tilde{x})$$

If $a_{\tilde{x}}\tilde{x} - \sum_{y \in \mathcal{T}} a_y y = 0$, one has $a_{\tilde{x}} \in A\mathcal{I}(\tilde{x})$ and subtracting a linear combination of the elements $\rho_{\xi\tilde{x}}$ from $a_{\tilde{x}} e_{\tilde{x}} - \sum_{y\in\mathcal{T}} a_y e_y$ one obtains a relation of the elements $y \in \mathcal{T}$ as desired. —

The kernel of the epimorphism $A^{\mathcal{X}} \to M$ is again an MSL:

**(2.4) Proposition.** *With the notations and hypotheses of (2.3) the kernel $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ of the epimorphism $A^{\mathcal{X}} \to M$ is an MSL if we let*

$$\mathcal{I}(\rho_{\xi x}) = \{\pi \in \Pi\colon \pi \not\geq \xi\}$$

*and*

$$\rho_{\xi x} \leq \rho_{\upsilon y} \iff x < y \quad \text{or} \quad x = y,\ \xi \leq \upsilon.$$

PROOF: Choose $\widetilde{x}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ as in the proof of (2.3). By virtue of (2.3) the projection $A^{\mathcal{X}} \to Ae_{\widetilde{x}}$ with kernel $A^{\mathcal{T}}$ induces an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{T}} \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow A\mathcal{I}(\widetilde{x}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now (2.2) and induction finish the argument. —

If a module $M$ is given in terms of generators and relations, it is in general more difficult to establish (MSL-1) than (MSL-2). For (MSL-2) one "only" has to show that elements $\rho_{\xi x}$ as in the proof of (2.3) can be obtained as linear combinations of the given relations. In this connection the following proposition may be useful: it is enough that the module generated by the $\rho_{\xi x}$ satisfies (MSL-2) again.

**(2.5) Proposition.** *Let the data $M, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{I}(x), x \in \mathcal{X}$, be given as in the definition, and suppose that* (MSL-2) *is satisfied. Suppose that the kernel $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ of the natural epimorphism $A^{\mathcal{X}} \to M$ is generated by the elements $\rho_{\xi x} \in A^{\mathcal{X}}$ representing the relations in* (MSL-2). *Order the $\rho_{\xi x}$ and choose $\mathcal{I}(\rho_{\xi x})$ as in* (2.4). *If $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ satisfies* (MSL-2) *again, $M$ is an MSL.*

PROOF: Let $\widetilde{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ be a maximal element, $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{X} \setminus \{\widetilde{x}\}$. We consider the induced epimorphism

$$A^{\mathcal{T}} \longrightarrow A\mathcal{T}$$

with kernel $K_{\mathcal{T}}$. One has $K_{\mathcal{T}} = K_{\mathcal{X}} \cap A^{\mathcal{T}}$. Since the $\rho_{\xi x}$ satisfy (MSL-2), every element in $K_{\mathcal{X}}$ can be written as a $B$-linear combination of standard elements, and only the $\rho_{\xi \widetilde{x}}$ have a nonzero coefficient with respect to $e_{\widetilde{x}}$. The projection onto the component $Ae_{\widetilde{x}}$ with kernel $A^{\mathcal{T}}$ shows that $K_{\mathcal{T}}$ is generated by the $\rho_{\xi x}$, $x \in \mathcal{T}$. Now one can argue inductively, and the split-exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/A\mathcal{T} \cong A/A\mathcal{I}(\widetilde{x}) \longrightarrow 0$$

of $B$-modules finishes the proof. —

Modules with a straightening law have a distinguished filtration with cyclic quotients; by the usual induction this follows immediately from the isomorphism (1) above:

**(2.6) Proposition.** *Let $M$ be an MSL on $\mathcal{X}$ over $A$. Then $M$ has a filtration $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_n = M$ such that each quotient $M_{i+1}/M_i$ is isomorphic with one of the residue class rings $A/A\mathcal{I}(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{X}$, and conversely each such residue class ring appears as a quotient in the filtration.*

It is obvious that an $A$-module with a filtration as in (2.6) is an MSL. It would however not be adequate to replace (MSL-1) and (MSL-2) by the condition that $M$ has such a filtration since (MSL-1) and (MSL-2) carry more information and lend themselves to natural strengthenings, see section 5.

In section 4 we will base a depth bound for MSLs on (2.6). Further consequences concern the annihilator, the localizations with respect to prime ideals $P \in \operatorname{Ass} A$, and the rank of an MSL.

