

贵州人民出版社

H319 6-3(DY)

# THE ROLE OF INPUT AND THE SECOND LANGUAGE ACQUISITION: A Study of English Majors in Guizhou, China

BY

**ZHIYUN WANG** 

# 图书在版编目 (CIP) 数据

语言输入和第二语言习得/王志云著.一贵阳:贵州人民出版社,2002.2

ISBN 7-221-05722-2

I. 语 ... II. 王 ... III. 英语——教学研究——高等学校 IV. H319

中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字 (2001) 第 097897 号

# 语言输入和第二语言习得

作 者: 王志云

封面设计: 张 彪 责任编辑: 张民强 林亚青

出版发行: 贵州人民出版社

社 址: 贵阳市中华北路 289 号

邮 编: 550001

印 刷:贵州兴隆印务有限责任公司

开 本: 890mm × 1240mm 32 开

印 张: 12.25

字 数: 406千字

版 次: 2002年6月第1版 2002年6月第1次印刷

书 号: ISBN7-221-05722-2/H·53

定 价: 28.60元

### PREFACE

Professor Zhiyun Wang is both a theoretician and a practitioner: he is interested in English Language Teaching research not just in the abstract but in its application to concrete problems of language learners. In the present work, he uses his international experience to point up the contrast between learners in different circumstances and from different communities, and angles this experience towards a diagnosis of problems among students learning English at his home university in Guizhou, China. They are, he notes, oriented towards grammatical analysis and translation to the detriment of social communication; moreover, their productive skills do not correspond to their receptive skills. In order to help remedy the lack of communicative ability, literary works from an Anglophone canon are being used as a basis for work with the students, yet this corpus of material is remote from the reality of their everyday lives, and hence not very motivational. There are difficulties, therefore, with both methodology and with input.

To investigate the optimum role for grammar within the ELT curriculum he undertakes an empirical comparison of three teaching approaches: form-focussed, meaning-focussed and a combination of the two, and concludes that the combined method is superior. This finding clearly has methodological implications for language teaching and learning in China. He also addresses the sociocultural issue by examining the relative merits of Anglophone and Chinese-based literary input for Chinese students and concludes that unless their literary competence is highly developed, Anglo-American input is of no great benefit. He demonstrates that his research is acceptable, even congenial, to his colleagues by inviting a number of them to try out his new approaches and documenting the results. Their success in using the combined approach in classroom-teaching and in delivering a new module based on Chinese-oriented cultural input demonstrates the soundness of his conclusions and their relevance to the needs of China as a whole (since Guizhou is fairly representative of the national scenario).

Professor Wang's findings make a contribution to theory in the field of English Language Teaching by going beyond carefully-controlled situations, and grounding research in more naturalistic circumstances (his colleagues sustained the modified methodological approach over a substantial period); they are also progressive in their linkage of input with interaction and with sociocultural factors. His methodology is sophisticated and elaborate,

consisting of an intricate intermingling of the qualitative and the quantitative. Above all, it is relevant to the real world of China, and is of importance for all ELT professionals both at home and abroad.

It is a pleasure to me to write this brief preface to Professor Wang's book. He designed his research under my guidance in Northern Ireland, and during the period when he worked at the University of Ulster, I became firm friends with not just an academic one, but reaches into his human relationships and behaviour. He is one of the modern generation of Chinese scholars who move confidently between theory and practice, and between one international scholarly community and another. He is missed here, though from the beginning of his stay his commitment to his country and to the improvement of Chinese English Language Teaching was evident. I wish him every success of Chinese English Language Teaching was evident. I wish him every success with this book and hope that it will have the resonance which it undoubtedly deserves in his beloved home country and beyond.

Professor RMO Pritchard, University of Ulster at Coleraine

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to give special thanks to Professor R. M. O. Pritchard for her valuable guidance and supervision during the whole period of my research study at this University, which made possible the completion of this thesis. My consciousness of a debt to her finds no ready formula and can only be acknowledged here gratefully and inadequately.

I would also like to thank Dr M. Strain and Professor McGarvey for their timely academic advice.

Many people helped me in the empirical work at Guizhou University, China. Grateful acknowledgement is made here to the university authorities, to the first-year intensive-reading group, to Associate-Professor Junfen Dong, and to all the students who accepted my invitations for experiment and interview and who provided me with quantities of valuable information.

