

AN INTRODUCTION TO METHODS & MODELS IN

Ecology, Evolution, & Conservation Biology



Copyright 2010 © by Princeton University Press Published by Princeton University Press, 41 William Street, Princeton, New Jersey 08540

In the United Kingdom: Princeton University Press, 6 Oxford Street, Woodstock, Oxfordshire OX20 1TW

All Rights Reserved

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

An introduction to methods and models in ecology, evolution, and conservation biology / Stanton Braude and Bobbi S. Low, editors.

p. cm.

Includes index.

 $ISBN\ 978-0-691-12723-1\ (hardcover: alk.\ paper) --- ISBN\ 978-0-691-12724-8\ (pbk.: alk.\ paper)$

1. Ecology—Research. 2. Evolution (Biology)—Research. 3. Conservation biology—

Research. I. Braude, Stan. II. Low, Bobbi S. QH541.2.I65 2009

577.072—dc22

2009012206

British Library Cataloging-in-Publication Data is available

This book has been composed in Minion

Printed on acid-free paper.

press.princeton.edu

Printed in the United States of America

1 3 5 7 9 10 8 6 4 2

Many biology courses are offered with laboratory sections that teach the techniques specific to that discipline as well as the broader tools of how we do science. While this text cannot replace the hands-on experience of an ecology lab, it does introduce many of the theoretical and quantitative tools of ecology, conservation biology, and environmental science, and often shows how they intersect.

The exercises in this text were written and piloted by a group of teachers committed to helping students experience the intellectual excitement of ecology and environmental science, even when their courses may not give them the opportunity to gather their own data out in the field. These exercises have transformed our discussion sections into "brains-on" thinking labs rather than "hands-on" technique labs.

You will see that every exercise asks you not only to read, think, and "digest" the content, but also to analyze the information in specific ways, both alone (before class) and with others (in class). This is deliberate—we too have fallen asleep in class when all we had to do was listen! And we have assigned some of the most difficult tasks to be solved in small groups of students so that collaborative learning can take place.

You will also notice that we choose very simple techniques, often using paper and dice, for example, when there exist computer programs that can do the same task in a fraction of the time. This, too, is deliberate. For almost all of us, what is actually done in a computer is a mystery, a Black Box of methodology, if you will. We think it is *essential* to understand the process first, especially in simulation modeling. In part, you can explain better to others what you have done, if you have actually performed the process, rather than simply entering data. It is also true that if you understand the process thoroughly, you will be better at catching problems in later computer runs—you will have an intuition about the approximate answer, so that if you have mis-entered a data point (e.g., 20 rather than 2.0), you won't slavishly copy the computer's answer. And, finally, you will be better prepared to explain computer simulations to others.

One of our aims is to show how, even though we do not typically recognize it, ecology (section I), demography and population biology (section II), and population genetics (section III) are all closely related. Further, all these fields require that you be able to do some forms of quantitative analysis (section IV), and to synthesize what others have done leading to our present understanding, and to think about the current state of affairs (section V). It is not intended that any one course would use all of the chapters you find here. But the subset chapters used in different courses will overlap very differently depending on the approach and interests of your instructor. You may have this book as a supplemental text in more than one course—in fact, even if you do not, we hope that you will find some unassigned sections useful in other courses.

Acknowledgments

In addition to the contributing authors, a number of colleagues have piloted these exercises and provided valuable feedback: Nichole Bahls, Russell Blaine, Debbie Boege, Jason Bradford, Kuo-Fang Chung, Matt Gifford, Deena Goran, Rosie Koch, Allison Miller, Jennifer Neuwald, and Ty Vaughn. Their feedback has helped refine these exercises and make them even more valuable to students in their courses.

Special thanks go to James Robertson. In addition to authoring many of these chapters, James worked with many other contributors to design and develop their own chapters. He taught these exercises for five years; he is both an original biologist and a dedicated and creative teacher.

We would also like to thank Nancy Berg, Carl Simon, and Michael Low, who have read various chapters and provided extensive editorial help. Kate Malinowski taught herself *In-Design* and laid out the first draft of this text. Leah Corey, Carole Shadley, and Rebecca Martin very patiently compiled, edited, and formatted revisions.

