

案例教程影印系列  
CASEBOOK  
SERIES

# 刑事诉讼程序全书

## COMPREHENSIVE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

[美] 罗纳德·杰伊·艾伦 (Ronald Jay Allen )  
威廉·J·斯顿茨 (William J. Stuntz) / 著  
约瑟夫·L·霍夫曼 (Joseph L. Hoffmann)  
黛布拉·A·利文斯通 (Debra A. Livingston)



中信出版社  
CITIC PUBLISHING HOUSE

案例教程影印系列  
**CASEBOOK**  
**SERIES**

# 刑事诉讼程序全书

## COMPREHENSIVE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

罗纳德·杰伊·艾伦 (Ronald Jay Allen )  
威廉·J·斯顿茨 (William J. Stuntz) [美] / 著  
约瑟夫·L·霍夫曼 (Joseph L. Hoffmann)  
黛布拉·A·利文斯通 (Debra A. Livingston)



中信出版社  
CITIC PUBLISHING HOUSE

## 图书在版编目(CIP)数据

刑事诉讼程序全书(案例教程系列)/(美)利文斯通等著.影印本.—北京:中信出版社,2003.7

书名原文:Comprehensive Criminal Procedure

ISBN 7-80073-766-7

I. 刑… II. 利… III. 刑事诉讼-诉讼程序-案例-美国-英文 IV. D971.252

中国版本图书馆CIP数据核字(2003)第043083号

This volume of Comprehensive Criminal Procedure, by Ronald Jay Allen, William J. Stuntz, Joseph L. Hoffmann, Debra A. Livingston, is an English Reprint Edition meant solely for publication in the country of China, published and sold by CITIC PUBLISHING HOUSE, by permission of ASPEN PUBLISHERS, INC., New York, New York, U.S.A., the owner of all rights to publish and sell same.

本书由中信出版社与Aspen Publishers, Inc.合作出版,未经出版者书面许可,本书的任何部分不得以任何方式复制或抄袭。

## 刑事诉讼程序全书

XINGSHI SUSONG CHENGXU QUANSHU

著者:[美]罗纳德·杰伊·艾伦,威廉·J·斯顿茨,约瑟夫·L·霍夫曼,黛布拉·A·利文斯通

责任编辑:张芳

出版发行:中信出版社(北京市朝阳区东外大街亮马河南路14号塔园外交办公大楼 邮编 100600)

经销者:中信联合发行有限公司

承印者:霸州市长虹印刷厂

开本:787mm×1092mm 1/16 印张:102.25 字数:2045千字

版次:2003年7月第1版 印次:2003年7月第1次印刷

京权图字:01-2003-2641

书号:ISBN 7-80073-766-7/D·62

定价:230.00元

## 版权所有·侵权必究

凡购本社图书,如有缺页、倒页、脱页,由发行公司负责退换。服务热线:010-85322521

E-mail:sales@citicpub.com

010-85322522

# 总 序

吴志攀

加入世界贸易组织表明我国经济发展进入了一个新的发展时代——一个国际化商业时代。商业与法律的人才流动将全球化，评介人才标准将国际化，教育必须与世界发展同步。商业社会早已被马克思描绘成为一架复杂与精巧的机器，维持这架机器运行的是法律。法律不仅仅是关于道德与公理的原则，也不单单是说理论道的公平教义，还是具有可操作性的精细的具体专业技术。像医学专业一样，这些专业知识与经验是从无数的案例实践积累而成的。这些经验与知识体现在法学院的教材里。中信出版社出版的这套美国法学院教材为读者展现了这一点。

教育部早在2001年1月2日下发的《关于加强高等学校本科教学工作提高教学质量的若干意见》中指出：“为适应经济全球化和科技革命的挑战，本科教育要创造条件使用英语等外语进行公共课和专业课教学。对高新技术领域的生物技术、信息技术等专业，以及为适应我国加入WTO后需要的金融、法律等专业，更要先行一步，力争三年内，外语教学课程达到所开课程的5%-10%。暂不具备直接用外语讲授条件的学校、专业，可以对部分课程先实行外语教材、中文授课，分步到位。”

引进优质教育资源，快速传播新课程，学习和借鉴发达国家的成功教学经验，大胆改革现有的教科书模式成为当务之急。

按照我国法学教育发展的要求，中信出版社与外国出版公司合作，瞄准国际法律的高水平，从高端入手，大规模引进畅销外国法学院的外版法律教材，以使法学院学生尽快了解各国的法律制度，尤其是欧美等经济发达国家的法律体系及法律制度，熟悉国际公约与惯例，培养处理国际事务的能力。

此次中信出版社引进的是美国ASPEN出版公司出版的供美国法学院使用的主流法学教材及其配套教学参考书，作者均为富有经验的知名教授，其中不乏国际学术权威或著名诉讼专家，历经数十年课堂教学的锤炼，颇受法学院学生的欢迎，并得到律师实务界的认可。它们包括诉讼法、合同法、公司法、侵权法、宪法、财产法、证券法等诸多法律部门，以系列图书的形式全面介绍了美国法律的基本概况。

