外语教学与研究出版社 100 牛津大学出版社

经典世界文学名著丛书

红与黑

THE RED AND THE BLACK STENDHAL

英语经典世界文学名等基本



THE RED AND THE BLACK A CHRONICLE OF THE NUMETEENTH CENTURY

Stendhal

Translated by Cather that I ter With an introduction by Jones Define

FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHING & MARKETH PRESS
OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS
外语數學与研究出版社·牛澳大學出版社

(京)新登字 155 号

関书在版编目(CIP)数据

红与獭 = THE RED AND THE BLACK / (法)司汤达 (Stendhal) 毒。一北京: 外语教学与研究出版社, 1994.10

(英语经典世界文学名著丛书) ISBN 7-5600-0909-3

I. 红… Ⅱ. 司… Ⅲ. ①长篇小说·法国·近代 ②英语·长篇小说·课外读物 N. H319、4: I

中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字(94)第 09166 号

本书由牛津大学出版科技权出版,限在中华人民共和国境内发展

This represent has been authorized to Oxford University Press for sale in the People's Republic of China only and not for expose therefrom

红与黑

外语教学与研究出版社出版发行 (北京西三环北縣 19号) 华利國际合营印刷有限公司印票 新华书房总店北京发行所经销 开本 736×960 1/32 18.5 印张 1994 年 10 月第 1 版 1994 年 10 月第 1 次印刷 印載: 1mm/20000 景

ESBN 7 - 5606 - 6909 - 3/11-486

推奪: 以.体元。

斯汤达(1783-1842)原名品利--马利·贝尔、 悬 19 世纪法国杰出的批判现实主义文学的先鞭。 作家。他出身有产者家庭,其父为律师,思想保 守。斯汤达 17 岁丧母, 少时生活由外视父照顾。 外祖父思想较开明,推崇启董思魏震,对斯涵达 影响很大。中学时代起,斯汤达藏对数学、力学 和文学特别感兴趣。1799 年去巴蒙准备进工科 学校深遊, 结果参了军, 追隨他所崇拜的拿破仑 远征意大利、德国、奥战利和健园。 1821 年返回 巴黎。1830年七月革命后得一牲意領事职务: 1831 年在惠罗马不派的瓷雕塔宅基要小罐相任 法国领事, 直至终其天年。斯汤达的著作大致可 分两类: 类是杂著,如 1815 年出版的商乐家传 记(准顿、莫扎特、梅达斯太斯的生平);1817年完 成的(意大利绘画史)和三大名城游记(罗马、那 不勒斯、佛罗伦萨》,以及 1829 年出版的(罗马港 步)等。另 类是文学作品,主要有:(阿尔基斯) (1827)、(红与黑)(1830)、(巴马蜂道院)(1839)和 (昌西安・娄万)(1894 出版)等。

斯汤达是现实主义大师,他的文学技巧在主"从内部"(心理分析)揭示主人公的性格。他的作品结构严谨,风格干净利索,有数学般的请师性和准确性。

斯汤达生前没有得到广泛承认,到了 19 世纪中叶之后,批评家才发现了他的价值。如今,"斯汤达学"在法国以及其他很多国家已成为一个重要的研究领域。

内容简介

本书讲述的是 1814--1830 年波房王朝复辟 时期的故事

小说主人公于连为小业上索黑尔之子,自幼与家人失和,随西郎神甫学习拉;文 18 岁时,离家独立谋生,到维立叶尔城市长德,瑞那先年府上当家庭教师。不久与市长夫人定生暧昧关系,事情败露后,进神学院学习,为院长彼拉神甫所攀重。后彼拉神甫受排挤离开神学院,便把他介绍给巴黎复辟王朝的一位侯爵任私人秘书、侯爵赏识于连的聪明才智,诱使他参加了极端保守派策划的政治阴谋。侯府小姐妈特尔对他见钟情,在浪漫色彩的热情中,奉献了爱情

