

UNITED NATIONS
NEW YORK

UNITED NATIONS
ENVIRONMENT
PROGRAMME
NAIROBI

FOOD AND
AGRICULTURE
ORGANIZATION
OF THE UNITED
NATIONS
ROME

UNITED NATIONS
EDUCATIONAL,
SCIENTIFIC AND
CULTURAL
ORGANIZATION
PARIS

WORLD HEALTH
ORGANIZATION
GENEVA

WORLD
METEOROLOGICAL
ORGANIZATION
GENEVA

INTERNATIONAL
MARITIME
ORGANIZATION
LONDON

INTERNATIONAL
ATOMIC ENERGY
AGENCY
VIENNA



**IMO /FAO/UNESCO/WMO/WHO/IAEA/UN/UNEP
JOINT GROUP OF EXPERTS ON THE SCIENTIFIC ASPECTS
OF MARINE POLLUTION
- GESAMP -**

REPORTS AND STUDIES

No. 21

**REPORT OF THE FOURTEENTH SESSION
VIENNA, 26-30 MARCH 1984**



INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY

91

1.21

**IMO/FAO/UNESCO/WMO/WHO/IAEA/UN/UNEP
JOINT GROUP OF EXPERTS ON THE SCIENTIFIC ASPECTS
OF MARINE POLLUTION
- GESAMP -**

**REPORT OF THE FOURTEENTH SESSION
VIENNA, 26–30 MARCH 1984**

**INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY
VIENNA, 1984**

NOTES

1. GESAMP is an advisory body consisting of specialized experts nominated by the Sponsoring Agencies (IMO, FAO, UNESCO, WMO, WHO, IAEA, UN, UNEP). Its principal task is to provide scientific advice on marine pollution problems to the Sponsoring Agencies and to the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission (IOC).
2. This report is available in English, French, Russian and Spanish from any of the Sponsoring Agencies.
3. The report contains views expressed by members of GESAMP who act in their individual capacities; their views may not necessarily correspond with those of the Sponsoring Agencies.
4. Permission may be granted by any one of the Sponsoring Agencies for the report to be wholly or partly reproduced in publications by any individual who is not a staff member of a Sponsoring Agency of GESAMP, or by any organization that is not a sponsor of GESAMP, provided that the source of the extract and the condition mentioned in 3. above are indicated.

* * *

Definition of Marine Pollution by GESAMP

"POLLUTION MEANS THE INTRODUCTION BY MAN, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, OF SUBSTANCES OR ENERGY INTO THE MARINE ENVIRONMENT (INCLUDING ESTUARIES) RESULTING IN SUCH DELETERIOUS EFFECTS AS HARM TO LIVING RESOURCES, HAZARDS TO HUMAN HEALTH, HINDRANCE TO MARINE ACTIVITIES INCLUDING FISHING, IMPAIRMENT OF QUALITY FOR USE OF SEA WATER AND REDUCTION OF AMENITIES."

* * *

For bibliographic purposes, this document may be cited as:

GESAMP - IMO/FAO/UNESCO/WMO/WHO/IAEA/UN/UNEP Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Pollution.

Report of the fourteenth session held at IAEA Headquarters, Vienna, Austria, 26-30 March 1984. Report and Studies GESAMP (21).

CONTENTS

1	Opening of the meeting	1
2	Review of potentially harmful substances (Working Group 13)	1
3	Evaluation of the hazards of harmful substances carried by ships (Working Group 1)	3
4	Interchange of pollutants between the atmosphere and the oceans (Working Group 14)	5
5	Biological effects of thermal discharges in the marine environment (Working Group 15)	6
6	Land-sea boundary flux of pollutants (Working Group 22)	7
7	Methodology and guidelines for the assessment of the impact of pollutants on the marine environment (Working Group 23)	8
8	Future work programme	10
9	Date and place of next session	12
10	Other matters	12
11	Election of Chairman and Vice-Chairman for the next intersessional period and for the fifteenth session	12
12	Consideration and approval of the report of the meeting	12

