

Problems in Contract Law Cases and Materials

Fourth Edition

Charles L. Knapp

Distinguished Professor of Law

University of California, Hastings College of the Law

Max E. Greenberg Professor Emeritus of Contract Law

New York University School of Law

Nathan M. Crystal

Roy Webster Professor of Law

University of South Carolina

Harry G. Prince

Professor of Law

University of California, Hastings College of the Law



ASPEN LAW & BUSINESS

A Division of Aspen Publishers, Inc.

Gaithersburg

New York

Copyright © 1999 by Charles L. Knapp, Nathan M. Crystal,
and Harry G. Prince

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Requests for permission to make copies of any part of this publication should be mailed to:

Permissions
Aspen Law & Business
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

Printed in the United States of America

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Knapp, Charles L.

Problems in contract law : cases and materials / Charles L. Knapp,
Nathan M. Crystal, Harry G. Prince. — 4th ed.

p. cm.

Includes index.

ISBN 0-7355-0028-2

1. Contracts—United States—Cases. I. Crystal, Nathan M.

II. Prince, Harry G., 1953– . III. Title.

KF801.A7K5 1999

99-29141

346.7302—dc21

About Aspen Law & Business Legal Education Division

In 1996, Aspen Law & Business welcomed the Law School Division of Little, Brown and Company into its growing business — already established as a leading provider of practical information to legal practitioners.

Acquiring much more than a prestigious collection of educational publications by the country's foremost authors, Aspen Law & Business inherited the long-standing Little, Brown tradition of excellence — born over 150 years ago. As one of America's oldest and most venerable publishing houses, Little, Brown and Company commenced in a world of change and challenge, innovation and growth. Sharing that same spirit, Aspen Law & Business has dedicated itself to continuing and strengthening the integrity begun so many years ago.

ASPEN LAW & BUSINESS
A Division of Aspen Publishers, Inc.
A Wolters Kluwer Company
<www.aspenpublishers.com>

To Liza and Jenny, once more (this time as grownups)—for making it possible.

C.L.K.

To my mother—Your optimism, enthusiasm, and strength of character have always been an inspiration.

N.M.C.

To my mother, Bernice, and to Aunt Lucille, with love and gratitude.

H.G.P.

Preface

When the first edition of these materials was being prepared, nearly a quarter century ago, many American social institutions had recently been called into serious question by those — students and others — who had heard in the spirit of “the Sixties” a call to action. Legal education at that time faced serious challenges: traditional modes of instruction were under attack; traditional curricula had been questioned; the rules of law as taught in law school were widely derided as not “relevant” to modern life. In those circumstances, it seemed necessary to stress the extent to which the rules of contract law could still be important to lawyers and their clients in the modern world and to suggest that the study of law in law school could provide a solid foundation for a lawyering career. The title *Problems in Contract Law* was chosen to reflect two related notions: that the rules of contract law could be usefully studied through analysis of multi-issue, integrative problems, and that those rules, once mastered, could be creatively used by attorneys to solve the problems of their clients.

Between that time and today, much has changed. When we embarked on the second edition, the Seventies had already given way to the seeming consensus of the Reagan-era Eighties; when the third edition appeared, that consensus appeared to be evaporating as both political struggles and “culture wars” became more strident in the post-Reagan era. Now not only the century but the millennium are poised to turn over. Great as the changes of the past quarter century have been, they are likely to be dwarfed by those that lie ahead. New developments in technology, in the global economy, and in social attitudes hold the promise of far-reaching and probably unpredictable changes in American society. Neither the rules of law nor the system that administers those rules are likely to be immune from this evolutionary process.

Contract law is commonly considered one of the more stable areas of law, but it, too, has been visibly in a state of flux. Two decades ago, continuation of the gradual movement away from “classical” contract law seemed a

foregone conclusion. During the Eighties and Nineties, however, both contract scholarship and judicial decisions took a more conservative tack; new voices and new approaches questioned and often sharply opposed both the theoretical and practical assumptions underlying much of “modern” contract law. Today, as we enter the twenty-first century, differences among judges and commentators seem if anything to be accentuated, and in the underlying philosophical differences one can clearly discern sharp political and social conflicts as well. As technological and political changes gradually merge the American marketplace into a global one, judges and lawyers will have to keep pace. All of these undercurrents make a realistic survey of contract law today a substantially more complex and challenging undertaking than it was (or seemed to be) 25 years ago.