这次大规模引进的美国法律教材包括：

**伊曼纽尔法律精要 (Emanuel Law Outlines)** 美国哈佛、耶鲁等著名大学法学院广泛采用的主流课程教学用书，是快捷了解美国法律的最佳读本。作者均为美国名牌大学权威教授。其特点是：内容精炼，语言深入浅出，独具特色。在前言中作者以其丰富的教学经验制定了切实可行的学习步骤和方法。概要部分提纲挈领，浓缩精华。每章精心设计了简答题供自我检测。对与该法有关的众多考题综合分析，归纳考试要点和难点。

**案例与解析 (Examples and Explanations)** 由美国最权威、最富有经验的教授所著，这套丛书历

经不断的修改、增订，吸收了最新的资料，经受了美国成熟市场的考验，读者日众。这次推出的是最新版本，在前几版的基础上精益求精，补充了最新的联邦规则，案例也是选用当今人们所密切关注的问题，有很强的时代感。该丛书强调法律在具体案件中的运用，避免了我国教育只灌输法律的理念与规定，而忽视实际解决问题的能力培养。该丛书以简洁生动的语言阐述了美国的基本法律制度，可准确快捷地了解美国法律的精髓。精心选取的案例，详尽到位的解析，使读者读后对同一问题均有清晰的思路，透彻的理解，能举一反三，灵活运用。该丛书匠心独具之处在于文字与图表、图例穿插，有助于理解与记忆。

**案例教程系列 (Casebook Series)** 覆盖了美国法学校院的主流课程，是学习美国法律的代表性图书，美国著名的哈佛、耶鲁等大学的法学院普遍采用这套教材，在法学专家和学生中拥有极高的声誉。本丛书中所选的均为重要案例，其中很多案例有重要历史意义。书中摘录案例的重点部分，包括事实、法官的推理、作出判决的依据。不仅使读者快速掌握案例要点，而且省去繁琐的检索和查阅原案例的时间。书中还收录有成文法和相关资料，对国内不具备查阅美国原始资料条件的读者来说，本套书更是不可或缺的学习参考书。这套丛书充分体现了美国法学教育以案例教学为主的特点，以法院判例作为教学内容，采用苏格拉底式的问答方法，在课堂上学生充分参与讨论。这就要求学生不仅要了解专题法律知识，而且要理解法律判决书。本套丛书结合案例设计的大量思考题，对提高学生理解概念、提高分析和解决问题的能力，非常有益。本书及时补充出版最新的案例和法规汇编，保持四年修订一次的惯例，增补最新案例和最新学术研究成果，保证教材与时代发展同步。本丛书还有配套的教师手册，方便教师备课。

**案例举要 (Casenote Legal Briefs)** 美国最近三十年最畅销的法律教材的配套辅导读物。其中的每本书都是相关教材中的案例摘要和精辟讲解。该丛书内容简明扼要，条理清晰，结构科学，便于学生课前预习、课堂讨论、课后复习和准备考试。

除此之外，中信出版社还将推出教程系列、法律文书写作系列等美国法学教材的影印本。

美国法律以判例法为其主要的法律渊源，法律规范机动灵活，随着时代的变迁而对不合时宜的法律规则进行及时改进，以反映最新的时代特征；美国的法律教育同样贯穿了美国法律灵活的特性，采用大量的案例教学，启发学生的逻辑思维，提高其应用法律原则的能力。

从历史上看，我国的法律体系更多地受大陆法系的影响，法律渊源主要是成文法。在法学教育上，与国外法学教科书注重现实问题研究，注重培养学生分析和解决问题的能力相比，我国基本上采用理论教学为主，而用案例教学来解析法理则显得薄弱，在培养学生的创新精神和实践能力方面也做得不够。将美国的主流法学教材和权威的法律专业用书影印出版，就是试图让法律工作者通过原汁原味的外版书的学习，开阔眼界，取长补短，提升自己的专业水平，培养学生操作法律实际动手能力，特别是使我们的学生培养起对法律的精细化、具体化和操作化能力。

需要指出的是，影印出版美国的法学教材，并不是要不加取舍地全盘接收，我们只是希望呈现给读者一部完整的著作，让读者去评判。“取其精华去其糟粕”是我们民族对待外来文化的原则，我们相信读者的分辨能力。

是为序。



**ASPEN PUBLISHERS, INC.**  
*Legal Education Division*

## **EDITORIAL ADVISORS**

**Richard A. Epstein**

James Parker Hall Distinguished Service Professor of Law  
University of Chicago

**Ronald J. Gilson**

Charles J. Meyers Professor of Law and Business  
Stanford University  
Marc and Eva Stern Professor of Law and Business  
Columbia University