We are also grateful to the Kemper Foundation, which supported the development of these exercises with a teaching innovation grant through Washington University.

Introduction

Welcome to An Introduction to Methods and Models in Ecology, Evolution, and Conservation Biology. We hope you will enjoy using it. The fields of ecology, evolution, behavior, and conservation, although treated as separate topics, in fact are aspects of a large interdisciplinary core of knowledge with a common theoretical foundation—we hope you will find that the skills you acquire are useful in many contexts. The best work in all of these fields begins with hypotheses about "how things work" and proceeds to devise experiments or collect data to test clear predictions that are derived from the hypotheses. The point, of course, is to devise tests so that the answers will distinguish among alternative hypotheses—different explanations that cannot simultaneously be true.

You will also find that we do something that may strike you as a step backward: we ask you to do a lot of pencil-and-paper work, plotting things as you think them through, for example. This is actually deliberate. We have found (as we bet you have, too) that it's altogether too easy to "cookbook" a process such as a statistical test without actually understanding just what we are doing. Only if you really understand just what each equation, each process *does*, will you be able to know when to use each in new situations, and how to apply each to new data.

Just how you use this text will depend on the particular course(s) in which you are using it, so you may not begin at the beginning, or go through the chapters in a linear fashion. In fact, if this text has been assigned in one of your courses, you may find it useful (we hope so) in others. Do, please, browse through!

Section I focuses on the foundations of evolutionary ecology: natural selection, adaptation, phylogeny, and life history analysis. In section II, we examine more traditional ecological models, from the Lotka-Volterra competition and predator/prey models to MacArthur and Wilson's island biogeography model. In section III, we deal with the basic population genetic parameters so frequently involved in making conservation decisions, but which are rarely well understood. You will use these to design conservation programs, for example. Section IV is a bit different. These chapters are organized around quantitative tools that we need to examine a wide array of ecological systems. You may find that you return to the statistics chapters for years, as you work to understand statistical language in scientific papers or when you choose statistical tests for your own independent projects. Finally, section V has synthetic exercises we hope will help you pull together a variety of skills you have learned this semester in the service of making broad applied or theoretical arguments.

Contents

| | Tal Pre Ack | ures oles face cnowledgments roduction | vii xi xv xvii xix |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Section I | E | volutionary Biology | |
| | 1 | Evolution and Pesticide Resistance: Examining Quantitative Trends Visually Stanton Braude and John Gaskin | 3 |
| | 2 | Lizard Ecomorphology: Generating and Testing Hypotheses of Adaptation Kenneth H. Kozak | 12 |
| | 3 | Phylogenetic Inference: Examining Morphological and Molecular Datasets James Beck | 22 |
| | 4 | Life History Tradeoffs in Avian Clutch Size: Interpreting Life History Data and Evaluating Alternative Hypotheses | |
| | 5 | Jon Hess Mimicry: Experimental Design and Scientific Logic | 36 |
| O-Fo-U | | James Robertson | 51 |
| Section II | | emography and Population Ecology | |
| | 6 | Life Table Analysis | ~ • |
| | 7 | Stanton Braude Letha Voltage Competition Modeling | 63 |
| | 7 | Lotka-Volterra Competition Modeling Stanton Braude, Tara Scherer, and Rebecca McGaha | 69 |
| | 8 | Explosive Population Growth and Invasive Exotic Species | 0) |
| | | Jon Hess and James Robertson | 79 |
| | 9 | Island Biogeography: Evaluating Correlational Data and Testing Alternative Hypotheses | |
| | | James Robertson | 91 |
| Section III | F | Population Genetics | |
| | 10 | Hardy-Weinberg: Evaluating Disequilibrium Forces | |
| | | Jason J. Kolbe | 107 |
| | 11 | Drift, Demographic Stochasticity, and Extinction in Woggles | |
| | | James Robertson, Anton Weisstein, and Stanton Braude | 117 |
| | 12 | Conservation of Small Populations: Effective Population Sizes, | |
| | | Inbreeding, and the 50/500 Rule Luke J. Harmon and Stanton Braude | 125 |