To give the student some sense of the complexity of our legal world, this new edition attempts like its predecessors to sound several themes. The first of these, of course, is to give an overview of contract doctrine — the rules and principles, both common law and statutory, that make up what we think of as “contract law.” For those instructors who rely substantially on the case method for this purpose, we continue to present a varied collection of judicial opinions for study and analysis. In order to keep the book at roughly its previous size, the total number of principal cases has not been increased — in fact, it has been slightly reduced. Over a third of the principal cases included, however, are new with this edition; most of these new cases were decided after 1990. As in previous editions, introductory text summarizes basic concepts, enabling the cases to focus on more challenging applications of doctrine; the Notes and Questions after each case help the student to analyze that case and to place it in context with other parts of the material. Complementing case study with the problem method, we present throughout the book a series of lengthy, multi-issue Problems, to help the student understand and apply the principles reflected in the text and cases studied. Through text, Notes, and occasional Comments we point out some of the places where contract law overlaps with or is affected by other areas of law, such as Tort, Agency, Professional Responsibility, and forms of Alternate Dispute Resolution.

With contract law, as with all areas of law, however, knowledge of doctrine is not the end of study, but only the beginning. Starting with the introduction in Chapter 1 and continuing throughout the book, we urge the student to view the material from a variety of other perspectives. The first of these is *historical*. Text, cases, and Comments describe the development of our common law of contract in the English courts of Law and Equity, and trace the historical progression of American contract law from Holmes and Williston through Corbin and Llewellyn to the present day. With this added historical perspective, students may better see contract law for what it really is — not simply a collection of discrete rules, but a complex and constantly evolving system.

The second perspective these materials stress is the *theoretical* one.

From the outset, the student encounters the various strands of modern academic thought about contract law. The materials present extended quotations from scholars representing all modern schools of analysis (some notion of their number and variety can be gained from the Acknowledgments, which follow this Preface), and text, Notes, and Comments provide citations to dozens of other scholarly works, for the guidance of instructors or students who wish to pursue these questions further. (For easy reference we have again included in the back of the book a table of scholarly authorities cited, along with the usual tables of cases and statutes.)

Besides the historical and theoretical aspects, these materials focus on the *lawyering* perspective — reminding the student constantly that the rules of law we encounter have an impact on real people in real disputes, and that creative lawyering in the contract area requires not merely knowledge of the rules of law but the ability to analyze and predict the effects of various courses of conduct that a client might undertake, in the light of those rules. Many of the Notes following the cases invite the student to consider two practice-related questions: How could an attorney have either prevented this dispute from arising or helped her client to obtain a better outcome than was achieved in the actual case? How will this decision affect attorneys in the future, in their roles as counselor, negotiator, and advocate? The Problems, which often cast the student in the role of an attorney at the predispute stage, also raise questions of both law and lawyering, but without the benefit of already reached judicial outcomes. The Problems can serve a number of functions for the student, such as integrating various strands of doctrine and providing a useful preparation for law school examinations. Probably their most important purpose, however, is to suggest that in real life there is likely to be not just one answer to a client's problem but a whole range of possible answers, some of which are clearly wrong, but many of which are at least plausibly right, in varying degrees. Living with ambivalence and uncertainty is not always pleasant, but the ability to do so is surely a more necessary lawyering skill than mastery of the Bluebook system of citation.

The book comprises fourteen chapters, which fall generally into the following parts:

Introduction	Chapter 1
Formation	Chapters 2–5
Interpretation and implication	Chapters 6–7
Defenses or grounds for nonenforcement	Chapters 8–9
Third Parties	Chapter 10
Breach and remedies	Chapters 11–14

Both among and within the chapters, the flow of the materials has a historical theme: the transformation of contract law from the classical period (roughly from 1850 through 1920) to modern contract law (particularly as

expressed in the Uniform Commercial Code and the revised Restatement of Contracts), and the continued struggle of courts and commentators to forge a synthesis of these differing approaches. Thus, Chapter 2 develops the classical rules of offer and acceptance and the doctrine of consideration, while Chapters 3 and 4 go on to explore more modern developments, such as the concept of promissory estoppel and the UCC rules for the “battle of the forms.” Chapters 5, 6, and 7 also present an overview of conflicting classical and modern approaches to issues of contract interpretation, requirements of form, and the implication of terms.