**James E. Krier**

Earl Warren DeLano Professor of Law  
University of Michigan

**Richard K. Neumann, Jr.**

Professor of Law  
Hofstra University

**Elizabeth Warren**

Leo Gottlieb Professor of Law  
University of Pennsylvania

## **EMERITUS ADVISORS**

**E. Allan Farnsworth**

Alfred McCormack Professor of Law  
Columbia University

**Geoffrey C. Hazard, Jr.**

Trustee Professor of Law  
University of Pennsylvania

**Bernard Wolfman**

Fessenden Professor of Law  
Harvard University

---

---

# About Aspen Law & Business Legal Education Division

With a dedication to preserving and strengthening the long-standing tradition of publishing excellence in legal education, Aspen Law & Business continues to provide the highest quality teaching and learning resources for today's law school community. Careful development, meticulous editing, and an unmatched responsiveness to the evolving needs of today's discerning educators combine in the creation of our outstanding casebooks, course books, textbooks, and study aids.

**ASPEN LAW & BUSINESS**  
A Division of Aspen Publishers, Inc.  
A Wolters Kluwer Company  
*[www.aspenpublishers.com](http://www.aspenpublishers.com)*

---

---

To my wife, Julie O'Donnell Allen—R.A.

To Louis Pollak,  
mentor and friend—W.S.

To my wife, Mary,  
and our children, Becky,  
Maureen, and Michael—J.H.

To the memory of the  
Honorable J. Edward Lumbard,  
United States Court of Appeals  
for the Second Circuit, 1955 to 1997—D.L.



---

---

## Preface

---

*Comprehensive Criminal Procedure* provides a set of materials suitable for any criminal procedure course beyond those that focus primarily on state law issues. Many modern American law schools provide two mainstream criminal procedure courses. One course focuses primarily on right to counsel, fourth amendment, self-incrimination, and related areas, while the second course focuses primarily on post-arrest, trial, and appellate issues. Other law schools offer the first but not the second of these, and some offer a single survey course combining both the investigative and adjudicative aspects of the subject matter. This book should work well for any of these courses.

The book opens with a wide-ranging set of readings about the criminal justice system, combining hard data with expert commentary. The nature of due process adjudication is then introduced, because so much of this field either has been constitutionalized or operates within the shadow of the Constitution. With one exception, the book follows the criminal process chronologically from investigation to appeal; that exception is Chapter 3, which contains a thorough examination of the right to counsel. Counsel is the linchpin of criminal procedure, obviously so with respect to its constitutional aspects but even more critically so with respect to its statutory and common law aspects. Without adequate counsel, a suspect or defendant is, with rare exceptions, lost. The most elaborate procedural protections courts can devise are of little value to one who neither knows what those protections are nor how they can be used to best advantage.

Following the right to counsel is a chapter chronicling the history of *Boyd v. United States*. We think it fair to say that the Supreme Court of the United States has been reacting to the *Boyd* case for the last century, and that the present law of search and seizure and the right to be free from compelled self-incrimination cannot be understood without a grounding in *Boyd* and its aftermath. The remainder of the book then unfolds in the promised chronological order.

Criminal procedure is one of the most fascinating and important fields of legal study. More than any other area of law, criminal procedure determines the relationship between government and citizen, and thus defines the legal system's stance toward the demands of autonomy, privacy, and dignity. It often does so through adversarial legal processes. Thus, the study of criminal procedure leads naturally to fundamental problems of reasoning, decision-making, and political and social theory, quite in addition to standard law school questions about the meaning of a constitutional or statutory provision, or the implications of a precedent. The subject demands, and we have tried to bring, intellectual rigor to these materials; we do not shy away from addressing intractable problems. Moreover, much of the present law reflects its past, and thus we have tried to give a picture not only of the law today but also of its history.

In addition to the careful attention given to the historical roots of modern law, we develop four other subthemes while pursuing the overarching theme to this book—that the criminal process significantly forms the boundary between the government and the citizen. First, we draw attention to the real-world implications of alternative regulatory regimes. All too common in this field is the notion that if there is a problem, the courts should remedy it. Often courts are unable to remedy problems, and sometimes their solutions are worse than the initial problem addressed. Second, the subject matter is criminal procedure, but procedure interacts in complex, profound ways with substance. In appraising any procedural matter, especially one involving a judicial determination of a procedural right, the power of legislatures to indirectly eliminate the right through changes in substantive criminal law (or directly through statutory changes to the procedure in question) must be analyzed. Third, much of the modern law of criminal procedure is a direct consequence of the effort to eliminate racial discrimination in the United States, and can only be understood in that context. Last, the implications of limited resources must constantly be kept in mind. Another point all too often neglected is that criminal procedure is instrumental to the construction of a civilized society, and a dollar spent here is a dollar that cannot be spent somewhere else. One can have more expensive criminal procedure or one can have more hospitals, roads, or welfare programs. One cannot, however, have it all.

