# 法 学 英 语 LEGAL ENGLISH

主编:罗俊明



#### 法律学全书

## 法 学 英 语

## LEGAL ENGLISH

主 编 罗俊明 副主编 严令常 宋永新

上海外语教育出版社 Shanghai Foreign Language Education Press

### (沪)新登字 203 号

法律学全书 法 学 英 语 LEGAL ENGLISH 主 編 罗俊明 副主編 严令常 宋永新

上海外语教育出版社出版发行 (上海外國研大學內) 上海天华印刷厂印刷 新华书店上海发行所经销

开本850×1168 1/32 19 25 印张 524 千 r 1994 年 6 月第 1版 1994 年 6 月第 1 次印刷 印数:1 5 000 册 。 ISBN 7--81009---914---0/G・373 定价:11.80 元

## CONTENTS

Lesson One	
Text:	Trial
Reading Comprehension:	Offer and Acceptance 1
Listening Comprehension:	Contract 13
Lesson Two	
Text:	Criminal Courts 14
Reading Comprehension:	Civil Courts 22
Listening Comprehension:	Adams v Lindsell 25
Lesson Three	
Text:	Jury 27
Reading Comprehension:	Sale of Goods Act,
	1979 34
Listening Comprehension:	Dickinson v Dodds 37
Lesson Four	
Text:	Arbitration 38
Reading Comprehension:	Disadvantage of Arbitra-
	tion 44
Listening Comprehension:	
	A. G 46
Lesson Five	
Text:	Property 48
Reading Comprehension:	Appeal 54
Listening Comprehension:	Joscelyne v Nissen 57
Lesson Six	

TCXT:	1 ort 59
Reading Comprehension:	Acceptance, Delivery and
	Payment 69
Listening Comprehension:	Pepper v Webb 73
Lesson Seven	
Text:	Criminal Law 75
Reading Comprehension:	Computer Crime 83
Listening Comprehension:	Doyle v White City
	Stadiums Ltd 85
Lesson Eight	
Text:	Constitution 87
Reading Comprehension:	Stocks and Shares 97
Listening Comprehension:	Rayfield v Hands 100
Lesson Nine	
Text:	Public International Law
	and Private Internation-
	al Law 102
Reading Comprehension:	Conflict of Laws 109
Listening Comprehension:	Briefing a Case 111
Lesson Ten	
Text:	International Treaties
	113
Reading Comprehension:	Patent 119
Listening Comprehension:	Private Wrong 121
Lesson Eleven	
Text:	International Documenta-
	ry Sale and Documentary
	Letter of Credit (1)
Reading Comprehension:	Function of Letters of
	Credit (1) 130

Listening Comprehension:	
T 70 -1	CO. Ltd 13
Lesson Twelve	
Text:	International Documenta-
	ry Sale and Documentary
•	Letter of Credit (2)
	13
Reading Comprehension:	
	Credit (2) 14
Listening Comprehension:	British Railways Board v
	Herrington 14
Lesson Thirteen	
Text:	Letters of Credit 15
Reading Comprehension:	Other Forms of Letters
	of Credit 15
Listening Comprehension:	Home Counties Dairies
	Ltd v Skilton 160
Lesson Fourteen	
Text:	Strict FOB Contract 16
Reading Comprehension:	Commercial Terms 173
Listening Comprehension:	The J. B. Absley
	Case 178
Lesson Fifteen	
Text:	Remedies for Breach of
	Contract by the
	Seller 179
Reading Comprehension:	Evaluation of the Reme-
-	dy of Coerced Perfor-
	mance 186
Listening Comprehension:	Raffles v Wichelbaus 189
Lesson Sixteen	