**(2.7) Proposition.** *Let $M$ be an MSL on $\mathcal{X}$ over $A$, and*

$$J = A\Big(\bigcap_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{I}(x)\Big).$$

*Then*

$$J \supset \operatorname{Ann} M \supset J^n, \qquad n = \operatorname{rk} \mathcal{X}.$$

PROOF: Note that $A(\bigcap \mathcal{I}(x)) = \bigcap A\mathcal{I}(x)$ (as a consequence of (1.2)). Since $\operatorname{Ann} M$ annihilates every subquotient of $M$, the inclusion $\operatorname{Ann} M \subset J$ follows from (2.6). Furthermore (MSL-2) implies inductively that

$$J^i M \subset \sum_{\operatorname{rk} x \le \operatorname{rk} \Pi - i} Ax$$

for all $i$, in particular $J^n M = 0$. —

**(2.8) Proposition.** *Let $M$ be an MSL on $\mathcal{X}$ over $A$, and $P \in \operatorname{Ass} A$.*
(a) *Then $\{\pi \in \Pi\colon \pi \notin P\}$ has a single minimal element $\sigma$, and $\sigma$ is also a minimal element of $\Pi$.*
(b) *Let $\mathcal{Y} = \{x \in \mathcal{X}\colon \sigma \notin \mathcal{I}(x)\}$. Then $\mathcal{Y}$ is a basis of the free $A_P$-module $M_P$. Furthermore $(K_{\mathcal{X}})_P$ is generated by the elements $\varrho_{\sigma x}$, $x \notin \mathcal{Y}$.*

PROOF: (a) If $\pi_1, \pi_2$, $\pi_1 \neq \pi_2$, are minimal elements of $\{\pi \in \Pi : \pi \notin P\}$, then, by (ASL-2), $\pi_1\pi_2 \in P$. So there is a single minimal element $\sigma$. It has to be a single minimal element of $\Pi$, too, since otherwise $P$ would contain all the minimal elements of $\Pi$ whose sum, however, is not a zero-divisor in $A$ ([BV.1, (5.11)]).

(b) Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow A/A\mathcal{I}(\widetilde{x}) \longrightarrow 0$$

introduced in the proof of (2.3). If $\widetilde{x} \notin \mathcal{Y}$, then $\widetilde{x} \in A_P\mathcal{T}$ by the relation $\varrho_{\sigma\widetilde{x}}$, and we are through by induction. If $\widetilde{x} \in \mathcal{Y}$, then $\sigma$ and all the elements of $\mathcal{I}(\widetilde{x})$ are incomparable, so they are annihilated by $\sigma$ (because of (ASL-2)). Consequently $(A/A\mathcal{I}(\widetilde{x}))_P \cong A_P$, $\widetilde{x}$ generates a free summand of $M_P$, and induction finishes the argument again. —

We say that a module $M$ over $A$ has rank $r$ if $M \otimes L$ is free of rank $r$ as an $L$-module, $L$ denoting the total ring of fractions of $A$. Cf. [BV.1, 16.A] for the properties of this notion.

**(2.9) Corollary.** *Let $M$ be an MSL on $\mathcal{X}$ over the ASL $A$ on $\Pi$. Suppose that $\Pi$ has a single minimal element $\pi$, a condition satisfied if $A$ is a domain. Then*

$$\operatorname{rank} M = |\{x \in \mathcal{X}\colon \mathcal{I}(x) = \emptyset\}|.$$

## 3. Examples

In this section we list some of the examples of MSLs. The common patterns in their treatment in [BV.1], [BV.2], and [BST] were the author's main motivation in the creation of the concept of an MSL. We start with a very simple example:

**(3.1) Example.** $A$ itself is an MSL if one takes $\mathcal{X} = \{1\}$, $\mathcal{I}(1) = \emptyset$. Another choice is $\mathcal{X} = \Pi \cup \{1\}$, $\mathcal{I}(\xi) = \{\pi \in \Pi\colon \pi \not\geq \xi\}$, $\mathcal{I}(1) = \Pi$, $1 > \pi$ for each $\pi \in \Pi$. The relations necessary for (MSL-2) are then given by the identities $\pi 1 = \pi$, the straightening relations

$$\xi\upsilon = \sum b_\mu \mu, \qquad \xi, \upsilon \quad \text{incomparable},$$

and the Koszul relations

$$\xi\upsilon = \upsilon\xi, \qquad \xi < \upsilon.$$

By (2.1),(a) for every poset ideal $\Psi \subset \Pi$ the ideal $A\Psi$ is an MSL, too.

