

Natural Resources and Economic Development

Edward B. Barbier



CAMBRIDGE



Natural Resources and Economic Development

EDWARD B. BARBIER

 **CAMBRIDGE**
UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press,
New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521823135

© Edward B. Barbier 2005

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without
the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2005

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN-13 978-0-521-82313-5 hardback

ISBN-10 0-521-82313-7 hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for
the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or
third-party internet websites referred to in this book,
and does not guarantee that any content on such
websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to a long list of people who have helped in various ways to make this book possible. First and foremost, I would like to thank Chris Harrison of Cambridge University Press for encouraging me in this project, and for waiting patiently over the years as it proceeded from draft proposal to final manuscript.

I am indebted to Erwin Bulte, Jo Burgess, Chris Harrison and Margie Reis for reading the entire first draft manuscript, and for providing such helpful comments on the whole draft that assisted me in subsequent revisions. I am grateful to Dan Bromley, Ron Findlay, David Pearce and Gus Speth for also reading the draft.

Much of this book is based on research that I have conducted in recent years that has been written up, presented and sometimes published in various forms. I owe numerous individuals their thanks for collaborating on this research or for providing invaluable comments on previous versions of the resulting papers and presentations. I will try my best to acknowledge this help chapter by chapter.

Chapter 1 benefited from the comments of Dan Bromley, Jo Burgess, Richard Damania, Bob Deacon, Ramón López, Joe Stiglitz, Michael Toman and fellow participants in the Environment and Sustainable Development Task Force of the Initiative for Policy Dialogue. I am also grateful for the research assistance of Tessa Leonce for helping me find and compile some of the data for the stylized facts presented in the chapter. I am also indebted to the Department of Agricultural & Applied Economics, University of Wisconsin, Madison for inviting me to present the 2003 Hibbard Lecture in April 2003, and the Department of Economics, Adelaide University, Adelaide, Australia for inviting me to present the 2002 Joseph Fisher Lecture, which was published in *Australian Economic Papers*.

Chapter 2 was helped enormously by discussions with Ron Findlay, Jay Shogren and Gavin Wright, and by the insightful comments of Jo Burgess and Chris Harrison.

Chapter 3 benefited from discussions with Bob Deacon, Ron Findlay and Gavin Wright, and from the comments by Erwin Bulte and Jo Burgess.

Chapter 4 is largely based on a paper presented at the Session on Environmental Economics at the 78th Annual Conference of the Western Economic Association International, Denver, CO, July 12, 2003, which was eventually published in *Contemporary Economic Policy*. Thanks also to Rich Carson, Nick Flores, Michael Hoel, Chuck Mason, Carol McAusland, Jay Shogren, Luis Sosa, Gavin Wright and seminar participants at the Economics Department, University of Colorado and the 6th Occasional Workshop on Environmental and Resource Economics, University of Santa Barbara California for invaluable comments and suggestions on previous versions of this paper. Thanks to Seong-Hee Kim and Margie Reis for research assistance.

Chapter 5 is a much expanded version of a paper presented for the principal paper session “Panel Data Evidence on Economic Development and the Environment in Developing Countries” at the 2004 American Agricultural Economics Association annual meeting, August 1–4, 2004, Denver. This paper and others from this session appear in *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*. I am grateful to Wade Brorsen, Ian Coxhead, Gerry Shively and Doug Southgate for comments.

Chapter 6 is comprised of two separate research efforts, and earlier drafts of the papers based on these papers benefited from the comments provided by Jo Burgess, Tom Crocker, Rob Godby, Nick Hanley, Patrick Hultberg, Ramón López, Marc Nerlove, Unai Pascual and Sara Scherr. The case study on mangrove loss in Thailand is based on research undertaken as part of the Project “Demographic and Economic Factors Determining Coastal Land Conversion into Commercial Shrimp Farms, Thailand,” funded by the Population, Consumption and Environment Initiative (PCE) of the John D and Catherine T MacArthur Foundation. I would like to thank the MacArthur Foundation, and the PCE Director, A. Kumar, for their support. The original case study paper on mangrove loss in Thailand appears in *Land Economics*, and I would like to thank my co-author, Mark Cox, for collaborating on this research and all the work we undertook under the MacArthur PCE Initiative. I would also like to thank our collaborating researchers on the Project at the Institute for

