Solid-State Sciences

Magnetism in the Solid State

An Introduction

固态磁性导论

Peter Mohn

Magnetism in the Solid State

An Introduction

Corrected Second Printing

With 72 Figures and 7 Tables



图书在版编目 (CIP) 数据

固态磁性导论 = Magnetism in the Solid State: An Introduction: 英文/(奥)莫翰 (Mohn, P.) 著, 一影印本, 一北京, 世界图书出版公司北京公司 2012.8 ISBN 978 - 7 - 5100 - 5046 - 6

I. ①固··· Ⅱ. ①莫··· Ⅲ. ①固体—磁性—英文 Ⅳ. ①0482. 5

中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字 (2012) 第 186157 号

书 名: Magnetism in the Solid State: An Introduction

作 者, P. Mohn

中译名: 固态磁性导论 责任编辑: 高蓉 刘慧

出版者: 世界图书出版公司北京公司 印刷者: 三河市国英印务有限公司

发 行: 世界图书出版公司北京公司(北京朝内大街137号100010)

联系电话: 010 - 64021602 . 010 - 64015659

电子信箱: kjb@ wpcbj. com. cn

开 本: 24 开

ED 张: 10.5

版 次: 2013年1月

版权登记: 图字: 01-2012-5702

书 号: 978 - 7 - 5100 - 5046 - 6 定 价: 39.00元

SOLID-STATE SCIENCES

Series Editors:

M. Cardona P. Fulde K. von Klitzing R. Merlin H.-J. Queisser H. Störmer

The Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences consists of fundamental scientific books prepared by leading researchers in the foield. They strive to communicate, in a systematic and comprehensive way, the basic principles as well as new developments in theoretical and experimental solid-state physics.

- 136 Nanoscale Phase Separation and Colossal Magnetoresistance The Physics of Manganites and Related Compounds By E. Dagotto
- 137 Quantum Transport
 in Submicron Devices
 A Theoretical Introduction
 By W. Magnus and W. Schoenmaker
- 138 Phase Separation
 in Soft Matter Physics
 Micellar Solutions, Microemulsions,
 Critical Phenomena
 By P.K. Khabibullaev and
 A.A. Saidov
- 139 Optical Response of Nanostructures Microscopic Nonlocal Theory By K. Cho
- 140 Fractal Concepts in Condensed Matter Physics By T. Nakayama and K. Yakubo
- 141 Excitons in Low-Dimensional Semiconductors Theory, Numerical Methods, Applications By S. Glutsch
- 142 Two-Dimensional Coulomb Liquids and Solids By Y. Monarkha and K. Kono

- 143 X-Ray Multiple-Wave Diffraction Theory and Application By S.-L. Chang
- 144 Physics of Transition Metal Oxides
 By S. Maekawa, T. Tohyama,
 S.E. Barnes, S. Ishihara,
 W. Koshibae, and G. Khaliullin
- 145 Point-Contact Spectroscopy
 By Yu.G. Naidyuk and I.K. Yanson
- 146 Optics of Semiconductors and Their Nanostructures Editors: H. Kalt and M. Hetterich
- 147 Electron Scattering
 in Solid Matter
 A Theoretical
 and Computational Treatise
 By J. Zabloudil, R. Hammerling,
 L. Szunyogh, and P. Weinberger
- 148 Physical Acoustics in the Solid State By B. Lüthi
- 149 Solitary Waves in Complex Dispersive Media Theory · Simulation · Applications By V.Yu. Belashov and S. V. Vladimirov
- 150 Topology in Condensed Matter Editor: M.I. Monastyrsky

Preface

During 1986 and 1987, when I was working at the Imperial College of Science and Technology in London with E.P. Wohlfarth, I was asked to prepare some lecture notes following his lecture "Magnetic properties of metals and alloys". Peter Wohlfarth had planned to use these lecture notes in a book about itinerant magnetism he wanted us to write together. Due to his untimely death this project was never realized.

Back in Vienna I started to write up the lecture notes for a graduate course, which to some extent was based on these original lectures but in many respects has been modernized by including more recent results of band structure calculations and band theoretical results. During a two month visit of Melbourne University in 1993, I met G. Fletcher from Monash University (Melbourne) who kindly offered to critically read the manuscript. His comments are highly appreciated.

The present greatly enlarged version was mainly written during a sabbatical at the university of Uppsala during 2000. I am grateful to Börje Johansson for making this possible and to Clas Persson for reading the manuscript and providing me with lots of useful comments.