We have endeavored to keep editing of cases at a minimum, opting at times for textual description over a series of edited excerpts. Editing is unavoidable, however. In all cases and materials reproduced here, we have kept the original footnoting sequence. Wherever our own footnotes might be confused with those of the primary material, our own footnotes are identified by the legend “—EDS.” This book contains Supreme Court and lower court cases and legislative materials current through July 2000.

Finally, we would like to acknowledge our very many debts to those who have assisted us in the preparation of these materials. Joann Thompson and Diane Cronk were indefatigable in the many secretarial tasks that manuscript preparation entails. We are also greatly indebted to Kristy Mace, Jamie Elizabeth LeVin, Ross Rosenberg, and Ken Sugarman for excellent research assistance. We are indebted to the editorial staff of the publisher for having provided invaluable assistance throughout the preparation of the book.

*Ronald J. Allen*  
*William J. Stuntz*  
*Joseph L. Hoffmann*  
*Debra A. Livingston*

March 2001

---

---

## Acknowledgments

---

We are grateful to the following sources for permission to reprint excerpts of their work:

- ABA Rule, Rule 1.7 Conflict of Interest: General Rule (as attached) from the ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct (2001). Copyright © 2001 by the American Bar Association. Reprinted by permission of the American Bar Association. Copies of the ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct (2001) are available from Service Center, American Bar Association, 750 North Lake Shore Drive, Chicago, IL 60611, 1-800-285-2221.
- Francis Allen, *Decline of the Rehabilitative Ideal: Penal Policy and Social Purpose*, pp. 60-61, 63, 65-66 (1981) Yale University Press. Copyright © 1981 by Yale University Press. Reprinted with permission.
- Ronald Allen, Melissa Luttrell, and Anne Kreeger, *Clarifying Entrapment*, 89 J. Crim. L. & Criminology 407, 413-414, 415-416 (1999). Reprinted by special permission of Northwestern University School of Law, *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*.
- Ronald J. Allen and Ross M. Rosenberg, *The Fourth Amendment and the Limits of Theory: Local Versus General Theoretical Knowledge*, 72 St. John's L. Rev. 1149, 1194, 1197-1200 (1998). Reprinted with permission.
- Albert W. Alschuler, *Implementing the Criminal Defendant's Right to Trial: Alternatives to the Plea Bargaining System*, 50 U. Chi. L. Rev. 931, 932-936, 1048-1050 (1983). Copyright © 1983. Reprinted with permission.
- Akhil Reed Amar, *The Future of Constitutional Criminal Procedure*, 33 Am. Crim. L. Rev. 1123, 1123-1125, 1128-1129, 1132-1134 (1996). Reprinted with permission of the publisher, Georgetown University and *American Criminal Law Review* (1996).
- Anthony Amsterdam, *Speedy Criminal Trial: Rights and Remedies*, 27 Stan. L. Rev. 525, 527-728 (1975). Copyright 1975 by Stanford Law Review. Reproduced with permission of Stanford Law Review in the format textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
- Anthony Amsterdam, *The Supreme Court and The Rights of Suspects In Criminal Cases*, 45 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 785, 785-794, (1970). Reprinted with permission of the New York University Law Review.
- Anthony Amsterdam, *Perspectives on the Fourth Amendment*, in 58 Minn. L. Rev. 349, 410-411 (1974). Copyright © 1974 by the Minnesota Law Review. Reprinted with permission.
- Peter Arenella, *Schmerber and the Privilege Against Self-Incrimination: A Reappraisal*, 20 Am. Crim. L. Rev. 31, 37, 43-45 (1982). Copyright © 1982 by the American Bar Association. Reprinted with permission.