玛特尔怀孕的消息使侯爵勃然大兴、但他树不过宠惯了的女儿、答应了女儿、开培婚的要求。甚至把于连伪装成费总、委以重年。由当上连踌躇满志的时候,教会的特多组织耶稣会强迫德·瑞那夫人写了一封密信揭露于连之规。于连平步者云的美梦顿成泡影。他在异常气愤的精神状态下开枪打伤了他唯一钟情的德·瑞那夫人,当脚被捕入狱。

于進本有希望获教,但为了清除支心上的内 疾,他认为虚伪地偷牛不如勇敢频列点、于是毫 无惧色地走上了断头台

小说中的"红"与"黑"对照鲜创一约"代表充满英雄业绩的资产阶级革命。代表拿数仓帝国和武功;"黑"代表法国波劳王朝的复数时期。于连的悲剧在于,他想极力繁阳贵族资产阶级,而又为这个阶级所不容。

INTRODUCTION

THE RED AND THE BLACK is a shocking novel. One of the principal shocks which it administers comes in Book II. Chapter 35, and the reader who is not already privy to the nature of this shock would be well advised to treat this introduction as a postface. For, as Stendhal himself wrote: 'the essential thing about a novel must be that the reader who begins it one evening should stay up all night to finish it: to reveal a novel's plot in advance would therefore be tantamount to robbing him of the greater part of his interest in it.'

To reveal it in the case of The Red and the Black would be robbery indeed. One of the principal themes of the novel concerns the value of unpredictability in an age of the only too predictable, and one of its intended delights for the Happy Few' to whom it is dedicated is precisely the liberating effect of surprise upon the imagination. 'The novel is like a bow,' wrote Stendhal, 'the body of the violin which gives back the sounds is the reader's soul.' The Red and the Black's status as a World's Classic depends substantially on the moral and aesthetic worth of its shockingness, and a reader coming to this novel for the first time will need to have undergone some state of shock before he or she can consult the sounds given back by their soul, the better then to decide whether its classic status is justified.

When The Red and the Black was first published on 13 November 1830, it was a novel ahead of its time. In a curious way this was literally so since its title-page bore the date 1831 and a reconstruction of the historical time-scale within the novel suggests that the events of the last few chapters take place also in 1831. But it was ahead of its time principally because it was uncomfortably topical, and topicality is the aspect of the novel which Stendhal stressed when he tried to have his own review of it published anonymously in a Florentine literary review two years later. 'The author', he writes,

On 'the Happy Few', see note to p. 1 on p 530.

'dared recount an adventure which took place in 1830.' Even more daringly the author pulled no punches in his depiction of contemporary society, and this 'Chronicle of 1830' presents a comprehensive and damning account of France at the time. Stendhal spent much of his life in Italy, but between 21 November 1821 and 6 November 1830 he had lived in Paris. His chronicle is based on first-hand experience and the information of well-placed friends.

The reader meets a wide variety of social representatives ranging from the inmates of Valenod's workhouse to the king himself, and while each level of society appears superficially different, hypocrisy, deviousness and callous self-interest are omnipresent. This is part of 'the truth, the truth in all its harshness' proclaimed by the first epigraph in the novel. Julien Sorel's mercenary father with his peasant cunning, the semmarists who wish for a quiet life and a full stomach, the counter-revolutionary aristocrats plotting the invasion of their own country. Rênal and Valenod swapping political parties for their opportunistic convenience, these are the paltry players in the sordid drama of post-Napoleonic France Chélan, Pirard and Chas-Bernard provide honourable exceptions for the Church and, among the vapid youth of Restoration Paris, Croisenois at least is man enough to die defending Mathilde's reputation, but generally the picture is bleak. Add to this the industrialization of Verrières and the environmental nonchalance of its mayor, the increasing power of the new money and its tasteless attempts to imitate the old, the propagandistic purpose and architectural inadequacy of the restoration of the abbey at Bray-le-Haut, the feud between the Jansenists and the Jesuits, and the all-pervasive influence of the Jesuits' secret society, the Congregation, and the sheer scope of Stendhal's indictment becomes readily apparent.