vi • CONTENTS

| 13 Dispersal and Metapopulation Structure James Robertson | 139 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Section IV Quantitative Ecological Tools | |
| 14 Understanding Descriptive Statistics | |
| Beth Sparks-Jackson and Emily Silverman | 155 |
| 15 Understanding Statistical Inference | |
| Emily Silverman and Beth Sparks-Jackson | 179 |
| 16 Sampling Wild Populations | |
| Stanton Braude and James Robertson | 189 |
| 17 Quantifying Biodiversity | |
| Cawas Behram Engineer and Stanton Braude | 198 |
| 18 Environmental Predictability and Life History | |
| Bobbi S. Low and Stanton Braude | 214 |
| 19 Modeling Optimal Foraging | |
| Stanton Braude and James Robertson | 226 |
| Section V Synthetic Exercises and Writing Assignments | |
| 20 Evaluating Competing Hypotheses of Regional Biodiversity | |
| Stanton Braude | 235 |
| 21 Preparing and Evaluating Competitive Grant Proposals for | |
| Conservation Funding | |
| Stanton Braude | 239 |
| 22 Tracing the History of Scientific Ideas: From Darwin, Connell, or Soule | |
| to the Present | |
| Bobbi S. Low | 245 |
| Glossary | 251 |
| Contributors | 263 |
| Index | 265 |
| IIIICA | 200 |

Figures

| Figure 1.1. | Growth in number of pesticide-resistant species with widespread use of insecticides and herbicides (Modified from National Research Council Report, 1986). | 6 |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Figure 2.1. | Different methods of clinging to branches. | 14 |
| Figure 2.2. | Anole lizard. | 14 |
| Figure 2.3. | Evolutionary tree depicting the evolution of toepads in anoline lizards. | 14 |
| Figure 2.4. | Running speed of species of <i>Anolis</i> on dowels of different diameters (Irshick and Losos, | |
| 116010 2.11 | 1999). | 19 |
| Figure 2.5. | Anolis habitat generalists tend to be less sensitive to branch diameter than specialists. | 20 |
| Figure 3.1. | Solidago spp. | 23 |
| Figure 3.2. | Phylogenetic tree constructed using the data in table 3.1. | 24 |
| Figure 3.3. | Polytomies result when no synapomorphies (shared derived traits) can be found for a | ~ . |
| 1 igure ete. | part of the tree. | 25 |
| Figure 3.4. | Choice of the tree that is most likely to represent true evolutionary relationships. | 26 |
| Figure 3.5. | (a) Side view of a typical mammal skull, with major tooth types noted. (b) This | |
| 0 | mammal jaw exhibits a diastema, a prominent gap between teeth. | 27 |
| Figure 3.6. | Virginia opossum (Didelphis virginiana). | 28 |
| Figure 3.7. | Eastern cottontail rabbit (Sylvilagus floridanus). | 28 |
| Figure 3.8. | Norway rat (Rattus norvegicus). | 29 |
| Figure 3.9. | Domestic cat (Felis catus). | 29 |
| Figure 3.10. | Coyote (Canis latrans). | 30 |
| Figure 3.11. | Black bear (Ursus americanus). | 31 |
| Figure 3.12. | Venus fly trap (Dionaea muscipula). | 33 |
| Figure 3.13. | 350 bases of DNA sequence for the enzyme RuBisCO in six species of carnivorous | |
| | plants. | 33 |
| Figure 4.1. | Yellow-Shafted Flicker Colaptes auratus auratus. | 38 |
| Figure 4.2. | Black-Billed Magpie Pica pica. | 39 |
| Figure 4.3. | Wood Duck Aix sponsa. | 41 |
| Figure 4.4. | Partridge Perdix perdix. | 42 |
| Figure 4.5. | Great Tit Parus major. | 43 |
| Figure 4.6. | Relationship between brood size and nestling size. | 49 |
| Figure 5.1. | An insect of the order <i>Phasmatoidea</i> . | 52 |
| Figure 5.2. | Monarch butterflies. | 53 |
| Figure 5.3. | Red-spotted newt Notophthalmus viridescens and red-backed salamander Plethodon | |
| | cinereus. | 54 |
| Figure 7.1. | Example of Verhulst-Pearl population growth. | 70 |
| Figure 7.2. | Isoclines for species 1 and species 2 illustrating the population sizes under which each | |
| | species will increase or decrease. | 72 |