Finally, I am grateful to Mr Colin Todd at the University of Ulster computer center for his instruction on SPSS programmes, and to Mr William Norris for his support in having the thesis printed.

#### ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THIS BOOK

EFL: English as a Foreign Language

EPT: English Proficiency Test

ESL: English as a Second Language IPA: International Phonetic Alphabet

NATO: North Atlantic Treaty Organisation

SD: Standard Deviation

SES: Social and Economic Status

SL: Second Language

SLA: Second Language Acquisition

SPSS: Superior Performance Software Systems TCSL: Teaching Chinese as a Second Language TEFL: Teaching English as a Foreign Language

TEM: Test for English Majors

TNEM: Test for Non-English Majors

TOEFL: Test of English as a Foreign Language

UN: United Nations

WFP: World Food Programme (of the Food and Agriculture

from the 12.7 - Louis car to five will have been

Organisation of the United Nations)

## ABSTRACT

The present study attempted to investigate and analyse the role of methodological and semantic input in acquisition of English as a Foreign Language (EFL) for English majors in Guizhou, China. The most problematic phenomena for these students were: their habitual dependence on grammatical analysis and mental translation for comprehension and production (though they had studied EFL for six years in the middle school and about two years in the university), their undercultivated skills in social communication, and the unbalanced development of their language receptivity and productivity. The study set out to investigate three main issues: (i) whether a modification of teaching methodology would help students to achieve more balanced linguistic development; (ii) whether their comprehension and use of English would be effectively stimulated by Anglophone cultural input at the beginning of university study; and (iii) whether enhancing the comprehensibility and applicability of linguistic input would accelerate L2 acquisition and increase the likelihood of target language use. Since no previous comparable research had been conducted in this field of enquiry in Guizhou (or probably even in China), the purpose of this programme was to upgrade English teaching in this place.

The project consisted of two Phases. Phase I explored the effectiveness of teaching methodology by comparing three different approaches: grammar-based, meaning-based and combined pedagogies. In addition, it compared the relative effectiveness of Anglophone- and Home-based literary cultural input to the Chinese EFL students. Phase II set out to establish whether the optimal methodology, as indicated by the outcome of Phase I, could be applied on a wider scale by teaching practitioners other than the present researcher. The effectiveness of Home-based cultural input was also trialled in formal classroom teaching. Phase II thus focused on the generalisability of the Phase I findings.

Throughout the two Phases, quantitative and qualitative research instruments were employed for data generation and analysis. The main findings were as follows:

For Methodological Input: Phase 1

(1) In Guizhou, China, the traditional grammar-based approach and the Natural Method both demonstrated weaknesses in relation to students' EFL

acquisition.

(2) Compared with either of the two above-mentioned strategies used in isolation, the combined approach displayed its superiority for Chinese students.

(3) The results of the experimental data threw doubt on the validity of Krashen's learning-acquisition and input hypotheses.

For Semantic Input: Phase I

(4) Anglo-American literary input did not benefit the Chinese EFL students in terms of SLA unless their linguistic competence was appropriately developed.

(5) Input related to learners' own cultural and social environment reduced obstacles in learners' comprehension and created a greater potential for L2

application in their lives.

Generalisability of the Findings: Phase II

(6) Based on the quasi-experiment in Phase II, research findings indicated that, despite some psychological reservations, mainstream university teachers could become competent in using the combined method. This resulted in quicker processing of linguistic input, more rapid generation of linguistic output, and a more balanced command of the English language across the four major skills. Home cultural input was more effective than Anglophone cultural input in stimulating learners' English acquisition in the early stage of university study.

