POST-MODERNISM

解读后现代主义

Christopher Butler 著 朱 刚 秦海花 译



A VERY SHORT INTRODUCTION

. 013050403

POST-MODERNISM

解读后现代主义

Christopher Butler 著 朱 刚 秦海花 译

图书馆

通识教育 双语文库

A VERY SHORT INTRODUCTION



外 语 教 学 与 研 究 出 版 社 FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHING AND RESEARCH PRESS 北京 BEIJING H319.4:B

京权图字: 01-2006-6844

Postmodernism was originally published in English in 2002.

This Bilingual Edition is published by arrangement with Oxford University Press and is for sale in the People's Republic of China only, excluding Hong Kong SAR, Macau SAR and Taiwan Province, and may not be bought for export therefrom.

英文原版于2002年出版。该双语版由牛津大学出版社及外语教学与研究出版社合作出版, 只限中华人民共和国境内销售, 不包括香港特别行政区、澳门特别行政区及台湾省。不得出口。© Christopher Butler 2002

图书在版编目(CIIP)数据

解读后现代主义:英汉对照/(英)巴特勒(Butler, C.)著;朱刚,秦海花译.—北京:外语教学与研究出版社,2013.1

(通识教育双语文库)

书名原文: Postmodernism ISBN 978-7-5600-8552-4

I. ①解··· Ⅱ. ①巴··· ②朱··· ③秦··· Ⅲ. ①英语—汉语—对照读物 ②后现代主义—研究 Ⅳ. ①H319.4: B

中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字 (2013) 第 026128 号



www. 2u4u. com. cn 阅读、视听、测试、交流、共享

提供海量电子文档、视频、MP3、手机应用下载!

出版人.蔡剑峰

项目负责:姚 虹 周渝毅

责任编辑:罗来鸥 车云峰

封面设计: 覃一彪版式设计: 吕 茜

出版发行: 外语教学与研究出版社

社 址:北京市西三环北路 19号(100089)

网 址: http://www.fltrp.com

印 刷:三河市北燕印装有限公司

开 本: 650×980 1/16

印 张: 810

版 次: 2013 年 4 月第 1 版 2013 年 4 月第 1 次印刷

书 号: ISBN 978-7-5600-8552-4

定 价: 1980.00元

* * *

购书咨询: (010)88819929 电子邮箱: club@fltrp.com

如有印刷、装订质量问题, 请与出版社联系

联系电话: (010)61207896 电子邮箱: zhijian@fltrp.com

制售盗版必究 举报查实奖励

版权保护办公室举报电话: (010)88817519

物料号: 185520001

"通识教育双语文库"书目

历史系列:

Ancient Egypt

Ancient Warfare

The Anglo-Saxon Age

Eighteenth-Century Britain

Medieval Britain

Nineteenth-Century Britain

The Roman Empire

Twentieth-Century Britain

The Vikings

重构古埃及

古代战争与西方战争文化

盎格鲁-撒克逊简史

十八世纪英国:宪制建构与产业革命

中世纪英国:征服与同化

十九世纪英国:危机与变革

罗马帝国简史

二十世纪英国:帝国与遗产

北欧海盗

文化艺术系列:

Architecture

Art History

Bestsellers

Contemporary Art

Egyptian Myth

Modern Art

The Renaissance

Renaissance Art

Shakespeare

建筑与文化

走近艺术史

英美畅销小说简史

当代艺术

走近埃及神话

走近现代艺术

文艺复兴简史

文艺复兴时期的艺术

思想家莎士比亚

自然科学与心理学系列:

Consciousness

Cosmology

Cryptography

Darwin

意识新探

认识宇宙学

密码术的奥秘

达尔文与进化论

Dinosaurs 恐龙探秘

Dreaming 梦的新解 Emotion 解读情感

Freud 弗洛伊德与精神分析

Global Catastrophes 全球灾变与世界末日

The History of Time 时间的历史

Jung 简析荣格

Psychiatry 精神病学漫谈

政治、哲学与宗教系列:

Animal Rights 动物权利

The Bible 《圣经》纵览

Buddha 佛陀小传

Continental Philosophy 解读欧陆哲学

The Dead Sea Scrolls 死海古卷概说

Existentialism 存在主义简论

Feminism 女权主义简史

Myth 神话理论

The Old Testament 旧约学入门 Plato 解读柏拉图

Postmodernism 解读后现代主义 Socrates 众说苏格拉底

The World Trade Organization 权力、政治与 WTO

List of illustrations

1 Interior of Westin

York/DACS, London 2002. Leo

(1981–6) by Jeff Koons 65 © Jeff Koons Productions Inc.

Castelli Gallery, New York

6 New Hoover Quadraflex

	Bonaventure Hotel by			Rotterdam (1966) by
	Portman	4		Malcolm Morley 77
	John Portman & Associates			© Malcolm Morley. Norman and Irma Braman collection. Courtesy
2	Ray Federman, Take It	2. 2.3 5.4		of Sperone Westwater, New York
	$or\ Leave\ It: A\ Novel$ (1976) Fiction Collective, New York	22	8	Holland Hotel by Richard Estes 79 © Richard Estes. Marlborough
3	Untitled film still (1977	7)		Gallery, New York
	by Cindy Sherman © Cindy Sherman/Metro Pictures	54	9	Early One Morning (1962) by Anthony Caro 82
4	Untitled film still (1977) by Cindy Sherman	7) 54		© Anthony Caro. Photo © Tate, London 2002
	© Cindy Sherman/Metro Pictures		10	An Oak Tree (1973) by Michael Craig-Martin 83
5	Fool's House (1962) by Jasper Johns © Jasper Johns/VAGA, New	63		© Michael Craig-Martin. Australian National Gallery, Canberra

11

7 SS Amsterdam in Front of

Picture for Women

(1979) by Jeff Wall

© Jeff Wall. Musée national d'art

moderne, Paris. Photo © RMN

86

12	Sainsbury Wing, 1	National	1
	Gallery, London (1991) by	
	Venturi, Scott Brown and		
	Associates	90	
	© Martin Charles		
			1

- 13 Theatre of Abraxas by Ricardo Bofill 91 © Charles Jencks
- 14 The Dinner Party (1979)
 by Judy Chicago 96
 © ARS, NY and DACS, London
 2002. Judy Chicago
 collection. Photo © Donald
 Woodmann
- Interior Scroll (1975) by
 Carolee Schneeman 98
 ARS, NY and DACS, London 2002. Photo © Anthony McCall
- 16 Untitled, #228 (1990)
 by Cindy Sherman 99
 © Cindy Sherman/Metro Pictures
- 17 Untitled (Your gaze hits the side of my face) (1981) by Barbara Kruger 101 © Barbara Kruger. Mary Boone Gallery, New York

- 18 Her Story (1984) by
 Elizabeth Murray 107
 © Elizabeth Murray.
 Pace Wildenstein, New York
- 19 Grandma and the
 Frenchman (Identity
 Crisis) (1990) by
 Robert Colescott 108
 © Robert Colescott. Phyllis Kind
 Gallery, New York
- 20 The Imagineers Main
 Street USA (1955)
 Anaheim, California 113
 From Ghirardo, Architecture after
 Modernism (1996) © Bettmann/
 Corbis
- 21 Odalisk (1955–8) by
 Robert Rauschenberg 124
 © Robert Rauschenberg/DACS,
 London/VAGA, New York 2002.
 Museum Ludwig Köln. Photo ©
 Rheinisches Bildarchiv

VIII

Contents

	List of illustrations VII
parameter	The rise of postmodernism 1
2	New ways of seeing the world 13
3	Politics and identity 44
4	The culture of postmodernism 62
5	The 'postmodern condition' 110
	References 129
	Further reading 133
	Index 135