The aim of the book is to present a largely phenomenological introduction to the field of solid state magnetism at a relatively elementary level. The two basic concepts of magnetism in solids namely the localized and the delocalized description are presented as the extreme approaches. The true nature of magnetism lies, as often in life, somewhere in between, sometimes showing a tendency towards the more localized side, sometimes tending to the delocalized side. It is perhaps this mixing of concepts which makes magnetism appear complicated and difficult. Another source of confusion is the different language used by theoreticians and experimentalists. I have tried very hard to clarify these rather more semantic problems and to use a uniform nomenclature throughout the book. It is my belief and my experience that the approach presented here provides a useful introduction not only for the physicist, but also for the interested reader coming from fields like chemistry, electrical engineering or even geo-sciences. The mathematical concepts used are kept rather simple and hardly ever go beyond an undergraduate course in mathematics for physicists, chemists or engineering. Since the book emerged from a lecture course I have given at Vienna University of Technology for the

VIII Preface

last 15 years, the chapters in the book are not completely self-contained. The first-time reader is thus advised to read the chapters in the sequence that they appear in the book. It is my sincere hope that after having read this book the reader will agree that for once the Encyclopedia Brittanica is in error when it states Few subjects in science are more difficult to understand than magnetism, (Encyclopedia Brittanica, 15th edition 1989).

The present book does not attempt to cover the whole field of solid state magnetism, but tries to provide an overview by selecting special topics. The idea is to create an interest in this fascinating field in which quantum mechanics, thermodynamics and computer simulations join forces to explain "Magnetism in the Solid State".

Vienna, June 2002 Peter Mohn

Since this book has been so well received by the scientific community that the first printing has been sold within three years, I was asked by the publishers to produce an updated version for a second printing. I am grateful to all colleagues (mainly students) who reported typos, ambiguous or unclear formulations etc. I considered all of them seriously and thus made a number of changes, which I hope will improve the text.

Vienna, September 2005 Peter Mohn

Prof. Dr. Peter Mohn

Vienna University of Technology Center for Computational Materials Science Getreidemarkt 9/134 1060 Vienna, Austria E-mail: phm@cms.tuwien.ac.at

Series Editors:

Professor Dr., Dres. h. c. Manuel Cardona Professor Dr., Dres. h. c. Peter Fulde* Professor Dr., Dres. h. c. Klaus von Klitzing Professor Dr., Dres. h. c. Hans-Joachim Queisser

Max-Planck-Institut für Festkörperforschung, Heisenbergstrasse 1, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany * Max-Planck-Institut für Physik komplexer Systeme, Nöthnitzer Strasse 38 01187 Dresden, Germany

Professor Dr. Roberto Merlin
Department of Physics, 5000 East University, University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1120, USA

Professor Dr. Horst Störmer

Dept. Phys. and Dept. Appl. Physics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027 and Bell Labs., Lucent Technologies, Murray Hill, NJ 07974, USA

ISSN 0171-1873 Corrected 2nd Printing 2006 ISBN-10 3-540-29384-1 Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York ISBN-13 978-3-540-29384-2 Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York ISBN 3-540-43183-7 1st Ed. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York

Library of Congress Control Number: 2005933346

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable to prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

Reprint from English language edition:

Magnetism in the Solid State: An Introduction
by P. Mohn
Copyright © 2006, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg
Springer is a part of Springer Science+Business Media
All Rights Reserved

This reprint has been authorized by Springer Science & Business Media for distribution in China Mainland only and not for export therefrom.