- Arizona Rules, Rule 17.4 (g) and Rule 10.1 from Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, West Group. Reprinted with permission.
- David L. Bazelon, *The Realities of Gideon and Argersinger*, 64 Geo. L.J. 811 (1976). Reprinted with permission of the publisher, Georgetown University and Georgetown Law Journal © 1976.
- Abraham Blumberg, *The Practice of Law as Confidence Game: Organizational Co-optation of a Profession*, 1 Law & Soc'y Rev. 15, 18-26, 29-31 (No. 2 1967). Reprinted by permission of the Law and Society Association.
- Craig Bradley, *Murray v. United States: The Bell Tolls for the Warrant Requirement*, 64 Ind. L. J. 907, 917-918 (1989). Reprinted with permission.
- William J. Brennan, Jr., *State Constitutions and the Protection of Individual Rights*, 90 Harv. L. Rev. 489-497, (1977). Copyright © 1977 by The Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission.
- Steven J. Burton, *Comment on 'Empty Ideas': Logical Positivist Analyses of Equality and Rules*, 91 Yale L. J. 1136-1141, 1144-1147 (1982). Reprinted by permission of The Yale Law Journal Company and William S. Hein Company from The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 91, pages 1136-1152.
- Paul Butler, *Racially Based Jury Nullification: Black Power in the Criminal Justice System*, 105 Yale L. J. 677, 714 (1995). Reprinted by permission of The Yale Law Journal Company and William S. Hein Company from The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 105, pages 677-725.
- Paul Chevigny, *Edge Of The Knife*, pp. 101-102 (1995) New Press. Copyright © 1995. *Edge of the Knife: Police Violence in the Americas* by Paul Chevigny. Reprinted by permission of The New Press.
- Robert Dawson, *Joint Trials of Defendants in Criminal Cases: An Analysis of Efficiencies and Prejudices*, 77 Mich. L. Rev. 1379, 1383-1386 (1979). Reprinted from Michigan Law Review, June 1979, Vol. 77, No. 6. Copyright 1979 by The Michigan Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission of the author.
- Alan M. Dershowitz, excerpt from *The Best Defense*, pp. xxi-xxii. Copyright © by Alan M. Dershowitz. Used by permission of Random House, Inc.
- John G. Douglass, *Balancing Hearsay and Criminal Discovery*, 68 Fordham L. Rev. 2097, 2140-2141, 2146-2150 (2000). Reprinted with permission.
- Robert Ellickson, *Controlling Chronic Misconduct in City Spaces: Of Panhandlers, Skid Rows, and Public-Space Zoning*, 105 Yale L. J. 1165, 1247-1248 (1996). Reprinted by permission of The Yale Law Journal Company and William S. Hein Company from The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 105, pages 1165-1248.
- George Fisher, *Plea Bargaining's Triumph*, 109 Yale L. J. 857, 864-868 (2000). Reprinted by permission of The Yale Law Journal Company and William S. Hein Company from The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 109, pages 857-1086.
- Caleb Foote, *Vagrancy-Type Law and Its Administration*, 603, U. Pa. L. Rev. 603, 613-615 (1956). Reprinted with permission of the University of Pennsylvania Law Review and William S. Hein & Company.
- Charles Fried, *Reflections on Crime and Punishment*, 30 Suffolk U. L. Rev. 681, 682-683, 685-688, 692-693, 694-695 (1997). Copyright © 1997. Reprinted with permission.
- Russell Galloway, *The Intruding Eye: A Status Report on the Constitutional Ban Against Paper Searches*, 25 Howard L. J. 367, 382-385 (1982). Copyright © 1982 by the Howard Law Journal. Reprinted with permission.
- Joseph D. Grano, *Ascertaining the Truth*, 77 Cornell L. Rev. 1061, 1062-1064 (1992). Copyright © 1992. Reprinted with permission.

- Milton Heumann, *Plea Bargaining: The Experiences of Prosecutors, Judges, and Defense Attorneys*, pp. 49-50, 57-59, 61-63, 76-78, 89, 100-103, 105-106, University of Chicago Press, 1978. Copyright © 1978 by the University of Chicago Press. Reprinted with permission.
- Pamela Karlan, *Discrete and Relational Criminal Representation: The Changing Vision of the Right to Counsel*, 105 *Harv. L. Rev.* 670, 709-710 (1992). Copyright © 1992 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission.
- Randall Kennedy, *Race, Crime and the Law*, pp. 157-159 (1997) Vintage Books. Copyright © 1997 by Randall Kennedy. Reprinted by permission of Pantheon Books, a division of Random House, Inc.
- Michael Klarman, *The Racial Origins of Modern Criminal Procedure*, 99 *Mich. L. Rev.* 48-97 (2000). Copyright © 2000 by Michigan Law Review.
- Stanton D. Krauss, *The Life and Times of Boyd v. United States* (1886-1976), 76 *Mich. L. Rev.* 184, 212 (1977). Reprinted from Michigan Law Review, 1977. Copyright 1977 by the Michigan Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission.
- Wayne LaFave, 'Case-by-Case Adjudication' versus 'Standardized Procedures': The *Robinson* Dilemma, 1974 *Sup. Ct. Rev.* 127, 141-143. Copyright © 1974 by the University of Chicago Press. Reprinted with permission.
- Wayne LaFave, Jerold Israel, and Nancy King, *Criminal Procedure: Criminal Practice Series*, Vol. 4, pp. 58-59, Section 13.4(c), 1999, with permission of the West Group.
- John H. Langbein, *Understanding the Short History of Plea Bargaining*, 13 *Law & Soc'y Rev.* 261-270 (No. 2 1979). Reprinted with permission of the Law and Society Association.
- Barry Latzer, *Toward the Decentralization of Criminal Procedure: State Constitutional Law and Selective Incorporation*, 87 *J. Crim. L. & Criminology* 63, 63-66, 68 (1996). Reprinted by special permission of Northwestern University School of Law, *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*.
- Andrew Leipold, *Why Grand Juries Do Not (And Cannot) Protect the Accused*, 80 *Cornell L. Rev.* 260, 265-267 (1995). Copyright © 1995. Reprinted with permission.
- Richard Leo, *Miranda's Revenge: Police Interrogation as a Confidence Game*, 30 *Law & Soc'y Rev.* 259 (No. 2 1996). Reprinted with permission of the Law and Society Association.
- Lawrence Lessig, *Code and Other Laws of Cyberspace*, pp. 17, 18, 120. Copyright © 1999 by Basic Books, Inc. Reprinted with permission.
- Debra Livingston, *Police Discretion and The Quality of Life in Public Places: Courts, Communities, and the New Policing*, 97 *Colum. L. Rev.* 551, 557, 558-561, 621-623, 632-633, 654-657, 670-671 (1997). Copyright © 1997 by Columbia Law Review. Reprinted with permission of the publisher and author.
- Debra Livingston, *Police, Community Caretaking and the Fourth Amendment*, 1998 *University of Chicago Legal Forum* 261, 278, 273-274. Copyright © 1998 *University of Chicago Legal Forum* 261. Reprinted with permission.
- Elizabeth F. Loftus, *Eyewitness Testimony*, p. 60, Harvard University Press, 1996. Copyright © 1996 by Harvard University Press. Reprinted with permission.
- Gary Lowenthal, *Joint Representation in Criminal Cases: A Critical Appraisal*, 64 *Va. L. Rev.* 939, 941-942 (1978). Reprinted with permission.
- Peter Lushing, *Testimonial Immunity and the Privilege Against Self-Incrimination: A Study in Isomorphism*, 73 *J. Crim. L. & Criminology* 1690, 1695 (1982). Reprinted by special permission of Northwestern University School of Law, *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*.