Material on the Uniform Commercial Code is integrated throughout, except that material on Article 2 warranties and remedies is given extended separate treatment in Chapter 14. (Teachers at schools with an upper level Sales course may choose to omit this chapter, in whole or in part.) A separate supplement, *Rules of Contract Law*, reprints important provisions and comments from Articles 1, 2, and 9 of the UCC and the Restatement (Second) of Contracts, along with the Articles of the Convention on International Sales of Goods (CISG) and the Principles of International Commercial Contracts. It also presents material on contract drafting, and a selection of sample law school examination questions (some with suggested answers).

This edition has been enriched by the presence of a new co-author, Professor Harry G. Prince. For all three of us, collaboration on these materials continues to be not only an educational experience but a great pleasure as well. We hope that those who use this volume will likewise find enjoyment as well as information in its pages. As our last word to students and teachers about to embark on this journey with us, we repeat once again the admonition of the preface to the first edition:

No study of law is adequate if it loses sight of the fact that law operates first and last *for, upon, and through* individual human beings. This, of course, is what rescues law from the status of a science, and makes its study so frustrating — and so fascinating.

Charles L. Knapp
Nathan M. Crystal
Harry G. Prince

May 1999

Acknowledgments

The following students provided valuable research assistance: Patricia J. Chen, Katherine J. Eddy, Alexis D. Rodriguez, and Jerome Tarver. The University of California, Hastings College of the Law, and the University of South Carolina School of Law were generous in their financial assistance. We are grateful to the many colleagues who have used earlier editions and have been generous with their comments and suggestions for improvement. We are especially thankful to the following colleagues at Hastings who offered many helpful suggestions: Elaine Andersson, Ashutosh Bhagwat, Mary Crossley, and William Dodge.

We would also like to thank the following authors and copyright holders for permission to reprint portions of their work:

- Ross A. Albert, Comment, Restitutionary Recovery for Rescuers of Human Life, 74 Cal. L. Rev. 85, 124-125 (1986). Copyright © 1986. Reprinted with permission of the California Law Review.
- American Bar Association, Model Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 5.6. Copyright © 1983. Reprinted with permission of the American Bar Association.
- P.S. Atiyah, Contracts, Promises and the Law of Obligations, 94 L.Q. Rev. 193, 211-212 (1978). Copyright © 1978. Reprinted with permission of Stevens & Sons, Ltd. and the author.
- Douglas G. Baird & Robert Weisberg, Rules, Standards, and the Battle of the Forms: A Reassessment of §2-207, 68 Va. L. Rev. 1217, 1233-1235 (1982). Copyright © 1982. Reprinted with permission of the Virginia Law Review Association and Fred B. Rothman & Co.
- Lisa Bernstein, Merchant Law in a Merchant Court: Rethinking the Code's Search for Immanent Business Norms, 144 U. Pa. L. Rev. 1765, 1770-1771 (1996). Copyright © 1996. Reprinted with permission of the University of Pennsylvania Law Review.
- Robert L. Birmingham, Breach of Contract, Damage Measures and Economic Efficiency, 24 Rutgers L. Rev. 273, 288-289, 291 (1970). Copyright © 1970. Reprinted with permission of the Rutgers Law Review.