ANNEXES

I	Agenda	13
II	List of Documents	14
III	GESAMP Members, Secretariat and Observers	16
IV	Summary of the Report of the Working Group on the Review of Potentially Harmful Substances (Working Group 13)	21
V	Summary of the Report of the Working Group on the Evaluation of Hazards of Harmful Substances Carried by Ships (Working Group 1)	25
VI	Summary of the Working Group on the Interchange of Pollutants between the Atmosphere and the Oceans (Working Group 14)	28
VII	Summary of the Report of the Working Group on Biological Effects of Thermal Discharges in the Marine Environment (Working Group 15) ..	32

VIII	Annotations to the Agenda of the GESAMP Working Group on the Land-Sea Boundary Flux of Pollutants (Working Group 22)	37
IX	Summary of the Report of the Working Group on the Methodology and Guidelines for the Assessment of the Impact of Pollutants on the Marine Environment (Working Group 23)	39

1. OPENING OF THE MEETING

- 1.1 The Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Pollution (GESAMP) held its 14th session at IAEA Headquarters, Vienna, from 26 to 30 March 1984, under the Chairmanship of Mr. A.D. McIntyre. Mr. E.D. Gomez was Vice-Chairman.
- 1.2 At the opening of the session Mr. B. Semenov, the Deputy Director General of the Department of Nuclear Energy and Safety welcomed the participants on behalf of the Director General of the IAEA, Mr. H. Blix. He congratulated GESAMP for the important contribution it had made during its fifteen years of existence. Mr. Semenov expressed the IAEA's appreciation for GESAMP's efforts, particularly in the preparation of Reports and Studies No. 19 which has already assisted the Agency in its work for the Contracting Parties of the London Dumping Convention.
- 1.3 The Chairman of GESAMP, thanked the Director-General of IAEA on behalf of the participants for the good wishes for the success of the session, for hosting the meeting and for the facilities that were provided. He also introduced the new members of the Group.
- 1.4 The agenda for the session as adopted by the Group is given in Annex I. A list of documents submitted to the session, relating to particular items of the agenda, is given in Annex II.
- 1.5 A list of participants is given in Annex III.

2. REVIEW OF POTENTIALLY HARMFUL SUBSTANCES (WORKING GROUP 13)

- 2.1 The report on the intersessional activities of the Working Group on the Review of Potentially Hazardous Substances was introduced by its Chairman. A summary of the report is attached as Annex IV. The second session of the Working Group was convened in London from 30 January to 3 February 1984, hosted by the Monitoring and Assessment Research Centre (MARC) at Chelsea College which has become actively involved in the tasks of the Working Group. In addition, UNEP's International Register of Potentially Toxic Chemicals (IRPTC) continued to support the Working Group through the preparation of data profiles upon which the draft evaluation documents are being based.
- 2.2 The first three substances evaluated by the Working Group were cadmium, lead and tin. Revised draft documents had been circulated to all GESAMP members during the intersessional period and a number of comments received. All comments were in general agreement with the draft documents although several modifications were proposed particularly concerning the extent to which human health aspects should be discussed in a GESAMP document.
- 2.3 The Chairman of the Working Group proposed that the three documents be combined into one joint publication and subject to an editorial panel review at MARC, London, prior to publication in the series of GESAMP Reports and Studies Number 22. The Group recognized the present status of the drafts but insisted that a final review be undertaken by all GESAMP members. It was agreed, therefore, that the edited final version be circulated during the intersessional period for comments and clearance by all GESAMP members before publication.

