

More than Meets the Eye

Foreign Language Reading:
Theory and Practice

Marva A. Barnett

University of Virginia

Language in Education
Theory and Practice

More than Meets the Eye

Foreign Language Reading:
Theory and Practice

EB19

71

Marva A. Barnett

University of Virginia

A Publication of  Center for Applied Linguistics

Prepared by the  Clearinghouse on Languages and Linguistics



PRENTICE HALL REGENTS Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 07632

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Barnett, Marva A.

More than meets the eye : foreign language reading : theory and practice / Marva A. Barnett.

p. cm. -- (Language in education ; 73.)

"A publication of Center for Applied Linguistics, prepared by the Clearinghouse on Languages and Linguistics."

Bibliography p.

ISBN 0-13-601345-7

1. Language and languages--Study and teaching. 2. Reading.
I. Center for Applied Linguistics. II. ERIC Clearinghouse on Languages and Linguistics. III. Title. IV. Series.

P53 .75 .B37 1989

407--dc20

89-8710

CIP

Language in Education: Theory and Practice 73

This publication was prepared with funding from the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education, under contract No. RI 88062010. The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the positions or policies of OERI or ED.

Production supervision: *Arthur Maisel*

Cover design: *Photo Plus Art*

Manufacturing buyer: *Mike Woerner*



© 1989 by the Center for Applied Linguistics

and by Prentice-Hall, Inc.

A Division of Simon & Schuster

Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 07632

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, in any form or by any means, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

ISBN 0-13-601345-7

Prentice-Hall International (UK) Limited, *London*

Prentice-Hall of Australia Pty. Limited, *Sydney*

Prentice-Hall Canada Inc., *Toronto*

Prentice-Hall Hispanoamericana, S.A., *Mexico*

Prentice-Hall of India Private Limited, *New Delhi*

Prentice-Hall of Japan, Inc., *Tokyo*

Simon & Schuster Asia Pte., Ltd., *Singapore*

Editora Prentice-Hall do Brasil, Ltda., *Rio de Janeiro*

Language in Education: Theory and Practice

ERIC (Educational Resources Information Center) is a nationwide network of information centers, each responsible for a given educational level or field of study. ERIC is supported by the Office of Educational Research and Improvement of the U.S. Department of Education. The basic objective of ERIC is to make current developments in educational research, instruction, and personnel preparation readily accessible to educators and members of related professions.

ERIC/CLL is the ERIC Clearinghouse of Languages and Linguistics, one of the specialized clearinghouses in the ERIC system, and is operated by the Center for Applied Linguistics (CAL). ERIC/CLL is specifically responsible for the collection and dissemination of information on research in languages and linguistics and its application to language teaching and learning.

The ERIC Clearinghouse on Languages and Linguistics (ERIC/CLL) publishes two monographs each year under the series title, *Language in Education: Theory and Practice*. ERIC/CLL commissions specialists to write about current issues in the fields of languages and linguistics. The series includes practical guides, state-of-the-art papers, theoretical reviews, and collected reports. The publications are intended for use by educators, researchers, and others interested in language education.

This publication can be purchased directly from Prentice Hall Regents and will be available from the ERIC Document Reproduction Service, Alexandria, Virginia.

For further information on the ERIC system, ERIC/CLL, and CAL/ Clearinghouse publications, write to ERIC Clearinghouse on Languages and Linguistics, Center for Applied Linguistics, 1118 22nd Street, NW, Washington, DC 20037.

Acknowledgments

Writing such a book as this entails more than meets the eye. I could not have completed the project without a great deal of help, and I wish to express deep appreciation to the following people:

My husband, Jon Guillot, for his unflagging support through the agonies of composition and for his clear eye for style; my mother, Marva T. Barnett, for her impeccable editing skills, honed through work with hundreds of technical writing papers; my father, John R. Barnett, for his confidence and understanding; all foreign and second language reading specialists, for their theories and research that continue to provoke thought and experimentation; the University of Virginia, especially Vice President and Provost Paul Gross, Director of the Center for Advanced Studies, W. Dexter Whitehead, and the Committee on Summer Grants, for generous research leave time and summer grants; Professor Brenda Loyd for her statistical brilliance and constant amiability; Professor Jim Deese for his belief in the project; Renée Severin, for her help with reading strategy experiments and valuable ideas; Whitney Stewart, Jeanne Rennie, Charles Stansfield, Kathleen Marcos, Quang Pho, Minh Pho, and the rest of the staff at ERIC Clearinghouse on Languages and Linguistics, for ongoing editorial assistance and encouragement; the anonymous reviewers of the manuscript, for their intelligent suggestions; our graduate student teaching assistants, for their effective administration of reading experiments; and, finally but perhaps foremost, my students, the instigators of it all, for their confusions and insights when reading French.

M.A.B.

About the Author

Marva A. Barnett (Ph.D., Harvard University) is Associate Professor and the Director of the Required Courses Sequence in the Department of French Language and Literature at the University of Virginia. She works on language curriculum development, teaches French language courses, and trains and supervises graduate student teaching assistants, offering a week-long Orientation/ Workshop and a methods course.

Professor Barnett received her B.A. from Westminster College, Salt Lake City, Utah, and her M.A. from the University of Maine at Orono. After completing her doctorate in the area of seventeenth-century French literature, she spent one year as an assistant professor at Purdue University and two years at Indiana University. There she developed her expertise in language methodology, became better acquainted with the field of second language acquisition, and participated in the writing of *En Route*, a first-year French textbook.

Professor Barnett is also the author of *Lire avec plaisir: Stratégies de lecture*, a practical application of her research in foreign language reading strategy use. She is the author of articles published in *Modern Language Journal*, *ADFL Bulletin*, *Foreign Language Annals*, and *The French Review*.

Although she has specialized in studying how students learn reading, she is also interested in the writing process and has presented papers at conferences of the International Association of Applied Linguistics, the American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL), the Northeast Conference, the Seminar East of the Association of Departments of Foreign Languages (ADFL), and the Modern Language Convention. She also serves as a consultant on language pedagogy and on working with students who have language learning disabilities.

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1. Gough: A Model of Reading
- Figure 2. Laberge and Samuels: Information Processing Model
- Figure 3. Goodman: Proficiency Levels 1, 2 and 3
- Figure 4. Rumelhart: A Stage Representation of an Interactive Model of Reading
- Figure 5. Rumelhart: Levels of Analysis
- Figure 6. Just and Carpenter: Reading Process Model
- Figure 7. Pearson and Tierney: Model of the Reader as Writer
- Figure 8. Bernhardt: Constructivist Model of Second Language Reading
- Figure 9. Hosenfeld et al.: Interviewer Guide for Reading Strategies
- Figure 10. From Grellet: Comprehension Exercise Types
- Figure 11. Passage from "Prisonnier de guerre" with Sample Student Recalls

LIST OF TABLES

- I Performance on Background Knowledge Questions
- II Ranges and Means of Strategy-Use Scores, Perceived-Use Scores, Recall Scores
- III Differences Between the Means of Groups Working with Nonsense Words (NW) and Real Words (RW) (T-test, pooled variance estimate)
- IV Strategy-Use and Perceived-Use Scores Grouped as High, Medium, or Low
- V Mean Recall Scores of Students in Each Group
- VI Three-Factor Analysis of Variance: Interactions of Strategy-Use and Perceived-Use Scores for Students who Read "Eastwood" (N = 60)
- VII Three-Factor Analysis of Variance: Interactions of Strategy-Use and Perceived-Use Scores for Students who Read "An 2000" (N = 60)
- VIII Pearson's Correlation Coefficients for Recall, Background-Knowledge (for "Eastwood" or "An 2000"), Strategy-Use, and Perceived-Strategy Scores (N = 60)
- IX Items on Which Strategy-Use Responses Differ by 20% or More
- X A Comparison of Students' Questionnaire Responses and Actual Strategy Use

LIST OF APPENDICES

- A Background Knowledge Questions: "Prisonnier de guerre"
- B "Prisonnier de guerre" par Joseph Kessel
- C Propositional analysis of the first five paragraphs of "Prisonnier de guerre" and Four Student Recalls
- D Background Knowledge Questions: "Clint Eastwood sur les traces de Reagan"