目录

久	IX

第-	一章	后现代主义的兴起	1 42
- 4	_ E		143
11	-		1 10

第二章 看待世界的新方式 158

第三章 政治与身份 192

第四章 后现代主义文化 212

第五章 "后现代状况" 265

冬日

- 图 1. 波特曼设计的威斯汀 波拿冯契饭店内部: "后现代主义超空间" 147
- 图 2. 摘自雷·费德曼:《要就要,不要拉倒:一部小说》(1976)带有喜剧色彩的后现代主义小说也最能体现对理论的嘲弄。
- 图 3.、图 4. 辛迪·舍曼:《无题电影剧照》(1977) 希区柯克和安东尼奥尼的影片中辛迪·舍曼可能会以这样的形象出现;但事实上她没有出演过这些电影。
- 图 5. 贾斯珀·约翰斯:《愚人之家》(1962) 语言、理论、对象和艺术。 这是一支画笔吗? 213

203

图 6. 杰夫 · 孔斯:《新胡佛

四件套》(1981-1986) 博物馆展览的精致艺术 也被运用到日常消费品 中。 215

- 图 7. 马尔科姆·莫利:《鹿特丹前的"阿姆斯特丹"号汽轮》(1966)这仅仅是一艘大船,还是关于艺术本质的一个声明?
- 图 8. 理查德·埃斯蒂斯:《荷兰旅馆》 一栋危险的形式精美的 建筑,难道是一种现代 主义倒退? 232
- 图 9. 安东尼·卡罗:《一天清晨》(1962) 作品形式精美足以引起 观众的思索和愉悦。这 里没有提出任何问题。

235

图 10. 迈克尔 · 克雷格 - 马 丁:《一棵橡树》(1973) 支雪茄 (引自弗洛伊 德)。 236

- 图 11. 杰夫·沃尔:《女性 照片》(1979) 谁在看谁? 从哪个角 度? 为什么? 240
- 图 12. 文图里、斯科特 布 朗及合伙人事务所设 计:伦敦英国国家美 术馆寒恩斯伯里翼廊 (1991)
 - 一幢新的建筑, 却是以 往风格的拼贴。是否很 有讽刺意味? 245
- 图 13. 里卡多· 博菲尔设计: 巴黎阿卜拉克萨斯剧院 在这幢凡尔赛宫的后现 代主义翻版建筑中, 你 同样会觉得很渺小。是 为国王设计的吗? 246
- (1979)女权主义研讨会: 但是 与会者的身份难道就没 有被"代表"她们的意 象所限制吗? 250
- 图 15. 卡罗利 · 施内曼:《内 部卷轴》(1975) 我们用身体写作, 但在 何种意义上女性写作能 同样发自身体本身?

- 有时候一支雪茄就是一图 16. 辛迪 舍曼:《无题, 第 228 号》(1990) 演示阉割的威胁: 犹滴 的故事是否也同样是舍 曼的故事? 253
 - 图 17. 芭芭拉 · 克鲁格:《无 题》(你的凝视击中我 的脸颊) (1981) 传递信息的艺术: 男性 的凝视有多粗暴? 254
 - 图 18. 伊丽莎白 · 默里:《她 的故事》(1984) 后现代主义身份主题可 以和现代主义表现模式 相结合吗? 261
 - 图 19. 罗伯特 · 科莱斯科特: 《祖母和法国人》(身份 **危机**) (1990) 表现个人身份需要硬行 插入叙事模式、使用现 实主义模式吗? 262
- 图 14. 朱迪· 芝加哥:《晚宴》 图 20. 迪士尼设计者的《美 国大街》(1955), 阿纳 海姆,加利福尼亚州 这是你生活的大街吗? 268
 - 图 21. 罗伯特 · 劳申伯格: 《女奴》(1955-1958) "图像导致相对主义导 致怀疑。"那么那只鸡 在顶上干吗呢? 280