- Kenneth Mann, *Defending White-Collar Crime: A Portrait of Attorneys at Work*, Yale University Press, 1985, pp. 6-8, 137-138, 249-250. Copyright © 1985 by Yale University Press. Reprinted with permission.
- Gary T. Marx, *Undercover: Police Surveillance in America*, pp. 22, 33-35, 47. Copyright © 1988 Twentieth Century Fund. Permission granted by the Regents of the University of California and the University of California Press.
- Marc Maurer and Tracy Huling, *Young Black Americans and the Criminal Justice System: Five Years Later*, pp. 7-9, *The Sentencing Project* (1995). Reprinted with permission of The Sentencing Project.
- Robert Mosteller, *Victims' Rights and the United States Constitution: An Effort to Recast the Battle in Criminal Litigation*, 85 *Geo. L. J.* 1691, 1714-1715 (1997). Reprinted with permission of the publisher, Georgetown University and *Georgetown Law Journal* © 1997.
- Note, *Formalism, Legal Realism, and Constitutionally Protected Privacy Under the Fourth and Fifth Amendments*, 90 *Harv. L. Rev.* 945, 985-988 (1977). Copyright © 1977 by the Harvard Law Review Association.
- Charles E. O'Hara, *Fundamentals of Criminal Investigation*, Charles C. Thomas, Publisher, 1956, pp. 99, 105-106, 112. Courtesy of Charles C. Thomas, Publisher, Ltd., Springfield, Illinois.
- Herbert Packer, *The Courts, The Police, and the Rest of Us*, 57 *J. Crim. L., Criminology & Pol. Sci.* 238, 239 (1966). Reprinted by special permission of Northwestern University School of Law, *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*.
- Eric Rasmusen, *Mezzanatto and the Economics of Self-Incrimination*, 19 *Cardozo L. Rev.* 1541, 1551 (1998). Reprinted with permission from the Cardozo Law Review.
- Frank Read, *Lawyers at Lineups: Constitutional Necessity or Avoidable Extravagance?*, 17 *UCLA L. Rev.* 339, 388-393 (1969). Reprinted with permission.
- Daniel C. Richman, *Bargaining About Future Jeopardy*, 49 *Vand. L. Rev.* 1181, 1211-1212 (1996). Copyright © 1996 by the Vanderbilt Law Review. Reprinted with permission.
- Daniel C. Richman, *Cooperating Clients*, 56 *Ohio St. L. J.* 69, 94-101 (1995). Originally published in 56 *Ohio St. L. J.* 69 (1995). Reprinted with permission of *Ohio St. L. J.* and the author.
- Daniel C. Richman, *Grand Jury Secrecy: Plugging the Leaks in an Empty Bucket*, 36 *Am. Crim. L. Rev.* 339, 341, 355 (1999). Reprinted with permission of the publisher, Georgetown University and *American Criminal Law Review* © 1999.
- Dorothy E. Roberts, *Foreword: Race, Vagueness, and the Social Meaning of Order-Maintenance Policing*, 89 *J. Crim. L. & Criminology* 821, 827-828 (1999). Reprinted by special permission of Northwestern University School of Law, *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*.
- Dorothy E. Roberts, *Crime and Reproduction*, 67 *Tul. L. Rev.* 1945, 1945-1947 (1993). Originally published in 67 *Tul. L. Rev.* 1945-1977 (1993). Reprinted with the permission of the Tulane Law Review Association, which holds the copyright.
- Stephen Schulhofer, *Some Kind Words for the Privilege Against Self-Incrimination*, 26 *Val. U. L. Rev.* 311, 329-330 (1991). Copyright © 1991. Reprinted with permission.
- Stephen Schulhofer, *Is Plea Bargaining Inevitable?*, 97 *Harv. L. Rev.* 1037, 1065-1066 (1984). Copyright © 1984 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission.