viii • LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 7.3. | Four different isoclines illustrate how carrying capacity and competition result in extinction of one or the other species, unstable equilibrium, or stable equilibrium and | |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| | coexistence. | 73 |
| Figure 7.4. | P. aurelia (left) is much smaller than P. caudatum (right). | 74 |
| Figure 8.1. | Zebra mussel Dreissena polymorpha. | 81 |
| Figure 8.2. | Percent live and dead unionids collected at one site in offshore waters of western Lake | 0.5 |
| Eigura 9 2 | Erie 1969–1991 (from Schloesser <i>et al.</i> 1996). | 85 |
| Figure 8.3. | Difference between cage and control area biomass (cage density – control density) of zebra mussels plotted against biomass found in duck gizzards (from Hamilton <i>et al.</i> | |
| | 1994). | 86 |
| Figure 8.4. | Zebra mussel biomass per unit area (including mussels of all size classes) for cage and | 00 |
| | control treatments (from Hamilton et al. 1994). | 88 |
| Figure 8.5. | Zebra mussel number per unit area for cage and control treatments, September 1991– | |
| C | May 1992 (from Hamilton et al. 1994). | 89 |
| Figure 9.1. | Land iguana. | 92 |
| Figure 9.2. | Species area curve: Amphibians and reptiles of the West Indies. | 94 |
| Figure 9.3. | Long-horned beetle. | 95 |
| Figure 9.4. | Species-area curve: Cerambycid beetle species of the Florida Keys (from Brown and | |
| | Peck 1996). | 95 |
| Figure 9.5. | Species-area curve: Land birds of the West Indies (from Terborgh 1973). | 97 |
| Figure 9.6. | Map of the Caribbean. | 97 |
| Figure 9.7. | Relationship between species number and both the rate of colonization and the rate of | 0.0 |
| Eiguna 0 0 | extinction for a particular island (adapted from MacArthur and Wilson, 1967). | 98 |
| Figure 9.8. | Both the rate of colonization and the rate of extinction vary for islands of different size and distance from the mainland or source population (adapted from MacArthur and | |
| | Wilson, 1967). | 100 |
| Figure 9.9. | Channel Islands. | 101 |
| Figure 10.1. | Collared lizards <i>Crotaphytus collaris</i> . | 109 |
| Figure 10.2. | Maps of the Missouri Ozark and New Mexico populations of collared lizards | 10, |
| | Crotaphytus collaris. | 110 |
| Figure 10.3. | Two hypothetical populations illustrate subdivision and free exchange of migrants. | 114 |
| Figure 11.1. | The mythical woggle <i>Treborus treborsonii</i> . | 118 |
| Figure 12.1. | Extinction vortex (from Primack, 2000). | 128 |
| Figure 12.2. | European adder Vipera berus. | 131 |
| Figure 12.3. | Black (left) and white (right) rhinoceri. | 133 |
| Figure 12.4. | The black rhinoceros has been in severe decline in recent years and is entering a | |
| 50mg 21 521 mg | population bottleneck (source data: Emslie and Brooks, 1999). | 134 |
| Figure 12.5. | The southern white rhinoceros has recovered from near extinction at the turn of the | |
| | last century and the current growing population is descended from a bottleneck | |
| F: 12.1 | population of only 20 animals (source data: Emslie and Brooks, 1999). | 135 |
| Figure 13.1. | Distribution of the fictional California desert woggle <i>Treborus treborsonii</i> . | 141 |
| Figure 13.2. | This simulation examines the effect of migration on loss of alleles and extinction of demes. | 1.41 |
| Figure 14.1. | | 142 158 |
| Figure 14.1. | Bar chart representing invasive species by taxon. Top five reasons for introduction of invasive species. | 159 |
| Figure 14.2. | Skewed and symmetric distributions illustrating the relative location of the mean | 133 |
| | (dashed line) and median (solid line). | 161 |
| Figure 14.4. | Elements of a histogram. Histograms illustrate the frequency distribution of a sample. | 163 |