Social and Economic Policy, Bangkok, in particular S. Sathirathai, R. Tokrisna, S. Aksornkoae, W. Sungunnasil, I. Sarntisart and S. Suwannodom, who have all provided valuable help and advice on all aspects of this research. Finally, I would like to acknowledge the research assistance of S. Chanyaswad. The case study on pre-NAFTA deforestation in Mexico that appears in the chapter is based on a paper that appears in *Economic Inquiry*. I am grateful to Jo Burgess for her research collaboration on the original project that was the basis of this research, a report prepared for the Latin American and the Caribbean Country Department of The World Bank and the Government of Mexico. I would also like to thank Colleen Clancy, Michael Collins, Luis Constantino and Augusta Molnar.

Chapter 7 is based partly on my Invited Address Conference of Australian Economists Glenelg, South Australia, Australia October 1–3, 2002. A version of this address appears in the *Economic Record*. I am grateful to Richard Damania, Keith Hancock, Patrik Hultberg, Chuck Mason for helpful comments, and to Lee Bailiff for research assistance. The Nigeria case study is based on work over the years that I have conducted with several researchers, Gayatri Acharya, Bill Adams, Kevin Kimmage and Julian Thompson. This research also benefited from many conversations and assistance provided by the late Ted Hollis, Richard Thompson, Gert Poulet and David Thomas. The Nigeria case study work was funded by the IUCN Wetlands Program, and I am grateful for this assistance to Richard Acreman and Jean-Yves Piroit. I was able to pull together and summarize this research into the case study that appears in the chapter thanks to the project “Economic Analysis of Upstream-Downstream Water Allocation in Developing Economies,” funded by Stanford University and the Hewlett Foundation. I am grateful to Ken Arrow and Larry Goulder for this support and for their guidance. The research of the Nigeria case study has been published by IUCN and also by *Agricultural Economics*, *Ambio*, *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* and *Water Resources Research*.

Chapter 8 benefited from conversations over the years with Gordon Conway, Ian Coxhead, Partha Dasgupta, Sisira Jayasuriya, Ramón López, Anil Markandya, David Pearce, Richard Sandbrook, Gerry Shively and Doug Southgate. I am also indebted to Randy Bluffstone and Partha Dasgupta for their comments. I would also like to thank Ramón López, Joe Stiglitz, Michael Toman and fellow participants in

the Environment and Sustainable Development Task Force of the Initiative for Policy Dialogue.

Chapter 9 is based partly on a paper for the NBER Summer Institute 2004 Workshop on Public Policy and the Environment, Cambridge, Massachusetts, August 2–3, 2004. I would like to thank Don Fullerton, Scott Taylor and Gavin Wright for helpful comments on previous versions of this paper.

Chapter 10 benefited from the comments by Jo Burgess and Margie Reis.

Finally, thanks to Partha Dasgupta for inspiring me to explore the role of natural resources and economic development, and to David Pearce and Richard Sandbrook for providing so many opportunities for me to do so. I am grateful to all my colleagues with whom I worked at the London Environmental Economics Centre and the International Institute for Environment and Development, especially Bruce Aylward, Josh Bishop, Gordon Conway, Anil Markandya and Tim Swanson. I am also grateful to the Environment Department, University of York, and especially Charles Perrings. I would also like to thank my colleagues at the Department of Economics and Finance, University of Wyoming, in particular Tom Crocker, Jay Shogren and John Tschirhart.

Thanks to my mother, Marietta Barbier Falzgraf, and to the Rolnicks, the Scheeles, the Burgesses and the Gebbies, for their support, encouragement and love over the years.

Above all, I will always be indebted to Jo Burgess: for believing in what we do and for working with me in trying to make it so, and for following her heart and giving her love so generously to our family, friends and me. And, finally, thanks to Becky, James and Lara for always teaching me what life is really all about.