Text:	Gatt and M. F. N. Treat-	
	ment 190	
Reading Comprehension:	M. F. N 200	
Listening Comprehension:	Hedley Byrne & CO. v	
	Heller and Partners	
	Ltd 202	
Lesson Seventeen		
Text:	Customs Valuation 204	
Reading Comprehension:	U. S. Anti-Dumping	
	Law 214	
Listening Comprehension:	Mexican Produce CO. v	
	Sealand Service	
	Inc 218	
Lesson Eighteen		
Text:	Bill of Lading 220	
Reading Comprehension:	Functions of Bill of Lad-	
	ıng 226	
Listening Comprehension:	Letter of Indemnity 229	
Lesson Nineteen		
Text:	Maritime Lien 232	
Reading Comprehension:	Liens 239	
Listening Comprehension:	The Alletta 242	
Lesson Twenty		
Text:	International Convention	
	for the Unification of	
	Certain Rules of Law	
	in Regard to Collisions	
	(Brussels, September	
	23, 1910) 244	
Reading Comprehension:	Collisions 254	
Listening Comprehension:	The Oldekerk 258	

Lesson Twenty-One	
Text:	General Average 26]
Reading Comprehension:	Outline of General Aver-
	age 268
Listening Comprehension:	The Bona
	Watson v Fireman's Fund
	Austın Friars v Apillers
	& Bakers 271
Lesson Twenty-Two	
Text:	Insurable Interest 273
Reading Comprehension:	Types of Policy 280
Listening Comprehension:	Floating Policies 283
Lesson Twenty-Three	
Text:	Methods of Payment 286
Reading Comprehension:	Collection 294
Listening Comprehension:	Acceptance 298
Lesson Twenty-Four	
Text:	First National City Bank
	v Banco Nacional De
	Cuba 301
Reading Comprehension:	Reasons for Protecting
-	Foreign Investment
	311
Listening Comprehension:	Act of State Doctrine 317
Lesson Twenty-Five	•
Text:	Establishment of a Subsi-
	diary in Japan 320
Reading Comprehension:	Regulations on Foreign
•	Investment and Capital
	Movements 328
Listening Comprehension:	Corporate Incentives 335

Text:	Developed Countries' Co-
	operation in the Area
	of Tax Law 339
Reading Comprehension:	Tax Havens and Struggle
	against Tax
	Avoidance 347
Listening Comprehension:	Multinational Corpora-
	tions 353
Lesson Twenty-Seven	
Text:	Basic Subjects Regulated
	in Tax Treaties 355
Reading Comprehension:	Tax Treatment of Corpo-
	rations in Some European
	Countries 362
Listening Comprehension:	Control of Investment
	368
esson Twenty-Eight	
Text:	Summary of the Provisions
	Relating to the Taxation
	of the Investor 371
Reading Comprehension:	Distribution of Foreign
	Investment Shares and
	Taxation of Their
	Proceeds 376
Listening Comprehension:	Direct Investment 383
Lesson Twenty-Nine	
Text:	An Investment Contract
	for the Establishment
	of a Chinese-Foreign
	~

Reading Comprehension:	Special Measures with Re-
	spect to Certain Domestic
	or Foreign Areas 402
Listening Comprehension:	Considerations of Invest-
,	ing Abroad 405
<b>Lesson Thirty</b>	
Text:	Regulations of the Peo-
	ple's Republic of China
	on Administration of
	Technology Introduction
	Contracts 408
Reading Comprehension:	Intellectual Property 414
Listening Comprehension:	Trademark Registration
	in China 417
Lesson Thirty-One	
Text:	Know-How 419
Reading Comprehension:	Canadian Trademarks
	System 426
Listening Comprehension:	Significance of Patent
	System 430
Lesson Thirty-Two	
Text:	Penalties for Infringe-
	ment Other Than
	Damages 431
Reading Comprehension:	International Patent
	System 439
Listening Comprehension:	Licensing Agreement 442
Lesson Thirty-Three	
Text;	Case Study 444
Reading Comprehension:	International Protection
	for Copyright 453

Listening Comprehension:	U. S. Copyright Law
Lesson Thirty-Four	•
Text:	Transfer of Technology In Joint Venture 459
Reading Comprehension:	Resolution of Intellec- tual Properfy Disputes in the United States
	469
Listening Comprehension:	Legitimate Acquisition of Technology in
	U. S. A 473
Lesson Thirty-Five	
Text:	Bond Issues 475
Reading Comprehension:	Negotiable Instru-
	ments 484
Listening Comprehension:	Tweeddle v Atkinson 488
Lesson Thirty-Six	
Text:	Relationship of Banker
	and Customer 490
Reading Comprehension:	Banking and Finance 497
Listening Comprehension:	D. and C. Builders v
	Ree 501
Index	503
National Lavison	530