| Figure 14.5. | Examples of symmetric and skewed histograms and boxplots. | 164 | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--|--|--|
| Figure 14.6. | 6. Variable X graphed in three ways illustrates how the shape of a histogram may change | | | | |
| | with the number of bins. | 165 | | | |
| Figure 14.7. | Elements of a boxplot. Boxplots also illustrate the distribution of a sample. They | | | | |
| | highlight skew and very large and small values. | 166 | | | |
| Figure 14.8. | Variable <i>X</i> presented in a histogram and a boxplot. | 167 | | | |
| Figure 14.9. | Examples of commonly observed distributions. | 168 | | | |
| Figure 14.10. Comparison of these two scatterplots shows that correlation does not accurately | | | | | |
| = | describe nonlinear relationships. | 169 | | | |
| Figure 14.11. | Various scatterplots and associated <i>r</i> . | 170 | | | |
| Figure 14.12. | Illustration of least squares regression. | 171 | | | |
| Figure 14.13. | Example scatterplots of y versus x and associated least squares regression lines and | | | | |
| | R^2 values. | 172 | | | |
| Figure 14.14. | Dr. Quayle's old data on clutch size. | 175 | | | |
| Figure 14.15. | Breeding tenure of Western Gulls on Alcatraz Island. | 176 | | | |
| Figure 14.16. | Percentage of fish in parents and offspring diets. | 176 | | | |
| Figure 16.1. | Kenya is located in the eastern horn of Africa and Namibia is located in southwest | | | | |
| | Africa. | 191 | | | |
| Figure 16.2. | Mouse-tailed bat Rhinopoma kardwickei. | 192 | | | |
| Figure 16.3. | Springbok Antidorcas marsupialis. | 193 | | | |
| Figure 16.4. | African elephant Loxodonta africana. | 194 | | | |
| Figure 17.1. | No matter what level of biodiversity you are concerned with, it is affected by | | | | |
| | biodiversity at the other levels. | 199 | | | |
| Figure 17.2. | Missouri's geologic history has resulted in a rich variety of habitat types. | 207 | | | |
| Figure 17.3. | Madagascar. | 208 | | | |
| Figure 17.4. | Eastern Mongolia. | 210 | | | |
| Figure 17.5. | The Galapagos Archipelago lies 960 km west of the South American mainland. | 211 | | | |
| Figure 19.1. | Little trick-or-treaters like this will be the subject of your foraging model. | 228 | | | |
| Figure 19.2. | This figure represents the point at which a trick-or-treater who is optimizing | | | | |
| | happiness units is predicted to switch neighborhoods. | 230 | | | |

