民國章太炎先生炳麟自訂年 章 王 - 炳 麟 三雲五主 撰編 臺 灣 商 務 印 書 館發行 譜

中 權 版 有 所 華 民 國 究 必 ED 翻 六 十九年七 民國章太炎先生炳麟自訂年譜 發印 發 主 撰 月初 行刷 行 編 所及 版 人 者 者 朱 王 章 灣商務印書館服份公司臺北市重慶南路一段三十七號 登記證:局版產業字第〇八三六號 基本定價一元一角正 建 雲 炳 民 五 麟 册

知是 为代 和公 4 沙里福 ΞΞ なる 究が多の後 少多 12 治程 2 D

考が、分からなことと、方一方好的造版が対象を打、分からなころれのと、的性の十多方来 文及之学门的,其同就也是多心及去地方

生多别,全都出现有分的对三百年,任今被三百万六十五万十五万十四年五城了路,一个路上的大路子的二十四年五城了路,一个路上的大路子的二十四年五十二年,中二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二 的知识他不多不可知高能够的想把回军 转言了。不以我不同大小政马州二百年,重 大、見なかまかるよい一致、おかはいる何ませ 九七、国一体的与为内各、智教活的的和分 在我解心,看不知道心地的心。 人乃乃 十了的全部,你的里本花里? 中事をはなくそうろとあいまする 人、コなりの は分析る

# 新編中國名人年譜集成附詳盡索引序

年譜 一百二三十種,連同中國史地叢書所收館內外專家新著如于種,合計不下二百餘種,得暇 民國二十一年一二八本館籾後半載復業,迄二十六年八一三之全面抗戰期間 不肯歪曲過甚,寧删汰忌諱;然因是不兗失真已多,持與私人年譜相較,往往不無差異。此 遇有不利於新朝之記載,無不删汰,甚或曲筆爲之。幸而我國修史者多爲具有正義感之學者, 都詳確,古代著作原以藏諸名山傳諸其人;故鮮有顧忌,此與歷代正史率由新朝爲勝朝所撰 主沒世未久,其門人故舊,就見聞或遺稿代爲編纂,幾等於譜主親撰。其中所述言行史實大 輙加研究。藉悉年譜之作,實始於宋,多數為譜主自訂,或口授子弟門人筆述;不則亦於譜 會決議年撥盈餘一部分,以供復興東方圖書館之用,先後訪購木版古籍十四萬册,其中括有 所謂 新編中國名人年譜集成者,以余曾於四十年前從事同一工作。因抗戰而中止。蓋自 ,利用 本館

;然合冶一爐,亦足備參證,然究非宋以後年譜之比也。是爲余四十年前所得之印像 至唐五代以前之年譜 ,則由後人就史書或譜主遺著搜集考據而成,不如宋以後各譜之迫

以後之年譜可貴者也

上之士,因 過小予以重 其原式大小不變,廿四開者略予縮小,字體亦朗然可觀。至原史地叢書係卅二開 分輯 之年譜先行景印,每譜至少須得若干册,始便從事。因卽決定自本年四月起每月景印十種 萬之數,不僅集年譜索引之大成 未竟之功,假我二三年,當一面續訪遺佚或新著,當不難達三百種 D. 有各方代表性者 內或有已單行者 .發行,其版式不同者皆統一爲卅二開本。查古籍多爲二十四開或三十二開 非 今者旅臺將及 版 命名爲財團法人雲五圖 驋 而必須納 據 二律放 排 大陸 , 餘則照原式景印 ,連同新收近人著作及史地叢書舊刋,合計已達二百種! , 人集成者,則當治讓版權 大爲卅二開, 假定仍留二百 ´卅載,續收中外圖籍約達五萬**册。鑑於**前在大陸所藏之七萬册珍貴 未及移出 ,以致散佚或陷於不可知之命運者,懲前毖後,特斥資 書館 則字體隨版 。人人文庫本爲四十開 .種;一面逐譜編製索引,則最後全部之總索引 , 亦可持以糾正史書之闕失訛誤。惟是編製索引, ,公諸社會**閱覽,**計所收中文圖書別集類往往括有年譜 ,想同業樂觀厥成,當不難達成協議也。是爲序 式而 加大;且集成全書可大小一致,間有佳作爲 , 字體略小,研究年譜者多爲中年以 左右 以上。 ; 面選 。 卅 , 緬懷四十年前 殆在 本 擇 二開 須將孤本 精 , 自 ·除字體 要及 設 圖 本 者 圖 籍 其 在

