景美美佛美大辭典

A DICTIONARY OF ORINESE BUDDINST TERMS

新文墨出版公司项行

最漢英佛學大辭典

A DICTIONARY OF CHINESE BUDDHIST TERMS

新文 豐 出 版 公 司 印行

最新漢英佛學大辭典: A Dictionary of Chinese

Buddhist terms/ William Edward Soothill,

Lewis Hodous [編].--臺一版.--臺北市;新

文豐,民71

面; 公分

ISBN 957-17-0628-0 (精裝)

1.佛教一字典, 辭典

220.4

81002152

A DICTIONARY OF CHINESE BUDDHIST TERMS

最新漢英佛學大辭典 精全一册基價 15 元

LONDONKEGANPAUL.

原 出 版: TRENCH, TRUBNER & CO.,LTD.

編 著 者: Williom Edward Soothill, Lewis Hodous

發 行 者: 髙 釗

發 行 及 [→] Ki:新 文 出 版 司

公司:臺 北 市 街

> 電 話:23060757 · 23088624 門市部:臺北市羅斯福路一段20號8樓

> 電 話:23415293 · 23415294

傳 真:23023870 · 23568076

台北郵政3 信

登記證:局版臺業字第 0 6 4 9

郵政劃撥:0 1 0 0

綱址:http://www.swfc.com.tw

E-mail address:swfc@swfc.com.tw

本書若有破損或缺頁,煩請寄回更換,謝謝!

公元 $^{1982}_{1998}$ 民($^{71}_{87}$)年 $^{9月}_{7月}$ 影印 $^{-}$ 版三刷

A DICTIONARY

OF

CHINESE BUDDHIST TERMS

WITH SANSKRIT AND ENGLISH EQUIVALENTS
AND A SANSKRIT-PALI INDEX

COMPILED BY

WILLIAM EDWARD SOOTHILL

M.A. Oxon, Hon. M.A. CANTAB.

late Professor of Chinese Language and Literature, Oxford University

AND

LEWIS HODOUS

Professor of the Philosophy of Religion, Hartford Seminary Foundation, Hartford, Conn.

LONDON

KEGAN PAUL, TRENCH, TRUBNER & CO., LTD.

PROFESSOR SOOTHILL'S PREFACE

As compilers of the first Dictionary of Chinese Mahayana Terms, we are far from considering our attempt as final. Our desire has been to provide a key for the student with which to unlock a closed door. If, it serves to reveal the riches of the great Buddhist thesaurus in China, we will gladly leave to others the correction and perfecting of our instrument. It was Dr. E. J. Eitel, of the London Missionary Society, who over sixty years ago, in 1870, provided the first means in English of studying Chinese Buddhist texts by his Handbook for the Student of Chinese Buddhism. It has been of great service; but it did not deal with Chinese Buddhist terminology in general. In form it was Sanskrit-Chinese-English, and the second edition unhappily omitted the Chinese-Sanskrit Index which was essential for the student reading the Chinese Sutras.¹

Lacking a dictionary of Chinese Buddhist terms, it was small wonder that the translation of Chinese texts has made little progress, important though these are to the understanding of Mahayana Buddhism, especially in its Far Eastern development. Two main difficulties present themselves: first of all, the special and peculiar use of numerous ordinary Chinese terms; and, secondly, the large number of transliterated phrases.

In regard to the first difficulty, those who have endeavoured to read Chinese texts apart from the apprehension of a Sanskrit background have generally made a fallacious interpretation, for the Buddhist canon is basically translation, or analogous to translation. In consequence, a large number of terms existing are employed approximately to connote imported ideas, as the various Chinese translators understood Various translators invented different terms; and, even when the same term was finally adopted, its connotation varied, sometimes widely, from the Chinese term or phrase as normally used by the Chinese. For instance, kleśa undoubtedly has a meaning in Sanskrit similar to that of 煩 惱, i.e. affliction, distress, trouble. In Buddhism affliction (or, as it may be understood from Chinese, the afflicters, distressers, troublers) means the passions and illusions; and consequently fan-nuo in Buddhist phraseology has acquired this technical connotation of the passions and Many terms of a similar character will be noted in the body of this work. Consequent partly on this use of ordinary terms, even a well-educated Chinese without a knowledge of the technical equivalents finds himself unable to understand their implications.