- Harlan M. Blake, *Employee Agreements Not to Compete*, 73 Harv. L. Rev. 625, 681-684 (1960). Copyright © 1960 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission.
- James T. Brennan, *Injunction Against Professional Athletes' Breaching Their Contracts*, 34 Brooklyn L. Rev. 61, 70 (1967). Copyright © 1967 Brooklyn Law School, Brooklyn Law Review. Reprinted with permission.
- Arthur L. Corbin, *Corbin on Contracts*, Vol. 1, §29, at 82-85; Vol. 3, §539, at 81. Reprinted from *Corbin on Contracts* (1971) with permission of West Publishing Co.
- Melvin A. Eisenberg, *Donative Promises*, 47 U. Chi. L. Rev. 1, 4-6, 29 (1979). Copyright © 1979. Reprinted with permission of the author.
- Melvin A. Eisenberg, *The Bargain Principle and Its Limits*, 95 Harv. L. Rev. 741, 788-789, 794-797 (1982). Copyright © 1982 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission.
- Daniel A. Farber & John H. Matheson, *Beyond Promissory Estoppel: Contract Law and the "Invisible Handshake,"* 52 U. Chi. L. Rev. 903, 945 (1985). Copyright © 1985. Reprinted with permission of the University of Chicago Law Review.
- E. Allan Farnsworth, *Contracts* §12.9, at 791-795 (3rd ed. 1999). Copyright © 1999 by E. Allan Farnsworth. Published by Aspen Law & Business. Reprinted with permission.
- E. Allan Farnsworth, *Legal Remedies for Breach of Contract*, 70 Colum. L. Rev. 1145, 1149-1156 (1970). Copyright © 1970 by the Directors of the Columbia Law Review Association, Inc. All rights reserved. Reprinted by permission.
- E. Allan Farnsworth, *Your Loss or My Gain? The Dilemma of the Disgorgement Principle in Breach of Contract*, 94 Yale L.J. 1339, 1382 (1985). Copyright © 1985. Reprinted with permission of the Yale Law Journal, Fred B. Rothman & Co., and the author.
- Marc A. Franklin, *When Worlds Collide: Liability Theories and Disclaimers in Defective Product Cases*, 18 Stan. L. Rev. 974, 984 (1966). Copyright © 1966 by the Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University. Reprinted with permission.
- Charles Fried, *Contract as Promise* 16-17, 37 (1981). Copyright © 1981 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College. Reprinted with permission of the Harvard University Press.
- Daniel Friedmann, *Restitution of Benefits Obtained through the Appropriation of Property or the Commission of a Wrong*, 80 Colum. L. Rev. 504, 551, 553-554 (1980). Copyright © 1980 by the Directors of the Columbia Law Review Association, Inc. All rights reserved. Reprinted by permission.
- Daniel Friedmann, *The Efficient Breach Fallacy*, 18 J. Legal Stud. 1, 6-7 (1989). Copyright © 1989 by the University of Chicago. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

- Lon L. Fuller & William R. Perdue, Jr., *The Reliance Interest in Contract Damages I*, 46 *Yale L.J.* 52, 53-57, 57-62, 78 (1936). Reprinted with permission of the Yale Law Journal Company and Fred B. Rothman & Co.
- Ernest Gellhorn, *Limitations on Contractual Termination Rights—Franchise Cancellations*, 1967 *Duke L.J.* 465, 512. Copyright © 1967 by the Duke Law Journal. Reprinted with permission.
- William B. Harvey, *Discretionary Justice Under the Restatement (Second) of Contracts*, 67 *Cornell L. Rev.* 666, 677-678 (1982). Copyright © 1982 by Cornell University. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.
- Roger C. Henderson, *The Doctrine of Reasonable Expectations in Insurance Law After Two Decades*, 51 *Ohio St. L.J.* 823, 846-847, 853 (1990). Copyright © 1990 by the Ohio State University. Reprinted with permission.
- Robert A. Hillman, *Keeping the Deal Together After Material Breach — Common Law Mitigation Rules, the UCC, and the Restatement (Second) of Contracts*, 47 *U. Colo. L. Rev.* 553, 591-592 (1976). Copyright © 1976. Reprinted with permission of the University of Colorado Law Review and the author.
- Morton J. Horwitz, *The Historical Foundations of Modern Contract Law*, 87 *Harv. L. Rev.* 917, 923, 927, 944-945 (1974). Copyright © 1974 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission.
- W. Page Keeton et al., *Prosser and Keeton on the Law of Torts* §106, at 739 (5th ed. 1984). Reprinted from *Prosser & Keeton on Torts* (5th ed. 1984) with permission of the West Publishing Co.
- Robert E. Keeton, *Insurance Law Rights at Variance with Policy Provisions*, 83 *Harv. L. Rev.* 961, 967 (1970). Copyright © 1970 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission.
- Charles L. Knapp, *Enforcing the Contract to Bargain*, 44 *N.Y.U. L. Rev.* 673, 682-684 (1969). Copyright © 1969. Reprinted with permission of New York University Law Review and the author.
- Charles L. Knapp, *Rescuing Reliance: The Perils of Promissory Estoppel*, 49 *Hastings L.J.* 1191, 1322-1323, 1325, 1334 (1998). Copyright © 1999 by the University of California, Hastings College of the Law. Reprinted with permission.
- Candace S. Kovacic, *A Proposal to Simplify Quantum Meruit Litigation*, 35 *Am. U.L. Rev.* 547, 550-551 (1986). Copyright © 1986. Reprinted with permission of the author.
- Anthony T. Kronman, *Mistake, Disclosure, Information and the Law of Contracts*, 7 *J. Legal Stud.* 1, 16-18 (1978). Copyright © 1978 by the University of Chicago. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.
- Arthur Allen Leff, *Unconscionability and the Code—The Emperor's New Clause*, 115 *U. Pa. L. Rev.* 485, 554 (1967). Copyright © 1967. Reprinted with permission of the University of Pennsylvania Law Review and Fred B. Rothman & Co.