中華民國六十七年三月十五日王雲五

生故 大都詳確,可補史書之厥失,此其可貴處 舊所撰,亦有後 年譜依編年順序記載一人生平之事蹟,宋以後名人往往有之,大抵爲譜主自訂 人於古代名人就其著述,考其事蹟,爲之編訂者。年譜所述言行 ,或爲門 事

0

遷台後,及雲老復主持本館,以前在大陸所藏圖籍散佚,重新蒐集,歷年所得舊刋新著 六十八年雲老逝世之時,已刋行六輯。七輯以後自本年起照原定計畫陸續刋行。今雲老雖已 達二百餘種,定名新編中國名人年譜集成 成之編輯工作相始終,仍標明雲老主編 謝世,不克親睹全部計畫之完成,然各輯目錄早經其生前決定,為誌其四十餘年來與年譜集 商務印書館從事編輯歷代名人年譜,始於上海,主其事者爲本館王故董事長雲五先生。 ,自民國六十七年四月起分輯發行 ,每輯 十册 , 已 , 汔

臺灣商務印書館編審委員 民國六十九年四月二日

# 章炳麟傳略

A Biographic Sketch of Chang Ping-lin

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

# A Biographic Sketch of Chang Ping-lin

Based on Professor Wang Yi-t'ung's work prepared in February 1963 for the Research Project on Men and Politics in Republican China of Columbia University under the direction of Mr. Howard L. Boorman.

## Chang Ping-lin 章炳麟

Original ming: Hsüeh-sheng 學乘, Chiang 絳.

Original tzu: Mei-shu 枚叔.

Hao: T'ai-yen 太炎, Tao-han 劉漢.

Born December 25, 1868, in Yü-hang 餘杭, Chekiang.

Died June 14, 1936, Soochow, Kiangsu.

A revolutionist and classical scholar, Chang Ping-lin in his boyhood attended the Ku-ching ching-se 結經精舍 in Hangchow, where he studied philology and linguistics under Yü Yüeh 俞樾 (see Arthur W. Hummel, ed., Eminent Chinese of the Ch'ing Period, 1644—1912, 2 vols. [Washington D. C., 1943], 11.744—745. Hereafter cited as ECCP). For some time he also received instruction from Huang I-chou 黃以周 (tzu Yüan-t'ung 元同, a chü-jen of 1870, 1818?—1879, December) and T'an Hsien 譚獻 (tzu Chung-hsiu 仲修, hao Fu-t'ang 復堂, 1832—1901).

In 1896, with Wang K'ang-nien 汪康年 (ECCP 11.822), Liang Ch'i-ch'ao 梁啓超 (1873—1926), and Hsia Tseng-yu 夏曾佑 (d. 1924), Chang Ping-lin published the Shih-wu-pao

時務報 (a tri-monthly) in Shanghai to advocate revolution. Two years later, following a clash between Wang and Liang, the paper was renamed *Ch'ang-yen-pao* 昌言報, with Chang Ping-lin as its chief-editor.

Hsia Tseng-yu was invited in 1898 by Chang Chih-tung 张之洞 (ECCP 1.27—32) to join his staff in Wu-ch'ang 武昌. Upon the joint recommendation of Hsia Tseng-yu and Ch'ien Hsin 錢恂 (d. 1922, an elder brother of Hsian-t'ung 玄同, 1887—1939), Chang Chih-tung also offered Chang Ping-lin a post. Chang Ping-lin soon clashed with Liang Ting-fen 梁鼎芬 (ECCP 1.92), the chancellor of the Liang-hu 兩湖 Academy, who recommended and effected Chang Ping-lin's dismissal. After a short stay in Formosa, Chang Ping-lin went to Japan at the invitation of Sun Wen 孫文 (T. I-hsien or Yet-sen 逸仙, H. Chung-shan 中山, November 12, 1866—1925, March 12), where he stayed in the house of Liang Ch'i-ch'ao.