Contents

<i>List of figures</i>	<i>page</i> viii
<i>List of tables</i>	x
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xiii
Introduction	1
1 Natural resources and developing countries: an overview	11
2 Natural resource-based economic development in history	51
3 Does natural resource dependence hinder economic development?	108
4 Frontier expansion and economic development	155
5 Explaining land use change in developing countries	185
6 The economics of land conversion	209
7 Does water availability constrain economic development?	242
8 Rural poverty and resource degradation	286
9 Can frontier-based development be successful?	321
10 Policies for sustainable resource-based development in poor economies	344
<i>References</i>	373
<i>Index</i>	401

Figures

1.1	Human, physical and natural capital and the economic system	<i>page</i>	12
1.2	Sustainable economic development		15
1.3	An Environmental Kuznets Curve for sulfur dioxide		18
1.4	Projected trends for global SO ₂ emissions		22
1.5	Regional trends in resource dependency		31
1.6	Resource dependency and GDP per capita in low and middle-income economies		35
1.7	Resource dependency and poverty in low and middle-income economies		36
1.8	Resource dependency and rural population growth in low and middle-income economies		42
1.9	Resource dependency and share of population on fragile lands in low and middle-income economies		45
2.1	Extinction and the rise of agriculture		60
2.2	A long-run development path for a Malthusian economy		66
2.3	The dynamics of unequal development and North–South trade		73
2.4	The triangular trade of the Atlantic economy, 1500–1860		79
2.5	The opening of staples trade (vent for surplus)		85
3.1	Resource booms and economic growth		112
3.2	Open access exploitation and trade in a resource-abundant economy		125
3.2a	Temporary equilibrium and transition to a steady state		125
3.2b	Steady-state utility and the terms of trade		125
3.3	The race for property rights and rent dissipation		132
3.4	Relative skills/land endowments and trade		138
3.5	Resource dependency and adjusted net savings rates in low and middle-income economies		148
4.1	Agricultural land expansion and GDP per capita in tropical countries, 1961–94		164

4.2	Frontier expansion paths for a small open economy	176
7.1	Growth and the rate of water utilization for the interior solution	250
7.2	The Hadejia-Jama'are river basin, Northern Nigeria	265
8.1	Increased natural resource abundance and rent seeking	298
8.2	Land distribution and rural labor market equilibrium	306

Tables

1.1	Export concentration in primary commodities for low and middle-income developing economies	<i>page</i> 25
1.2	Economic growth and resource dependency, 1970–90	34
1.3	Trends in crop production and harvest area in developing regions	37
1.4	Demand for cultivated land in 2050 in developing regions	38
1.5	Water withdrawal by volume and by share of total renewable supplies	39
1.6	Developing countries and regions with critical water ratios	41
1.7	Global population on fragile lands	44
2.1	World population, 40,000 BC to 2001 AD	55
2.2	World population growth rates, 40,000 BC to 2001 AD	56
2.3	World gross domestic product per capita, 1 to 2001 AD	62
2.4	Share of world gross domestic product, 1 to 2001 AD	70
3.1	Adjusted net savings as a share of gross national income	147
4.1	Panel analysis of per capita income and long-run agricultural expansion, 1961–94	163
5.1	Global tropical deforestation trends, 1980–90	187
5.2	Global tropical deforestation trends, 1990–2000	188
5.3	Panel analysis of tropical agricultural land expansion, 1960–99	197
5.4	Total elasticity effects	202
6.1	Mexico – random effects estimation of agricultural land expansion, 1960–85	221
6.2	Thailand – random effects estimation of mangrove loss and shrimp farm area expansion, 1979–96	230
6.3	Thailand – estimated elasticities for mangrove loss and shrimp farm area expansion, 1979–96	231

7.1	Cross-country regression of water use and growth	257
7.2	Cross-country regression of water use and growth: controlling for moderate and extreme water scarcity	260
7.3	Scenarios for upstream projects in the Hadajia-Jama'are river basin, Nigeria	267
7.4	Impact of scenarios in terms of losses in floodplain benefits versus gains in irrigated production	269
7.5	Agrarian change downstream of the Tiga Dam, Nigeria	272
7.6	Welfare impacts on dry season farmers of a one-meter drop in groundwater levels, Hadajia-Jama'are river basin, Nigeria	274
7.7	Welfare impacts on households of a one-meter drop in groundwater levels, Hadajia-Jama'are river basin, Nigeria	276
8.1	Selective countries displaying "dualism within dualism" characteristics	291
10.1	Successful resource-rich countries: key economic indicators	355
10.2	Successful resource-rich countries: key governance indicators	357

Introduction

As the title indicates, this book intends to explore the contribution of natural resources to economic development. The main geographical focus will be on the so-called “developing world,” i.e. the low and middle-income countries of Africa, Asia and Latin America. There has been increased interest in the application of natural resource economics in these countries, now that it has been recognized that the environment is not a “luxury” for economic development but contains natural “capital” fundamental to growth and development in poorer economies.

