Howard J. Carmichael

Theoretical and Mathematical Physics

Statistical Methods in Quantum Optics 2

Non-Classical Fields

量子光学中的统计方法 第2卷

Howard J. Carmichael

Statistical Methods in Quantum Optics 2

Non-Classical Fields

With 89 Figures



图书在版编目 (CIP) 数据

量子光学中的统计方法 = Statistical methods in quantum optics. 第 2 卷:英文/(新西兰)卡迈克尔(Carmichael. H. J.) 著. —影印本. —北京:世界图书出版公司北京公司,2014.5

ISBN 978 -7 -5100 -7861 -3

I. ①量··· II. ①卡·· III. ①量子光学—统计方法—研究生—教材—英文 IV. ① 0431. 2 - 32

中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字 (2014) 第 080744 号

书 名: Statistical Methods in Quantum Optics 2: Non - Classical Fields

作 者: Howard J. Carmichael

中 译 名: 量子光学中的统计方法 第2卷

责任编辑: 高蓉 刘慧

出版者: 世界图书出版公司北京公司 印刷者: 三河市国英印务有限公司

发 行: 世界图书出版公司北京公司(北京朝内大街 137 号 100010)

联系电话: 010-64021602, 010-64015659

电子信箱: kjb@ wpcbj. com. cn

开 本: 24 开

印 张: 23.5

版 次: 2014年9月

版权登记: 图字: 01-2013-9349

书号: 978-7-5100-7861-3 定价: 99.00元

Theoretical and Mathematical Physics

The series founded in 1975 and formerly (until 2005) entitled Texts and Monographs in Physics (TMP) publishes high-level monographs in theoretical and mathematical physics. The change of title to Theoretical and Mathematical Physics (TMP) signals that the series is a suitable publication platform for both the mathematical and the theoretical physicist. The wider scope of the series is reflected by the composition of the editorial board, comprising both physicists and mathematicians.

The books, written in a didactic style and containing a certain amount of elementary background material, bridge the gap between advanced textbooks and research monographs. They can thus serve as basis for advanced studies, not only for lectures and seminars at graduate level, but also for scientists entering a field of research.

Editorial Board

W. Beiglböck, Institute of Applied Mathematics, University of Heidelberg, Germany J.-P. Eckmann, Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Geneva, Switzerland

H. Grosse, Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Vienna, Austria

M. Loss, School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

S. Smirnov, Mathematics Section, University of Geneva, Switzerland

L. Takhtajan, Department of Mathematics, Stony Brook University, NY, USA

J. Yngvason, Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Vienna, Austria

Professor Howard J. Carmichael

University of Auckland Physics Department Private Bag 92019, Auckland, New Zealand E-Mail: h.carmichael@auckland.ac.nz

Library of Congress Control Number: 200798040873

Originally published with the title "Texts and Monographs in Physics" with ISSN 0172-5998 ISSN 1864-5879 ISBN 978-3-540-71319-7 Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is

concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

Reprint from English language edition: Statistical Methods in Quantum Optics 2 by H. J. Carmichael Copyright © 2008 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg is a part of Springer Science+Business Media All Rights Reserved

This reprint has been authorized by Springer Science & Business Media for distribution in China Mainland only and not for export therefrom.

For Marybeth

Preface

I must admit with regret, and not a little embarrassment, that eight years have passed since I sat down to write a preface for the first volume of this book. A great deal has changed for the community of quantum opticians in the meantime. The interests of some have been turned to the fascinating properties of degenerate quantum gases where a number of analogies with quantum optics are to be found. Then there is the quantum information revolution: a whole new language to be learned, built around John Bell's reading of the Bohr-Einstein debate and venerable words like entanglement, launched in a new direction, with the goals of achieving an unbreakable code and a new paradigm for computation—a quantum-mechanical one. Considering the passage of time and what has occurred, I can only trust it will not disappoint to announce that this second volume of Statistical Methods has not been diverted in either direction—or, perhaps, rather closer to the truth, it could not: a path was already set in the preface to Volume 1, and this is the path I have followed in preparing Chaps. 9 through 19 of Volume 2.