- Peter Linzer, 'The Decline of Assent: At-Will Employment as a Case Study of the Breakdown of Private Law Theory, 20 Ga. L. Rev. 323, 423 (1986). Copyright © 1986. Reprinted with permission of the University of Georgia Law Review and the author.
- Leon Lipson, *The Allegheny College Case*, 23 Yale L. Rep. No. 2, at 11 (1977). Copyright © 1977. Reprinted with permission of Yale Law School.
- Ian R. Macneil, Efficient Breach of Contract: Circles in the Sky, 68 Va. L. Rev. 947, 968-969 (1982). Copyright © 1982. Reprinted with permission of the author.
- Jane P. Mallor, Unconscionability in Contracts Between Merchants, 40 Sw. L.J. 1065, 1086-1087 (1986). Copyright © 1986. Reprinted with permission of the Southwestern Law Journal.
- Judith L. Maute, *Peevyhouse v. Garland Coal & Mining Co.* Revisited: The Ballad of Willie and Lucille, 89 Nw. U. L. Rev. 1341, 1358-1363 (1995). Copyright © 1995. Reprinted by special permission of Northwestern University School of Law, Law Review.
- William A. Mayhew, Reasonable Expectations: Seeking a Principled Application, 13 Pepp. L. Rev. 267, 288-289 (1986). Copyright © 1986. Reprinted with permission of the Pepperdine Law Review.
- Ralph James Mooney, The New Conceptualism in Contract Law, 74 Or. L. Rev. 1131, 1170-1171 (1995). Copyright © 1995 by the University of Oregon. Reprinted by permission.
- John E. Murray, Jr., Contracts §54, at 112-113 (2d ed. 1974). Copyright © 1974. Reprinted with permission of the Michie Company.
- National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws, Model Residential Landlord and Tenant Act, 7B U.L.A. §2.104 (Supp. 1998). Reprinted from Uniform Laws Annotated (Supp. 1998) with permission of the West Publishing Co. and the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws.
- Edwin Patterson, The Interpretation and Construction of Contracts, 64 Colum. L. Rev. 833, 853-854 (1964). Copyright © 1964 by the Directors of the Columbia Law Review Association, Inc. All rights reserved. Reprinted by permission.
- Ellen A. Peters, Remedies for Breach of Contracts Relating to the Sale of Goods under the Uniform Commercial Code: A Roadmap for Article Two, 73 Yale L.J. 199, 260-261 (1963). Reprinted with permission of the Yale Law Journal Company, Fred B. Rothman & Co., and the author.
- Lee A. Pizzimenti, Prohibiting Lawyers from Assisting in Unconscionable Transactions: Using an Overt Tool, 72 Marq. L. Rev. 151, 174 (1989). Copyright © 1988. Reprinted with permission of the Marquette Law Review.
- Richard A. Posner, Economic Analysis of Law 131-133, 151-152 (5th ed. 1998). Copyright © 1998. Reprinted with permission of Richard A. Posner.