Most economic texts and monographs do not address the role of natural resources in economic development in much detail. This is unfortunate, as the environmental problems faced by developing countries are considerably different from those occurring in industrialized economies. Many rural populations depend on the direct exploitation of natural resources, for agriculture, livestock raising, fishing, basic materials and fuel, both to meet their own subsistence requirements as well as to sell in markets for cash income. The lack of basic water supply, sanitation and other infrastructure services suggests that increased public provision of resource-based services is highly valued by many households. Rapid land use change has meant that many natural environments and habitats are disappearing quickly, with the result that critical ecological resources and functions are being disrupted or lost. Growing populations have further increased the demand for natural resource use and conversion. Finally, for many low and middle-income economies resource-based development and primary commodity exports are the main engines for growth and long-term development.

In short, this book begins with the premise that how natural resources are used for economic livelihoods and development in the low and middle-income countries of Africa, Asia and Latin America is fundamentally different from other economies of the world. Or, as

the quote at the beginning of Chapter 8 from Partha Dasgupta (1993, pp. 269 and 273) puts it: “People in poor countries are for the most part agrarian and pastoral folk . . . Poor countries are for the most part *biomass-based subsistence economies*, in that their rural folk eke out a living from products obtained directly from plants and animals”.

Ultimately, this book is about the actual and potential contribution of natural resources to the *sustainable economic development* in such economies. Natural resources are clearly central to this process: many low and middle-income economies are highly dependent on the exploitation of their “natural capital” to secure the needs of the present generation as well as to develop and meet the needs of future generations. However, the evidence presented throughout this book indicates that increasing economic dependence on natural resources in today’s low and middle-income economies is associated with poorer economic performance. This poses an intriguing paradox: why is it that, despite the importance of natural capital for sustainable economic development, increasing economic dependence on natural resource exploitation appears to be a hindrance to growth and development in today’s low and middle-income economies?

Historically there appear to be many examples of successful resource-based development, which would suggest that this paradox appears to be unique to the current era. In fact, one could argue, as the historian Walter Prescott Webb (1964) first proposed in analyzing the exploitation of the world’s “Great Frontier,” that much of the 500 years of global economic development has been characterized by a pattern of capital investment, technological innovation and social and economic institutions dependent on “opening up” new frontiers of natural resources once existing ones have been “closed” and exhausted. Such development was so successful, particularly during the Golden Age of Resource-Based Development (1870–1913), that some of the early theories in development economies, such as the staples thesis and the vent-for-surplus theory of trade, took it for granted that natural resource abundance, trade and growth were mutually reinforcing (Innis 1930 and 1940; Myint 1958; Watkins 1963).

However, with the benefit from hindsight afforded by the present era, we now know that, simply because a developing economy or region is endowed with abundant natural resources, the country may not necessarily end up exploiting this natural wealth efficiently and generating productive investments. Or, as Wright (1990, p.666)

suggests: “there is no iron law associating natural resource abundance with national industrial strength”.

Several theories have been proposed to explain why increasing economic dependence on natural resources in today’s low and middle-income economies is associated with poorer economic performance. One popular explanation is the *resource curse hypothesis*, i.e. the poor potential for resource-based development in inducing the economy-wide innovation necessary to sustain growth in a small open economy, particularly under the “Dutch disease” effects of resource-price booms. Other theories have suggested an *open access exploitation hypothesis*, i.e. trade liberalization for a developing economy dependent on open access resource exploitation or poorly defined resource rights may actually reduce welfare in that economy. Finally, some economists have proposed a *factor endowment hypothesis*. The abundant natural resources relative to labor (especially skilled labor), plus other environmental conditions, in many developing regions have led to lower economic growth, either *directly* because relatively resource-abundant economies remain specialized for long periods in primary-product exports or *indirectly* because some factor endowments generate conditions of inequality in wealth and political power that generate legal and economic institutions inimical to growth and development.