The subtitle, Nonclassical Fields, is perhaps not as accurate as it might be as a summary of content; or to put it another way, if my aim from the start had been to write a book on this topic, parts of that book would differ significantly from what follows here. Possibly the most important thing missing, and something that should be said, is that there are two quite distinct paths to a definition of nonclassicality in quantum optics. The first is grounded in the existence, or otherwise, of a nonsingular and positive Glauber–Sudarshan P function. The physical grounding is in the treatment of optical measurements, specifically the photoelectric effect: for a given optical field, can the photoelectron counting statistics, including all correlations, be reproduced by a Poisson process of photoelectron generation driven by a classical light intensity, allowed most generally to be stochastic? Viewed at a more informal level, the question asks whether or not the infamous proposal of Bohr, Kramers, and Slater for the interaction of classical light and quantized atoms can be upheld in the presence of the observable photoelectron counting statistics.

This criterion for nonclassicality is likely to be the one offered up by most quantum opticians when pressed for a definition. There is, however, a second. It is an outgrowth of John Bell's work and does not speak directly about measurements of any sort. At issue are the variances and covariances of a set of quantum mechanical observables—the quadrature amplitudes occupying this or that optical mode: can these quantities all be computed from a classical probability distribution, admitting hidden variables but no nonlocal connections between the values they take? Generally speaking, but not always, variances and covariances computed from an entangled state within quantum mechanics cannot be recovered from a classical distribution. Squeezed light provides a notable counterexample; for it, a positive definite Wigner function serves as the required classical probability distribution. Thus, by the second Bell-based criterion, squeezed light is not nonclassical. (Though in a perverse reversal of Bell's argument, the entangled character of the two-mode squeezed state is often seen to trump this observation.) Squeezed light is of course nonclassical by the former P function criterion.

In this volume squeezed light is nonclassical. The "Nonclassical" of the subtitle is to be read in the P function sense. Starting with two chapters on squeezing in the degenerate parametric oscillator, the volume continues on with the theme taken up in Volume 1 of "methods developed in quantum optics for analyzing quantum fluctuations in terms of a visualizable evolution over time." These are the methods of the quantum-classical correspondence: the phase-space representations, which when applied to an operator master equation yield a Fokker-Planck equation, albeit, in many cases, only after a system size expansion of the full equation of motion is made—i.e., only when the quantum noise is sufficiently small. Applied to the degenerate parametric oscillator, the methods fail, though the positive P representation of Drummond and Gardiner does manage to resurrect "a visualizable evolution over time"—qualified, however, by serious difficulties of a new kind.

Chapters 9 and 10 deal with squeezing, the degenerate parametric oscillator, and how squeezed light generation causes the standard phase-space methods to fail. Chapter 11 then develops the positive P approach, while Chap. 12 uncovers the problems it encounters when the system size expansion no longer holds.

Problems with the positive P representation aside, much of the appeal of the phase-space approach is lost when the system size expansion fails. Its very premise is a classical dynamic plus quantum fluctuation "fuzz," the "fuzz" a perturbation by definition; "fluctuation" is defined in a classical sense from the very beginning. While the positive P representation escapes this background to some extent, it also retreats from all but a formal connection with the physics—as a generator of quantum averages—and any resolution of its difficulties can only deepen that retreat.

On this score it is worthwhile to recall my appeal in the preface to Volume 1: "Nothing in the Schrödinger equation fluctuates. What then is a quantum fluctuation?" A classically inspired method for computing quantum averages is unlikely to illuminate this question. The seven chapters from Chap. 13 to Chap. 19 work towards an outlook that possibly can.

The context for the development is provided by cavity QED, which is explored in Chaps. 13–16. Its defining conditions of strong dipole coupling between a resonant atomic transition and an optical cavity mode are essentially the same—for single atoms—as those defining a small system size, such that the system size expansion fails, and experiments have reached a remarkable level of sophistication, a level hardly imagined as researchers set out to realize strong dipole coupling some 20 years ago.