One important element seems, however, to be missing: the July Revolution of 1830. Where are those three 'Giorious Days' which saw the overthrow of the reactionary Bourbon king Charles X, his replacement by the supposedly more fiberal Orleanist Louis-Philippe and the advent of the so-called Bour geois Monarchy? Nowhere, except for an ironically under stated and dismissive reference in the fictional Publisher's Note

with which the novel begins. And why are there two sub-titles: 'A Chronicle of the Nineteenth Century' and 'A Chronicle of 1830'? Are they perhaps satirically synonymous? Even this momentous year has changed nothing: regimes may come and go, but cant and conventionality still rule.

The marked topicality of The Red and the Black may not always strike a modern reader, of course, but if one substitutes the politics and personalities of one's own day and thinks what one's reaction might be then, it becomes evident that Stendhal was playing with fire. He was also breaking new ground. As Erich Auerbach has stated in his celebrated study Minesis: 'in so far as the serious realism of modern times cannot represent man otherwise than as embedded in a total reality, political, social and economic, which is concrete and constantly evolving—as is the case today [1946] in any novel or film—Stendhal is its founder.' No wonder the author of The Red and the Black thought that his literary merits would not be recognized for another fifty years. The contemporary reader might, like Balzac, have seen the pertinence of Stendhal's 'chronicie', but he may well have been too caught up personally in the issues presented to be able to view them within the larger and less timebound context to which the novel also offers imaginative access.

Be that as it may, the contemporary reader would almost certainly have been disconcerted, not to say scandalized, by the main story which the novel has to tell-namely, how a carpenter's son attempts to inurder his ex-mistress, the mayor's wife, during Mass. That was simply not what novelists should be writing about, and anyway, of course, the whole thing was quite implausible. Here, however, the laugh would have been on the reader since the story is based on, and the novel originally inspired by, two court cases which Stendhal read about in the Gasette des Tribunaux. This publication, which appeared every weekday and contained full and largely reliable accounts of court proceedings, provided Stendhal with some of his favourite reading matter. He found it 'very entertaining' and to be both incontrovertible testimony to the power of human passion, which the decorum of polite society but thinly concealed and contained, and an invaluable source of

information about the everyday lives of ordinary French men and women.

The two cases which caught the novelist's attention concerned Antoine Berthet and Adrien Lafargue, two murderers who met with remarkably different fates. Berthet was a short, thin man with a pale complexion, the son of a blacksmith in Brangues. He had spent four years in a seminary in Grenoble training to be a priest when, at the age of 21, ill health forced him to leave; and his protector, the village priest, secured him a post as tutor to one of the children of M. and M. Michoud. a well-to-do couple who lived in Brangues. Whether Mme Michoud became his mistress remains uncertain, but some aspect of their relationship led to Berthet's dismissal after a year. After two years in another seminary, Berthet returned to Brangues in 1825 and began to write to Mae Michoud accusing her of having got him the sack and of being the mistress of his successor as tutor. There followed a series of reverses: expulsion from another seminary in Grenoble after one month. dismissal-again after one year-from a post as tutor to the de Cordon family, possibly because he seduced Mae de Cordon and possibly after M. de Cordon had received a letter from Mme Michoud. Although M. Michoud was trying behind the scenes to help Berthet and actually got him a job working for a notary, Berthet became increasingly bitter and blamed his repeated failure to be accepted by a seminary (and the consequent frustration of his ambition to become a priest) on More Michoud, whom he now repeatedly threatened to murder. On Sunday 22 July 1827, during Mass in the church at Brangues, Antoine Berthet shot Mine Michoud twice and then himself. Both survived. Berthet was subsequently found guilty of attempted murder 'with all aggravating circumstances' and sentenced to death. He was executed on 23 February 1828.