As suggested in this book, it is likely that these three hypotheses could be complementary rather than competing in their explanations as to the poor economic performance of resource-rich developing economies. It is possible that the processes outlined by all three hypotheses could operate simultaneously, and even interact, to militate against “sustainable” natural capital exploitation in low and middle-income economies: resource endowments (broadly defined) may shape institutions, and institutions in turn affect the management regime of natural resources (open access, rent-seeking and other failures) and both influence the long-run performance of the economy (the resource curse).

However, this book also argues that these three hypotheses focus mainly on explaining only two “stylized facts” concerning natural resource use in low and middle-income economies, namely the tendency for these economies to be resource-dependent (in terms of a high concentration of primary product to total exports), and for increasing resource dependency to be associated with poor economic performance. None of the current hypotheses address two additional and equally important “stylized facts”: development in low and middle-income

economies is associated with land conversion, and a significant share of the poor population in low and middle-income economies is concentrated in fragile lands.

Thus this book offers another perspective on the resource-development paradox, which could be termed the *frontier expansion hypothesis*. The starting point for this hypothesis is the two stylized facts of resource use in developing countries that are often overlooked in the current literature on the role of natural resources in economic development: namely, the tendency for resource-dependent economies to display rapid rates of “frontier” land expansion, and for a significant proportion of their poorest populations to be concentrated in fragile areas. From this pattern of development several conclusions emerge. First, in many developing countries much frontier land expansion occurs in order to absorb the rural poor. Second, policy and market failures in the resource sector and land markets, such as rent-seeking behavior and corruption or open-access resource exploitation, contribute further to the migration of the rural poor to the frontier and excessive land conversion. Finally, as a result, frontier-based development in many poor countries is symptomatic of a pattern of economy-wide resource exploitation that leads to insufficient reinvestment in other productive assets of the economy, and thus does not lead to sustained growth. There is clearly a “vicious cycle” of underdevelopment at work here: frontier land expansion and resource exploitation does little to raise rural incomes and reduce poverty in the long run, and results in few efficiency gains and additional benefits for the overall economy.

The consequence is that such frontier-based development and resource exploitation in many present-day low and middle-income economies often falls far short of the minimum conditions for attaining sustainable development. What little rents have been generated from this development process have not led to sufficient investments in other productive assets and in more dynamic sectors of the economy. Instead, many poor economies exhibit a “dualism within dualism” economic structure characterized by continuing dependence of the overall economy on mainly primary product exports, a large proportion of the population concentrated on fragile land, and a high degree of rural poverty. Any resource rents that are earned from frontier “reserves” are often reinvested in further land expansion and resource exploitation. The frontier remains an isolated enclave, and there are very little

economy-wide efficiency gains and benefits. In addition, this process tends to be inequitable. The resource rents accrue mainly to wealthy individuals, who have increased incentives for “rent-seeking” behavior that is in turn supported by policy distortions that reinforce the existing pattern of allocating and distributing natural resources. The poor are therefore left with marginal resources and frontier land areas to exploit, further reducing their ability to improve their livelihoods, and of course, to generate and appropriate significant rents.

This book could end with these rather pessimistic observations. However, that would be neither fruitful nor helpful to anyone interested in encouraging successful resource-based development in today’s low and middle-income economies. Instead, this book addresses an additional, very pertinent question: Is there some way in which policies and institutions in developing countries could be modified to change their current pattern of resource-based development from a “vicious” to a “virtuous” cycle? The short answer is “yes,” but not without difficulty. This requires achieving four important long-run goals:

- Reinvesting resource rents in more productive and dynamic sectors of the economy, which in turn are linked to the resource-exploiting sectors of the domestic economy.
- Developing political and legal institutions to discourage rent-seeking behavior by wealthy investors in the natural resource sectors of the economy.
- Instigating widespread reform of government policies that favor wealthier investors in markets for valuable natural resources, including arable land.
- Targeting additional policies and investments to improve the economic opportunities and livelihoods of the rural poor, rather than relying on frontier land expansion and urban migration as the principal outlet for alleviating rural poverty.

Achieving the first goal requires fostering resource-augmenting technological change, frontier-mainstay integration and economy-wide knowledge spillovers. On available evidence, this seems to be a tall order for many present-day low and middle-income economies. As discussed in the concluding chapter, however, there are three countries – Botswana, Malaysia and Thailand – that may provide instructive examples as to how this might be accomplished. As for the other three objectives, achieving them will mean overcoming pervasive policy, market and institutional distortions that, on the one hand, encourage