¹ A reprint of the second edition, incorporating a Chinese Index, was published in Japan in 1904, but is very scarce.

A difficulty equally serious is the transliteration of Sanskrit, a difficulty rendered far greater by the varied versions of many translators. Take, for instance, the word "Buddha" and its transliteration as 佛; 佛陀; 浮陀, 浮風, 浮風, 物陀, 物默, 郁陀, 母 院, 没 默, and so on. The pages of the Chinese canon are peppered with such transliterations as these from the Sanskrit, in regrettable variety. The position resembles that of Chinese terminology in Modern Science, which was often transliteration twenty or thirty years ago, when I drew the attention of the Board of Education in Peking to the need of a regulated terminology for Science. Similarly, in pages devoid of capitals, quotation-marks, or punctuation, transliterated Sanskrit-into-Chinese may well seem to the uninitiated, whether Chinese or foreign, to be ordinary phrases out of which no meaning can be drawn.

Convinced, therefore, that until an adequate dictionary was in existence, the study of Far Eastern Buddhist texts could make little progress amongst foreign students in China, I began the formation of such a work. In 1921 1 discovered in Bodley's Library, Oxford, an excellent version of the 翻譯名義集Fan I Ming I Chi, i.e. Translation of Terms and Meanings, composed by 法署 Fa-yün, circa the tenth century A.D. At the head of each entry in the volume I examined, some one, I know not whom, had written the Sanskrit equivalent in Sanskrit letters. These terms were at once added to my own card index. Unhappily the writer had desisted from his charitable work at the end of the third volume, and the remaining seven volumes I had laboriously to decipher with the aid of Stanislas Julien's Méthode pour dechiffrer et transcrire les noms sanscrits qui se rencontrent dans les livres chinois, 1861, and various dictionaries, notably that of Monier Williams. Not then possessed of the first edition of Eitel's Handbook, I also perforce made an index of the whole of his book. Later there came to my knowledge the admirable work of the Japanese 粮 田 帮 舱 Oda Tokunō in his 俄 教 大 縣 央; and also the Chinese version based upon it of T 福 保 Ting Fu-pao, called the 佛 學 大 縣 典 in sixteen volumes; also the 佛 學 小 縣 真 in one volume. Apart from these, it would have been difficult for Dr. Hodous and myself to have collaborated in the production of this work. Other dictionaries and vocabularies have since appeared, not least the first three fascicules of the Hobogirin, the Japanese-Sanskrit-French Dictionary of Buddhism.

When my work had made considerable progress, Dr. Y. Y. Tsu called upon me and in the course of conversation mentioned that Dr. Hodous, of Hartford Theological Seminary, Connecticut, U.S.A., who had spent many years in South China and studied its religions, was also engaged on a Buddhist Dictionary. After some delay and correspondence, an arrangement was made by which the work was divided between us, the final editing and publishing being allotted to me. Lack of time and funds has prevented our studying the Canon, especially historically, or engaging a staff of competent Chinese Buddhist scholars to study it for the purpose. We are consequently all too well aware that the Dictionary is not as perfect or complete as it might be.

Nevertheless, it seems better to encourage the study of Chinese Buddhism as early as possible by the provision of a working dictionary rather than delay the publication perhaps for years, until our ideals are satisfied—a condition which might never be attained.

We therefore issue this Compendium—for it is in reality more than a Dictionary—in the hope that many will be stimulated to devote time to a subject which presents so fascinating a study in the development of religion.

My colleague and collaborator, Dr. Hodous, took an invaluable share in the draft of this work, and since its completion has carefully read over the whole of the typed pages. It may, therefore, be considered as the common work of both of us, for which we accept a common responsibility. It seemed scarcely possible for two men living outside China, separated by 2,000 miles of ocean, and with different mentalities and forms of expression, to work together to a successful conclusion. The risky experiment was hesitatingly undertaken on both sides, but we have been altogether happy in our mutual relations.

To Dr. F. W. Thomas, Boden Professor of Sanskrit, Oxford University, I am deeply indebted for his great kindness in checking the Sanskrit terminology. He is in no way responsible for the translation from the Chinese; but his comments have led to certain corrections, and his help in the revision of the proper spelling of the Sanskrit words has been of very great importance. In the midst of a busy life, he has spared time, at much sacrifice, to consider the Sanskrit phrases throughout the entire work, except certain additional words that have since come to my notice. As an outstanding authority, not only on the Sanskrit language, but on Tibetan Buddhism and the Tibetan language, his aid has been doubly welcome. Similarly, Dr. Hodous wishes specially to thank his colleague at Trinity College, Hartford, Conn., Dr. LeRoy Carr Barret, for the generous assistance he rendered in revising the Sanskrit terms in his section of our joint work, and for his well-considered and acceptable comments and suggestions.