Background Knowledge Questions: "J'ai vécu dans la maison de l'An 2000"
- E "Clint Eastwood sur les traces de Reagan" "J'ai vécu dans la maison de l'An 2000"
- F Strategy-Use Choices: "Clint Eastwood sur les traces de Reagan"

Strategy-Use Choices: "J'ai vécu dans la maison de l'An 2000"
- G Pilot Study Comprehension Questions: "Clint Eastwood sur les traces de Reagan"

Pilot Study Comprehension Questions: "J'ai vécu dans la maison de l'An 2000"
- H Questionnaire to Elicit Perceived Strategy Use
- I Selections from Think-Aloud Protocols
"Clint Eastwood sur les traces de Reagan"
"J'ai vécu dans la maison de l'An 2000"
- J How to Analyze Text Structure
- K Basic English Prefixes

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
PART I: READING THEORIES AND RESEARCH RESULTS	7
CHAPTER 1: What Do First Language Reading Theory and Research Mean for Foreign Language Readers?	9
GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS	10
TYPES OF READING MODELS	12
FIRST LANGUAGE READING MODELS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR FOREIGN LANGUAGE READING	14
Bottom-up Models	14
Gough	14
Laberge and Samuels	16
Carver	18
Implications	18
Top-down Models	19
Goodman	19
Smith	20
Implications	22
Interactive Models	23
Rumelhart	23
Stanovich	26
Rumelhart and McClelland	27
Kintsch and van Dijk	27

Just and Carpenter	28
Anderson and Pearson	29
A Reading/Writing Model: Pearson and Tierney	31
Implications	33
CONCLUSIONS	34
CHAPTER 2: What Do Second Language Reading Theory and Research Tell Us?	36
RESEARCH ISSUES AND PROBLEMS	37
FROM THE PSYCHOLINGUISTIC PERSPECTIVE	39
Coady's Psycholinguistic Model	39
General Applications of Psycholinguistic Theory	40
HOW VITAL ARE READER SCHEMATA IN READING COMPREHENSION?	42
Research on Content Schemata	44
Research on Formal Schemata	46
Bernhardt's Constructivist Model	47
HOW DO FIRST AND SECOND/FOREIGN LANGUAGE READING RELATE?	48
How do First and Second/Foreign Language Reading Processes Compare?	50
Similarities in the processes	50
Differences between the processes	51
Do First Language Reading Skills Transfer to Second/Foreign Language Reading?	53

Language proficiency determines reading skill	54
First language reading skills do transfer	54
THE ROLE OF THE TEXT	56
What is the Importance and Role of Text Type or Structure?	56
What Role Do Textual Elements Play in Reader Comprehension?	59
Vocabulary	60
Syntax and semantics	61
Cohesion	62
FUTURE DIRECTIONS	63
CHAPTER 3: The Impact of Reading Strategy Use on Comprehension	66
TOWARD A TAXONOMY OF READING STRATEGIES	66
WHAT DO EXPERIMENTS TELL US ABOUT READING STRATEGIES?	70
Describing Reading Strategies	71
Transfer of First Language Strategies	71
Metacognitive Awareness and Effectiveness of Strategy Use	75
AN EXPERIMENT: GUESSING WORD MEANINGS FROM CONTEXT	78
Previous Research on Word Meanings in Context	79
Research Questions	81

Methodology	81
Subjects	81
Instruments	82
Procedures	84
Data Analysis	85
Quantitative data	85
Qualitative data	87
Results	88
Strategy-use effectiveness and perceived- strategy use: quantitative results	88
Strategy-use effectiveness: qualitative results	96
Perceived use of strategies: qualitative results	99
Discussions and Implications	105
PART II: APPLICATIONS AND PRACTICE	109
CHAPTER 4: Helping Students Interact with Texts	110
GENERAL PEDAGOGICAL PRINCIPLES	111
A BASIC LESSON PLAN	113
Prereading	115
Text, topic, source, author	115
Illustrations and titles	117
Text structure	118