| 0 | 5 | 00 |
|----------|--------|--------|
| α | \cup | \Box |

| Table 1.1. | Farm pesticide use in the United States 1964–1990. | 4 |
|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table 1.2. | Types of pesticide, volume of use in the United States, and major crop uses. | 5 |
| Table 1.3. | Tobacco budworm (<i>Heliothis virescens</i>) resistance to methyl parathion. | 8 |
| Table 1.4. | Average number of two phytophagous (plant-eating) mites (Tetranychus mcdanieli | |
| | [T. mc] and Panonychus ulmi [P. ul]) and one predatory mite (Metaseiulus occidentalis | |
| | [M. oc.]) in an apple orchard. | 9 |
| Table 2.1. | Body mass and clinging ability for fifteen species of anoline lizards. | 15 |
| Table 2.2. | Morphology, perch diameter, and sprint performance of the six <i>Anolis</i> ecomorphs. | 17 |
| Table 2.3. | Morphological and ecological measurements for seventeen species of <i>Anolis</i> . | 18 |
| Table 2.4. | Comparisons of sprint sensitivities for eight species of <i>Anolis</i> over the range of dowel | |
| | diameters. | 19 |
| Table 3.1. | Trait information for four plant species and their designated outgroup. | 23 |
| Table 4.1. | Life history comparison of five avian species. | 38 |
| Table 4.2. | Partridge and Wood Duck reproductive data. | 46 |
| Table 4.3. | Survival of young Great Tits (1945–1955). | 47 |
| Table 4.4. | Reproductive output in the Magpie. | 47 |
| Table 4.5. | Nestling weight and survival in the Great Tit. | 48 |
| Table 5.1. | Predation on salamanders. | 55 |
| Table 5.2. | Percent survival of salamanders. | 56 |
| Table 6.1. | Blank life table. | 64 |
| Table 6.2. | Life table with age-specific survivorship. | 64 |
| Table 6.3. | Life table with age-specific survivorship and fecundity. | 64 |
| Table 6.4. | Life table with age-specific survivorship, fecundity, and fertility. | 64 |
| Table 6.5. | Killer mouse life table. | 66 |
| Table 6.6. | Projected population growth for the released killer mice. | 66 |
| Table 6.7. | Age distribution across generations. | 67 |
| Table 7.1. | Population sizes of P. aurelia and P. caudatum when cultured separately. | 74 |
| Table 7.2. | Population sizes of P. aurelia and P. caudatum when cultured together. | 75 |
| Table 7.3. | Population growth data for P. aurelia and P. bursaria cultured together. | 76 |
| Table 8.1. | North American populations of the zebra mussel, Dreissena polymorpha. | 82 |
| Table 8.2. | Species of Unionid mollusks infested by zebra mussels in the Great Lakes and connecting | |
| | rivers. | 87 |
| Table 9.1. | Herpetological biodiversity in the West Indies. | 93 |
| Table 9.2. | Worksheet for calculating coefficient of determination for West Indies amphibian and | |
| | reptile species-area curve. | 95 |
| Table 9.3. | Long-horned beetle species numbers in the Florida Keys. | 96 |
| Table 9.4. | Comparison of MacArthur and Wilson's model across taxa. | 96 |
| Table 9.5. | Channel Island bird species tallies. | 102 |

xii • LIST OF TABLES

| Table 10.1. | Assumptions, processes, and conservation issues relevant to H-W theory. | 108 |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table 10.2. | Collared lizards in the New Mexico region. | 112 |
| Table 10.3. | Collared lizards in the Ozark region. | 113 |
| Table 10.4. | Pairwise comparisons of F_{ST} and geographic distances for seven subpopulations from | |
| | New Mexico and six subpopulations from the Missouri Ozarks. | 115 |
| Table 11.1. | Sample simulation results. | 120 |
| Table 11.2. | Simulation results for the small Mojave population. | 121 |
| Table 11.3. | Simulation results for the large Bakersfield population. | 122 |
| Table 11.4. | Time until extinction for woggle populations of various sizes. | 123 |
| Table 12.1. | Sceloporus population at Tyson from 1996 to 2000. | 128 |
| Table 12.2. | Total number of adult adders at Smygehuk. | 132 |
| Table 12.3. | Adult male and female adders in each year. | 132 |
| Table 12.4. | Northern white rhinos by country, 1960–1998. | 136 |
| Table 12.5. | Population census and effective sizes of African rhinos. | 137 |
| Table 13.1. | Sample Deme 1. | 144 |
| Table 13.2. | Sample Deme 2. | 144 |
| Table 13.3. | Sample Deme 3. | 145 |
| Table 13.4. | Sample calculation of RR statistic. | 146 |
| Table 13.5. | Worksheet for deme 1 simulation. | 147 |
| Table 13.6. | Worksheet for deme 2 simulation. | 148 |
| Table 13.7. | Worksheet for deme 3 simulation. | 149 |
| Table 13.8. | Calculation of RR statistic. | 150 |
| Table 13.9. | RR values for metapopulations with different migration rates. | 150 |
| Table 14.1. | Breeding time for young, middle-aged, and old Western Gulls. | 174 |
| Table 14.2. | "Raw" stomach content data for 20 Western Gulls. | 174 |
| Table 15.1. | Types of error. | 181 |
| Table 15.2. | Common statistical tests and their uses. | 183 |
| Table 15.3. | Gull age and nest initiation. | 186 |
| Table 16.1. | Random number table. | 196 |
| Table 17.1a. | Illustrative diversity data for two regions. | 201 |
| Table 17.1b. | Recalculated diversity comparison. | 201 |
| Table 17.2. | Panama biodiversity. | 203 |
| Table 17.3. | Costa Rica biodiversity. | 203 |
| Table 17.4. | Bolivia biodiversity. | 204 |
| Table 17.5. | Estimated numbers of plant species in various taxonomic groups and their status in | |
| | Missouri. | 205 |
| Table 17.6. | Estimated numbers of animal species in various taxonomic groups and their status in | |
| | Missouri. | 206 |
| Table 17.7. | Madagascar biodiversity. | 209 |
| Table 17.8. | Eastern Mongolian fauna. | 210 |
| Table 17.9. | Herps of the Galapagos. | 212 |
| Table 17.10. | Mammals of the Galapagos. | 212 |
| Table 17.11. | Birds of the Galapagos. | 213 |
| Table 18.1 | Occurrences of rainfall in different seasons over a period of nine years in (a) an | |
| | Indonesian rainforest, (b) southern Ontario, and (c) central Australia. | 216 |
| Table 18.2. | Construction of Colwell's predictability, constancy, and contingency matrix. | 217 |
| Table 18.3. | Sample matrix. | 219 |
| Table 18.4. | Datura state matrices for six California locations. | 220 |
| | | |