Dr. Lionel Giles, Keeper of the Department of Oriental Printed Books and MSS.. British Museum, illustrious son of an illustrious parent, has also our special appreciation, for he magnanimously undertook to read the proofs. He brings his own ripe scholarship and experienced judgment to this long labour; and the value and precision of the Dictionary will undoubtedly be enhanced through his accurate and friendly supervision.

Next, we would most gratefully acknowledge the gift of Mrs. Paul de Witt Twinem, of Trenton, New Jersey, U.S.A. She has subscribed a sum of money which has made the publication of our work possible. To this must be added further aid in a very welcome subvention from the Prize Publication Fund of the Royal Asiatic Society. Such a practical expression of encouragement by fellow-orientalists is a matter of particular gratification.

Our thanks are due to Mr. Zu-liang Yih 葉 樹 梁, who with accuracy, zeal, and faithfulness has written the large number of Chinese characters needed. To the Hon. Mrs. Wood I am grateful for help in the exacting task of transcribing. As to my daughter, Lady Hosie, I have no words to express my personal indebtedness to her. Without her loving and unflagging aid as amanuensis, I should have been unable to finish my part in this work, which—so the authors hope—will once again demonstrate the implicit and universal need of the human spirit for religion, and its aspirations towards the Light that "lighteth every man that cometh into the world"

W. E. SOOTHILL.

Oxford, England, 1934.

PROFESSOR HODOUS'S PREFACE

AFTER the Dictionary went to press, Professor Soothill died. The work on the Dictionary, however, was completed. For ten years we worked together, he at Oxford and I at Hartford, and the manuscript crossed the Atlantic four times. During his semester in New York as Visiting Professor in Columbia University and on my brief visit to Oxford, we had opportunity to consult together on some outstanding problems. The work of organizing the material and harmonizing the differences was done by Professor Soothill. He was well equipped to undertake the task of producing a Buddhist Dictionary. having a thorough knowledge of the Chinese language. His Pocket Chinese Dictionary is still in use. He knew Chinese culture and religion. He possessed a keen sense for the significant and a rare ability to translate abstruse terms into terse English. But even more valuable was his profound insight into and deep sympathy with the religious life and thought of another people.

The text and the indexes were again finally revised during his last long illness by Lady Hosie under his supervision. He was able also to appreciate the kind collaboration of Dr. Lionel Giles on the earlier proof-sheets. But his death meant a vastly increased amount of work for Dr. Giles who, on the other side of the Atlantic from myself, has had to assume a responsibility quite unexpected by himself and by us. For two to three years, with unfailing courtesy and patience, he has considered and corrected the very trying pages of the proofs, while the Dictionary was being printed. He gave chivalrously of his long knowledge both of Buddhism and of the Chinese literary characters. He adds yet another laurel to the cause of Chinese learning and research. And in the same way Professor F. W. Thomas bore the brunt of the Sanskrit proof-reading. We have indeed been fortunate to have had our work checked in extenso by such exacting scholars.

To Sir E. Denison Ross, who kindly looked over the proofs, and added certain welcome corrections, our thanks are due. Also we would wish to acknowledge the help of Mr. L. M. Chefdeville, who, putting his experience of various Oriental languages at our disposal, made many helpful suggestions, especially as regards the Indexes. Nor do we forget the fidelity and careful work of the printers, Messrs. Stephen Austin and Sons, who collaborated with us in every way in our desire to produce a volume a little worthy of its notable subject.

Our object is well expressed by my late colleague. The difficulties in the production of the book were not small. Buddhism has a long history. Its concepts were impregnated by different cultures, and expressed in different languages. For about a thousand years

Buddhism dominated the thought of China, and her first-rate minds were occupied with Buddhist philosophy. For a period it lagged; but to-day is in a different position from what it was a generation ago. Buddhism is no longer a decadent religion and in certain countries it is making considerable progress. It is therefore to be hoped that this Dictionary will help to interpret Chinese culture both through the ages and to-day.