# CONTENTS

| Acknowledgements  | ix       |
|---|----------|
| A List of Tables Abbreviations Used in This Book  | x<br>xiv |
| Abstract  | XV       |
| Chapter 1 Statement of the Problem and Structure of the Book  |          |
| 1.1 Statement of the Problem  | 1        |
| 1.2 The Format of the Book  | 5        |
| Chapter 2 Inadequacies of EFL Input in Guizhou China: Historical a<br>Political Aspects   | and      |
| 2.1 Guizhou: A Multiethnic and Multilingual Province in China   | 8        |
| 2.2 Guizhou University  | - 11     |
| 2.3 An Inspiration from the Ethnic Minority Students' Learning  |          |
| of L2: Chinese  | 12       |
| 2.4 Disadvantages for English Teaching Compared with  |          |
| Chinese Teaching  | 14       |
| 2.5 TEFL in China   | 17       |
| 2.5.1 The Start of TEFL in China: Prior to 1902   | 18       |
| 2.5.2 Turning from the Japanese to the Western Model:   |          |
| 1902 - 1949   | 20       |
| 2.5.3 The Early Development of TEFL in New China:   |          |
| 1949 - 1966   | 22       |
| 2.5.4 A Decade of Great Disaster during the Cultural Revolution:  |          |
| 1966 -1976  | 26       |
| 2.5.5 A Renaissance for TEFL in China: from 1976 to the Present   | 27       |
| 2.6 TEFL in Guizhou   | 29       |
| 2.7 A Critique of Coursebooks for English Majors  | 22       |
| in Guizhou University   | 33       |
| <ul><li>2.7.1 Theoretical Inadequacy in Methodological Orientation</li><li>2.7.2 A Shifting from Politicisation to Westernisation</li></ul> | 34<br>37 |
| 2.7.2 A Sinting from Fonticisation to Westernisation 2.7.3 An Examination of Linguistic Input from Textbooks                                | 40       |
| 2.8 The Research Questions  | 47       |
| 2.8 The Research Questions  | 47       |
| Chapter 3 EFL Input: Form-Focused, Meaning-Focused and Cultura  | al       |
| SECTION 1 INPUT AND INTERACTION   |          |
| 3.1 Input and Interaction in Children's L1 Learning   | 49       |
| 3.2 Studies of the Role of Input and Interaction in SLA   | 51       |

| 3.3 The Conversion of Input into Intake  | 55  |
|--|-----|
| 3.3.1 A Theoretical Review: Gass, MacWhinney and Chaudron  | 56  |
| 3.3.2 Quantity and Quality of Input:   |     |
| Enhancing the Conversion into Intake   | 61  |
| 3.4 The Limitations of Anglophone Input in the Chinese Social  |     |
| Setting  | 62  |
| Summary  | 64  |
| in a like Charle New Later and the State and |     |
| 3.5 The Emergence of Study on Classroom L2 Acquisition:  | (5  |
| A General Review   | 65  |
| 3.5.1 Some Differences between the Form-focused and  | 69  |
| the Meaning-focused Approaches   | 71  |
| 3.5.2 The Meaning-based Approach: the Natural Method 3.5.2.1 The Rationale for the Natural Method  | 72  |
| 3.5.3 Grammar a Burden or an Aid in SLA?   | 74  |
| 3.5.3.1 The Naturalistic View of Grammar   | 76  |
| 3.5.4 Is Learning/Acquisition Conversion Possible?   | 80  |
| 3.5.5 Integrating Form-focused Instruction and   | 00  |
| Meaning-based Practice   | 82  |
| Summary  | 87  |
| COST of mixture and it is a mixture of the control  | 0,  |
| SECTION 3 THE CULTURAL DIMENSION   |     |
| 3.6 The Meaning of Culture   | 88  |
| 3.6.1 Signs and Sense  | 89  |
| 3.6.2 Cultural Difference  | 91  |
| 3.6.3 Intracultural and Intercultural Discourse  | 92  |
| 3.6.4 On Intercultural Communicative Ability   | 93  |
| 3.6.5 TESOL Culture  | 94  |
| 3.7 Language and Literature  | 95  |
| 3.7.1 Language in Literature   | 97  |
| 3.7.2 Language Competence and Literary Competence  | 98  |
| 3.7.3 The Status of Literature in EFL  | 99  |
| 3.7.4 The Developmental Cognitive Stages in Literary Appreciation  | 100 |
| Summary  | 101 |
| Chapter 4 Objectives and Design of the Study   |     |
| 4.1 Research Aims and Objectives   | 102 |
| 4.2 The Structure of the Research Project  | 103 |
| (Visual Presentation of the Experimental Design)   | 104 |