LIST OF TABLES • xiii

| Table 18.5. | Worksheet for calculating components of <i>Datura</i> predictability. | 221 |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table 18.6. | Further demographic data for six California woggle populations. | 224 |
| Table 18.7. | Predictability (<i>P</i>), constancy (<i>C</i>), and contingency (<i>M</i>) of rainfall for 11 deserts around | |
| | the world. | 224 |
| Table 21.1. | Notes on CRUNCH grants reviewed. | 241 |

Section I

Evolutionary Biology

Evolution and Pesticide Resistance: Examining Quantitative Trends Visually Stanton Braude and John Gaskin

Introduction and Background

Evolution and natural selection have always been central concepts in the study of ecology. When German biologist Ernst Haekel coined the term "ecology" in the 1860s, he envisioned studying the forces of nature that were selective forces in the Darwinian sense. Darwin is popularly associated with the rise of evolutionary thought in biology; his major contribution was explaining natural selection—and the concept is so rich that we still find it fascinating to explore today.

Evolution is the term we use for changes in gene frequencies in populations or species over time. It is not the same as natural selection; in fact, evolution results from mutation, recombination, and drift, which generate variation but are not predictable, as well as from natural selection. So what is natural selection? It is the mechanism that drives adaptive evolution; the result of the simple fact that in any environment, depending on the conditions of that environment, some variants—individuals with specified genetic traits—survive and reproduce better than others. If we understand how any environment shapes traits, favoring some and disfavoring other individuals who possess those traits, we can predict how traits should match environmental conditions—and how populations will change over time. We will see this throughout this book, especially in this chapter, and in chapters 2, 4, 5, 18, and 19.

Ecology is a very empirical science, so it is not surprising that much ecology of the early twentieth century was descriptive. Ecologists today know that understanding natural selection and evolution is central to understanding important "why" hypotheses—especially today, when we humans change environments (and thus selective pressures) rapidly without necessarily understanding our impacts.

"Why" hypotheses can be of several sorts (Tinbergen, 1963). Hypotheses that explain why phenomena exist in nature are ultimate hypotheses, and those that explain how things work are proximate hypotheses. Both are important, but it is especially crucial not to confuse the two; it is confusing and wrong to offer a proximate answer to an ultimate question. For example: why do birds fly south for the winter? "Because individuals in this species in this region that migrate seasonally survive and reproduce better than those that do not" is an ultimate answer (and you can see all sorts of testable predictions: whether hummingbirds will migrate when seed-eating species will not; whether migration will be associated with seasonal changes, etc.). "Because changing day length causes shifting hormone levels" is a