**(3.2) MSLs derived from powers of ideals.** (a) Suppose that $\Psi$ as in (3.1) additionally satisfies the following condition: Whenever $\phi, \psi \in \Psi$ are incomparable, then every standard monomial $\mu$ in the standard representation $\phi\psi = \sum a_\mu \mu$, $a_\mu \neq 0$, contains at least two factors from $\Psi$. This condition appears in [Hu], [EH], and in [BV.1, Section 9] where the ideal $I = A\Psi$ is called *straightening-closed*. See [BST] for a detailed treatment of straightening-closed ideals. As a consequence of (b) below the powers $I^n$ of $I = A\Psi$ are MSLs. Observe in particular that the condition above is satisfied if every $\mu$ a priori contains at most two factors and $\Psi$ consists of the elements in $\Pi$ of highest degree.

(b) In order to prove and to generalize the statements in (a) let us consider an MSL $M$ on $\mathcal{X}$ and an ideal $\Psi \subset \Pi$ such that $I = A\Psi$ is straightening-closed and the following condition holds:

($*$) The standard monomials in the standard representation of a product $\psi x$, $\psi \in \Psi$, $x \in \mathcal{X}$, all contain a factor from $\Psi$.

Then it is easy to see that $IM$ is again an MSL on the set $\{\psi x \colon x \in \mathcal{X},\ \psi \in \Psi \setminus \mathcal{I}(x)\}$ partially ordered by

$$\psi x \le \phi y \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad x < y \quad \text{or} \quad x = y,\ \psi \le \phi,$$

if one takes

$$\mathcal{I}(\psi x) = \{\pi \in \Pi \colon \pi \not\geq \psi\}.$$

Furthermore ($*$) holds again. Thus $I^n M$ is an MSL for all $n \ge 1$, and in particular one obtains (b) from the special case $M = A$.

The residue class module $M/IM$ also carries the structure of an MSL on the set $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ of residues of $\mathcal{X}$ if we let

$$\mathcal{I}(\overline{x}) = \mathcal{I}(x) \cup \Psi.$$

Combining the previous arguments we get that $I^n M/I^{n+1}M$ is an MSL for all $n \ge 0$. Arguing by (2.2) one sees that all the quotients $I^n M/I^{n+k}M$ are MSLs.

In the situation just considered the associated graded ring $\operatorname{Gr}_I A$ is an ASL on the set $\Pi^*$ of leading forms (ordered in the same way as $\Pi$), cf. [BST] or [BV.1,(9.8)], and obviously $\operatorname{Gr}_I M$ is an MSL on $\mathcal{X}^*$.

(c) If an ideal $I = A\Psi$ is not straightening-closed, one cannot make the associated graded ring an ASL in a natural way. Under certain circumstances there is however a "canonical" substitute, the *symbolic associated graded ring*

$$\operatorname{Gr}_I^{()}(A) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} I^{(i)}/I^{(i+1)}.$$

Suppose that every standard monomial in a straightening relation of $A$ contains at most two factors and that $\Psi$ consists of all the elements of $\Pi$ whose degree is at least $d$, $d$ fixed. Furthermore put

$$\gamma(\pi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \deg \pi < d, \\ \deg \pi - d + 1 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \gamma(\pi_1 \dots \pi_m) = \sum \gamma(\pi_i)$$

for an element $\pi \in \Pi$ and a standard monomial $\pi_1 \dots \pi_m$ (deg denotes the degree in the graded ring $A$). Then it is not difficult to show that the $B$-submodule $J_i$ generated by the standard monomials $\mu$ such that $\gamma(\mu) \geq i$ is an ideal of $A$ and that $\bigoplus J_i/J_{i+1}$ is (a well-defined $B$-algebra and) an ASL over $B$ on the poset given by the leading forms of the elements of $\Pi$ cf. [DEP.2, Section 10]. Therefore $J_i$ and $J_i/J_{i+1}$ have standard $B$-bases and one easily establishes that they are MSLs.

For $B[X]$, $B$ a domain, $X$ a generic matrix of indeterminates or an alternating matrix of indeterminates, $J_i$ indeed is the $i$-th symbolic power of the ideal $I$ generated by all minors or pfaffians resp. of size $d$, [BV.1, 10.A] or [AD]. Consequently $\mathrm{Gr}_I^{()}(A)$ is an ASL, and $I^{(i)}$, $I^{(i)}/I^{(i+1)}$ are MSLs for all $i$.