My attempt to illuminate the "What is a quantum fluctuation?" question occupies Chaps. 17-19. Here quantum trajectory theory is developed. The approach, at bottom, is conventional, recalling observations that have been made about the meaning of quantum mechanics since the time of Niels Bohr. Certainly nothing fluctuates in the Schrödinger equation; indeed, the Schrödinger equation describes no realized happenings of any sort—no realized events; it governs the time evolution of probabilities of events. To actually realize events, the probabilities must be put into action, to play out as a stochastic process. But here is the sticking point: the playing out is not unique, not only in the trivial sense that the throwing of a die yields different answers on every throw, but because the very shape of the die is not uniquely defined from within the Schrödinger equation itself. It is we the commentators who chose a shape through the question we chose to ask—or so it might appear, though in practice it is not so much a matter of commentators and their questions, but a subdivision of the physical world into a subsystem acting and one acted (irreversibly) upon. With only the "acting" subsystem defined, there are, of course, many possibilities for the subsystem "acted (irreversibly) upon" and such a division is not unique.

The many years that have passed since I began writing this book have left me indebted to numerous people, for their support and encouragement, and for the detection of many of those irritating errors that inevitably seem to make it into the typeset text. I thank both the University of Oregon and the University of Auckland for support during periods of concentrated work on the book. I am also indebted to the Alexander Humboldt Foundation, my German sponsor, Wolfgang Schleich, and his tireless wife Kathy, for their support during a year spent in Ulm; the visit allowed me to restart a project that had languished for quite some time. Then the patience of the editorial office of Prof. Wolf Beiglböck at Springer can only be wondered at. Finally, there are my students in Auckland, Mile Gu, Andy Chia, Changsuk Noh, Rob Fisher, and Felipe Dimer de Oliveira, who provided indispensable service by

X Preface

reading parts of the text and detecting so many of those irritating errors, and my special thanks go to Hyunchul Nha who, as my postdoc, made numerous contributions that enabled me to improve what is written.

I must add that work on the book has stolen many hours away from my wife Marybeth. My principal debt is to her. We can both now be happy that this one cause, at least, of stolen hours is at a close.

Auckland January 2007 Howard Carmichael

Contents

The Degenerate Parametric Oscillator I: Squeezed		nerate Parametric Oscillator I: Squeezed States	1			
	9.1	Introd	uction	1		
	9.2	Degen	erate Parametric Amplification and Squeezed States	4		
		9.2.1	Degenerate Persmetric Amplification			
			Without Pump Depletion	4		
		9.2.2	Quantum Fluctuations and Squeezed States	8		
		9.2.3	The Degenerate Parametric Oscillator	14		
		9.2.4	Master Equation			
			for the Degenerate Parametric Oscillator	20		
		9.2.5	Cavity Output Fields	27		
	9.3	The S	pectrum of Squeezing	31		
		9.3.1	Intracavity Field Fluctuations	32		
		9.3.2	Definition of the Spectrum of Squeezing	38		
		9.3.3	Homodyne Detection:			
			The Source-Field Spectrum of Squeezing	40		
		9.3.4	The Source-Field Spectrum of Squeezing			
			with Unit Efficiency	44		
		9.3.5	Free-Field Contributions	47		
		9.3.6	Vacuum Fluctuations	49		
		9.3.7	Squeezing in the Wigner Representation:			
			A Comment on Interpretation	54		
10	The	Dege	nerate Parametric Oscillator II:			
LU			ace Analysis in the Small-Noise Limit	61		
		Dhaga	Space Formalism			
	10.1	for the Degenerate Parametric Oscillator				
	10.1.1 Phase-Space Equation of Motion					
		10.1.1	in the P Representation	62		
		10 1 2	Phase-Space Equations of Motion	02		
		10.1.2	in the Q and Wigner Representations	66		
	10.2	Sauce	zing: Quantum Fluctuations in the Small-Noise Limit			
	10.2 Squeezing. Quantum I identifications in the Smail-10ise Diffit					