PHASE 1

|   | 4.3 Investigating the Effect of the Methodological Input: Study 1                                      | 105        |
|---|--|------------|
|   | 4.3.1 Rationale for the Design of the Experiment in Phase I, Study 1                                   | 106        |
|   | 4.3.2 Subjects   | 107        |
|   |  | 107        |
|   | 4.3.4 Method   | 108        |
|   |  | 110        |
|   | 4.4.1 Investigating the Effect of Anglo-American Literary Input on                                     |            |
|   |  | 111        |
|   |  | 111        |
|   | 4.4.1.2 Method   | 111        |
|   | 4.5 Exploring the Comprehensibility and Applicability of   |            |
|   |  | 112        |
|   |  | 113        |
|   | 4.5.2 Method for Item 1  | 113        |
|   | 4.5.3 Subjects for Items 2-4   | 114        |
|   | 4.5.4 Method for Item 2 (Sentence Reading)   | 115        |
|   | 4.5.5 Method for Item 3 (Passage Reading)  | 116        |
|   | 4.5.6 Method for Item 4 (One-Week Memory Testing)  | 117        |
|   |  |            |
|   | PHASE II   | 110        |
|   |  | 119        |
|   |  | 120        |
|   |  | 120        |
|   | 3  | 120<br>120 |
|   | <ul><li>4.7.2 Method</li><li>4.8 Testing the Practicability of Home Cultural Input in Formal</li></ul> | 120        |
|   |  | 121        |
|   |  | 121        |
|   |  | 121        |
|   |  | 122        |
|   | 4.9 Timescale of Implementation  | 122        |
| 1 | Chapter 5 Investigating the Effects of Methodological Input on   |            |
|   | Students' English Acquisition: Phase I, Study 1  |            |
|   |  | 124        |
|   |  | 125        |
|   |  | 125        |
|   |  | 126        |
|   |  | 127        |
|   |  | 128        |
|   |  | 129        |
|   |  | 131        |
|   |  | 132        |

| 5.1.4 Some Modifications of Teaching Materials and   |    |
|--|----|
| Time Arrangement   | 37 |
| 5.1.5 The Second Class for Each Group  | 37 |
| 5.2 The Main Study   | 8  |
| 5.2.1 The Student Attendance   | 19 |
| 5.2.2 The Reviewing Class  | 0  |
| 5.2.3 Some Modifications of the Methodology  | 10 |
| 5.3 Data Collection  | 1  |
| 5.3.1 The Transformation of the Raw Scores   | 13 |
| 5.3.2 Data Processing  | 4  |
| 5.4 The Presentation of the Experiment Results   | 4  |
| 5.4.1 Results of Linguistic Exercises  | 5  |
| 5.4.1.1 Syntactic Structure  | 8  |
| 5.4.1.2 New Phrases 14   | 9  |
| 5.4.1.3 Reading Comprehension  | 1  |
| 5.4.2 Written Production Results   |    |
| 5.4.3 Oral Production Results  | 8  |
| 5.4.4 Student Views of the Experiment  |    |
| 5.4.4.1 Subjects in Group A  |    |
| 5.4.4.2 Students in Group B  |    |
| 5.4.4.3 Students in Group C  |    |
| 5.5 Analysis and Discussion  |    |
| 5.5.1 The Interrelationship between Teaching and Learning  | 7  |
| 5.5.2 Can Students Raise Their Own Linguistic Consciousness in   |    |
| Class without Instruction?   |    |
| 5.5.3 An Evaluation of the Role of Grammar 17  |    |
| 5.5.4 The Strengths of the Combined Approach   |    |
| 5.6 Conclusion   | 8  |
| Established and the second particular seconds and process and  |    |
| hapter 6 Investigating the Effects of Semantic Input on EFL  |    |
| Students in SLA: Phase I, Study 2 6.1 Introduction 17  | 10 |
|  | 9  |
| 6.2 Investigating the Effect of Anglo-American Literary Reading on the English Majors in SLA (Section 1) | 0  |
| 6.2.1 The Preparation for the Test and Data Collection 18  |    |
| 6.2.2 Data Processing  |    |
| 6.2.3 The Presentation of the Research Results   |    |
| 6.2.3.1 The Result of Vocabulary Exercises 18  |    |
| 6.2.3.2 The Result of Vocabulary Exercises  18   |    |
| 6.2.3.3 The Result of Paragraph Comprehension 18   |    |
| 6.2.4 The Qualitative Data from Group Interview  |    |
| 6.2.4.1 The Obstacles to Be Surmounted   |    |