Hartford, Connecticut, 1937.

LEWIS Hodous.

METHOD AND NOTES

- 1. The rule adopted has been to arrange the terms, first, by strokes, then by radicals, i.e.:—
 - (a) By the number of strokes in the initial character of a term; then,
 - (b) According to its radical.

Thus 佛 will be found under seven strokes and under the i radical; 法 under eight strokes and the i radical; 爱 under thirteen strokes and the i radical. A page index is provided showing where changes in the number of strokes occur.

- 2. A list of difficult characters is provided.
- 3. An index of the Sanskrit terms is given with references to the Chinese text.
- 4. A limited number of abbreviations have been used, which are self-evident, e.g. tr. for translation, translator, etc.; translit. for translateration, translaterate, etc.; abbrev. for abbreviation; intp. for interpreted or interpretation; u.f. for used for. "Eitel" refers to Dr. Eitel's Handbook of Chinese Buddhism; "M.W." to Monier-Williams' Sanskrit-English Dictionary; "Keith" to Professor A. Berriedale Keith's Buddhist Philosophy; "Getty" to Miss Alice Getty's The Gods of Northern Buddhism; B.D. to the 佛 學 大 辭 典; B.N. to Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue.
- 5. Where characters are followed by others in brackets, they are used alone or in combination; e.g. in 十 善 (正 法) the term 十 善 may be used alone or in full 十 善 正 法.
- 6. In the text a few variations occur in the romanization of Sanskrit and other non-Chinese words. These have been corrected in the Sanskrit index, which should be taken as giving the correct forms.

In this Dictionary it was not possible to follow the principle of inserting hyphens between the members of Sanskrit compound words.

INDEX OF CLASSIFICATION BY STROKES

No. of Strokes	Page	No. of Strokes	Page
1	. 1	16	446
2	10	17	455
3	54	18	464
4	103	19	470
5	164	20	476
6	199	21	483
7	223	22	486
8	248	23	487
9	295	24)	400
10	320	25	489
11	341	26	490
12	367	27)	
13	395	28	407
14	420	29	491
15	430	33	
		,	

LIST OF RADICALS

	LIST OF	RADICALS	
ONE STROKE	THREE STROKES	58. 7	85. 7 K
1. —	30. 🞵	" 手	" *
2 . j	31.	" <u>有</u>	,, ,
3	32.	59.	86. K
4. J	" <u>‡</u>	59. 5	
B. Z	33. 🛨	Four Strokes	87. 爪
" L	34. 攵	61.	, "
6. ∫	35. 交	,, †	88. 🎗
Two Strokes	36. 9	,, Ju	89. 爻
7	37. 大	62. 戈	90. 爿
8		63. F	91. 片
9. 人	38. 女	64. 手	92. 牙
» 1	39. 子 40. 	" †	98. 4
10. JL	_	65. 支	94. 犬
11. 入	41. 寸	66. 支	" 3
12. 人	42. 小	" 🏂	Five Strokes
13.	43. 尤	67. 交	95. 玄
14.	, 兀	68. 斗	96. 玉
15.	, <u>L</u>	69. 斤	,, £
1v. JL	44. 尸	70. 方	97. 瓜
17.	45. JJ	71. 无	98. 瓦
18. 刀	46.	72. 日	99. 甘
». j	47.	73.	100. 生
19. 力	,, (((74. 月	101. 用
20.	,, - ⟨⟨	75. 木	102.
21. L	48. <u>T</u> .	76. 欠	103. 疋
22.	49. 己	77. 止	104.
23.	50. †† 3	78. 歹	105. 🏸
24.	51. 干	, <i>与</i>	106.
25.	52. 幺	79. 殳	107. 皮
26. 7]	53.	80. 尹	108.
" []	54. 廴	81. 比	109. 目
27.	55. 十	82. 毛	», cm
28.	56. L	83. 氏	110. 矛
29. 又	57. 弓	84.	111. 4

112.	石
113.	デ
,	ĄÎ.
,,	}
114.	内
115.	禾
116.	冗
117.	立
Six Si	TO OW THE
118.	MC
	/or
" 119.	米
120.	糸
121.	缶
	货
,, 122.	网
"	冗
"	=
"	网
123.	羊
124.	7/7
125.	老
,,	少
126.	而
127.	未
128.	耳
129.	聿
130.	內
,,	Л
,,	Ä
131.	* *.
132.	_
133.	至
134.	白