Contents

| 1. | A Historical Introduction | 1 |
|----|--|----------------|
| 2. | Consequences of Fermi Statistics 2.1 Quantum Statistics of Fermions 2.2 Free Energy of the Fermi Gas | 13 13 16 |
| 3. | Paramagnetism | 25 |
| 4. | Energy Bands in the Crystal | 31 |
| 5. | Experimental Basis of Ferromagnetism | 37 |
| | 5.1 Nickel Alloys | 42 |
| | 5.2 Iron Alloys | 43 |
| | 5.3 Palladium Alloys | 44 |
| | 5.4 Iron–Nickel Alloys | 44 |
| | 5.5 Effects of Strong Magnetic Fields | 44 |
| | 5.6 Effects of High Pressure | 46 |
| | 5.7 Effects of Finite Temperature | 47 |
| | 5.8 Susceptibility above \hat{T}_{c} | 47 |
| | 5.8.1 Susceptibility of "Classical Spins" | 48 |
| | 5.9 Critical Exponents | 50 |
| | 5.10 Neutron Diffraction | 51 |
| | 5.11 Further Experimental Methods | 52 |
| 6. | Weiss Molecular Field Model | 53 |
| | 6.1 Rhodes-Wohlfarth Plot | 59 |
| 7. | Heisenberg Model | 63 |
| | 7.1 Magnon Operators | 63 |
| | 7.2 Heisenberg Hamiltonian in Magnon Variables | 66 |
| | 7.3 Magnon Dispersion Relation | 67 |
| | 7.3.1 Specific Heat of Magnons | 69 |
| | 7.3.2 Ordering Temperature | 70 |
| | 7.4 Approximations for the Heisenberg Model | 70 |
| | 7.4.1 Ising Model | 71 |
| | 1.4.1 Ising Model | 11 |

| 37 | ~ |
|----|----------|
| | Contents |
| | |

| | 7.4.2 XY Model | 72 73 |
|-----|---|--|
| 8. | Itinerant Electrons at 0 K 8.1 Pauli Susceptibility of the Itinerant Electrons 8.2 Susceptibility of the Interacting Itinerant Electrons 8.3 Non-linear Effects 8.4 Effects of High Fields at 0 K 8.4.1 Non-magnetic Limit 8.4.2 Strong Ferromagnets 8.4.3 Weak Ferromagnets 8.4.4 bcc Iron and hcp Cobalt 8.4.5 Extremely High Fields 8.4.6 Metamagnetism 8.5 Susceptibility of Paramagnetic Alloys | 75 80 80 83 83 84 85 85 87 87 |
| 9. | Band Gap Theory of Strong Ferromagnetism | 93 97 |
| 10. | Magnetism and the Crystal Structure –Covalent Magnetism110.1 Crystal Structure of Mn, Fe, Co, and Ni110.2 Covalent Magnetism110.3 Covalent Polarization1 | $\frac{103}{105}$ |
| 11. | Magnetic Impurities in an Electron Gas111.1 Impurity Potential in the Jellium111.2 Strong Perturbations in the Jellium111.3 Layer and Line Defects111.4 Magnetic Impurities and Oscillations of the Magnetization1 | 117 119 119 |
| 12. | Itinerant Electrons at $T > 0$: A Historical Survey 12.1 Excitations at Low Temperatures 1 12.1.1 Strongly Ferromagnetic Systems 1 12.1.2 Weakly Ferromagnetic Systems 1 12.2 Stoner Theory for a Rectangular Band 1 12.3 Weak Excitations with $\zeta << 1$ 1 | 127 127 129 130 |
| 13. | Hubbard Model113.1 Beyond Hartree–Fock1 | |
| 14. | Landau Theory for the Stoner Model114.1 General Considerations114.2 Application to the Stoner Model1 | 139 |
| 15 | Coupling Retween Itinerant and Localized Moments | 147 |

| | | Contents | XI |
|------|---|----------|--|
| 16. | Origin of the Molecular Field | | 151 156 |
| 17. | Exchange and Correlation in Metals | | 161 |
| 18. | Spin Fluctuations 18.1 Fluctuations of a Thermodynamical Variable 18.2 Fluctuations of the Magnetic Moment 18.3 Specific Heat of the Spin Fluctuations 18.4 Magneto-Volume Coupling 18.5 Applications of the Spin Fluctuation Model 18.6 Comparing the Spin-Fluctuation and the Stoner-Magnetic spin fluctuation and the Stoner-Magnetic fluctuation fluc | | 170 171 177 177 179 |
| 19. | Single Particle Excitations Versus Spin Waves . | | 185 |
| 20. | Landau-Ginzburg Model for Spin Fluctuations | | 191 |
| 21. | Conclusion and Lookout | | 199 |
| Α. | Appendices A. Convexity Property of the Free Energy B. Derivation of the Coefficient a in (3.17) C. Quenching of the Orbital Momentum D. Properties of "Classical" Spins E. Derivation of the Constant c in (8.24) | | 203 203 206 208 |
| | F. Ornstein-Zernicke Extension G. Bogoliubov-Peierls-Feynman Inequality H. The Factor 2 in Equation (7.27) I. Hund's Rules J. Polynomial Coefficients in (18.12) K. Conversion Between Magnetic Units | | 211 213 214 216 218 |
| Refe | G. Bogoliubov–Peierls–Feynman Inequality | | 211 213 214 216 218 219 |