- 2.4 Draft evaluation documents on mercury and arsenic had been prepared during the intersessional period and were reviewed at the second session of the Working Group. Whereas the arsenic document was considered largely complete, it was acknowledged that considerable updating of the marine aspects of mercury was required. This will be undertaken subsequent to the meeting on Biogeochemical Cycles of Mercury in the Mediterranean, Sienna, 27-31 August 1984. Both drafts will then be circulated to all GESAMP members for review. The Working Group felt strongly that selenium be also included in the list of substances for evaluation in the light of its interactions with mercury, cadmium and arsenic. The Group concurred with this proposal and noted that a WHO Environmental Health Criteria Document on selenium would be available. It was subsequently pointed out that ICES was also involved in a study of selenium in the marine environment and that some pooling of resources would be useful.
- 2.5 Concerning organosilicons, the Chairman of the Working Group recalled that the request to review this group of substances by GESAMP had been initiated by the concern of the producing industry over the high hazard rating of organosilicons in several international treaties on marine pollution control. Advice has been sought, therefore, from GESAMP through its co-sponsoring organizations. The Working Group at its second session reviewed the question of organosilicons and presented to the Group some of the first findings as follows:
- 2.6 The number of organosilicon compounds is extremely large because most of the organic compounds can be converted to organosilicon by replacement of one or more carbon by silicon or by silylation. The presence of silicon does not change substantially the toxic characteristics of the parent compound, therefore organosilicons do not form a distinct group from the toxicological point of view. Consequently, the Working Group did not consider it possible to evaluate the organosilicons as one single group with regard to their hazard rating in 'black' and 'grey' lists of international treaties, i.e. with regard to their toxicity, persistence and bioaccumulation.
- 2.7 As the presence of silicon facilitates polymerization, the polymerized organosilicons have found wide commercial application and are thus the ones which are likely to be found in the environment. It was agreed that the Working Group should prepare a report reviewing the problems related to the evaluation of organosilicons as a single group. Also an evaluation of a few selected, commercially important groups of organosilicons should thus be undertaken by the Working Group although most of the pertinent data would have to be taken from industry-related research and studies. It was agreed, finally, that the Working Group will consolidate its draft reports and specify the conditions and limitations for evaluation. A comprehensive review report on the various aspects of the problem will be submitted to GESAMP XV.
- 2.8 The need for evaluating substances with known or potential carcinogenic, teratogenic or mutagenic properties was brought to the attention of the Group by the IMO Technical Secretary of GESAMP who conveyed a relevant request from the Seventh Consultative Meeting of Contracting Parties to the London Dumping

Convention. The Group discussed various aspects of carcinogenicity, including the occurrence of tumours in fish and the risk to humans through consumption of contaminated seafood. It was considered premature, however, to prepare any hazard evaluation of specific groups of substances before a preliminary study on available data, methods and approaches had been undertaken to determine the scope and purpose of a possible GESAMP activity in this field. It was agreed that the Working Group consider the above and submit a first report to GESAMP XV.

- 2.9 The review of phosphorous and nitrogen as major nutrients contributing to eutrophication and algal blooms was considered an important task for GESAMP. To this end IRPTC data profiles are under preparation at the Marine Biology Association of the United Kingdom, Plymouth, which will be used in preparing a draft review document. An ICES meeting on algal blooms, scheduled for October 1984, will provide further information on the subject. The occurrence of paralytic shellfish poisoning (PSP), from the release of neurotoxins during algal blooms is a human health hazard which has been subject to scientific review at a recent WHO meeting. It was agreed that the Working Group, upon completion of the review of the metals and arsenic, prepare an evaluation document on the subject and adjust its membership accordingly.
3. EVALUATION OF THE HAZARDS OF HARMFUL SUBSTANCES CARRIED BY SHIPS (WORKING GROUP 1)
 - 3.1 The IMO Technical Secretary informed the Group that the Working Group on the Evaluation of the Hazards of Harmful Substances Carried by Ships had met twice during the intersessional period, once in London (6-10 June 1983) and once in Aberdeen (9-10 January 1984). He indicated that the work of producing and reviewing hazard profiles of substances carried by ships had been continued but that in addition the Working Group had made considerable efforts to develop Guidelines for Evaluating Threshold Values for Fish-Tainting. This was shown to be necessary in the absence of a standard methodology for the assessment of tainting and of literature data on this criterion. So far the tainting ratings ("T") had been highly subjective and had therefore often been queried by the chemical industry.
 - 3.2 The Group was further informed of a number of questions which had been raised by the relevant IMO bodies. In particular questions had been raised by the Marine Environment Protection Committee (MEPC) and its Sub-Committee on Bulk Chemicals concerning the hazardous properties of coal derived oils in comparison with those of oils of petroleum origin, and the evaluation of substances which have a potential for blanketing of the seabed. A summary of the reports of the Working Group is set out in Annex V.
 - 3.3 The Chairman of the Working Group noted that some of the difficulties encountered by the Working Group at previous sessions in obtaining data, particularly on the aquatic toxicity of substances, had been overcome. The requirements for the prevention and control of marine pollution by noxious liquid substances carried in bulk (MARPOL 73/78, Annex II) will enter into force in October 1986. The Marine Environment Protection

Committee of IMO has decided that amendments to the Convention which, to a certain extent, are based on the GESAMP hazard profiles, should be finalized by the end of 1984. This has led to additional data from Governments and industry being received by the review group.