| 6.2.4.2 Rewards of Perseverance                               | 188 |
|---|-----|
| 6.2.4.3 The Effects of Anglophone Input on Linguistic Skills  | 190 |
| 6.2.5 Analysis and Discussion                                 | 191 |
| 6.2.5.1 On the Result of Vocabulary and Expressions           | 192 |
| 6.2.5.2 On the Result of Sentence Reading                     | 193 |
| 6.2.5.3 On the Result of Paragraph Reading                    | 195 |
| 6.2.6 Conclusion  | 197 |
| 6.3 Evaluating the Comprehensibility and Applicability of     |     |
| Linguistic Input: Section 2                                   | 197 |
| 6.3.1 Students' Performance in the Selection of Words for     |     |
| Learning: Item 1  | 199 |
| 6.3.1.1 Data Collection                                       | 200 |
| 6.3.1.2 The Presentation of the Result of Item 1              | 200 |
| 6.3.2 Exploring the Effect of Linguistic Input on Learners'   |     |
| Receptivity and Productivity: Items 2-4                       | 205 |
| 6.3.2.1 The Pilot Study                                       | 206 |
| 6.3.2.1.1 The Consultation with the Teachers Concerned        | 206 |
| 6.3.2.1.2 The Implementation of the Pilot Test                | 207 |
| 6.3.2.2 The Main Study for Items 2-4: Data Collection         | 210 |
| 6.3.2.3 Data Processing                                       | 211 |
| 6.3.2.4 The Presentation of the Results of the Serial Testing | 211 |
| 6.3.2.4.1 The Test Result of Item 2 (Sentence Reading)        | 211 |
| 6.3.2.4.2 The Test Result of Item 3 (Passage Reading)         | 216 |
| 6.3.2.4.3 The Test Result of Item 4 (One-Week Memory          |     |
| Testing)  | 223 |
| 6.3.3 Analysis and Discussion                                 | 227 |
| 6.3.3.1 The Value of Input: Comprehensibility and             |     |
| Applicability   | 227 |
| 6.3.3.2 Appropriate Linguistic Input for Successful L2        |     |
| Learning  | 230 |
| 6.3.3.3 Task Complexity and Learners' Internal SLA            |     |
| Development   | 233 |
| 6.3.3.4 Stimulating the Working Mechanism for L2              |     |
| Productivity  | 235 |
| 6.3.4 Conclusion  | 238 |
| The formationing Data from the Flori's migration (            |     |
| Chapter 7 Testing the Generalisability of the Findings in     |     |
| Phase I: Phase II   | 10  |
| 7.1 The Purpose of Phase II                                   | 240 |
| 7.2 The Scheme for Phase II                                   | 240 |
| 7.3 Setting up the Teacher Training for Phase II, Part 1      | 241 |
| 7.3.1 The Briefing Meeting                                    | 242 |

| 7.3.2 The Training of the Teachers                              | 243 |
|---|-----|
| 7.3.2.1 The First Tuition                                       | 243 |
| 7.3.2.1.1 Basic Principles for Teaching                         | 243 |
| 7.3.2.1.2 Teaching Methodology                                  | 244 |
| 7.4 Instruments for Obtaining Data from the Students            | 245 |
| 7.4.1 The Written Tests   | 245 |
| 7.4.2 The Oral Tests  | 246 |
| 7.4.3 The Questionnaire   | 246 |
| 7.4.4 Group Interview   | 247 |
| 7.5 Instruments for Obtaining Data from the Teachers            | 248 |
| 7.5.1 Individual Interview with the Teachers                    | 248 |
| 7.5.2 The Submission of a Work Report                           | 249 |
| 7.5.3 Sitting in on the Class                                   | 249 |
| 7.6 Data Processing   | 251 |
| 7.7 The Presentation of the Students' Test Results (Part 1)     | 252 |
| 7.7.1 The Written Tests   | 252 |
| 7.7.1.1 The Results of Language Exercises                       | 253 |
| 7.7.1.2 The Results of the Written Production                   | 256 |
| 7.7.2 The Oral Production                                       | 260 |
| 7.8 The Result of the General Survey (Part 1)                   | 264 |
| 7.8.1 A Comparison of English Skills before and after the       |     |
| Experimentation   | 264 |
| 7.8.1.1 Listening Ability                                       | 264 |
| 7.8.1.2 Speaking Ability  | 266 |
| 7.8.1.3 Reading Ability   | 268 |
| 7.8.1.4 Writing Ability   | 269 |
| 7.8.2 Students' Self-Evaluation of Their Improvement            | 271 |
| 7.9 The Result of Group Interview with the Students (Part 1)    | 273 |
| 7.9.1 English Learning in the Middle School                     | 273 |
| 7.9.2 English Learning in the University                        | 274 |
| 7.9.3 The Real Obstacle in Learning: Mental Translation         | 275 |
| 7.9.4 Improvement after Three Months                            | 277 |
| 7.9.5 The Role of Grammar                                       | 277 |
| 7.9.6 Students' Self-Evaluation                                 | 278 |
| 7.10 The Teachers' View (Part 1)                                | 278 |
| 7.10.1 The Quantitative Data from the First Three Items         | 279 |
| 7.10.2 The Qualitative Data from the Teachers                   | 280 |
| 7.10.2.1 The Problems Observed by the Teachers                  | 282 |
| 7.10.2.2 Teachers' Proposals                                    | 283 |
| 7.11 Testing Generalisability of Home Cultural Input: Phase II, |     |
| Part 2  | 284 |
| 7.11.1 Data Collection  | 284 |