1. A Historical Introduction

Already in archaic times it was known that a type of stone which was found close to a place called Magnesia in northern Greece attracts iron. The Greek philosopher and mathematician Thales of Milet (about 625-564 b.c.) [1] even attributed a soul and thus life to this attracting material. The first known application of magnetism is the compass as it was used by the Chinese [2]. The oldest description of a compass is found in the book $Meng\ Chhi\ Pi\ Than$ (from the year 1086) of the author Shen Kua who not only describes the compass needle to point to the south direction but also refers to a slight easterly deviation meaning the declination of the compass. Although only described therein for the first time, it seems probable that the compass was already used in the 7th and 8th century since during this time the natural magnet lodestone (formed by the mineral magnetite; Fe₃O₄) was already replaced by iron needles. The Chinese used the compass mainly for terrestrial navigation; only at the end of the 12th century did it also appear on ships.

In Europe the compass was first mentioned in 1187 by the Englishman A. Neckam [3] in his works De utensilibus and De naturis rerum. Other sources about the use of magnetic needles can be found in a poem by G. de Provins [4] and in a letter of the crusader P. de Mericourt in his Epistola de magnete [5]. It is interesting that, although it was well known that magnets only attract iron and iron rich metals, the medieval literature also reports tales about magnetic mountains or islands whose magnetism was said to be able to remove the copper or bronze nails out of boats. It took until 1600 for Gilbert [6] to notice that the use of the right kind of iron is necessary to produce strong magnets. Figure 1.1 shows how a piece of iron can be magnetized by coldworking in the earth's magnetic field.

During the next centuries people tried to produce stronger magnets; in particular Knight [7] succeeded in building a magnetic "magazine" which was strong enough to reverse the magnetization of any other known magnet put into it. Also in the medical science of the 18th century the use of magnets came into fashion. In particular the German physician Mesmer claimed to be highly successful in curing various diseases by magnetizing the patient. In 1775 he published his results and claimed that his discovery of what he called *Magnetismus animalis* is of medical relevance. His influence was so strong that his name even entered the English language and is still present in the



Fig. 1.1. Coldworking of a permanent magnet in the direction of the earth's magnetic field (septentrio=north, auster=south) taken from Gilbert [6]

word mesmerizing. In a rather satirical way Lorenzo da Ponte comments on the Mesmer hysteria of his time in the textbook to Mozart's opera Cosi fan tutte [8] where in one scene two young men, who pretend to have poisoned themselves, are brought back to life by the application of a giant horseshoe magnet. This is not the only lyrical approach to magnetism. A rather different one can be found in the operetta Patience by Gilbert and Sullivan where W.S. Gilbert wrote in 1881:¹

A magnet hung in a hardware shop, And all around him was loving crop Of scissors and needles, nails and knives, Offering love for all their lives; But for iron the magnet felt no whim,

¹ I am grateful to G. Fletcher for bringing this "magnetism chant" to my attention. It has also been referred to by J.H. van Vleck [9].

Though he charmed iron, it charmed not him; From needles and nails and knives he'd turn, For he'd set his love on a Silver Churn! ... But this magnetic, peripathetic Lover he lived to learn, By no endeavour Can magnet ever Attract a Silver Churn!

The effect of electric currents on compass needles was discovered by Oersted in 1820. As early as in 1831 Faraday formulated the induction principle which finally gave rise to a new scientific discipline: electromagnetism. The first electromagnets were built by Sturgeon [10] whose work started also a new and more systematic search for improving magnets. With the development of exact sciences the need for well defined stable magnetic fields also grew and reached its climax with the work of F. Bitter during the 1930s who succeeded in producing fields up to 15T in a bore of 5cm diameter. He developed segmented coils (which could tolerate the enormous mechanical stress) which were water cooled and had a power consumption of about 5MW. This line of experimental setup soon came to an end not only because of the enormous power consumption and cooling problems, but also because of the development of superconducting magnets. Although the mechanical stress problems remain, coils from technical superconductors like Nb-Ti and Nb₃Sn with upper critical fields of 15 and 23T, respectively, are readily available. The highest fields produced in the laboratory come from pulsed magnets. where a capacitance battery is discharged through a coil. Experiments are then performed at the peak flux usually for periods less than 1ms. These appliances reach up to 120T. A further increase can be achieved by implosion coils, where at the time of the main flux from a pulsed current, an implosion charge is ignited which contracts the coil area and thus increases the flux. Due to the high cost of these "self destroying" experiments their application is rather limited.