- 3.4 The Group noted that the Working Group, in recognizing that in many countries concerns have been expressed on the chronic toxicity, including carcinogenicity, of phthalates, had agreed to evaluate the long-term health effects of phthalate esters at its next session. The Group requested the IMO Secretary to make the outcome of this consideration available to the Working Group on the Review of Potentially Harmful Substances.
- 3.5 Several members of the Group queried the approach and evaluation method used by the Working Group. The Group accepted the explanation that the procedure followed by the Working Group had to a very large extent been embodied in the text of the International Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution from Ships (MARPOL 73/78) and as such could not be substantially altered. Nevertheless, as the state of knowledge and experience had improved, a number of clarifications had been made and some minor additions and changes had been included in the hazard profile rationale since its adoption by the Group in 1972.
- 3.6 The Group's attention was drawn to non-soluble substances with specific gravity greater than that of seawater which may pose a particular threat of pollution due to their potential for blanketing of the seabed, it was noted that the Working Group would continue to be alert to such substances and that it would welcome any information on accidental spillages of blanketing substances which had led to damage to marine life. In this respect the Group was informed of a case where creosote from a spillage had been shown to blanket the bottom of a Swedish lake. Fluorinated hydrocarbons of high molecular weight if shipped in sufficient quantity, were suggested as possible examples of substances which might blanket the sea bottom.
- 3.7 The Group considered the draft Guidelines for Evaluating Threshold Values for Fish-Tainting. The Group welcomed the efforts made by the Working Group in developing such Guidelines. It was noted that the Working Group will make an attempt to include in the Guidelines a definition of tainting as well as a statistical method for evaluating the threshold concentration values.
- 3.8 Several members of the Group expressed the view that the process of tainting fish by discharge or spillage of chemicals at sea might not entirely be within the category of processes generally described as 'bioaccumulation'. The Working Group was advised to consider this question when developing a definition of 'tainting' and, if necessary, revise the hazard rationale accordingly. It was also pointed out that the text of a definition would depend upon the results achieved in implementing the Guidelines on fish-tainting.
- 3.9 Several queries were also made with regard to the fish recommended as test organisms, i.e. rainbow trout and mullet. In this connection proposals were made to include shellfish as

recommended test organisms, in particular oysters and blue mussels. The Chairman of the Working Group pointed out that his group had made the above recommendation after considering aspects such as the appropriateness of a test organism with a moderate fat content (5-10%), the feasibility of maintaining in test organisms aquaria that could be used all the year round, and the existence of an established technology relating to the tainting of fish, albeit arising from other causes. In accepting the basic approach of the Working Group, the Group nevertheless recommended that some comparative tests should also be carried out with molluscs.

3.10 The Group approved the reports of the Working Group including the lists of hazard profiles of substances and the Guidelines for Evaluating Threshold Values for Fish-Tainting set out in the annexes to the reports.

3.11 The Group agreed that the Working Group should continue with its task. The Group recommended that the Guidelines for Evaluating Threshold Values for Fish-Tainting when completed (see paragraph 3.7 above) together with results achieved by using the Guidelines should be prepared for publication in the GESAMP Reports and Studies series.

4. INTERCHANGE OF POLLUTANTS BETWEEN THE ATMOSPHERE AND THE OCEANS (WORKING GROUP 14)

4.1 The Chairman of the Working Group introduced a report which had been prepared for publication in the GESAMP Reports and Studies series. The report includes scientific knowledge derived from the Third Session, Miami, 1980; the ad hoc meeting, Tallin, 1981; Expert Consultations, Hamburg 1981; and London, 1983. Following a thorough discussion, the Group approved the draft version of the document, with the proviso that a final version, revised in accordance with the Group's advice, be sent to Group members for approval and subsequent publication as GESAMP Reports and Studies Number 23.