Text genre	119
Skimming and scanning	120
Developing vocabulary	122
In conclusion	123
While Reading	124
Recognizing individual reading processes	124
Guessing word meanings	126
Considering syntax and sentence structure	128
Predicting text content	130
Reading for information	132
Using the dictionary	133
In conclusion	134
Postreading	134
Exercise types	135
From comprehension to analysis	137
Follow-up	139
Transferring skills	140
Integrating skills	141
CONCLUSION	143
CHAPTER 5: Beyond the Reading Lesson	144
CHOOSING READING TEXTS	144
Adapting the Textbook	145

Self-Selected Reading	146
TESTING READING	147
FROM READING COMPREHENSION TO LITERARY OR CULTURAL ANALYSIS	153
ANALYZING READERS' PROCESSES	156
Using Think-Aloud Protocols	157
Using Written Protocols	158
CONCLUSION	161
NOTES	163
GLOSSARY	171
APPENDICES	175
REFERENCES	207

Introduction

BACKGROUND

To teach foreign or second language reading well, we need to know as much as possible about how the reading process works and how to integrate that knowledge effectively into our reading pedagogy. Researchers and teachers are fortunate to have at their disposition numerous resources from colleagues studying first language learning. With interdisciplinary insights from such diverse fields as psychology, sociology, education, and theoretical and applied linguistics, second and foreign language researchers and theorists have a new awareness of the mental processes underlying language use and a deepening respect for the complexities of these processes. For instance, from the advent of artificial intelligence research, we have the beginnings of computer simulations of learning processes. We also recognize how expectations defined by readers' cultures influence what they understand when reading. We are delving into reader strategies and exploring how we can help weaker readers use more effective strategies for comprehending. We are aware that reader purposes and approaches to written texts vary, depending on many factors, including text types, readers' language abilities, cognitive development, interest, and readers' willingness to take risks in predicting and guessing meanings. We recognize the heterogeneity of readers. We are comparing what we know and hypothesize about the first and second language reading processes and, as a result, are expanding our pool of knowledge. As the growing science of second language acquisition establishes appropriate research tools and experimental models (cf. Beebe, 1988; VanPatten, Dvorak, & Lee, 1987), we are less willing to overgeneralize and oversimplify than in the past. Research in second and foreign language reading is coming of age.

From the era of the grammar-translation method, reading skill has generally held an important place in foreign/second language study and teaching, with the exception of the era of the audiolingual method. Now, however, we see reading in a different light: as

communication, as a mental process, as the reader's active participation in the creation of meaning, as a manipulation of strategies, as a *receptive* rather than as a *passive* skill. Reading is a primary means of language acquisition. For Krashen (1981), comprehensible input is vital for language acquisition, and reading is an inimitable source of such input. Within the proficiency framework established by the American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL), reading and listening are valued as receptive skills in which the reader or listener actively *produces understanding* (Byrnes, 1985). Also, somewhat indirectly, the intense emphasis on *oral* skills early in the proficiency movement provoked increased, reactive attention to reading (Hummel, 1985). Within traditional programs, moreover, reading is still valued as essential to appreciating target language literature. Reading is the skill adults can most easily maintain and improve independent of established language courses. The main reason people around the world study English as a foreign language is to read (Carrell, 1988a). Finally, within the educational system and the nation at large, literacy is a momentous issue; better understanding of the second language reading process and developing students' reading skills in a foreign language may help us address this larger concern. For many reasons, then, foreign/second language reading is currently a popular focal point for language learning/acquisition research.

SECOND AND FOREIGN LANGUAGE READING: SOME DIFFERENCES

As reading theory and research mature, specialists increasingly distinguish between the circumstances of people learning a *second language* from those learning a *foreign* language. The term *second language reading* suggests a diverse learner population, because it usually refers to learning another language while living in a community dominated by that second language and culture (e.g., students of English as a second language (ESL) [cf. Dubin, Eskey & Grabe, 1986; and the work of Barnitz, 1985; Carrell, all works listed; Clarke, 1980; Devine, 1981, 1984, 1987; Hudelson, 1981, 1984; Perkins, 1983]). These learners may range from childhood age well into adulthood, representing varying levels of cognitive development; they come from many different cultural backgrounds; they have divergent first language educational backgrounds; they may or may not be literate