The development of "microscopic" models of the magnetic properties of free atoms, molecules and (much later) solids, started in the late 19th and early 20th century. It required the formulation of Maxwell's electrodynamics and the ideas of Boltzmann's statistical thermodynamics to treat the properties of ensembles of electric and magnetic carriers. If one assumes that a molecule has a magnetic moment of magnitude μ , the paramagnetic susceptibility χ_{para} of an ensemble of such molecules will be given by

$$\chi_{\text{para}} = \frac{N\mu^2}{3k_{\text{B}}T} \quad . \tag{1.1}$$

These persistent magnetic moments are thought to be represented by tiny permanent magnets, which themselves are supposed to be rigid and hence incapable of induced polarization. At $T=0\mathrm{K}$ these elementary magnets will

all be aligned parallel to an applied field. At finite T, temperature agitation will reduce the average number of aligned moments in the way expressed in (1.1). The linear temperature dependence of the inverse susceptibility was noticed experimentally by P. Curie [11] and was later derived theoretically by Langevin [12]. Equation (1.1) is in fact known as Curie's law and describes the susceptibility of all systems in the classical limit (high temperature).

While the Langevin paramagnetism always yields a positive contribution to the susceptibility there must also exist a different mechanism which leads to a diamagnetic behavior. Langevin also showed that induced polarization leads to a diamagnetic response by assuming that an applied field induces an additional electric current in the electron system. Due to the classical induction law, the magnetic field produced by this current is opposed to the direction of the applied field (Lenz's rule) and thus weakens it, which in turn leads to a negative value of the susceptibility. On calculating the statistical average of the two-dimensional projection over a three-dimensional motion Langevin missed out a factor of 2, which was only later corrected by Pauli [13] so the diamagnetic susceptibility $\chi_{\rm dia}$ reads

$$\chi_{\rm dia} = -\frac{Ne^2}{6mc^2} \sum_{i} \left\langle r_i^2 \right\rangle \quad . \tag{1.2}$$

In (1.2) the quantity $\langle r_i^2 \rangle$ is the average radius of the motion of electron *i*. A comparison of the para- and diamagnetic susceptibilities shows the latter one to be usually much smaller. In fact, for most systems with open electronic shells the diamagnetic part is negligible. Only in cases where all electron shells are filled and the paramagnetic contribution (ideally) becomes zero, can a net diamagnetic susceptibility be observed (e.g. copper, noble-gases).

At this point of the development of a theory of magnetism there existed a beautifully simple model for the understanding of both para- and diamagnetism which seemed to be based on purely classical physics. The draw-back came when in 1919 Miss van Leeuwen, a Ph.D. student of Niels Bohr, demonstrated that classical Boltzmann statistics applied rigorously to any dynamical system must lead to a zero susceptibility [14]. The proof of the theorem, which is also referred to by van Vleck [15], is most elucidating for the understanding of magnetism and is briefly reviewed here.

Unambiguously one can assume that any magnetic moment, which has to be related to an angular momentum of a charged particle, can be written as a linear function

$$m_z = \sum_{k=1}^f a_k \dot{q}_k \quad , \tag{1.3}$$

of the generalized velocities $q_1...q_f$. This assumption is particularly clear in Cartesian coordinates where the magnetic moment (e.g. m_z) is given as

$$m_z = \frac{1}{2c} \sum e_i \left(x_i \dot{y}_i - y_i \dot{x}_i \right) \quad , \tag{1.4}$$

and the linearity in the velocities is preserved under any transformation to another set of generalized coordinates. The magnetic moment in the direction of the applied field (which defines the z-direction) is then given by

$$M_z = CN \int ... \int \sum_{k=1}^f a_k \dot{q}_k e^{-\mathcal{H}/k_B T} dq_1 ... dq_f dp_1 ... dp_f$$
 (1.5)