#### Lesson One

#### **Text**

#### Trial

When a person is accused of stealing or committing some other crime, he or she is brought before magistrates or a judge and jury. There the police or a lawyer describe the crime and call any witnesses—people who saw the man doing it, or who can say anything else about the crime—to say what they saw. The person defends, or explains himself, and in a serious matter he has a lawyer to help him, unless he chooses to conduct his own defence (do everything himself). The judge and jury or the magistrates are called the court, and what happens in the court is the trial.

The idea of a trial is a very old one and trials of different kinds were held long before the courts as we know them today had come into being. In ancient times, two men who had a disagreement might have been asked to take part in a trial by combat. They fought and whoever won was judged to have been in the right. The idea that the gods would favour whoever was in the right also lay behind the idea of trial by ordeal. If someone was accused of theft, for example, he would be made to undergo some painful ordeal to see if he was guilty or innocent. Rather similar was the notion that it was possible to tell if a woman was a witch by ducking her in water. If she sank,

she was innocent; if she was "cast up" by the water and floated, she was guilty of witchcraft.

Today, what happens in a court during a trial differs from country to country. But in most cases the court starts with the belief that the person accused is innocent until proved guilty. It is up to the prosecutor (the police or prosecuting lawyers) to prove that the accused committed the crime.

This article describes briefly what happens in a trial in Great Britain. In less serious cases the magistrates' courts often decide the case themselves. However, let us suppose that a man is caught in London driving a motor car that has been reported to the police as being stolen. He is brought before magistrates who order that he shall be tried (sent for trial) at the Central Criminal Court<sup>1</sup>, known as the Old Bailey<sup>2</sup>.

When the time of the trial comes the prisoner is brought up from the cells and put in the dock. The first thing that happens in court is that the prisoner is arraigned; that is, the clerk of the court (often called the clerk of arraigns<sup>3</sup>) calls out his name and reads out to him the charge or charges he has to answer. The charges are set out in a document called the indictment, and at the end of each separate charge the clerk asks the prisoner whether he pleads "Guilty" or "Not Guilty". One of three things may then happen. The prisoner may plead guilty to all the charges, or not guilty to each of them, or he may plead guilty to some but not to others.

If the prisoner pleads guilty to all the charges, the question is how he shall be punished. A police witness says whether the prisoner has been found guilty of any other crimes in the past and how he has been punished. The prisoner or the barrister (lawyer) defending him calls anyone who can say anything to help the prisoner; as, for example, that he is a good worker who has got into money difficulties through being

ill. Then the defending barrister or the prisoner himself makes a speech called a "speech of mitigation", giving reasons why the prisoner should be treated gently. Finally, after the judge has asked the prisoner himself whether he has anything to say as to why sentence should not be passed on him, the judge speaks to the prisoner and tells him what his punishment is to be..zer

If the prisoner only pleads guilty to some of the charges, the prosecution (the lawyers who are trying to prove him guilty) have to decide whether it is worth while going on with the other charges. If the judge agrees, they may decide to give up those charges and simply let him be punished for those to which he pleads guilty.

If the trial goes on to decide charges to which the prisoner pleads not guilty, a jury has to be sworn. Each member of the jury promises to bring in a true verdict, or decision, according to the evidence. A speech is then made explaining the charge to the jury and telling them what the prosecution witnesses have to say. Then those witnesses have to be called to prove who owns the car, that the prisoner was seen driving it away, that he altered the number-plates so that the car could not be recognized, or that he had it painted a different colour—showing that he intended to keep it. The witnesses will probably be cross-examined by the defence to see if they are telling lies, if their memories are unreliable, or if they can give other evidence to help the prisoner's case.

When the prosecution has finished, the witnesses for the defence (including the prisoner himself) may be called. The prisoner may say that his employer told him to drive the car, and that he had nothing to do with altering the number-plates; or the clour; and other witnesses may be called to support him. The witnesses for the defence will usually be cross-exam-

ined by the prosecution.