4.2 A draft report of the Fifth Session of the Working Group, Athens, 1983, was presented by Mr. Pravdic, Working Group member who chaired the Athens meeting. This session was devoted to a review of the guidelines for monitoring of atmospheric transport of pollutants originating from diffuse land-based sources into the Mediterranean Sea as part of the first two terms of reference adopted by the Group at its 13th Session. The Technical Secretary of UNEP urged that all aspects of these first two terms of reference be addressed at future Working Group Meetings because of the importance of these tasks to the development of regional monitoring programmes.

4.3 The Group agreed that it is important for the Working Group to continue to review air-sea material interchange. Consequently, the third term of reference was revised and made more general.

4.4 The Chairman noted that the Working Group was to cooperate with and provide advice to the newly proposed Working Group on Integrated Global Ocean Monitoring. To provide for this a fourth item was therefore added to the terms of reference.

To accommodate these tasks, the following revised terms of reference for the Working Group were adopted by the Group:

- (i) to describe transport processes towards and into specific regions, using the Mediterranean as the first example, and the region of the Kuwait Action Plan as a possible second example, including:
 - horizontal atmospheric transport affecting the region,
 - vertical atmospheric transport to the air-water interface,
 - air-water interchange;
- (ii) to review the scientific literature and assess the pathways and fluxes of important pollutants into particular regions, using the Mediterranean as the first example, and the region of the Kuwait Action Plan as a possible second example, and to differentiate between natural and pollutant sources;
- (iii) to provide a continuing review of air-sea material interchange with emphasis on the pollutant modification of ocean-related processes, especially those pertinent to climate; and
- (iv) to provide advice on the scientific bases for international programmes for the monitoring of pollutant levels in the atmosphere near the sea surface and pollutant fluxes across the air-sea interface.

4.5 The Group recalled that at its thirteenth session in the course of a review of the GESAMP definition of "Marine Pollution", the Working Group on the Interchange of Pollutants between the Atmosphere and the Oceans had been asked to prepare an explanatory statement on process modification by pollutants for consideration at the fourteenth session of GESAMP (GESAMP Reports and Studies No. 18, paragraph 8.5).

4.6 The Group noted that such a statement is being developed by the Working Group for presentation and consideration by the Group at a future session.

5. BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF THERMAL DISCHARGES IN THE MARINE ENVIRONMENT (WORKING GROUP 15)

5.1 The FAO Technical Secretary informed the Group that the Working Group had held its third Session in Rome from 3 to 7 October 1984. The Chairman of the Working Group introduced the report, a summary of which is given in Annex VII.

5.2 The Group noted that the Working Group had amended its review of information on the effects of thermal discharges in coastal waters but conclusions on that subject were unchanged. Following recommendations of the 13th Session of GESAMP a new chapter had been drafted on strategies and options for thermal discharges, dealing with methodologies on social and economic aspects, regulatory criteria, engineering options and beneficial uses of waste heat, such as mariculture. The chapter on environmental assessment had been condensed without change of emphasis.