Χ

252

Chapter 1

The rise of postmodernism

Carl Andre's rectangular pile of bricks, *Equivalent* VIII (1966), annoyed lots of people when shown at the Tate Gallery, London, in 1976. It is a typically postmodernist object. Now re-enshrined in the Tate Modern, it doesn't resemble much in the canon of modernist sculpture. It is not formally complex or expressive, or particularly engaging to look at, indeed it can soon be boring. It is easy to repeat. Lacking any features to sustain interest in itself (except perhaps to Pythagorean number mystics) it inspires us to ask questions about its context rather than its content: 'What is the point of this?', or 'Why is this displayed in a museum?' Some theory about the work has to be brought in to fill the vacuum of interest, and this is also fairly typical. It might inspire the question 'Is it really art, or just a heap of bricks pretending to be art?' But this is not a question that makes much sense in the postmodernist era, in which it seems to be generally accepted that it is the institution of the gallery, rather than anything else, which has made it, de facto, a 'work of art'. The visual arts just are what museum curators show us, from Picasso to slicedup cows, and it is up to us to keep up with the ideas surrounding these works.

Many postmodernists (and of course their museum director allies) would like us to entertain such thoughts about the ideas which might surround this 'minimalist' art. A pile of bricks is designedly elementary; it confronts and denies the emotionally expressive

qualities of previous (modernist) art. Like Duchamp's famous *Urinal* or his bicycle wheel mounted on a stool, it tests our intellectual responses and our tolerance of the works that the art gallery can bring to the attention of its public. It makes some essentially critical points, which add up to some quite self-denying assumptions about art. Andre says: 'What I try to find are sets of particles and the rules which combine them in the simplest way', and claims that his equivalents are 'communistic because the form is equally accessible to all men'.

This sculpture, however politically correct it may be interpreted to be, isn't nearly as *enjoyable* as Rodin's *Kiss*, or the far more intricate abstract structures of a sculptor like Anthony Caro. Andre's theoretical avant-gardism, which tests our intellectual responses, suggests that the pleasures taken in earlier art are a bit suspect. Puritanism, 'calling into question', and making an audience feel guilty or disturbed, are all intimately linked by objects like this. They are attitudes which are typical of much postmodernist art, and they often have a political dimension. The artwork for which Martin Creed won the Turner Prize in 2001 continues this tradition. It is an empty room, in which the electric lights go on and off.

I will be writing about postmodernist artists, intellectual gurus, academic critics, philosophers, and social scientists in what follows, as if they were all members of a loosely constituted and quarrelsome political party. This party is by and large internationalist and 'progressive'. It is on the left rather than the right, and it tends to see everything, from abstract painting to personal relationships, as political undertakings. It is not particularly unified in doctrine, and even those who have most significantly contributed ideas to its manifestos sometimes indignantly deny membership – and yet the postmodernist party tends to believe that its time has come. It is certain of its uncertainty, and often claims that it has seen through the sustaining illusions of others, and so has grasped the 'real' nature of the cultural and political institutions which surround us. In doing this, postmodernists often follow Marx. They claim to be

peculiarly aware of the unique state of contemporary society, immured as it is in what they call 'the postmodern condition'.

Postmodernists therefore do not simply support aesthetic 'isms', or avant-garde movements, such as minimalism or conceptualism (from which work like Andre's bricks emerged). They have a distinct way of seeing the world as a whole, and use a set of philosophical ideas that not only support an aesthetic but also analyse a 'late capitalist' cultural condition of 'postmodernity'. This condition is supposed to affect us all, not just through avant-garde art, but also at a more fundamental level, through the influence of that huge growth in media communication by electronic means which Marshall McLuhan in the 1960s called the 'electronic village'. And yet in our new 'information society', paradoxically enough, most information is apparently to be distrusted, as being more of a contribution to the manipulative image-making of those in power than to the advancement of knowledge. The postmodernist attitude is therefore one of a suspicion which can border on paranoia (as seen, for example, in the conspiracy-theory novels of Thomas Pynchon and Don DeLillo, and the films of Oliver Stone).