**(3.3) MSLs derived from generic maps.** (a) Let $A = B[X]/\mathrm{I}_{r+1}(X)$ as in (1.3), (a), $0 \leq r \leq \min(m,n)$ (so $A = B[X]$ is included). The matrix $x$ over $A$ whose entries are the residue classes of the indeterminates defines a map $A^m \to A^n$, also denoted by $x$. The modules $\operatorname{Im} x$ and $\operatorname{Coker} x$ have been investigated in [Br.1]. A simplified treatment has been given in [BV.1, Section 13], from where we draw some of the arguments below. Let $d_1, \dots, d_m$ and $e_1, \dots, e_n$ denote the canonical bases of $A^m$ and $A^n$. Then we order the system $\overline{e}_1, \dots, \overline{e}_n$ of generators of $M = \operatorname{Coker} x$ linearly by

$$\overline{e}_1 > \cdots > \overline{e}_n.$$

Furthermore we put

$$\mathcal{I}(\overline{e}_i) = \begin{cases} \{\, \delta \in \Delta_r(X) : \delta \not\geq [1,\dots,r|1,\dots,\widehat{i},\dots,r+1]\,\} & \text{for } i \leq r, \\ \emptyset & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

if $r < n$, and in the case in which $r = n$

$$\mathcal{I}(\overline{e}_i) = \{\, \delta \in \Delta_r(X) : \delta \not\geq [1,\dots,r-1|1,\dots,\widehat{i},\dots,r]\,\}.$$

(where $\widehat{i}$ denotes that $i$ is to be omitted). We claim: $M$ is an MSL with respect to these data.

Suppose that $\delta \in \mathcal{I}(\overline{e}_i)$. Then

$$\delta = [a_1,\dots,a_s|1,\dots,i,b_{i+1},\dots,b_s], \qquad s \leq r.$$

The element

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} (-1)^{j+i} [a_1,\dots,\widehat{a_j},\dots,a_s|1,\dots,i-1,b_{i+1},\dots,b_s]\, x(d_{a_j})$$

of $\operatorname{Im} x$ is a suitable relation for (MSL-2):

$$(1) \qquad \delta\overline{e}_i = \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} \pm[a_1,\dots,a_s|1,\dots,i-1,k,b_{i+1},\dots,b_s]\overline{e}_k.$$

Rearranging the column indices $1,\dots,i-1,k,b_{i+1},\dots,b_s$ in ascending order one makes (1) the standard representation of $\delta\overline{e}_i$, and observes the following fact recorded for later purpose:

(2) $\delta \notin \mathcal{I}(\overline{e}_k)$ for all $k \geq i+1$ such that $[a_1,\dots,a_s|1,\dots,i-1,k,b_{i+1},\dots,b_s] \neq 0$.

In order to prove the linear independence of the standard elements one may assume that $r < n$ since $\mathrm{I}_n(X)$ annihilates $M$. Let

$$\widetilde{M} = \sum_{i=r+1}^{n} A\bar{e}_i, \quad \Psi = \{\, \delta \in \Delta_r(X) \colon \delta \not\geq [1,\dots,r|1,\dots,r-1,r+1]\,\} \quad \text{and} \quad I = A\Psi.$$

We claim:
(i) $\widetilde{M}$ is a free $A$-module.
(ii) $M/\widetilde{M}$ is (over $A/I$) isomorphic to the ideal generated by the minors $[1,\dots,r|1,\dots,\widehat{i},\dots,r+1]$, $1 \le i \le r$, in $A/I$.
In fact, the minors just specified form a linearly ordered ideal in the poset $\Delta_r(X) \setminus \Psi$ underlying the ASL $A/I$, and the linear independence of the standard elements follows immediately from (i) and (ii).

Statement (i) simply holds since $\operatorname{rank} x = r$, and the $r$-minor in the left upper corner of $x$, being the minimal element of $\Delta_r(X)$, is not a zero-divisor in A. For (ii) one applies (2.3) to show that $M/\widetilde{M}$ and the ideal in (ii) have the same representation given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \dots & x_{1r} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{m1} & \dots & x_{mr} \end{pmatrix},$$

the entries taken in $A/I$: The assignment $\bar{e}_i \to (-1)^{i+1}[1,\dots,r|1,\dots,\widehat{i},\dots,r+1]$ induces the isomorphism. The computations needed for the application of (2.5) are covered by (1).