- 5.3 The Group proposed a number of editorial and minor substantive amendments. A lively discussion arose, however, on the section on capacity of the environment to assimilate heat discharges. It was agreed that although information given in this chapter was essential, the subject could be dealt with in a more general way. Consequently, this section has been redrafted accordingly.
- 5.4 After these amendments, the Group approved the report. Noting that the scope of the report was much wider than just biological effects of thermal discharges, the Group decided that the report be published under the title 'Thermal Discharges in the Marine Environment' as GESAMP Reports and Studies No.24.
6. LAND-SEA BOUNDARY FLUX OF POLLUTANTS (WORKING GROUP 22)
- 6.1 The Unesco Technical Secretary recalled the terms of reference of the Working Group as proposed at the 13th Session and informed the Group that the first meeting would take place in Mazatlan, Mexico, 3-7 April 1984 under the Chairmanship of Mr. Windom.
- 6.2 The intersessional period had seen a number of developments. Studies on riverine inputs to the sea were being addressed by a number of bodies (e.g. SCOR, ICES, JMG). The forthcoming ICES-IOC-NOAA Symposium on 'Contaminant Fluxes through the Coastal Zone', Nantes, 16-18 May 1984 is expected to contribute to the available information to be considered by the Working Group.
- 6.3 In the context of quality assurance of coastal zone measurements and within the framework of the Comprehensive Plan for GIPME, the IOC-GIPME Group of Experts on Methods, Standards and Intercalibration (GEMSI) have repeatedly recommended a major effort to define the net-efflux of pollutants from land via rivers to the coastal zones and on to the oceans and, further, to improve the intercomparability of data on river inputs. The GESAMP Working Group would receive full support from GEMSI in their forthcoming work.
- 6.4 The Group was informed of a case study presently being developed by IOC which would focus on river inputs to the Upper Gulf of Thailand. This exercise may provide the opportunity to test any models or approaches developed by GESAMP as indicated in the third term of reference.
- 6.5 A proposal for the organization of the Group's work was presented for discussion by the Chairman, Mr. Windom, in the form of an annotated agenda for its first session (Annex VIII).
- 6.6 It was felt that some clarification of the boundaries was required, particularly whether the aims were to describe inputs to the coastal zone or whether the input to the oceans was the main concern. It was stressed that the wording of the terms of reference 'through the marine environment' was not intended to exclude boundary environments such as wetlands, nor was it intended to focus the Group's attention on the complexities of estuarine processes. The Group should not consider the wider geochemical cycles of the oceans but should address the riverine inputs to these cycles.

- 6.7 It was emphasised that the Review of the Health of the Oceans was intended to be a dynamic approach and the activities of this working group should continue to reflect this.
- 6.8 The observer from the Oslo-Paris Commissions pointed out that maximum and minimum river inputs had been reported in a number of instances to the Technical Working Group of the Paris Commission. Information provided by GESAMP on approaches to quantifying these inputs to the estuaries, through the estuaries and coastal waters to the open sea and ultimately to the oceans, would be welcomed by the Commissions.
- 6.9 The Group was reminded that the control of pollution from land-based sources was an integral part of many national and international protocols for the protection of the marine environment. The reports of the Working Group would thus be of considerable interest to legal experts in applying protection measures on a regional basis.
7. METHODOLOGY AND GUIDELINES FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPACT OF POLLUTANTS ON THE MARINE ENVIRONMENT (WORKING GROUP 23)
- 7.1 The Group was informed by the WHO Technical Secretary that the Working Group held one meeting from 26 to 30 September 1983 at FAO, Rome, and acknowledged the support given to this Working Group by FAO, Unesco, IAEA and UNEP. This enabled the Working Group to invite 14 members from diverse fields of science to deliberate the task in view of the terms of reference set forth by GESAMP XIII.
- 7.2 The Report of the Working Group was introduced by its Chairman who described the background of its formation on the basis of the initial request by UNEP, and the needs of Governments of less developed countries to have guidelines in assessing the potential impact of the release of pollutants into coastal and marine waters.
- 7.3 The Chairman of the Working Group also indicated that the Working Group had had difficulties in addressing each of the two terms of reference separately, and that it was decided to deal with these simultaneously.
- 7.4 The Report of the Working Group reflects the consensus of the Members in adopting a definition for the assimilative capacity and for the various information components needed to assess it. The Report reflected the thinking of the Working Group in pursuing the idea of the Critical Pathway Analysis as an environmental protection tool beyond its traditional application in radiological protection. A general theoretical example was presented on how this could be achieved.
- 7.5 The Working Group also addressed the problem of how to define the boundaries of the impacted ecosystem, and the specific cases in which the boundaries could be influenced:
- (i) the specific site;
 - (ii) the specific contaminant;
 - (iii) the specific inputs;
 - (iv) the hydrodynamics of the environment;

- (v) the biological processes operating in the environment;
- (vi) the biogeochemical processes occurring in the ecosystem;
- (vii) the kinetic parameters; and
- (viii) the uses made of the ecosystem.

The Working Group had elaborated on each of these cases. It devoted special attention to define the mean life of a chemical species in a closed system, and the mean residence time for open systems in a steady state.