- Stephen Schulhofer and David Friedman, Rethinking Indigent Defense: Promoting Effective Representation Through Consumer Sovereignty and Freedom of Choice for All Criminal Defendants, 31 *Am. Crim. L. Rev.* 73, 93-94 (1993). Reprinted with permission of the publisher, Georgetown University and American Criminal Law Review (1993).
- William Schwarzer, Dealing With Incompetent Counsel—The Trial Judge's Role, 93 *Harv. L. Rev.* 633, 637, 642-656, 659-666 (1980). Copyright © 1980 by the Harvard Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission.
- Robert E. Scott and William J. Stuntz, Plea Bargaining as Contract, 101 *Yale L. J.* 1909, 1932-1934, 1963-1964 (1992). Reprinted by permission of The Yale Law Journal Company and William S. Hein Company from The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 101, pages 1909-1968.
- Louis Michael Seidman, Points of Intersection: Discontinuities at the Junction of Criminal Law and the Regulatory State, 7 *J. Contemp. Legal Issues* 97, 97-98, 100-102, 127 (1996). Reprinted with permission of the author.
- David Sklansky, Cocaine, Race and Equal Protection, 47 *Stan. L. Rev.* 1283-1284, 1322 (1995). Copyright 1995 by Stanford Law Review. Reproduced with permission of Stanford Law Review in the format textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
- David Sklansky, Traffic Stops, Minority Motorists and the Future of the Fourth Amendment, 1997 *Sup. Ct. Rev.* 271, 300-301. Copyright © 1997 by the University of Chicago Press. Reprinted with permission.
- David Sklansky, The Private Police, 46 *UCLA L. Rev.* 1165, 1175-1176, 1224-1225 (1999). Originally published in 46 *UCLA L. Rev.* 1165. Copyright 1999, The Regents of the University of California. All Rights Reserved.
- Jerome H. Skolnick and David H. Bayley, *The New Blue Line: Police Innovation in Six American Cities*, pp. 49-52, Free Press, 1988. Reprinted with the permission of The Free Press, a Division of Simon & Schuster, Inc., from *The New Blue Line: Police Innovation in Six American Cities* by Jerome H. Skolnick and David H. Bayley. Copyright © 1986 by Jerome H. Skolnick and David H. Bayley.
- Christopher Slobogin, Why Liberals Should Chuck the Exclusionary Rule, 1999 *U. Ill. L. Rev.* 363. Copyright © 1999 by The Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois.
- Jeffrey Standen, Plea Bargaining in the Shadow of the Guidelines, 81 *Cal. L. Rev.* 1471, 1506-1508 (1993). © 1993 by California Law Review, Inc. Reprinted from *California Law Review*, Vol. 81, No. 6, Issue December 1993, pp. 1471-1538, by permission.
- Carol S. Steiker, Counter-Revolution in Constitutional Criminal Procedure? Two Audiences, Two Answers, 94 *Mich. L. Rev.* 2466, 2466-2470, 2536, 2543 (1996). Reprinted from *Michigan Law Review*, August 1996, Vol. 94, No. 8. Copyright 1996 by The Michigan Law Review Association. Reprinted with permission.
- William J. Stuntz, Warrants and Fourth Amendment Remedies, 77 *Va. L. Rev.* 881, 881-883 (1991). Copyright © 1991 by the Virginia Law Review. Reprinted with permission.
- William J. Stuntz, Privacy's Problem and the Law of Criminal Procedure, 93 *Mich. L. Rev.* 1016, 1075-1076 (1995). Reprinted from *Michigan Law Review*, March 1995, Vol. 93, No. 5. Copyright 1995 by The Michigan Law Review Association.
- William J. Stuntz, Lawyers, Deception, and Evidence Gathering, 79 *Va. L. Rev.* 1903, 1940-1942 (1993). Copyright © 1993 by the Virginia Law Review. Reprinted with permission.