TETT	~ .
XII	Contents

	10.2.1 System Size Expansion Far from Threshold	1
	10.2.2 Quantum Fluctuations Below Threshold	4
	10.2.3 Quantum Fluctuations Above Threshold 8	3
	10.2.4 Quantum Fluctuations at Threshold 8	6
11	The Positive P Representation	5
	11.1 The Positive P Representation	
	11.1.1 The Characteristic Function	
	and Associated Distribution 9	8
	11.1.2 Fokker-Planck Equation for the Degenerate	
	Parametric Oscillator	3
	11.1.3 Linear Theory of Quantum Fluctuations	2
	11.2 Miscellaneous Topics	7
	11.2.1 Alternative Approaches to the Linear Theory	
	of Quantum Fluctuations	7
	11.2.2 Dynamical Stability of the Classical Phase Space 12	4
	11.2.3 Preservation of Conjugacy for Stochastic Averages 12	7
12	The Degenerate Parametric Oscillator III:	
	Phase-Space Analysis Outside the Small-Noise Limit 13	3
	12.1 The Degenerate Parametric Oscillator	
	with Adiabatic Elimination of the Pump	4
	12.1.1 Adiabatic Elimination	
	in the Stochastic Differential Equations	5
	12.1.2 A Note About Superoperators	8
	12.1.3 Adiabatic Elimination in the Master Equation 14	1
	12.1.4 Numerical Simulation	
	of the Stochastic Differential Equations	
	12.1.5 Deterministic Dynamics in the Extended Phase Space15	
	12.1.6 Steady-State Solution for the Positive P Distribution 15	
	12.1.7 Quantum Fluctuations and System Size 16	0
	12.1.8 Quantum Dynamics Beyond Classical Trajectories	
	plus "Fuzz"	9
	12.1.9 Higher-Order Corrections to the Spectrum	
	of Squeezing at Threshold	
	12.2 Difficulties with the Positive P Representation	
	12.2.1 Technical Difficulties: Two-Photon Damping 18	
	12.2.2 Physical Interpretation: The Anharmonic Oscillator 18	9
13	Cavity QED I: Simple Calculations	
	13.1 System Size and Coupling Strength	
	13.2 Cavity QED in the Perturbative Limit	
	13.2.1 Cavity-Enhanced Spontaneous Emission	
	13.2.2 Cavity-Enhanced Resonance Fluorescence	C

	13.2.3 Forwards Photon Scattering
	in the Weak-Excitation Limit
	13.2.4 A One-Atom "Laser"
	13.3 Nonperturbative Cavity QED
	13.3.1 Spontaneous Emission
	from a Coupled Atom and Cavity
	13.3.2 Vacuum Rabi Splitting
	13.3.3 Vacuum Rabi Resonances
	in the Two-State Approximation
14	Many Atoms in a Cavity: Macroscopic Theory247
	14.1 Optical Bistability:
	Steady-State Transmission of a Nonlinear Fabry–Perot $\dots 247$
	14.2 The Mean-Field Limit for a Homogeneously Broadened
	Two-Level Medium
	14.2.1 Steady State
	14.2.2 Maxwell–Bloch Equations
	14.2.3 Stability of the Steady State
	14.3 Relationship Between Macroscopic and Microscopic Variables . 271
	14.4 Cavity QED with Many Atoms
	14.4.1 Weak-Probe Transmission Spectra
	14.4.2 A Comment on Spatial Effects
15	Many Atoms in a Cavity II:
	Quantum Fluctuations in the Small-Noise Limit 285
	15 1 15
	15.1 Microscopic Model
	15.1 Microscopic Model
	15.1.1 Master Equation for Optical Bistability
	15.1.1 Master Equation for Optical Bistability
	15.1.1 Master Equation for Optical Bistability
	15.1.1 Master Equation for Optical Bistability
	15.1.1 Master Equation for Optical Bistability
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
16	15.1.1 Master Equation for Optical Bistability
16	15.1.1 Master Equation for Optical Bistability
16	15.1.1 Master Equation for Optical Bistability