| 7.11.2 The Oral Test Result of "English for Tourists Guides" (Part 2) | 285  |
|---|------|
| 7.11.3 Students' Views on Home Cultural Input                         | 287  |
| 7.12 Analysis and Discussion  | 289  |
| 7.12.1 The Concurrence between Teaching Methodology and               | 1981 |
| Linguistic Input  | 290  |
| 7.12.2 Cultivating Learners' Ability for Comprehension and            | 270  |
|   | 292  |
| Application   | 294  |
| 7.13 Conclusion   | 294  |
| Charter 9. Conclusions of   | 295  |
| Chapter 8 Conclusions   | 293  |
| APPENDIX 1: Reading Passages and Test Papers for Phase I, Study 1     |      |
| (1) Pilot Study 1: The Indian Tiger                                   | 300  |
| Test Paper for Pilot Study 1  | 300  |
| (2) Pilot Study 2: The Most Important Thing in Life                   | 302  |
| Test Paper for Pilot Study 2  | 303  |
| (3) Unit 1: Standing up for Yourself (I)                              | 304  |
| Test Paper for Unit 1   | 305  |
|   | 306  |
| (4) Unit 2: Standing up for Yourself (II) Test Paper for Unit 2       | 307  |
| *   | 308  |
| (5) Unit 3: Girl Dancing Test Pener for Unit 3                        | 309  |
| Test Paper for Unit 3 (6) Unit 4: A Different Kind of Heroism         | 310  |
|   |      |
| Test Paper for Unit 4   | 311  |
| (7) Unit 5: The Best Advice I've Ever Had                             | 312  |
| Test Paper for Unit 5   | 314  |
| (8) Unit 6: Remembering my Grandparents                               | 315  |
| Test Paper for Unit 6   | 316  |
| (9) Unit 7: Learning by Doing   | 317  |
| Test Paper for Unit 7   | 318  |
| (10) Unit 8: Transportation and Public Transportation                 | 320  |
| Test Paper for Unit 8   | 321  |
| (11) Unit 9: On Visiting a Bookshop                                   | 323  |
| Test Paper for Unit 9   | 325  |
| (12) Unit 10: Mind Your Manners                                       | 327  |
| Test Paper for Unit 10  | 328  |
|   |      |
| APPENDIX 2: Reading Materials and Test Papers for Phase I, Study 2    | 001  |
| (1) The Anglo-American Literature Test                                | 331  |
| (2) Test for Item 1: Vocabulary Test                                  | 333  |
| (3) Test for Item 2: Sentence Reading                                 | 334  |

| (4) Test for Item 3: Passage Reading         | 33                                      |
|--|---|
| (5) Test for Item 4: One-Week Memory Cor     | mparison 33                             |
| APPENDIX 3: Test Papers for Phase II, Part 1 |   |
| Test 1                                       | d. 5, - 300 (1.6-rat)   art   a. 1   34 |
|  | 아들이 열을 하실 것 않는데 그 가장 없다.                |
| Test 2                                       | 34                                      |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY                                 | 34                                      |
|  |   |

and not finite if the fourth to a more wife. I this is

viii