By similar arguments one can show that $\operatorname{Im} x$ is also an MSL, see [BV.1, proof of (13.6)] where a filtration argument is given which shows the linear independence of the standard elements. Such a filtration argument could also have been applied to prove (MSL-1) for $M$, cf. (c) below.

(b) Another example is furnished by the modules defined by generic alternating maps. Recalling the notations of (1.3), (b) we let $A = B[X]/\operatorname{Pf}_{r+2}(X)$ and $M$ be the cokernel of the linear map

$$x \colon F \longrightarrow F^*, \qquad F = A^n.$$

In complete analogy with the preceding example $M$ is an MSL on $\{\bar{e}_1,\dots,\bar{e}_n\}$, the canonical basis of $F^*$, $\bar{e}_1 > \dots > \bar{e}_n$, if one puts

$$\mathcal{I}(\bar{e}_i) = \begin{cases} \{\, \pi \in \Phi_r(X) : \pi \not\geq [1,\dots,\widehat{i},\dots,r+1]\,\} & \text{for} \quad i \le r, \\ \emptyset & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

if $r < n$, and in the case in which $r = n$

$$\mathcal{I}(\bar{e}_i) = \begin{cases} \{\, \pi \in \Phi(X) : \pi \not\geq [1,\dots,\widehat{i},\dots,r-1]\,\} & \text{for} \quad i \le n-1, \\ \{\, [1,\dots,n]\,\} & \text{for} \quad i = n. \end{cases}$$

The straightening law (1) is replaced by the equation

$$(1') \qquad \pi\bar{e}_i = \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} \pm[1,\dots,i-1,k,b_{i+1},\dots,b_s]\,\bar{e}_k,$$

obtained from Laplace type expansion of pfaffians as (1) has been derived from Laplace expansion of minors. Observe that the analogue (2′) of (2) is satisfied. The linear independence of the standard elements is proved in entire analogy with (d). With $\widetilde{M} = \sum_{i=r+1}^{n} A\bar{e}_i$ and $I = A[1,\ldots,r]$ one has in the essential case $r < n$:
(i′) $\widetilde{M}$ is a free $A$-module.
(ii′) $M/\widetilde{M}$ is (over $A/I$) isomorphic to the ideal generated by the pfaffians $[1,\ldots,\widehat{i},\ldots, r+1]$, $1 \le i \le r$, in $A/I$.

A notable special case is $n$ odd, $r = n-1$. In this case $\operatorname{Coker} x \cong \operatorname{Pf}_r(X)$ is an ideal of grade 2 and projective dimension 2 [BE] and generated by a linearly ordered poset ideal in $\Phi(X)$.

(c) The two previous examples suggest to discuss the case of a symmetric matrix of indeterminates as in (1.3),(c), too. As mentioned there, the ring $A = B[X]/\operatorname{I}_{r+1}(X)$ is not an ASL. Nevertheless the cokernel $M$ of the map $x\colon F \to F^*$, $F = A^n$, has the same structure relative to $A$ as the modules in the two previous examples. With respect to what is known about the rings $A$, it is easier to work with slightly different arguments which could have been applied in (a) and (b), too, and were in fact applied in [BV.1] to the modules of (a).

Taking analogous notations as in (b), we put $M_i = \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} A\bar{e}_j$, $\bar{e}_j$ denoting the residue class in $M$ of the $j$-th canonical basis element of $F^*$. One has a filtration

$$M = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \cdots \supset M_r.$$

We claim:
(i) $M_r$ is a free $A$-module.
(ii) The annihilator $J_i$ of $M/M_i$ is the ideal generated by the $i$-minors of the first $i$ columns of $x$.
(iii) The generator $\bar{e}_i$ of $M_{i-1}/M_i$ is linearly independent over $A/J_i$.

Claim (i) is clear: $\operatorname{rank} x = r$, and the first $r$ columns are linearly independent, hence $\operatorname{rank} M/M_r = 0 = \operatorname{rank} M - (n-r)$—none of the $r$−minors of $x$ is a zero-divisor of $A$ by the results of Kutz [Ku]. (This may not be found explicitely in [Ku] for arbitrary $B$, it is however enough to have it over a field $B$, cf. [BV.1, (3.15)]). Since $M/M_i$ is represented by the matrix $(x\,|\,i)$ consisting of the first $i$ columns of $x$, $\operatorname{Ann} M/M_i \supset J_i$. On the other hand the first $i-1$ columns of $(x\,|\,i)$ are linearly independent over $A/J_i$ (again by [Ku]), and by the same argument as used for (i) one concludes (iii) and (ii).