XIV Contents

	10.1.	2 Pure-State Factorization of the Density Operator
		for Many Atoms
		3 Forwards Photon Scattering for N Atoms in a Cavity 345
		4 Corrections to the Small-Noise Approximation 350
	16.1.	5 Antibunching of Fluorescence for One Atom
		in a Cavity
	16.1.	6 Spectra of Squeezing in the Weak-Excitation Limit 357
	16.2 Spat:	al Effects
	16.3 Beyo	nd Classical Trajectories plus "Fuzz":
	Spon	taneous Dressed-State Polarization
	16.3.	1 Maxwell-Bloch Equations for "Zero System Size" 369
	16.3.	2 Dressed Jaynes-Cummings Eigenstates
	16.3.	3 Secular Approximation in the Basis
		of Dressed Jaynes-Cummings Eigenstates
	16.3.	4 Spectrum of the Transmitted Light
		in the Strong-Coupling and Weak-Excitation Limits 385
	16.3.	5 The \sqrt{n} Anharmonic Oscillator
	16.3.	6 Quantum Fluctuations for Strong Excitation
17		Trajectories I: Background and Interpretation 401
		ity Operators and Scattering Records
		ralizing the Bohr–Einstein Quantum Jump 410
		1 The Einstein Stochastic Process
	17.2.	2 Conditional Evolution:
		Trajectories for Known Initial States
	17.2.	3 Conditional Evolution:
		Trajectories for "Blind" Realizations 41
		4 The Master Equation
		5 Quantum Jumps in the Presence of Coherence 425
		ellaneous Observations
		1 Time Evolution Under Null Measurements42
		2 Conditional States and Nonlinearity
		3 Record Probabilities and Norms
		4 Monte Carlo Simulations
	17.3	5 The Waiting-Time Distribution
10	0	The least order II.
18		n Trajectories II: enerate Parametric Oscillator
	The Deg	tering Records and Photoelectron Counting
		1 Record Probabilities
		2 Factorization for Pure Initial States
		veling the Density Operator
	18.2 Unra	1 Photoelectron Counting Records
		2 Homodyne-Current Records
	18.2	3 Heterodyne-Current Records

				Contents	XV
	18.3	Physic	al Interpretation		466
		18.3.1	Systems, Environments, and Complementar	rity	466
		18.3.2	Modeling Projective Measurements		473
19	Qua	ntum	Trajectories III: More Examples		479
	19.1	Photo	n Scattering in the Weak-Excitation Limit.		479
	19.2	Unrav	eling the Density Operator: Cascaded System	ms	484
		19.2.1	System-Reservoir Interaction Hamiltonian		486
		19.2.2	Reservoir Field		487
		19.2.3	The Cascaded Systems Master Equation		488
		19.2.4	Photoelectron Counting Unraveling		491
		19.2.5	Coherent Driving Fields		492
		19.2.6	Symmetric Irreversible Coupling		497
	19.3	Optica	al Spectra		499
		19.3.1	Optical Spectrum Using a Scanning Interfe	rometer	500
		19.3.2	Spontaneous Emission		
			from a Driven Excited-State Doublet		506
		19.3.3	Optical Spectrum Using Heterodyne Detect	ion	510
Re	feren	ces	***************************************		515
Ind	lex				527

.

The Degenerate Parametric Oscillator I: Squeezed States

9.1 Introduction

Volume 1 of this book introduced the formalism of open systems in quantum optics as it existed in the early 1980s, two decades after the invention of the laser. In it we met the operator master equation, the quantum regression formula, and the phase-space methods, based on the $P,\,Q,\,$ and Wigner representations, that provide "classical" visualizations of the fluctuations of the radiation field—the so-called quantum—classical correspondence. We also met Fokker–Planck equations and stochastic differential equations, and the various methods of classical statistical physics that help with the analysis within the phase-space representations. The application of all these things was illustrated by two classic examples: resonance fluorescence and the single-mode laser.