Adrien Lafargue was treated rather more leniently than Berthet. A cabinet-maker by trade, he was a good-looking, well-spoken young man of 25 whose work had brought him temporarily to the town of Bagnères-de-Bigorre in the Pyrenees. At his lodgings the daughter of the house, called Thérèse, was a married woman who claimed to have been left by her husband. She took to Lafargue, and they became lovers.

Though he had a fiancée in Bayonne, Lafargue became sincerely attached to Therese and was therefore all the more put out one morning to find her in bed with a painter. Accepting her story that this was a former lover whose sentimental appeal to their shared past had vanquished her scruples, Lafargue forgave her. On his uncle's advice he then moved out of the lodgings, but continued to see Thérèse. She, however, tired of him, particularly when he refused to lend her some money, and soon she had the police forbid him to see her or to enter her house. Embittered by what he saw as an abuse of his sincerity and tolerance and bent on ridding the world of 's nasty piece of work', Lafargue resolved to shoot her. On 21 lanuary 1829 he went to her in her room. He fired once and missed, fired a second time and killed her. Fearing she was not dead, he then slit her throat. After this, as he had intended, he shot himself, but there was only powder in the pistol and he survived. He was found guilty of voluntary but unpremeditated manslaughter under grave duress, and sentenced to a mere five years' imprisonment.

The Berthet case provided Stendhał with the main shape of his plot together with many incidental details, while the Lafargue case led him to speculate that energy and strength of purpose of the kind once evinced by Napoleon were now to be found only amongst the working classes, and that the great men of the future would come not from the etiolated ranks of the aristocracy or the bourgeoisie but from those whoise characters were still forged on the anvil of an unsheltered existence. Such is part of the message of The Red and the Black, and indeed of Julien Sorel's speech at his trial. Energy, sincerity, imagination and a certain nobility of soul: these are the qualities so lacking in the world of Verrières and Paris, yet these are the qualities which are absolutely necessary to the pursuit of happiness.

Plainly Julien Sorel has these qualities himself, and Stendhal's unflinching exposé of what, in his proposed review, he called 'a land of affectation and pretension' is illuminated by the central presence of this young and energetic hero. Julien sets out to conquer the society of his time by playing it at its own game of hypocrisy while yet remaining free from moral

taint by virtue of his own lucidity. Just when it seems that he may have lost this lucidity, he rejects the false image of himself contained within M^{me} de Rênal's letter to the Marquis de la Mole and in an act of murderous passion recovers his real self. The violence of the deed stands as testimony to its integrity, and the aftermath—the willing acceptance of responsibility, the discovery of happiness, the poetic remembrance of things past—points to a form of authenticity that is the quarry of every Stendhalian hero.

More than one hundred and fifty years after The Red and the Black first appeared this has now become the orthodox way to read the novel, but in 1830 it would not have been an easy lesson for the reader to assimilate. Consequently he might well have been left cold by the numerous comic aspects which enliven the narrative (such as Julien's trouserless departure at the end of Book I or the various shenanigans with ladders), and which combine with its more tragic moments to provoke that blend of laughter and tears which Stendhal so treasured as an effect of the opera buffa of Mozart and Cimarosa. Equally the narrator's delicious sense of irony may have struck the reader as simply irritating. For The Red and the Black to work. he or she has got to have some sympathy for its central character, and if a sense of moral outrage takes over, then the scandalized reader is suffering from that emotion which Stendhal repeatedly stated that he least wanted to stir: 'impotent hatred'