At this point some honest differences in political ideology began to take definite shape between K'ang Yu-wei 康有爲 (ECCP 11.702-703) and Liang Ch'i-ch'ao on the one hand, Chang Ping-lin on the other. Before the coup d'état of 1898, K'ang, Liang, and Chang were all aiming at drastic reformation as the guarantee for China's survival, paying little attention to the question whether or not to keep the Emperor as the head of the government. After the coup d'état, however, while not arguing the need for reforms, K'ang Yu-wei believed that the Emperor was the only hope for China, and he was determined to support him against the reactionary Empress Dowager almost at all cost. To Chang Ping-lin, on the other hand, the Manchus, including the Emperor himself, were the greatest enemy of the Chinese and therefore had to be eliminated if any reform movement was to succeed. Among the intellectual revolutionists then residing in Japan, therefore, a serious cleavage had already taken place, to be widened and deepened at a later date.

T'ang Ts'ai-ch'ang 唐才常 (ECCP 1.30), a man of action, was one of the few who tried to unite the two factions. In June, 1900, he called a meeting in Chang-yuan 張園, Shanghai. which was attended by Jung Hung 容閎 (ECCP 1.402—405). Wen T'ing-shih 文廷式 (ECCP 11.855—856), Yen Fu 嚴復 (1854—1921), Wu Pao-ch'u 吳保初 (T. Yen-fu 彥復, Chün-sui 君途, 1867—1913, February), Chang Ping-lin and several hundred others. T'ang Ts'ai-ch'ang's real purpose in arranging this meeting was to support a military movement of the revolutionists by drawing on the financial assistance that might come from K'ang Yu-wei's monarchist group. In the convenant of the meeting there was an article emphasizing the "finding the means and ways to show one's loyalty to the monarch and to express in concrete terms one's patriotism," to which Chang Ping-lin was fervently opposed. As a visible gesture of his determination, he cut off his braids.

In any case T'ang's movement was ill-planned and miscarried. In July T'ang and his nineteen accomplices were arrested and executed at Wu-ch'ang. All those attending the Chang-yuan meeting were sought for by the court. Consequently Chang Ping-lin went to Soochow to teach at the Chung-hsi shu-yüen 中西書院 (renamed Tung-wu ta-hsüeh 東吳大學 in 1901), a school financed by American missionaries. While teaching there, he once suggested to his students as an essay topic a discussion of Li Tzu-ch'eng and Hu Lin-i, "Li Tzu-ch'eng Hu Lin-i lun" 李自成胡林翼論。 Li Tzu-ch'eng (ECCP 1.491-493) was traditionally regarded as the rebel responsible directly for the downfall of the Ming and indirectly for the rise of the Manchus to power, while Hu lin-i (ECCP 1.333-335) was a close associate of Tseng Kuo-fan 曾國藩 (ECCP 11.751 - 756) who contributed most to suppressing the Taiping Rebellion. From the viewpoint of the Manchu ruling class Chang Ping-lin's suggested topic was naturally provocative and disloyal. En-ming 恩銘 (ECCP 1.180), the Governor of Kiangsu, therefore, pressed the school authorities

for Chang's arrest, who, however, was given enough time to escape to Japan.

In 1902, while in Japan, Chang Ping-lin sponsored a meeting in commemoration of the 242th anniversary of China's conquest by the Manchus (Chih-na wang-kuo erh-pai-ssu-shih-erh-nien chi-nien hui 支那亡國二百四十二年紀念會). A circular note written to that effect was prepared and distributed to refugee Chinese in Japan. This scheduled meeting, though called off by the Japanese government at the request of the Ch'ing Minister, gave birth to many revolutionary organizations formed in later years among Chinese students in Japan.

Upon his return to Shanghai in 1903, Chang Ping-lin contributed revolutionary articles to the Kuo-ts'ui hsüeh-pao 國粹學報, a supposedly scholary magazine operated by Teng Shih 鄧寶(T. Ch'iu-mei 秋枚) and Liu Shih-p'ei 劉師培 (1884—1919). At the same time he orally advocated the overthrow of the Manchu government to a group of about 130 young students at the Ai-kuo hsüeh-she 愛國學社, established by Ts'ai Yüan-p'ei 蔡元培 (1867—1940), Huang Yen-p'ei 黃炎培 (T. Jan-chih 任之, 1879—1965, December 21) and himself. It was also in the Ai-kuo hsüeh-she that Chang Ping-lin made the acquaintance of Tsou Jung 鄒容 (T. Wei-tan 蔚丹, 1885?—1905), the author of the Ko-ming-chin 革命軍

Chang Ping-lin's preface to the Ko-ming-chün, together with his article Po K'ang Yu-wei cheng-chien-shu 駁康有爲政見書, in which he denounced the reigning monarch as a devil unable to distinguish beans from wheat ("Tai-t'ien hsiao-ch'ou, weipien shu-mai 戴插小醣,未辨菽麥"), gave cause to his arrest and imprisonment, which lasted until July, 1906.