	LIST	OF
135.	舌	
136.	-	
137.	舟	
138.	艮	
139. 140.	色	
140.	艸	
"	数	
,,	→ ►	
141.	虍	
142.	虫	
142. 143. 144.	血	
144.	行	
145.	衣	
	衤	
146.		
**	त्रिर्भ	
SEVEN S		
147	目	
147	目	
147	見角言	
	見角言谷	
147. 148. 149.	見角言谷豆	
147. 148. 149. 150. 151.	見角言谷豆	
147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152.	見角言谷豆豕豸	
147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152. 153.	見角言谷豆豕豸	
147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152. 153. 154.	見角言谷豆豕豸貝赤	
147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152. 153. 154. 155.	見角言谷豆豕豸貝赤	
147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152. 153. 154. 155. 156. 157.	見角言谷豆豕豸貝赤走足	
147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152. 153. 154. 155. 156. 157.	見角言谷豆豕豸貝赤走足身	
147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152. 153. 154. 155. 156. 157. 158.	見角言谷豆豕豸貝赤走足身車	
147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152. 153. 154. 155. 156. 157. 158. 159.	見角言谷豆豕豸貝赤走足身車辛	
147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152. 153. 154. 155. 156. 157. 158. 159. 160. 161.	見角言谷豆豕豸貝赤走足身車辛	
147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152. 153. 154. 155. 156. 157. 158. 159.	見角言谷豆豕豸貝赤走足身車	

DICALS	
163.	邑
163.	
164.	
165.	•
166.	里
Eight 8	TROKES
167.	金
168.	長
. ,,	镸
169.	門
170.	阜
"	[5 (L)
171.	_
172.	
173.	1
"	4
174.	靑
175.	非
NINE S	TROKES
176.	面
	革
178.	韋
179.	非
180.	畜
181.	頁
182.	風
183.	飛
184.	食
"	食
185.	首
186.	香
TEN S	rrokes
187:	馬
188.	骨

189.	高
190.	. •
191.	鬥
192. 193.	鬯
193.	
194.	鬼
ELEVEN 8	TROKES
195.	魚
196.	鳥
197.	鹵
198.	鹿
199.	麥
200.	麻
TWELVE	
201. 202.	黄
202.	黍
203.	黑
204.	黹
THIRTEEN	
205.	
206.	
207.	鼓
208.	鼠
Fourteen	Strokes
209.	鼻
210.	齊
FIFTEEN	Strokes
211.	幽
Sixteen	Strokes
212.	龍
213.	釶
Seventeen	STROKES
214.	龠
	2 -

CHARACTERS WITH RADICALS NOT EASILY IDENTIFIED ARRANGED ACCORDING TO THE NUMBER OF STROKES

			-,		-				~ 1	1011	DEIC C)I S		KES	
STROE	_	RADIO		STROI	_	RADICA		STRO	_	RADI	CAL PAGE	STRO		Radi	CAL PAGE
2.	七	1	10a	5.	申	102	197b	8.	表	145	280a	12.	普	72	374a
	九	5	20a	6.	亦	8	199a	9.	冐	13	297a		棄	75	376b
	1	6	20a		交	8	199b		南	24	297b		爲	87	383 b
3.	久	4	80b		兆	10	201b		威	38	299b		疏	103	383b
	乞	5	80b		先	10	201b		帝	5 0	300b		舜	136	387a
	于	7	81a		全	11	202b		幽	52	301a		華	140	387a
	凡	16	81 a		共	12	202b		拜	64	302b		衆	143	390a
	叉	29	82a		再	13	203a		曷	73	304a		量	166	392b
4.	不	1	103a		卍	24	203a		甚	99	308b	13.	亂	5	395a
	丹	3	112a		危	26	203a		禺	114	311a		募	19	395b
	井	7	112a		年	51	212b		背	130	311b		勢	19	396a
	互云	7	112a		求	85	241b	10.	乘	4	320a		嗣	30	396a
	云	7	112a	7.	免	10	230a		兼	12	322a		會	73	402 b
	午	10	143a		兎	10	230a		冥	14	322b		業	75	403a
	屯	45	148b		初	18	251a		夏	35	323a		楚	75	402 b
	巴	49	148b		卵	26	233a		秦	115	335a		聖	128	410a
5.	丙	1	164a		君	3 0	233b		能	130	336b	14.	嘉	30	421 b
	且	1	164a		弟	57	236b	11.	乾	5	341a		壽	33	422a
	丘	1	164a		毎	80	241a		執	3 2	345a		夢	36	422
	乏	4	165b	8.	事	6	248a		堂	3 2	345a		截	62	424a
	出	17	166b		亟	7	249a		常	50	349a		斡	6 8	424a
	北	21	168a		亞	7	249a		曹	73	352b		朅	73	424a
	占	25	168b		兩	11	250a		魯	73	352b		爾	89	425b
	去	28	168b		函	17	250b		牽	93	358b		疑	103	425b
	失	37	184b		夜	36	253b		率	95	359b		與	134	428a
	央	37	184b		奉	38	253b		畢	102	361a		舞	136	428a
	巨	48	186a		承	64	260a		主	122	362b		-884	140	428a
	市	50	186a		明		262a	12.	上勝	19	367b		鳴	196	430b
	平	51	187b		東	75	263b		博	24	368a	15.	弊	55	432a
	弗	57	187b		秉		276a		喪	30	368a		慶	61	432b
	由	102	197ь		介育		278a	٠	報	32	369b		墓墓	61	432b
	甲	102	197b		肩		278a		斑	67	374a		憂	61	433a
	-		ı		713			::	一儿	~•			BL,	VA	_0.,