Such a reader may also have been put off by another shocking aspect of the novel: its style. The Red and the Black may not describe the July Revolution, but it was itself a revolution. The bastions of supposed good taste and novelistic propriety are stormed with resolve. Not for Stendhal the sonorous periods of Chateaubriand and the rhetorical grand gestures of Victor Hugo. Not for him either the navel-regarding intricacies of confessional novels like Chateaubriand's René and their anguished portraits of pathological passivity. He had already poked fun at these in his first novel Armance (1827). Instead he aimed now at a narrative which would have something of the energy and directness of its low-born protagonist. While he later felt that he might have gone

too far and that his prose in *The Red-and the Black* had been too angular and staccato in effect, he need not have feared, because he succeeded in producing a narrative whose lean and vigorous tone and constant forward impetus not only suggest the no-nonsense approach of the young man in a hurry but have also prevented the novel from dating. We may no longer have an immediate sense of the boldness of the novel's topicality, but we cannot fail to be aware of its principal stylistic hallmark; its presentness.

This presentness is apparent from the very first page of the novel. You are there walking up the main street of Verrières, vou can see M. de Rênal, vou can hear the dreadful din of his nail factory. The first chapter and a half of the novel are in the present tense, but even after the narrative has moved through a series of subtly modulated changes of temporal gear into the conventional mode of a story-in-the-past, the sense of presentness remains and is constantly reinforced throughout the novel. The present tense dominates The Red and the Black. It is the tense of the narrator and his ubiquitous interpolations, be they geographical (about Verrières, Paris, or even the Rhine), sociological (about the behaviour patterns of provincials or Parisians or about how seminarists eat a boiled egg), sententious (life is like this, or that) or simply chatty (by the way, I forgot to tell you, I must confess that...). It is the tense also of the putative reader to whom reference is periodically made in the course of the novel (you think Julien is being silly, you don't like these reception rooms), and it is the tense of the characters themselves-in their dialogue, their interior monologues, and their letters.

The sense of urgent actuality which is so characteristic of The Red and the Black—and which makes it such a good read—is created in further ways. There is almost no anticipation of subsequent events in the novel, so that the narrator comes over not as someone already in the know but as one who is as eager as we are to get on with things. The future, it seems, is as unpredictable for him as for us. By the same token he takes care not to delay us with flashbacks. There are a number at the beginning, inevitably, when he has to fill us in on some of the background, but mostly the narrative obeys the

rules of the chronicle, which by definition is 'a detailed and continuous register of events in order of time' (OED). On five occasions, however, it is almost as if the narrator has been overtaken by events, and we find him being obliged to interrupt the onward surge of the narrative to go back and supply supplementary detail. These five occasions are five key moments in the plot: Julien's first visit to M^{re} de Rénal's bedroom, Mathilde's declaration of love to Julien by letter, the shooting of M^{re} de Rénal, the day of the trial, and the execution of Julien. In each case the shock value of a major turning-point is preserved by postponing narration of the preliminary events which immediately lead up to-it.

Throughout the novel we are continually being surprised and kept on our toes in this way. The pace of the narrative is extraordinarily rapid, in places quite implausibly—and entertainingly—so, and the viewpoint from which the events are recounted varies constantly. One minute we are unmersed in Julien's thoughts, the next he has already written the letter he was thinking of and we are learning of the recipient's reaction. Sometimes such a switch will occur within a single sentence, and there may be several within the shortest of paragraphs. By the sheer speed and unpredictability of its unfolding *The Red and the Black* creates that very excitement and imaginative zestfulness which it finds so deplorably absent from the world it describes.

The reader may meet with other surprises. One of the main lessons of the novel would seem to be that it is dangerous to preconceive the future. As Julien reflects in prison upon his past life, he realizes that he was distracted from the happiness and fulfillment he could have found with M^{me} de Rênal by his overriding ambition to seek fame and fortune. Because his head was filled with all sorts of fantasies, many of them derived from what he had heard and read about Napoleon, he was less able to appreciate the value of what reality was offering him. As if to reinforce this lesson Stendhal plays on his reader's expectations within the novel in such a way as to lead him or her into similar error. Repeatedly we are inveigled into speculating about Julien's future, both by what some of the characters predict for him and by the parallels which immediately

suggest themselves between his life and that of various historical and literary figures.