Altogether $M$ has a filtration by cyclic modules whose structure can be considered well-understood because of the results of [Ku] or the standard basis arguments based on the notion of a doset [DEP.2]. In particular $M$ is a free $B$-module. Taking into account the remark below (2.6) one sees that one could call $M$ an MSL relative to $A$. Of course the modules in (a) and (b) have an analogous filtration as follows from (2.6). —

**(3.4) MSLs related to differentials and derivations.** Let $A = B[X]/\operatorname{I}_{r+1}(X)$. The module $\Omega = \Omega_{A/B}$ of Kähler differentials of $A$ and its dual $\Omega^*$, the module of derivations, have been investigated in [Ve.1], [Ve.2], and [BV.1]. A crucial point in the investigation of $\Omega$ is a filtration which stems from an MSL structure on the first syzygy of $\Omega$. In fact, with $I = \operatorname{I}_{r+1}(X)$, one has an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow I/I^{(2)} \longrightarrow \Omega_{B[X]/B} \otimes A \longrightarrow \Omega \longrightarrow 0,$$

and it has been observed in (3.2),(c) that $I/I^{(2)}$ is an MSL.

The surjection $\Omega_{B[X]/B} \otimes A \longrightarrow \Omega$ induces an embedding $\Omega^* \longrightarrow (\Omega_{B[X]/B} \otimes A)^*$ whose cokernel is denoted $N$ in [BV.1, Section 15]. It follows immediately from the filtration described in [BV.1, (15.3)] that $N$ is an MSL. (It would take too much space to describe this filtration in such a detail that would save the reader to look up [BV.1].)

## 4. The depth of an MSL

As usual let $A$ be an ASL over $B$ on $\Pi$. For any $A$-module $M$ we denote the length of a maximal $M$-sequence in $A\Pi$ by $\operatorname{depth} M$. An MSL $M$ over $A$ is free as a $B$-module, in particular flat. Let $P$ be a prime ideal of $A$, $P \supset A\Pi$, and put $Q = P \cap B$, $\kappa(Q) = B_Q/QB_Q$. By [Ma, (21.B)] one has

$$\operatorname{depth} M_P = \operatorname{depth} B_Q + \operatorname{depth}(M \otimes \kappa(Q))_P.$$

Since all the prime ideals $Q$ of $B$ appear in the form $P \cap B$, it turns out that

$$\operatorname{depth} M = \min_P \operatorname{depth}(M \otimes \kappa(Q))_P, \qquad Q = P \cap B.$$

One sees easily that $M \otimes \kappa(Q)$ is an MSL over $A \otimes \kappa(Q)$, an ASL over $\kappa(Q)$. Therefore eventually

$$\operatorname{depth} M = \min_Q \operatorname{depth} M \otimes \kappa(Q).$$

This means: In computing $\operatorname{depth} M$ only the case in which $B$ is a field is essential, and if the result does not depend on the particular field (as will be the case below) it holds automatically for arbitrary $B$. (Another possibility very often is the reduction to the case $B = \mathbf{Z}$ in order to apply results on generic perfection, cf. [BV.1], [BV.2].)

Every MSL has a natural filtration by (2.6). Applying the standard result on the behaviour of depth along short exact sequences one therefore obtains:

**(4.1) Proposition.** *Let $M$ be an MSL on $\mathcal{X}$ over $A$. Then*

$$\operatorname{depth} M \geq \min\{\operatorname{depth} A/A\mathcal{I}(x) \colon x \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

We specialize to ASLs over wonderful posets (cf. [Ei], [DEP.2], or [BV.1] for this notion and the properties of ASLs over wonderful posets).

**(4.2) Corollary.** *Let $A$ be an ASL on the wonderful poset $\Pi$. If $M$ is an MSL on $\mathcal{X}$ over $A$, then*

$$\operatorname{depth} M \geq \min\{\operatorname{rk} \Pi - \operatorname{rk} \mathcal{I}(x) \colon x \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

Since $M$ may be the direct sum of the quotients in its natural filtration there is no way to give a better bound for $\operatorname{depth} M$ in general. Even when (4.2) does not give the best possible result it may be useful as a "bootstrap". While it is sometimes possible to find a coarser filtration which preserves more of the structure of $M$, there are also examples for which the exact computation of $\operatorname{depth} M$ requires completely different, additional arguments. We now discuss the examples in the same order as in the preceding section.