We begin Volume 2 by recalling some elementary aspects of laser theory. which introduce us to the theme that will carry us forward into a discussion of nonclassical fields. The quantum theory of the laser illustrates how an operator master equation, the quantum-classical correspondence, and the methods of classical statistical physics can be used to solve a nontrivial problem of some practical importance. There is, however, something missing in this example; we alluded to this at the end of Sect. 7.1.3. The laser is essentially a classical device. Thus, we seem to lose the distinction between quantum statistics and classical statistics in passing from the Hamiltonian of Sect. 7.2.1 to the classical stochastic description of the laser developed in Chap. 8. That is not to say, of course, that laser action has nothing to do with quantum mechanics. Stimulated emission gain results from an inverted population in quantized atomic states, and the fluctuations of the laser field, characterized by $n_{\rm spon}$, are certainly quantum fluctuations; they arise from the intrinsic probabilistic character of quantum mechanics, not from any externally imposed statistical assumption. On the other hand, these fluctuations can be described by a classical stochastic process. The laser operates as a classical nonlinear oscillator with additive noise. Surely, in general, we would not expect a quantum field

to be describable in the language of classical statistics, where the fundamental quantities are probabilities. The fundamental quantities in quantum mechanics are probability amplitudes. Why does our theory of the laser not recognize the distinction?

In fact the language of the laser theory developed in Chap. 8 is, in a sense, quantum mechanical, but in a limited sense only. After all, the Fokker-Planck equations (8.61a), (8.86a), (8.121a), and (8.131a) determine the Glauber-Sudarshan P representation for a quantum-mechanical density operator; they evolve a quantum state. The important qualification is that the laser example does not exploit the full flexibility of the P representation and consequently avoids the need for probability amplitudes. We deduce this, on the one hand, from the fact that the P distribution need not satisfy all the requirements of a classical probability density—it may take on negative values, or be more singular than a δ -function, as it is for a Fock state (Eqs. 3.31, 3.40, and 3.41) yet for the laser it does. Thus, in quantum-mechanical language, the state of the laser field (Eq. 3.15) is a statistical mixture of coherent states. To define it, we need only the probabilities that enter this mixture. There is no call for probability amplitudes. Wherever amplitude (phase) information is needed, it is provided by the phase-space variable α , the complex amplitude of the laser field.

A second issue, beyond that of merely representing states, is the issue of dynamics. We cannot generally expect the state of a quantum system to evolve over time according to the rules governing the time evolution of a classical stochastic process. Our experience with the phase-space representation for two-level atoms (Chap. 6) provides an example showing that there is no guarantee that the quantum-classical correspondence will identify a Fokker-Planck equation with a given master equation. In the laser case, however, it does just this.

The two mentioned properties—a positive, nonsingular P distribution, and the Fokker–Planck form for the phase-space equation of motion—are not entirely independent. It is as well, however, to note them both. What if, for example, we substitute the Q representation for the P representation? The Q distribution is positive and nonsingular by definition, but it need not satisfy a Fokker–Planck equation, as we will see very shortly (Sect. 10.1.2).

Indeed, there is a choice to be made between different phase-space representations (Chap. 4). As a result, there is apparently some ambiguity in asserting that an optical field, like the field emitted by a laser, is essentially classical because it is described within a particular phase-space representation by a classical stochastic process. Such a description may exist in one representation and not in another. The P representation is special, though, as it is this representation, not the Q or Wigner representation, that gives the normal-ordered, time-ordered averages (Sect. 4.3.3) that enter the quantum theory of photodetection and coherence [9.1, 9.2]. Because of this, any field that is described by a classical stochastic process within the P representation can be described, equivalently, within the framework of classical statistical op-