7.6 The Group accepted the conclusion of the Working Group that each ecosystem has a finite capacity to assimilate pollutants, but questioned the feasibility of its quantitative assessment. The Group also expressed its opinion that the term 'assimilative capacity' should be used with much restraint, although it was aware that it has entered into use in legal documents and has been incorporated into some international conventions and protocols.

7.7 The Group also advised the Working Group to look into alternative procedures, such as decision analysis in assessing the impact of pollutants on the marine environment. It expressed strong reservation against using the critical pathway analysis in all cases where the target is other than man, or not identified, or where the pollutant is a chemical which might be degraded or modified by chemical, biochemical or microbiological processes in the marine environment.

7.8 On the basis of the results of the deliberations within the Working Group and the comments expressed on the proposed methodology, the Group accepted the Report of the First Session as a basis to proceed. The Group decided to modify the terms of reference of the Working Group for the next intersessional period. The following were adopted:

To provide specific guidelines for the assessment of the impact of potentially harmful substances released from land-based (coastal) sources into the marine environment. The guidelines should consist of:

- (a) a short introduction outlining the basic concepts and premises;
- (b) a description of parameters and processes to be taken into account in the assessment of the environmental impact of pollution relevant to human health, marine organisms, ecosystems and amenities;
- (c) a description of techniques to be used in order to assess the magnitude of parameters and rates of processes and their importance in the overall evaluation of impacts.

7.9 The Group also recommended that the Working Group perform a typical case analysis, and if feasible, convene at the actual site. It was recommended that the co-sponsoring agencies assist in the identification of a suitable case study area and make the necessary arrangements with the respective government.

8. FUTURE WORK PROGRAMME

8.1 Integrated Global Ocean Monitoring (Working Group 24)

- 8.1.1 The Technical Secretary of UNEP introduced document GESAMP XIV/8 reviewing the background of and containing the proposal for the establishment of a GESAMP Working Group on integrated global ocean monitoring. He indicated that UNEP would be ready to support the Working Group, subject to satisfactory agreement with the lead agency on matters relevant to the composition, activity and use of outputs of the Working Group. Should such an agreement fail to be reached, UNEP would be willing to be the lead agency.
- 8.1.2 In the ensuing discussion, the Group emphasized the existence of global and regional programmes, such as MARPOLMON, GIPME, Regional Seas etc., dealing with ocean monitoring, but nevertheless considered that GESAMP was an appropriate forum to debate scientific issues where clear decisions among the community had hitherto not been reached. GESAMP's role was not seen as the initiator of monitoring programmes since other specialized bodies, such as UNEP and IOC, existed for that task.
- 8.1.3 The Group agreed to establish the Working Group with the following terms of reference:
- (i) To examine the scientific basis, rationale, feasibility and the technical requirement for monitoring biological and chemical conditions and the ecological consequences of pollution i.e. the scientific justification for an integrated global ocean (by which is meant all sea areas) monitoring study (IGOM) related to marine pollution and the ecological consequences thereof, taking account of what is already being done in these fields. In examining the scientific justification for IGOM the Working Group should consider:
 - (a) the type of observations and measurements that could be included in IGOM i.e. the parameters which could be included and the areas in which they may be required;
 - (b) the methodological feasibility of IGOM i.e. the availability of adequate sampling and analytical techniques which can be expected to provide reliable data on a world wide basis and to advise on the extent to which these can actually be applied and what, if any, further facilities may be required;
 - (c) the type of observations/ measurements which it is practicable to include in an IGOM programme;
 - (d) the type of observations and sampling strategy which would be required i.e. the frequency of sampling in both space and time and the distribution/location of sampling stations; and
 - (e) the support needed to initiate and implement the IGOM study such as intercalibration, quality control of data, coordination, data processing and institutional arrangements and what further facilities, if any, may be necessary; and
 - (ii) to prepare an interim report for the 15th session of GESAMP on the progress achieved in considering the subjects defined by these terms of reference.

8.2 Other intersessional work

Following the above decisions on the establishment of a new working group, the Group noted that intersessional work would take place on the subjects listed below. The sponsoring organizations responsible for coordinating the intersessional work and the GESAMP members assigned to each working group are indicated. Additional members from outside GESAMP are selected by the Chairmen in consultation with the relevant organizations.