Since Hamilton's equations relate the velocities to the momenta via

$$\dot{q}_f = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_j} \quad , \quad \dot{p}_f = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_j} \quad ,$$
 (1.6)

the integrand for a particular index j is merely

$$-k_{\rm B}T\frac{\partial\left(a_{j}{\rm e}^{-\mathcal{H}/k_{\rm B}T}\right)}{\partial p_{j}}\tag{1.7}$$

so that for this value j the integral in (1.5) becomes

$$-CNk_{\mathrm{B}}T\int ...\int \left[a_{j}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathcal{H}/k_{\mathrm{B}}T}\right]_{p_{j}=-\infty}^{p_{j}=+\infty}\mathrm{d}q_{1}...\mathrm{d}q_{f}\mathrm{d}p_{1}...\mathrm{d}p_{j-1}\mathrm{d}p_{j+1}...\mathrm{d}p_{f}.$$

$$(1.8)$$

Ergodicity requires that the integration has to be carried out over the whole range of the phase space so that the value for p_j varies over $\pm \infty$. From the convexity property of the free energy (see Sect. A.) one deduces that the energy has to become infinite for infinite values of the coordinate p_j which makes the integrand zero for any particular coordinate p_j . This proof holds for any Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} (also with an applied field) since no properties of \mathcal{H} were required.

This result is not only the formal proof that classical mechanics cannot account for magnetism but it also asks for an explanation of why one is able to obtain the Langevin results, (1.1) and (1.2), from the same classical mechanics. The answer is both simple and complicated at once: To derive Langevin's formula one has already assumed that finite and constant magnetic moments are present. In the sense of the proof given above, this means that one has restricted the integration to particular parts of the phase space, or in other words, some relevant parts of the energy remain finite (even constant) while the coordinates go to infinity. While classical mechanics cannot give any reasoning for such a restriction, quantum mechanics can, e.g. by requiring quantized values for the angular momentum or finite occupation numbers. In this sense van Leeuwen's theorem not only proves the inability of classical mechanics to explain magnetism, but also calls for a different mechanics on the microscopic scale which justifies the requirements for the Langevin formulation.

Langevin's result (1.1) can of course be derived from first principles quantum mechanics where it is known under the name Langevin–Debye formula. In the chapter about the Weiss molecular field model (Chap. 6), it will be

shown how the Langevin–Debye result (6.13) can be obtained. A more profound derivation together with an extensive discussion is given in the book by John van Vleck [15].

The first successful quantum mechanical theory of magnetism goes back to N. Bohr who, around 1913, developed what is now known as the old quantum mechanics. (The "new quantum mechanics" - starting about 1921 - was later pioneered by Heisenberg, Schrödinger, Born, and Dirac.) With Bohr's astonishingly simple theorem that the angular momentum is given by multiples of Planck's constant, he immediately solved all problems which classical statistics imposed on the physics of microscopic particles. Among these problems of classical physics one usually only refers to the electrodynamically forbidden stationary electron orbits, but there existed other unanswered questions which rely on the application of Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics. One of these problems is the distribution of atomic (or molecular) sizes, since if Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics applies, the distribution of atomic radii in an ensemble of atoms must vary from very small ones (even zero) to very large ones. In particular the behavior for very small radii resembles the problem of the black-body radiation where classical physics requires that the energy density goes to infinity for zero wavelength (Rayleigh-Jeans law). Since quantum mechanics can thus explain why some variables remain constant, as discussed by van Leeuwen, it can also account for magnetism.

The microscopic theory of ferromagnetism starts with Weiss [16] who in 1907 postulated his famous molecular field model. Although formulated before the advent of quantum mechanics, he already assumed discrete energy levels associated with respective values for magnetic moments (angular momenta). To explain spontaneous alignment of the elementary magnetic moments, he postulated the existence of an internal (molecular) field which should represent the then unknown interaction between these moments. Later this interaction was found to be the exchange interaction which again is of entirely quantum-mechanical origin.

With the advancing spectroscopic techniques, the explanation of atomic spectra required the introduction of a new quantum number. Landé [17] proposed that he could explain his g-factor by assuming that the atom contained a mysterious Atomrumpf whose magnetic moment is exactly half the value found for ordinary angular momenta. The actual idea that the electron has an internal degree of freedom is due to Uhlenbeck and Goudsmit [18]. Only with the spin did it become possible to understand the anomalous Zeeman effect (i.e. the non-linear splitting of spectral lines in a weak applied field). Although the spin was originally described in analogy to the other angular momenta its actual origin was discovered by Dirac on formulating the relativistic quantum mechanics [19].

With the knowledge of the four principle quantum numbers (angular l, magnetic m_l , spin s, and spin-magnetic m_s) and the two different ways of coupling these angular momenta in the non-relativistic (ll-coupling) and in