After final speeches to the jury from both defence and prosecution, the judge will "sum up" or go through the facts of the case and explain the law to the jury. The jury will then leave the court and consider what their verdict is to be. When they have decided they return, and the forman of the jury announces the verdict. If the verdict is "not guilty", the prisoner is set free. If he is found guilty, the same things happen as though he had pleaded guilty.

In a civil action—which involves a disagreement, not a crime—much the same things happen, although nowadays there is seldom a jury. The judge decides at the end who has won.

#### **New Words and Expressions**

trial ['traiəl] n. 审问,审判: bring sb. to (或 up for) ~审问某人/~ by jury 由陪审团进行的审判

the accused (ði əˈkjuːzd) n. 被告

commit [kəˈmit] vt. 犯(错误,罪);判处;责成做某事

crime [kraim] 犯罪,罪行:a capital ~ 死罪/commit a ~ 犯罪

magistrate ['mædʒistrit] n. 地方法官,治安法官

judge [dʒʌdʒ] n. 审判员,法官

jury ['dʒuəri] n. 陪审团

witness [witnis] n. 证据,证人 vt. 目睹,证明 vi. 作证人, 成为证据

defend [di'fend] vt. 为……辩护,为……答辩

court (ko:t) n. 法院

ordeal [o:'di:l] n. 神裁法

guilty [ˈgilti] a. 有罪的 n. guilt [gilt]

innocent ('inəsnt) a. 无罪的

prove [pru:v] vt. 证明,证实 vi. 证明是

prosecute ['prosikju:t] vt. 告发,对……起诉 vi. 起诉,作检

#### 察官

prosecutor ('prosikju:tə) n. 原告,检举人:a public ~ 检察官 prosecution (prosi'kju:ʃən] n. 起诉,告发,检举;[总称]原告及其律师;检察当局

dock [dok] n. 刑事审判庭的被告席: be in the ~ 在受审 arraign [ə'rein] vt. 传讯,提审,控告

charge [tfq:dʒ] n. 指控:bring a ~ of ... against sb. 指控某人某罪

document ['dokju:mənt] n. 公文,证件;证券

indictment [in'daitment] n. 控告,起诉;诉状: bring in an ~ against sb. 控告某人/a bill of ~ 起诉书

plead [pli:d] vi. 辩护,抗辩:~ for sb. 为某人辩护/~ against sb. 反驳某人;/~ to a crime 服罪

barrister ['bæristə] n. [英](出席高等法庭的)律师

swear [sweə] vt. 宣(誓):~ an oath 宣誓;使宣誓:~ (in) a witness 令证人宣誓/~ a jury 使陪审团宣誓就职

witness 专业八旦青/~a jury 使后中四旦青矾和 verdict ['və:dikt] n. 陪审团裁决:事实认定(书)

evidence ('evidens) n. 证据 .

cross-examine (kros ig'zæmin) vt. 盘问,反询问

sum up (sAm Ap) 概述(证据)

civil ['sivl] a. 民事的

action [ˈækʃən] n. 诉讼:bring an ~ against sb. 对某人起诉

#### Notes

- 1. Central Criminal Court 中央刑事法院
- 2. Old Bailey [英]中央刑事法院俗称(因所在地而得名)
- 3. the clerk of arraigns [英]巡回法庭助理书记官
- 4. speech of mitigation 减罪辩护(词)

## Exercises

Multiple Choice:
1) "The judge and jury or the magistrates are called the
court" means that
A. they are named the court.
B. they are considered the court.
C. they are summoned to the court.
2) "To conduct his own defence" means
A. to do everything himself.
B. to keep himself from harm.
C. to defend himself in the court.
3) Trials of different kinds appeared
A. when courts in law existed.
B. before courts in law existed.
C. after courts in law existed.
4) In ancient times were kinds of trial.
A. combat between the 2 parties and ducking the ac
cused in water
B. ordeal and questioning
C. investigating and questioning
.5) The principle that the person accused is innocent unti
proved guilty is accepted by
A. all the jurists in the world.
B. some of the jurists in the world.
C. most of the jurists in the world.
6) It is the duty of to prove that the accused com-
mitted the crime.
A. the judge
B. the jury

1.