- (a) Review of Potentially Harmful Substances (Working Group No. 13)
- | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Lead Agency | : WHO |
| Co-operating Agencies | : UNEP, FAO and IMO |
| Chairman | : L. Friberg |
| Members | : A.B. Jernelöv |
| | : L.P.A. Magos |
- (b) Evaluation of the Hazards of Harmful Substances Carried by Ships (Working Group No. 1)
- | | |
|---------------------|--------------|
| Lead Agency | : IMO |
| Co-operating Agency | : UNEP |
| Chairman | : P. Jeffery |
| Member | : W. Ernst |
- (c) Interchange of Pollutants between the Atmosphere and the Oceans (Working Group No. 14)
- | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Lead Agency | : WMO |
| Co-operating Agencies | : UNEP and IAEA |
| Chairman | : W.D. Garrett |
| Members | : V. Koropalov |
| | : V. Pravdic |
| | : M. Waldichuk |
- (d) Land-Sea Boundary Flux of Pollutants (Working Group No. 22)
- | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| Lead Agency | : Unesco |
| Co-operating Agencies | : UNEP* and IAEA |
| Chairman | : H. Windom |
| Members | : V. Pravdic |
- (e) Methodology and Guidelines for the Assessment of the Impact of Pollutants on the Marine Environment (Working Group No. 23)
- | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Lead Agency | : FAO |
| Co-operating Agencies | : UNEP, Unesco, WHO, IMO and IAEA |
| Chairman | : V. Pravdic |
| Members | : E.D. Gomez |
| | : E.P. Myers |
| | : G.D. Howells |
| | : W. Ernst |
| | : H. Windom |
- (f) Integrated Global Ocean Monitoring (Working Group No. 24)
- | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Lead Agency | : Unesco* |
| Co-operating Agencies | : UNEP and WMO* |
| Chairman | : A. Tsyban |
| Members | : M. Waldichuk |
| | : M.E. Vinogradov |
| | : A.B. Jernelöv |

* Subject to approval by Headquarters

9. DATE AND PLACE OF NEXT SESSION

The Group noted that the 15th session of GESAMP would be held at UN Headquarters in New York from 25 to 29 March 1985. It was agreed that the deadline for distribution to GESAMP members of documents for consideration at the session shall be 15 February 1985.

10. OTHER MATTERS

10.1 The Group noted that the draft report of the Working Group on Marine Pollution Implications of Ocean Energy Development had been circulated during the intersessional period to all GESAMP members. The comments had been included in the final text of the report. The report is being prepared for publication in the GESAMP Reports and Studies as No. 20.

10.2 Several members of the Group proposed that the sponsoring agencies should consider ways and means for wider distribution of GESAMP Reports and Studies in order to make these easier available to the scientific community. The Group noted that the Intersecretariat Meeting had discussed this problem. The sponsoring agencies had agreed to:

- (i) set out a list of previous publications of GESAMP on the last page of each document published in the GESAMP Reports and Studies;
- (ii) investigate the possibility of including in the lists of publications of the agencies references to the GESAMP Reports and Studies;
- (iii) announce the publications of GESAMP Reports and Studies to the media and in bulletins and press releases prepared by their public information services;

10.3 The Group welcomed this information. It proposed that in addition to the above action the Administrative Secretary in co-operation with the Chairman should inform the editor of the Marine Pollution Bulletin of the publication of new GESAMP Reports and Studies and provide him with a short summary of the new publication.

11. ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN AND VICE-CHAIRMAN FOR THE NEXT INTER-SESSIONAL PERIOD AND FOR THE FIFTEENTH SESSION

The Group unanimously elected Mr. E.D. Gomez as Chairman and Ms. G.D. Howells as Vice-Chairman for the next intersessional period and for the fifteenth session of GESAMP.

12. CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF THE REPORT OF THE MEETING

The report of the fifteenth session of GESAMP was considered and approved by the Group on the final day of the Session. At the conclusion of the Session the Group expressed its deep appreciation to Mr. A.D. McIntyre for his most able guidance during his period of office. Mr. E.D. Gomez was welcomed as the new Chairman of GESAMP.