A major Marxist commentator on postmodernism, Frederic Jameson, sees Jon Portman's Westin Bonaventura Hotel in Los Angeles as entirely symptomatic of this condition. Its extraordinary complexities of entranceways, its aspiration towards being 'a complete world, a kind of miniature city', and its perpetually moving elevators, make it a 'mutation' into a 'postmodernist hyperspace' which transcends the capacities of the human body to locate itself, to find its own position in a mappable world. This 'milling confusion', says Jameson, is a dilemma, a 'symbol and analogue' of the 'incapacity of our minds . . . to map the great global multinational and decentred communicational network in which we find ourselves caught as individual subjects'. Many of us have felt something like this in London's Barbican Centre.

This 'lost in a big hotel' view of our condition shows postmodernism



 ${\bf 1.}\ \ {\bf Interior\ of\ Westin\ Bonaventure\ Hotel\ by\ Portman.}$ 'Postmodernist\ hyperspace'.}

to be a doctrine for the metropolis, within which a new climate of ideas has arisen and brought with it a new sensibility. But these ideas and attitudes have always been very much open to debate, and in what follows I shall combat postmodernist scepticism with some of my own. Indeed, I will deny that its philosophical and political views and art forms are nearly as dominant as a confident proclamation of a new 'postmodernist' era might suggest.

It is nevertheless obvious by now that even if we restrict ourselves to the ideas current within the artistic avant-garde since 1945, we can sense a break with those of the modernist period. The work of James Joyce is very different from that of Alain Robbe-Grillet, that of Igor Stravinsky from that of Karlheinz Stockhausen, that of Henri Matisse from that of Robert Rauschenberg, of Jean Renoir from that of Jean-Luc Godard, of Jacob Epstein from that of Carl Andre, and of Mies van der Rohe from that of Robert Venturi. What one makes of this contrast between the modern and the postmodern in the arts largely depends on the values one embraces. There is no single line of development to be found here.

Many of these differences arose from the sensitivity of artists to changes in the climate of ideas. By the mid-1960s, critics like Susan Sontag and Ihab Hassan had begun to point out some of the characteristics, in Europe and in the United States, of what we now call postmodernism. They argued that the work of postmodernists was deliberately less unified, less obviously 'masterful', more playful or anarchic, more concerned with the processes of our understanding than with the pleasures of artistic finish or unity, less inclined to hold a narrative together, and certainly more resistant to a certain interpretation, than much of the art that had preceded it. We will look at some examples of this later on.

The rise of theory

Somewhat later than the period in which the artists mentioned above established themselves, a further postmodernist

development took place: 'the rise of theory' among intellectuals and academics. Workers in all sorts of fields developed an excessively critical self-consciousness. Postmodernists reproached modernists (and their supposedly 'naive' liberal humanist readers or spectators or listeners) for their belief that a work of art could somehow appeal to all humanity, and so be free of divisive political implications.

The rise of the great post-war innovatory artists - Stockhausen, Boulez, Robbe-Grillet, Beckett, Coover, Rauschenberg, and Beuvs was succeeded (and many would say supplemented and explained) by the huge growth in the influence of a number of French intellectuals, notably the Marxist social theorist Louis Althusser, the cultural critic Roland Barthes, the philosopher Jacques Derrida, and the historian Michel Foucault, all of whom in fact began their work by thinking about the implications of modernism, and rarely had any very extended relationship to the contemporary avantgarde. Althusser was concerned with Brecht; Barthes with Flaubert and Proust; Derrida with Nietzsche, Heidegger, and Mallarmé; and Foucault with Nietzsche and Bataille. By the mid-1970s it becomes difficult to know what matters most to postmodernists - the fashioning of a particular kind of (disturbing) experience within art, or the new philosophical and political interpretative opportunities which it offered. Many would now say that for committed postmodernists, interpretative implications were always (and disastrously) 'privileged' over the enjoyable artistic embodiment and formal sophistication which so many had learned to appreciate in modernist art.

This startlingly new framework of ideas was exported from the France of the late 1960s and early 1970s into England, Germany, and the United States. By the time of the student uprisings of 1968, the most advanced philosophical thought had moved away from the strongly ethical and individualist existentialism that was typical of the immediately post-war period (of which Sartre and Camus were the best-publicized exponents) towards far more sceptical and antihumanist attitudes. These new beliefs were expressed in what came