- Richard A. Posner, *Gratuitous Promises in Economics and Law*, 6 J. Legal Stud. 411, 418-419 (1977). Copyright © 1977 by the University of Chicago. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.
- Harry G. Prince, *Contract Interpretation in California: Plain Meaning, Parol Evidence and Use of the "Just Result" Principle*, 31 Loy. L.A. L. Rev. 557, 584-585, 650 (1998). Copyright © 1998. Reprinted with permission.
- Todd D. Rakoff, *Contracts of Adhesion: An Essay in Reconstruction*, 96 Harv. L. Rev. 1173, 1176-1177 (1983). Copyright © 1983 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission.
- Robert S. Summers, "Good Faith" in General Contract Law and the Sales Provisions of the Uniform Commercial Code, 54 Va. L. Rev. 195, 202-203 (1968). Copyright © 1968. Reprinted with permission of the Virginia Law Review Association and Fred B. Rothman & Co.
- Kellye Y. Testy, *An Unlikely Resurrection*, 90 Nw. U. L. Rev. 219, 226 (1995). Copyright © 1995. Reprinted by special permission of Northwestern University School of Law, Law Review.
- Roberto Mangabeira Unger, *The Critical Legal Studies Movement*, 96 Harv. L. Rev. 561, 629 (1983). Copyright © 1983 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission.
- John W. Wade, *Restitution for Benefits Conferred Without Request*, 19 Vand. L. Rev. 1183, 1211-1212 (1966). Copyright © 1966 by the Vanderbilt Law Review. Reprinted with permission.
- Craig S. Warkol, Note, *Resolving the Paradox Between Legal Theory and Legal Fact: The Judicial Rejection of the Theory of Efficient Breach*, 20 Cardozo L. Rev. 321, 343 (1998). Copyright © 1998 by Yeshiva University. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission of the Cardozo Law Review.
- Geoffrey R. Watson, *In the Tribunal of Conscience: Mills v. Wyman Reconsidered*, 71 Tul. L. Rev. 1749, 1751 (1997). Copyright © 1997. Reprinted with the permission of the Tulane Law Review Association. All rights reserved.
- James J. White & Robert S. Summers, *Uniform Commercial Code* §8-4, at 312 (4th ed. 1995). Reprinted with permission of the West Publishing Co.
- Eyal Zamir, *The Inverted Hierarchy of Contract Interpretation and Supplementation*, 97 Colum. L. Rev. 1710, 1771-1773 (1997). Copyright © 1997 by the Directors of the Columbia Law Review Association, Inc. All rights reserved. Reprinted by permission.

Provisions, comments, and illustrations from the Restatement of Contracts (copyright © 1932), the Restatement (Second) of Contracts (copyright © 1981), the Restatement of Restitution (copyright © 1937), and the Restatement (Second) of Torts (copyright © 1965) are reprinted with per-

mission of the American Law Institute. Portions of the comments to the Uniform Commercial Code, by the American Law Institute and the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws (copyright © 1991), are reprinted with permission of the Permanent Editorial Board for the Uniform Commercial Code.

Unless otherwise indicated, references to Corbin on Contracts are to the revised edition, copyright dates from 1962 through 1971; references to Williston on Contracts are to the third edition (W. Jaeger ed.), copyright dates from 1957 through 1979. Footnotes from cases and other quoted material have been omitted without indication; where footnotes have been included, their original numbering has been retained.



Problems in Contract Law

Summary of Contents

Contents	xi
Preface	xxiii
Acknowledgments	xxvii
1 An Introduction to the Study of Contract Law	1
2 The Classical System of Contract Law: Mutual Assent and Bargained-for Exchange	41
3 Obligation in the Absence of Exchange: Promissory Estoppel and Restitution	139
4 Obligation in the Absence of Complete Agreement	241
5 The Statute of Frauds	353
6 The Meaning of the Agreement: Principles of Interpretation and the Parol Evidence Rule	419
7 Supplementing the Agreement: The Obligation of Good Faith and Other Implied Terms	515
8 Avoiding Enforcement: Incapacity, Bargaining Misconduct, Unconscionability, and Public Policy	595
9 Justification for Nonperformance: Mistake, Changed Circumstances, and Contractual Modifications	745
10 Rights and Duties of Third Parties	829
11 Consequences of Nonperformance: Material Breach, Anticipatory Repudiation, and Express Conditions	879
12 Expectation Damages: Principles and Limitations	959
13 Alternatives to Expectation Damages: Reliance and Restitutionary Damages, Specific Performance, and Agreed Remedies	1093
14 UCC Article 2: Warranties and Remedies	1209
Table of Cases	1269
Table of Uniform Commercial Code Provisions	1295
Table of Other Uniform and Model Acts	1299