xvii

RADICALS NOT EASILY IDENTIFIED

STROKE	'S	RADICA	L PAGE	STROKE	is	Radica	L PAGE	STROKI	ES.	RADICA	L PAGE	STROKE	5	RADICA	L PAGN
15.	摩	64	434b	16.	賴	154	451a	18.	叢	29	464 a	20.	釋	165	4 81b
	暫	72	438 b		龜	213	455b		歸	77	465a		騰	187	483 b
	養	184	446a	17.	罽	122	461b		舊	134	467a	21.	酯	116	484a
	黎	202	446a		舉	134	467a	19.	攀	64	471a	22.	裹	30	486a
16.	學	39	446 b		賽	154	463a	!	瓣	97	471b		盤	61	478b
	歷	77	448a	:	蹇	157	463a		辭	160	474b	23.	變	149	488a
	興	134	449b		隸	171	463 b	20.	嚴	30	476a	24.	鹽	197	4 89b
	浙	140	449b		齋	210	464b		競	117	478b	29.	鬱	192	491a

CORRIGENDA

- p. 1b, l. 15. Place comma after 華.
- p. 3a, last line. Add 佛 after 战.
- p. 3b, l. 2. For 皆悉 read 悉皆.
- p. 3b, l. 30. Add 法 after 萬.
- p. 4b, l. 15. For Shinron read Shinran.
- p. 52a, l. 29. Before 切 insert —.
- p. 95b, l. 20. For Kele-yin iikin tegri read Kele-yin ükin tegri.
- p. 106a, l. 11. For Abrahamacaryā-veramaņī read Abrahamararyād vairamaņī.
- p. 194b, l. 6. Add 玄 before 應.
- p. 216a, l. 40. 汚, 6 strokes, reappears p. 241b, 7 strokes.
- p. 251a, l. 8. 初, 7 strokes, in 8 by error.
- p. 260a, last line. For 折 read 拆.
- p. 267b, l. 25. 法 Dharma; (1) thing, object, appearance; (2) characteristic, attribute, predicate; (3) the substantial bearer of the transcendent substratum of the simple element of conscious life; (4) element of conscious life; (5) nirvāṇa, i.e. dharma par excellence; (6) the absolute, the truly real; (7) the teaching, the religion of Buddha.
 - p. 363a, l. 10. 臯, 12 strokes, in 11 by error.
 - p. 402a, l. 13. Transpose 身 and 愛.
 - p. 446a, l. 33. 15 strokes, in 16 by error.
 - p. 456b, l. 3 from bottom. For 邪 read 邓.
 - p. 467a, l. 8. 睾, 17 strokes, in 18 by error.

NOTE

- p. 15b, l. 34. Char. 73, sometimes counted 3 strokes, to be found in 2 strokes.
- p. 363a, l. 16. Char. 孝, sometimes counted 12 strokes, to be found in 11 strokes.