Thus the various references to Julien's desire to seek fame and fortune, together with the recurring possibility that he is a foundling, put one in mind-and would most certainly have put a reader of 1830 in mind-of the typical circhteenthcentury novel plot which is so playfully exemplified in one of Stendhal's favourite novels, Fielding's Tom Jones. Is The Red and the Black to be another novel about the parvenu, we may wonder. Or are we reading the biography of another Napoleon, the man whom Julien so much admires? Or of another Richelieu? Or perhaps of a revolutionary hero in the mould of Danton, or Robespierre, or Mirabeau? Just before Julien shoots Mon de Rênal, it seems that many of these predictions may have been correct. The parvenu has arrived: money and title, an officer's rank and the most brilliant match in Paris, all are his. 'When you come to think about it,' he reflects, 'my story's ended, and all the credit goes to me alone' (11.34).

But then comes the letter from Man de Renal, written at the dictation of her confessor and describing him as another Tartuffe. This portrait is so at variance with the person he believes himself to be that he goes off to destroy the supposed purveyor of this distorted image by doing the last thing one would expect a mercenary and falsely pious hypocrite to do. While he then spends the remainder of the novel trying to sort out who he really is ('to see clearly into the depths of his soul': II. 44), we also have to answer the same questions: who is Inlien? what does he stand for? We see that there is no substance in the idea that he is a foundling, we remember that he has been thoroughly uninterested in money all along, we note that, unlike Napoleon, he owes his commission to patronage not prowess and that he resembles him only in so far as he resembles Mathilde's father imitating him at parties, and we recognize that, while Julien may have a chip on his shoulder. he is no political radical and has none of the idealism of a Danton. Even his speech at the trial, we are carefully informed. is an act of bravado brought on by the insolent look in the eyes of the gloating Valenod. Nor is he indeed Tartuffe. His ambition to 'make his fortune' is a nebulous bovish dream of somehow bettering himself, in all senses, not the project of a would-be property tycoon.

The shooting of M'* de Rênal explodes our preconceptions of the end of the novel just as surely as it does Julien's, and it is for this reason that foreknowledge of it may falsify a first reading of The Red and the Black. Subsequent readings, on the other hand, may bring one no nearer to understanding it. Critical opinion about this crucial turn of events has varied widely in respect both of its significance and of its aesthetic merits. The notorious view expressed by Emile Faguet at the end of the last century was that the shooting was implausible and provided irrefutable evidence of novelestic amateurishness Quite definitely not one of the Happy Few, Faguet argued that a clever schemer like Julien would be mad to throw it all away because of a bad reference from More de Rênai. What's more. the Marquis de la Mole still had a pregnant daughter to marry off and the daughter in question had pretty firm views as to whom she wanted for a husband. Since Fague, a number of people have tried to defend Stendhal by saying that Julien is indeed mad, that is, that he acts in a kind of somnambulistic trance and is therefore not entirely responsible for his actions. While there is some evidence in the text for Julien being in something of a state, it is insufficient to sustain such a thesis. More persuasive are those who have argued an terms of an act of vengeance and who have noted that, because Mme de Rênal is a woman. Julien is denied the opportunity of clearing his name by challenging the offender to a duel. Most persuasive of all, perhaps, is the view that the very inexplicability of the acmakes it true to life. It is a crime of passion and, as such, not reducible to the tidy comprehensibility of the rational. By the same token, on the level of novelistic technique, it constitutes an act of defiance, a refusal to tell the story as if it were like many other similar stories, an assertion that the central event of this novel is unique: just as its main character is not like other heroes of history and literature but is, as he is so often described in the book, someone quite out of the ordinary