Released from prison, he was given a hero's welcome by co-revolutionists in Japan, where he became the chief-editor of the Min-pao 民報, a newspaper published in Tokyo to counteract the reactionary ideas advocated by Liang Ch'i-ch'ao's Hsin-min ts'ung-pao 新民義報 with its headquarter in Yokohama. Altogether he edited the 6th (July 25, 1906) through the 18th (December 25, 1907) issues, in addition to the 23rd (August 10, 1908) and 24th (October 10, 1908), of which the 12th issue (March 6, 1907), which bears the title T'ien-t'ao 天討, was most devastating to the prestige of the Ch'ing court. The Min-pao, however, was finally forced by the Japanese government to suspend publication in October, 1908.

Chang Ping-lin stayed in Japan until the founding of the Provisional Government in Nanking, where he was offered the post of consultant to President Sun Wen, an office he remained even after Yüan Shih-k'ai's 袁世凱(1859—1916) election to the Presidency in Peking. For a very short while he visited Manchuria in the capacity of Tung-san-sheng chih-pien-shih 東三省殖邊使, a powerless position offered him by Yüan.

In 1913 he resigned his new post and returned to Shanghai. After the failure of the Second Revolution, he revisited Peking on a mission to reorganize a political party which he had previously headed, the Kung-ho-tang 共和黨. Immediately after his arrival in Peking, however, he was placed under house arrest, where only his most faithful disciples were admitted to pay him visits. It was not until Yüan Shih-k'ai's death in June, 1916 that he regained freedom.

In the meantime, a revolutionary government was formed in Canton, where Chang Ping-lin was appointed the secretary-general. After this date he withdrew completely from active politics, except for occasional political outbursts, such as a letter to Li Yüan-hung 黎元进(T. Sung-ch'ing 宋卿, 1864—1928) urging him not to accept the office of presidency offered him by a coalition of warlords, a call issued in 1924

to older members of the Tung-meng-hui 同盟會 for a meeting to discuss ways of halting Communist activities within the political party now known as the Kuomintang 國民黨, and a visit paid in 1932 to Chang Hsüeh-liang 張學良 (T. Han-ch'ing 漢卿, b. 1898) in Peiping urging him to harass the Japanese so as to lessen their pressure on the Chinese army then fighting in Shanghai.

Many of Chang Ping-lin's early co-revolutionists entered government service after the founding of the Nationalist regime in Nanking, but Chang Ping-lin obstinately declined any political offer. The only two benefits he received from the government sources were firstly a gift of 10,000 yüan intended for medical treatment of his nose trouble which he used instead for the founding of Chang-shih kuo-hsüeh chianghsi so 章氏國學講習所 in Soochow (later moved to Shanghai and renamed T'ai-yen wen-hsüeh-yüan 太炎文學院, finally closed in September, 1940) and secondly an offer of a state funeral formally proclaimed on July 9, 1936.

Apart from his political activities, Chang Ping-lin was basically a scholar of the first order. Before he was thirty years old, he devoted himself to the study of Buddhist literature (particularly the Chü-she-wei-lun 具含維論, the Abbidharmakosá-sástra) which he compared with the teachings of Lao-tzu and Chuang-tzu, reaching conclusions in a manner never thought possible by previous scholars (see his Chuang-tzu chieh-ku,莊子解故 [1 chiian] and his Ch'i-wu-lun shih 齊物論釋 [1 chian]). In classical studies he preferred the traditionaly ku-wen 古文 school (see his T'ai-shih-kung ku-wen shangshu k'ao 太史公古文尚書攷 [1 chüan], and his Ku-wen shangshu shih-i 古文尚書拾遺 [2 chiian], thus singling out the Tsochüan 左傳, as superior to the Kung-yang 公羊 and Ku-liang 殼梁, which were found to have been corrupted through unorthodox interpretations (see his Ch'un-ch'iu Tso-chuan hsii-lu 春秋左傳叙錄 [1 chiian] and his Liu Tzu-cheng Tso-shih shuo