- William J. Stuntz, *Implicit Bargains, Government Power, and the Fourth Amendment*, 44 *Stan. L. Rev.* 553, 555, 584 (1992). Copyright 1992 by Stanford Law Review. Reproduced with permission of Stanford Law Review in the format textbook via Copyright Clearance Center.
- William J. Stuntz, *Substance, Process, and the Civil-Criminal Line*, 7 *J. Contemp. Legal Issues* 1, 14-15 (1996). Reprinted with permission of the author.
- William J. Stuntz, *The Uneasy Relationship Between Criminal Procedure and Criminal Justice*, 107 *Yale L. J.* 1, 1-6, 10-11, 61-62 (1997). Reprinted by permission of The Yale Law Journal Company and William S. Hein Company from *The Yale Law Journal*, Vol. 107, pages 1-76.
- William J. Stuntz, *Waiving Rights in Criminal Procedure*, 75 *Va. L. Rev.* 761, 798-799 (1989). Copyright © 1989 by the Virginia Law Review. Reprinted with permission.
- William J. Stuntz, *The Virtues and Vices of the Exclusionary Rule*, 20 *Harv. J. L. & Pub. Pol'y* 443, 445-446 (1997). Copyright © 1997 by the Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy. Reprinted with permission.
- Deanell Reece Tacha, *Serving This Time: Examining the Federal Sentencing Guidelines After a Decade of Experience*, 62 *Mo. L. Rev.* 471 (1997). U.S. Sentencing Commissioner 1994-1998. Reprinted with permission.
- Peter Tague, *Multiple Representation and Conflicts of Interest in Criminal Cases*, 67 *Geo. L. J.* 1075, 1086-1087, 1094-1095 (1979). Reprinted with permission of the publisher, Georgetown University and Georgetown Law Journal © 1979.
- Michael Tonry, *Sentencing Matters*, pp. 147-148 (1996), copyright © 1996 by Michael Tonry. Used by permission of Oxford University Press, Inc.
- Scott Turow, "Policing the Police: The DA's Job" from *Postmortem: The O.J. Simpson Case 189* by Jeffrey Abramson. Copyright © 1996 by Basic Books, Inc. Reprinted by permission of Basic Books, a member of Perseus Books, L.L.C.
- Rodney Uphoff, *The Criminal Defense Lawyer as Effective Negotiator: A Systemic Approach*, 2 *Clinical L. Rev.* 73-94 (1995). Copyright © 1995. Reprinted with permission.
- Robert Verhaik, *New Law Will Force Juries to Give Reasons for Verdicts*, *The Independent*, August 25, 2000, available on-line at <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/UK/Legal/2000-08/reason250800.shtml>. Reprinted with permission.
- Silas Wasserstrom and Louis Michael Seidman, *The Fourth Amendment as Constitutional Theory*, 77 *Geo. L. J.* 19, 34-35 (1988). Reprinted with permission of the publisher, Georgetown University and Georgetown Law Journal © 1988.
- Peter Westen, *The Three Faces of Double Jeopardy: Reflections on Government Appeals of Criminal Sentences*, 78 *Mich. L. Rev.* 1001, 1006-1007, 1018 (1980). Reprinted from *Michigan Law Review*, June 1980, Vol. 78, No. 7. Copyright 1980 by The Michigan Law Review Association.
- Peter Westen, *The Empty Idea of Equality*, 95 *Harv. L. Rev.* 537, 539-540, 543-50 (1982). Copyright © 1982 by the Harvard Law Review Association.
- Paul Wice, *Chaos in the Courthouse: The Inner Workings of the Urban Criminal Courts*, pp. 21-24, 63-65 (1985) Prager Publishers. Copyright © 1985 by Prager Publishers. Reproduced with permission of Greenwood Publishing Group, Inc., Westport, CT.



---

---

## Summary of Contents

---

Contents	XIII
Preface	XXVII
Acknowledgments	XXIX

---

### PART ONE THE CRIMINAL PROCESS

---

Chapter 1	Introduction to the Criminal Justice "System"	3
Chapter 2	The Idea of Due Process	71

---

### PART TWO THE RIGHT TO COUNSEL—THE LINCHPIN OF CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTION

---

Chapter 3	The Right to Counsel and Other Assistance	105
-----------	---	-----

---

### PART THREE THE RIGHT TO BE LET ALONE—AN EXAMINATION OF THE FOURTH AND FIFTH AMENDMENTS AND RELATED AREAS

---

Chapter 4	The Rise and Fall of <i>Boyd v. United States</i>	261
Chapter 5	The Fourth Amendment	323
Chapter 6	The Fifth Amendment	619
Chapter 7	Investigating Complex Crimes	855

---

### PART FOUR THE ADJUDICATION PROCESS

---

Chapter 8	The Charging Decision	911
Chapter 9	Bail, Detention, and the Right to a Speedy Trial	983
Chapter 10	Guilty Pleas and Plea Bargaining	1031
Chapter 11	Discovery and Disclosure	1133
Chapter 12	The Jury and the Criminal Trial	1181