But what lessons can we draw from the experiences of this man who shoots the woman he loves? That we should not preconceive our lives, that we should live for the moment and

be ready to pounce on those fleeting moments of happiness which life occasionally offers? That love, and love alone, holds the key? Yes, partly, but the rich and subtle ironies, indeed the comedy and the pathos, of The Red and the Black derive substantially from the ambiguity surrounding these questions. True, at the end of the novel it does seem as if imagination, that error which 'bears the mark of a superior man' (II, 19) as the narrator calls it, is to be mistrusted. Imagined futures have led both Julien and the reader astray, and Mathilde's desperate determination to relive the violent romance of her sixteenthcentury ancestors begins to look increasingly suspect and sterile. She alone is not surprised by the shooting, for it corresponds to so many of her fantasies, yet these bear little relation now to the increasingly authentic nature of Julien's experience. Like him we too may be 'tired of heroism' (11, 39). But earlier in the novel Mathilde's energy and imagination seemed commendable, as did her disdainful rejection of easy mediocrity. Were we wrong to commend her? No, just as we may not be right to see Julien discovering any universally applicable recipe for happiness at the end of the novel.

For why in fact did Julien pass up the happiness on offer at Vergy? Because he might have been bored. He himself reflects on this question:

Could happiness be so near at hand?... A life like this doesn't involve much by way of expenditure; I can choose whether to marry Mth Elisa [Mth de Rénal's maid] or become Fouqué's partner... But a traveller who has just climbed a steep mountain sits down at the summit and finds perfect pleasure in resting. Would be be happy if forced to rest for ever?' (1. 23)

For all that Vergy epitomizes some cherished Stendhalian values and for all that Julien's pursuit of happiness could well have ended there, imagination says no. Energy, curiosity and exploration are as important as the trusting repose of reciprocated love. It may even be better to travel than to arrive. The pursuit may matter more than the happiness.

However perfect the view from the mountain-top, there are other peaks to climb, and Julien's wise analogy points to the tragic disjunction between happiness and imagination which

lies at the very heart of The Red and the Black and is its principal concern. From the start Julien has been faced with an ancient dilemma: 'like Hercules, he found himself with a choice-not between vice and virtue, but between the unrelieved mediocrity of guaranteed well-being, and all the heroic dreams of his youth' (1, 12). Heroically he defends himself against the lure of 'dreary caution' (1, 14), heroically he abandons the bliss of Vergy, and heroically he rejects the worldly achievements of M. Julien Sorel de la Vernaye: 'in short, what made Julien a superior being was precisely what prevented him from savouring the happiness which came his way. Every inch the young girl of sixteen who has delightful colouring, and is foolish enough to put on rouge to go to a ball' (1, 15). This rouge is the added highlight that imagination lends to life; not the knowing artifice of black ambition but the gratuitous and unthinking enhancement of blood-red vitality, the wanton assertion that there is more to be had from life even than all the bounty life may already have bestowed. It is make-up as make-believe. And it is this very quality of uncalculating passion which brings Julien the red ribbon of the cross of the Legion of Honour to wear against the unyielding blackness of his clerical habit, a sign of life to cheer the 'uniform of [his] century' (11, 13) which he wears 'like someone in mourning' 11. 1). For the Marquis de la Moie, when Julien appears before him in red and black, he is an equal, an aristocrat by nature, a 'superior man', and for us too. He belongs to that other Legion of Honour, the one founded by Stendhal after the manner of Napoleon, whose members, though not legion, make it a point of honour to judge life with [their] imagination' (11-19) and whose names-Octave (in Armance), Julien, Lucien (in Lucien Leuwen, and Fabrice (in The Charterhouse of Parma)-have a Roman ring to recall the energy and virtà upon which an earlier empire was founded.

Alas, Julien also resembles that other young Roman, St Clement. The real St Clement was the third pope and in no way military, but the statue of Stendhal's saint is depicted as representing a 'young Roman soldier' who has met a violent end: 'he had a gaping wound in his neck which seemed to be oozing blood' (1. 18). This last detail, the thousand candies